Page: 31 (118 - 121)

Page 119

Page 118 brings to the table, especially with this Community 1 CHAIR KUNUTY: Yes. 2 Development, is at least a focal point. Obviously, MR. VELASQUEZ: Four, yes; three, no. Motion Mr. Thomas, as your representative, held a meeting that approved. a lot of people say they weren't even noticed. But at 4 CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay, moving on to the next 5 least with the CRA, we've got one entity that's heading item. 6 it up, okay, that's saying we're going to do this for MS. CAMBLOR: Thank you. 7 Riviera Beach Heights. So having said that, do we have CHAIR KUNUTY: Mr. Gagnon. 8 8 a motion? MR. GAGNON: Yes, sir. We just have to 9 9 MR. COULTON: Motion to approve. switch the laptop very quickly. 10 10 MS. SHEPHERD: Second. Our next item is an application for site plan 11 CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay, we have a motion to and replat approval from KT 5000, LLC to develop 4.39 12 12 acres of land -- I'm sorry, one second, please. approve and a second. 13 MR. VELASQUEZ: Zedrick Barber, II. 13 CHAIR KUNUTY: Yes, let's --14 14 MR. BARBER: No. MR. GAGNON: This is a point of order. 15 15 Someone left a cell phone on the dais. Know whose cell MR. VELASQUEZ: Tradrick McCoy. phone this is? If anyone's looking for a cell phone, 16 MR. McCOY: No. 17 17 it's up here. Sorry for that. To continue --MR. VELASQUEZ: Margaret Shepherd. 18 18 CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay, could everyone who's MS. SHEPHERD: Yes. 19 MR. VELASQUEZ: Julius Whigham. 19 leaving please exit the room so we can continue. 20 20 MR. WHIGHAM: Yes. MR. GAGNON: An application for site plan and 21 21 MR. VELASQUEZ: Brian Coulton. replat approval from KT 5000 --22 22 CHAIR KUNUTY: Mr. Gagnon, before you get MR. COULTON: Yes. 23 23 MR. VELASQUEZ: Rena James. started, do we have a time element, a time limit or 24 24 VICE-CHAIR JAMES: No. anything like that? 25 25 MR. VELASQUEZ: Edward Kunuty. MR. GAGNON: No. Page 120 CHAIR KUNUTY: Just keep going? Okay, okay. 1 I do have a presentation. Here's a location 1 2 MR. GAGNON: We keep going. And if there's

any particular Board member that needs to go, it's understood; however, I'll be here until we don't have a

quorum. CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay, very good. All right, move on.

3

6

7

8

10

12

16

17

18

19

MR. GAGNON: One more time. An application for site plan and replat approval from KT 5000, LLC to develop 4.39 acres of land at 5000 North Ocean Drive, known as Harbor Point and Harbor Point replat in order to construct a 48 unit, 19 story condominium, 200 feet in height, with an additional 15 foot roof

architectural feature, positioned westward of the 1997 Coastal Construction Control Line, located within the

high density multifamily RM-20 zoning district.

Additionally -- that was item C1. Item C2 is also associated with this, which is an ordinance abandoning Harbor Point Drive, as shown on a portion of 20 lots one, three, four, five and six of the plat of 21 Riviera Beach, as recorded in Plat Book 26, page 71 in the public records of Palm Beach County, Florida, in

association with the Harbor Point site plan application

²⁴ from KT 5000, LLC to develop land at 5000 North Ocean Drive for a 48 unit, 19 story condominium.

23

Page 121

map of 5000 North Ocean Drive, known as Harbor Point property. The Harbor Point right-of-way bisects this lot and runs through the center here. To the north, Ocean's Edge exists, and to the south is the Beach Front development, which is 4600 North Ocean Drive. Here's the aerial view. You may recognize the fire station on North Ocean Drive here as a landmark. The roadway itself, Harbor Point, is somewhat hidden, but 10 this is the development we are speaking of tonight.

So current site conditions, we have the

of Harbor Point. As you move forward along the

it cul-de-sacs at the end of the right-of-way. This

the project along the ocean. This is looking back

entrance sign bordering both sides and the entranceway

roadway, you can start seeing the building itself. And

demonstrates some of the conditions along the roadway.

towards the development, looking west, and then looking

And this is a view looking south from the east side of

So provided to the Board tonight within the packet there's the eight and a half by 11. Additionally, a supplemental sheet, 11 x 17, was provided, a color site plan, which should be the first sheet in the packet of 11 by 17 documents.

