

Page 218	Page 219
<p>1 MR. VELASQUEZ: Tradrick McCoy. 2 MR. McCOY: Yes. 3 MR. VELASQUEZ: Margaret Shepherd. 4 MS. SHEPHERD: Yes. 5 MR. VELASQUEZ: Julius Whigham. 6 MR. WHIGHAM: Yes. 7 MR. VELASQUEZ: Brian Coulton. 8 MR. COULTON: Yes. 9 MR. VELASQUEZ: Rena James. 10 VICE-CHAIR JAMES: Yes. 11 MR. VELASQUEZ: Edward Kunity. 12 CHAIR KUNUTY: Yes. 13 MR. VELASQUEZ: Unanimous voting. Motion 14 approved. 15 CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay, for E3. 16 VICE-CHAIR JAMES: Chair. 17 CHAIR KUNUTY: Yes. 18 VICE-CHAIR JAMES: I move, make a motion to 19 approve an ordinance amending the zoning designation of 20 three parcels of land specified on the agenda and 21 associated with the Riviera Beach Heights community 22 center from RS-8, single family dwelling district to 23 community facility zoning district. 24 MR. McCOY: Second. 25 CHAIR KUNUTY: Do we have a motion -- a</p>	<p>1 second, rather? 2 MR. McCOY: Second. 3 MR. VELASQUEZ: Zedrick Barber, II. 4 MR. BARBER: Yes. 5 MR. VELASQUEZ: Tradrick McCoy. 6 MR. McCOY: Yes. 7 MR. VELASQUEZ: Margaret Shepherd. 8 MS. SHEPHERD: Yes. 9 MR. VELASQUEZ: Julius Whigham. 10 MR. WHIGHAM: Yes. 11 MR. VELASQUEZ: Brian Coulton. 12 MR. COULTON: Yes. 13 MR. VELASQUEZ: Rena James. 14 VICE-CHAIR JAMES: Yes. 15 MR. VELASQUEZ: Edward Kunity. 16 CHAIR KUNUTY: Yes. 17 MR. VELASQUEZ: Unanimous voting. Motion 18 approved. 19 CHAIR KUNUTY: Moving on to the final item. 20 MR. GAGNON: Yes, last item on the agenda, 21 letter F. 22 VICE-CHAIR JAMES: Chair. 23 CHAIR KUNUTY: Yes. 24 VICE-CHAIR JAMES: I make a motion that we 25 adjourn for the night and take that up at the next</p>
Page 220	Page 221
<p>1 meeting. 2 MS. SHEPHERD: I second that. 3 MR. McCOY: Discussion. 4 CHAIR KUNUTY: Yes, we do have to have some 5 discussion on it. 6 MR. GAGNON: Just for clarity, this item 7 directly relates to City neighborhood signs in the 8 right-of-way that the Code change needs to be done 9 before we can move forward with this. That's the only 10 thing this ordinance is really allowing. 11 (Whereupon, Ms. Shepherd left the dais.) 12 MR. McCOY: Well, call the roll on it, and 13 then -- 14 CHAIR KUNUTY: We have a motion. 15 MR. GAGNON: We've been so far. We're so 16 close. 17 CHAIR KUNUTY: I know. We have a motion to 18 adjourn, and do we have a -- did we get a second on it? 19 MR. WHIGHAM: Yes, you did get a second. 20 CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay, call the roll. 21 MR. VELASQUEZ: Zedrick Barber, II. 22 MR. BARBER: Yes. 23 MR. VELASQUEZ: Tradrick McCoy. 24 MR. McCOY: No. 25 MR. VELASQUEZ: Margaret Shepherd.</p>	<p>1 MS. SHEPHERD: (No response.) 2 CHAIR KUNUTY: She's going out the door. 3 MR. VELASQUEZ: Julius Whigham. 4 MR. WHIGHAM: No. 5 MR. VELASQUEZ: Brian Coulton. 6 MR. COULTON: No. 7 MR. VELASQUEZ: Rena James. 8 VICE-CHAIR JAMES: Yes. 9 MR. VELASQUEZ: Edward Kunity. 10 CHAIR KUNUTY: No. 11 MR. VELASQUEZ: Four, no; three, yes. 12 MR. McCOY: Mr. Chair. 13 CHAIR KUNUTY: Go ahead. 14 MR. McCOY: Move to approve item F as 15 printed, without any presentation. 16 CHAIR KUNUTY: Any questions from any of the 17 Board members? Any discussion? Do I have a second? 18 Oh, I'm sorry, yes, we do have one card. Mr. Ward. 19 MR. WARD: Gerald Ward, 2135 Broadway. Well, 20 once again we have the City doing what it wants to do. 21 And if you take 28-107, you'll see that the paragraph A 22 is something that in the existing Code that's being 23 modified just for setback from property lines 24 effectively, 32 square feet of a monument sign. Now we 25 go to let the City do what it wants to for 175 feet.</p>

Page 222	Page 223
<p>1 This City's sign Code really needs to be 2 modified so that signs are profitable for businesses 3 and out of the sight distances of traffic. So I really 4 think somebody needs to start saying that when you put 5 a monument sign out in public property like this, there 6 needs to be some sort of a sight distant review. 7 Things are going on sometimes because, well, we need a 8 sign out there, and Councilman whatever says it has to 9 happen, and all of a sudden it's another traffic 10 accident waiting to happen. So I just don't think it 11 was fully thought out.</p> <p>12 CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay, any Board comments? 13 MR. McCOY: Move to approve, Mr. Chair. 14 CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay, we have a motion to 15 approve. Do we have a second? 16 MR. COULTON: Second. 17 CHAIR KUNUTY: We have a motion to approve 18 and second. Call the roll. 19 MR. VELASQUEZ: Zedrick Barber, II. 20 MR. BARBER: Yes. 21 MR. VELASQUEZ: Tradrick McCoy. 22 MR. McCOY: Yes. 23 MR. VELASQUEZ: Margaret Shepherd. She's not 24 here. 25 Julius Whigham.</p>	<p>1 MR. WHIGHAM: Yes. 2 MR. VELASQUEZ: Brian Coulton. 3 MR. COULTON: Yes. 4 MR. VELASQUEZ: Rena James. 5 VICE-CHAIR JAMES: Yes. 6 MR. VELASQUEZ: Edward Kunuty. 7 CHAIR KUNUTY: Yes. 8 MR. VELASQUEZ: Unanimous voting. Motion 9 approved. 10 CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay, we're into public 11 comments. Fane Lozman. 12 MR. LOZMAN: I thought I'd be the only one. 13 Good evening. Fane Lozman. You know, on the 14 back of the yellow card it says to give your address. 15 I think I need to share with the five of you who are 16 left one of my frustrations that I had this evening. 17 And that is I own five different properties there, I 18 pay property taxes for police and fire, but I don't 19 have an address for any of those properties. 20 The Property Appraiser, Gary Nikolits, sent a 21 letter to the City saying I need an address in case 22 somebody hurts themselves, for police and fire. I also 23 need an address to have my tax bill sent. Mary 24 McKinney and this gentleman here started a policy where 25 we're not assigning addresses to vacant lots, even</p>
Page 224	Page 225
<p>1 though there's addresses all around the city for vacant 2 lots. 3 The Amrit property may come up at some point 4 in time, 3100 North Ocean Drive. The developer of 5 that, Dilip Barot, who's developing that property, I 6 just want the City Council to be aware it was brought 7 to my attention and I've confirmed it that Mary 8 McKinney purchased her house from Mr. Dilip Barot at 9 below market price. So whatever she says relative to 10 that project, I think it's important to understand that 11 she has a direct relationship with that developer. 12 Going back to the property owners on the west 13 side of the street, okay, we are still impacted by 14 decisions that you make on the east side of Ocean 15 Drive, okay? So when I go to develop my property, 16 people want to get access to the ocean. They don't 17 want to have to get in their car and drive south to 18 Sugar Sands or drive wherever. They want to have 19 access to the ocean. 20 Now, I'm going to challenge your decision 21 that you made tonight. I'm confident, having looked at 22 the 1959 plat, not from 2014, that that road was put in 23 for a reason. The developer could have just sold the 24 property with no road. He could have sold that plat 25 and not put a road in there. Why did he put a road in</p>	<p>1 there for the citizens to use? He put a road in there 2 for the citizens to go in there, park their car and go 3 to the beach. And they've been doing that for 50 4 years, you know. 5 There are easements that run along the 6 north/south that aren't power, cable even. That's the 7 typical easement that you walk along. So that road, 8 this guy went out of his way to donate the road so the 9 public can use it, and he himself for his own property 10 could use it, and you guys have taken that away based 11 on representations from a guy that never brought you 12 the original document. 13 He should have brought you the 1959 plat and 14 blew it up and said this easement is in -- I mean this 15 road is in perpetuity. So it's like a slap in the face 16 to the man that gave this to the City, saying we're 17 going to take it away, and the guy that bought your 18 property -- me -- we're going to take away the right 19 for his development to go to the ocean. It's just 20 deplorable. 21 You know, fortunately, I had the -- 22 fortunately, I'll make you a bet right now that I 23 think -- and you guys can all come after the meeting 24 and walk the easement and stick in your mind that you 25 can walk it on either side. It exists there, okay. So</p>