11

12

17

20

21

north.

Page: 32 (122 - 125)

Page 122

11

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

Additionally within those documents are the proposed site elevations. Again, you can see the architectural feature on the top of the building. The overall height of the building would be limited to 220 feet.

5

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

So this is the landscape plan, which is actually divided into two parts, but provides somewhat different details on the development and the location of the structure itself. I'm going to show you both side by side here. This is Harbor Point Drive, as a landmark. And the current development, the current building is located here, which would obviously be demolished. And the new development is located west of the 1997 Coastal Construction Control Line. So this is the eastern portion of the plan. Again, here's the tower that's proposed.

And the current building, again, is really located here for the most part, in between the '79 and '97 Coastal Construction Control Lines. So what this plan does is bring the building back away from the dunes, away from the beach area. It actually starts to reestablish a park like ecosystem and reestablish the dune that was once more prevalent there.

This is to demonstrate the replat and how it would look after abandonment of the right-of-way. Again, Harbor Point Drive kind of snakes through the

Page 124

site plan and the plat approval could be done in one motion. The recommendation could be provided to City Council for approval.

To recap some of the conditions associated with that, the first condition is that visitor parking spaces specified in the site plan must remain for visitor parking in perpetuity and may not be sold for private use.

The second condition is that a Sea Turtle Protection Lighting Plan approved by Palm Beach County or a State agency is required to be submitted to the City prior to issuance of construction permits.

Condition three, documentation from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection authorizing the removal and approving the mitigation of mangrove must be provided prior to issuance of building permits.

Condition four, approval from FDEP for any dune modifications or walkways east of the 1979 Coastal Construction Control Line must be provided prior to the issuance of construction permits.

Additionally, a landscape bond at 100 percent of the value of landscaping and irrigation is required prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.

The next condition is that within 90 days of

Page 123

1 property in this manner, and the abandonment of the right-of-way is a fundamental component of the site plan approval, meaning that it does add to the area available for density. However, along with that, there will also be an access easement maintained with Ocean's Edge to the north, and there's also a discussion with Palm Beach County to make sure that they're allowed to also access the site to add beach sand as required. So that will be for Palm Beach County use. It won't be 10 for public use.

Currently there is no public use all the way to the beach. The right-of-way itself being public right-of-way is accessible, however, it does dead end at this cul-de-sac. So unfortunately, there really isn't much use for the general public as currently existing.

And this is a somewhat grainy image, but this is the actual right-of-way itself. So if you flash back and forth between the plat and the right-of-way, you can see the existing location of the plat and right-of-way.

So staff is recommending approval of both the site plan application, the plat and also the abandonment. The abandonment is by ordinance, so we would ask that there's a separate motion for that. The

Page 125

City Council approval of this resolution, the applicant shall contribute \$350,000 to the City, which shall be kept within a special wetland mitigation account and be used solely for the acquisition, conservation and/or maintenance of wetlands and/or special preservation 6 land.

The next condition is that future amendments to the site -- for the site plan may be made administratively, so long as the site plan does not deviate greater than five percent from the originally approved submittal.

So in addition, for the ordinance for abandonment, staff simply would recommend approval of that abandonment.

Additionally, we have representatives from Kolter Design Team, the Harbor Point design team. They could -- well, we're all here to answer questions. I'm not sure if they'd like to add anything else. We'll have at least one designee come up and say hi.

CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay.

MR. GAGNON: And we'll go from there. CHAIR KUNUTY: Yes. Does the Board have any

23 questions of staff so far? Okay. 24

Applicant, please.

MR. BOYCE: Hello. My name is Jim Boyce.

Page: 33 (126 - 129)

Page 126

9

10

13

16

17

18

21

22

23

25

1

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

21

22

23

24

Thank you very much for your time. I don't really think the presentation did the project much justice. A lot of the pictures were very grainy. I do actually have a thumb drive.

MR. GAGNON: Sure. I like my photos.

MR. BOYCE: (Inaudible.)

5

6

7

8

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

10

11

12

14

16

17

18

MR. GAGNON: I could show you --

MR. BOYCE: So I'm not sure if you're

familiar with the -- Jeff showed pictures as far as the existing building that's there, or was there. In fact, it's in the process of actually being torn down right now. It was in a state of severe disrepair. A number of the windows had actually been broken out. They'd been boarded up for approaching ten years. In fact, when we took over the property, there were a number of squatters on the property. So -- and there was actual structural concerns on portions of the property also. We purchased the property about a year ago, maybe a

So let me pull up -- so one of the things we did do on this, Jeff touched on it briefly, was we situated approximately one foot behind the 1997 Coastal Construction Control Line. And that was voluntarily we were situated behind the line when they did pass it. There is beach renourishment.

year ago, and since then tenants have slowly moved out.

Page 128

there is some surface parking on the plaza deck, the majority, the vast majority of the parking is below, underneath the -- below the building in the garage area.

It's just a basic floor plan. Three units per (inaudible), so it's not a very dense property for floor plan. And then just some other additional renderings of the pool area, and then the (inaudible) the building. So all in all, it's a -- if you're familiar with the property at all, it's a great improvement to the site.

It does actually represent a considerable amount of tax revenue increase for the City. Right now, currently, the property taxes are about 11 and a half million dollars. The project would push it close to \$110 million worth of revenue. What that translates to right now, the taxes on the property are about \$240,000. You would be increasing your taxes, tax revenue annually by about \$2 million.

19 20 That is, based on everything I've heard 21 today, that is money that goes into the general fund. That is money that goes to police, to parks. That's taxes alone. To build a building like that too, you also have impact fees. That's additional revenue.

That's parks, that's police, that's fire.

Page 127

1 So you can actually see on that site plan, or actually that rendering, between Ocean's Edge to the north and Beach Front to the south, our building, the back of our building is about 106 feet off of where the existing building is right now. That equates to about just under an acre of beach dune easement there. Not easement. Beach dune, replenished beach dune, 8 ecosystem.

(Inaudible) and this is why I said this did not do, just a slide presentation did not really do the building justice. This is a very contemporary, in fact, it's tropical modern, is the actual theme of the building. It's a very contemporary building. It's a very elegant building. Only 48 units. The existing building had 37 units to begin with. So there's one during the day.

There's 117 -- there's 48 units. There are 117 parking spaces provided on the property. There would be a gated access, and that's a rendering of the guardhouse coming into the property on what would be Harbor Point Drive.

And then we get into some of the actual elevations of the property. The building tower component, the 19 stories is situated on top of a plaza deck located on top of the parking garage. So while

Page 129

So in closing, I think it's a beautiful improvement to the City. It's a benefit to the City, a benefit to all people involved, and I hope there's no -- if there's any questions, I'd be more than happy to answer some or have --

CHAIR KUNUTY: Does the Board have any questions? Mr. Barber?

MR. BARBER: I don't have any.

CHAIR KUNUTY: Mr. Whigham? No?

MR. WHIGHAM: No.

MR. McCOY: I do have a question of staff.

CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay. Just keep yourself available.

MR. McCOY: I want to find out, Jeff, the \$350,000 contribution, I mean that seems pretty -- it's a condition. Why is that?

MR. GAGNON: So the project itself, being that -- and I don't have my photos up that I did like. The roadway itself hasn't been revitalized or really renovated in some time. Because of that, the area adjacent to it has really provided habitat for specific mangrove species, so black, white and red mangroves.

Additionally, there's kind of a center portion of the fork of Harbor Point and the entrance roadway where it's somewhat of a wetland area that also

Page: 34 (130 - 133)

Page 131

does support mangroves, however, it's not really highly functional. 3

So what this condition does is it allows the City, at a future date, to mitigate for the loss of those mangroves. So whether that is a joint project with, you know, DEP or Palm Beach County ERM, it just allows for flexibility to mitigate for the loss of those mangroves, and it does it in a manner where the developer really is not involved in that process once the contribution is made. And it's solely used for that mitigation function.

MR. McCOY: But my question is to why is it a 13 condition? Is it -- because obviously, it's still not the responsibility of the applicant, but why is it a condition? If it's a good faith thing, then I understand that, but why is it a condition?

10

11

12

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

17

18

19

20

21

MR. GAGNON: It's a condition because we don't want to approve the site plan --

MR. McCOY: If they don't give us money.

MR. GAGNON: -- unless -- well --

MR. McCOY: Because it seems like --

MR. GAGNON: -- unless there's a way to mitigate for the loss of those wetland areas. So there could be other ways of doing that, but the contribution into this fund is potentially the simplest way of

Page 132

Page 130

1

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

21

22

As far as the actual employees of the building, it would be minimal; maybe ten.

MR. BARBER: And of those employees, are there any preferences to residents of the City?

MR. BOYCE: I really hadn't gone into that. That would be a property manager issue.

> MR. BARBER: Is the property manager here? MR. BOYCE: No.

MR. GAGNON: What I can suggest is I know there's multiple job fairs that are offered through various entities, including some elected officials. So one of the, I guess, potential solutions to encourage Riviera Beach residents to have that availability and job opportunity would be to just have a condition of approval asking the developer to participate in that job fair. Unfortunately, it is a minimal number of full-time jobs. But something very simple like that could be done.

MR. BARBER: I think that condition should be applied also as far as conditions to be applied are concerned. Do you know at this point, or is there anyone who might be able to tell me whether or not your applications will ask applicants whether or not they've committed any crimes or been convicted of felonies or any other crimes in the past?

accomplishing that.

MR. McCOY: And I guess it's probably not even fruitless -- it's fruitless to ask if -- was it agreed upon, because if he's here, I imagine they had to have agreed upon. But I just was curious about that. So I don't have any further questions.

MR. BARBER: Mr. Kunuty. CHAIR KUNUTY: Yes, Mr. Barber. MR. BARBER: I have some questions. CHAIR KUNUTY: Sure.

MR. BARBER: You brought up the estimated tax revenue that would come from developing the project. My question is what type of manpower would it take to operate that type of building, and you know, how many jobs do you expect to bring into Riviera Beach?

MR. BOYCE: To operate the building, just to answer the specific question first, to operate the building, to be perfectly honest, it doesn't take that much manpower. We're looking at about ten full-time employees and maybe an additional five or six part-time employees. But along with that -- that's staff for the building. Along with that, you do have subcontract service personnel. You have maintenance requirements, you have window washing, you have a number of other people that actually help to maintain that building.

Page 133

MR. BOYCE: I wouldn't know that at this time.

MR. BARBER: I think another condition that should be applied is that those applications don't ask those type of questions. Those types of questions aren't asked until the offer for employment -- at least until an offer for employment is extended.

MR. BOYCE: Noted.

MR. BARBER: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay, thank you.

This is a question for staff. You mentioned mitigating the wetlands. How much wetlands are we losing, or will we lose?

> MR. GAGNON: Do you know the acreage? MR. BOYCE: About 14,000 maybe.

MR. GAGNON: It's approximately a quarter to half an acre. It's pretty minimal. Let's see, I'm going to pull up my presentation again because I like my photos. Actually, I like the aerial. The square footage is 11,691, extremely precise, which is less than what I just stated.

It's difficult to see because of the color in the projector, however, there is Harbor Point Drive, which is a right-of-way, then there is a drive aisle on the south side. The wetland area that we're talking

Page: 35 (134 - 137)

Page 134

6

12

13

14

15

17

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about is in the center right where the roadway forks. And again, there was an environmental analysis done, and it was shown to not be highly functional, unfortunately.

5

10

14

15

16

17

18

22

24

11

13

16

17

18

19

21

25

But additionally, along this roadway, since it hasn't been maintained in a rather long time, when they come in and do infrastructure improvements, there will also be some minor losses along the right-of-way itself. So again, we want to be able to mitigate for the loss of mangroves. I think everyone understands the importance of them. There are fines issued for those that cut them without permits, and we've seen that happen recently. So we're very careful of how we proceed with that.

CHAIR KUNUTY: Go to public comments. Fane Lozman.

MR. LOZMAN: Fane Lozman. I own the property directly across the street from that project. Back in 1924 when that land was sold, it was sold from the Atlantic Ocean all the way over to the Intracoastal. And the owner before me, he split it in half, and he sold half to where the condo is, and he kept the other half to develop. But he gave that road where it says Harbor Point Drive, he gave that, this would be in perpetuity, to the City. The City owns that right now.

Page 136

people to be able to get to the beach. I want the public to get to the beach. Now, it's interesting to say, well, the people next door, we're going to make sure they can go through our property, and Palm Beach County, we'll make sure they can get their sand trucks out that the taxpayers are paying to dump the sand, but we don't want the public to go there, where in 1959 the plat says right on the plat, it say there's a five foot easement on the plat, and that's what people have been 10 using to get to the beach. So you cannot approve that project without assuring that there's a public easement 12 to get from the road to the beach, okay?

Now, I have a lot of money, and I also have some great legal firepower. I won a Supreme Court case with some of the best lawyers in the country. I have a financial interest to keep the easement open. The public has a financial reason, interest to keep the easement open. If you're going to have a sand access easement that dumps sand, then you block off five feet to make sure people can go from the beach -- from the road to the beach. But hell's going to freeze over before I'm just going to say, okay, let's give away the road that the guy that owned my property purposely put in there so he could get to the beach.

That's what it comes down to. You cannot

Page 135

And the reason that Harbor Point road goes where it is is that between the old building and the next door property owner is a five foot strip of land called an easement. And over the years, the public could go down Harbor Point Drive and go through the easement.

Now, I plan to develop my property. The people around me, we're going to have 40, 50, 100 floating homes there one day. Those people need to get to the beach. It's the people's beach. It's not these guys' beach. It's a public beach and with a public easement. And over the years surfers and other people would use the public road, and they'd go between the buildings.

Now, what's happened, if the City doesn't want black people -- and that's the truth. McKinney doesn't want black people going through easements in those buildings, nor does this guy. There's Ed, Judge Ed Rodgers, the first black Chief Judge in Palm Beach County. He signed a Court Order saying the Via Delfino has to be a public easement. I will give each of you a copy of that Order. And this man right here and McKinney are ignoring that Order to open up that easement.

Okay, so they don't want easements between the buildings. I want one as a developer. I want my

Page 137

take away the public's access to that beach and say, okay, we'll take taxpayers' money to dump sand on there, but we don't want any black people or anybody else going to our beach. That's B.S. That easement's always been there. It needs to be maintained there. 6 And that they would play this little game is 7 despicable. 8 CHAIR KUNUTY: Thank you. 9

MR. GAGNON: Just as a point of order, I'm sorry.

CHAIR KUNUTY: Yes.

MR. GAGNON: Some of those comments were extremely untrue and rather disgusting, so --

CHAIR KUNUTY: You want to --

MR. GAGNON: -- I understand that certain people have a wow factor they try to portray, but that was just crossing a line that is disgusting. That was disgusting.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I've got all the backup to show to you.

MR. GAGNON: Potentially in the future, try to keep -- I know it's impossible too, but try to keep comments on point, because again, that was gross.

CHAIR KUNUTY: Mr. Graham, Robert Graham. MR. GRAHAM: I really have no further comment

Page: 36 (138 - 141)

Page 138 Page 139 right now. I'll talk to you about the Port later on tonight. But 2 CHAIR KUNUTY: Thank you. Marie Davis. I would tell you that Mr. Lozman alleged things which 3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: She left. are more true than staff. Staff leaves out the plat. 4 CHAIR KUNUTY: She left, okay. No other And I have for you as an exhibit, which I'd like to 5 comment? have -- which one of you want to distribute it? 6 6 MR. WARD: Where are my cards? MR. GAGNON: It won't be distributed. Thank 7 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I have a card. you. 8 8 CHAIR KUNUTY: You have a card on the road MR. WARD: It won't be distributed? Well, 9 easement. then we'll spend time reading the statute, because the 10 MR. WARD: No, I have a card on both. law says you can't do this item tonight, and you need 11 CHAIR KUNUTY: No, I'm talking to to refer this back to attorneys to provide that. I was 12 Ms. Newbold. told earlier that you would take it tonight by the 13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. 13 Chairman. That's what I asked. 14 14 CHAIR KUNUTY: You said C2, which would be CHAIR KUNUTY: No, I didn't say that. You the next item, okay? Okay, I missed you then. It must 15 15 handed me a copy of it as I walked in. Normally our be your handwriting. You're right. Sorry about that. 16 procedure is if you have handouts, if you get them to 16 17 MR. WARD: I have a card for every item 17 staff prior to the meeting, and staff will ensure they tonight. Unfortunately, Mr. Chairman, this agenda is 18 18 get distributed. 19 19 full of it. May I proceed? MR. WARD: We're wasting my time, so I'd like CHAIR KUNUTY: Yes, please. to have a little extra time when we come to the buzzer. 20 21 MR. WARD: Gerald Ward, 3930 North Ocean, the 21 CHAIR KUNUTY: Let's move on. furthestmost east point in the state of Florida, which 22 22 MR. WARD: Let's go. The mitigation I would 23 is several parcels south of this. Unfortunately or call extortion. \$350,000 for an item that could not be fortunately, I've been working, as of last month, over defined specifically until he got to the aerial up 25 a half a century on this beach, the lake and the Port. there, there's something wrong with that picture. This Page 140 Page 141 construction shall not interfere with that right of is the record of Riviera Beach lately, that for the public access. So this law, which is 161.55, prohibits last 20 years there have been staff asking for things the action of replat or platting, which I guess I get that probably don't have ordinance backup. back up and talk in a few minutes. The beach dune planting program is totally 5 backwards. They plant the seagrape at the beach line CHAIR KUNUTY: Thank you. Staff would you and the sea oats up at the top. And that's phase III 6 like to comment? 7 MR. GAGNON: Sure. Just to note on the of the 5 Harvard Circle write-up. 8 record, the information provided by Mr. Ward was So where we go is the handout provides you a copy of the plat. You cannot take replat on this item distributed to the Board, at least the amount of copies 10 nor the abandonment on the next item without knowing 10 that we were provided with. Again, it was Florida 11 Statute 161.55, in addition to the Harbor Point plat what you're giving up. But this plat shows clearly 12 12 from, I think it's 1960 is the date. that from the cul-de-sac, you can go north on a five 13 foot easement and over to the beach. I've done that Is there a specific question that the Board for 50 years. The same exists, go south from the 14 would like a response to? 15 cul-de-sac and over to the beach. Surfers, fishermen CHAIR KUNUTY: No. Do you want to comment on 16 the easement? have used these accesses. They parked in the 17 17 cul-de-sac, which I describe as the biggest cul-de-sac MR. GAGNON: To my knowledge, there is no 18 public access easement dedicated on this plat here. 18 in Palm Beach County, for 50 years. 19 19 So we have prescriptive easements, and just CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay. 20 so that you get the flavor of the subparagraph five on MR. GAGNON: And if there was, then that the second page: Where the public has established an 21 would mean the existing facility had developed over it, 22 accessway through private lands to land seaward of the which does not seem to be the case, because currently 23 mean high tide or waterline by prescription, there is no beach access. And I'm sure if there was,

24

25

it would be a very popular roadway.

CHAIR KUNUTY: Mr. McCoy.

prescriptive easement, or any other legal means,

development -- that's development here -- or

Page: 37 (142 - 145)

Page 142 Page 143 1 access point that Palm Beach County can actually access MR. McCOY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 2 to add additional sand, which actually could Are we speaking beach access or public access, because I just heard two of the -- I just heard potentially increase funding opportunities. But that's not for just regular public use. both of them used, and it seemed like they're being 5 5 MR. BARBER: Mr. Chairman. used synonymously for you, but not for him. 6 6 MR. GAGNON: Well, I think the point that is MR. McCOY: Well --7 CHAIR KUNUTY: One second. Jeff, are you being made is the Harbor Point right-of-way leads to 8 8 beach access. done? 9 9 MR. McCOY: Right. MR. RICHARDS: I was going to help. 10 10 CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay. MR. GAGNON: So, and what I was trying to 11 11 establish is there is currently no beach access. MR. RICHARDS: If I may, Wayne Richards on behalf of the applicant. The answer is no, Mr. McCoy; 12 12 MR. McCOY: No public access. MR. GAGNON: Well, it's --13 the answer is no. 13 14 MR. McCOY: So the answer is there is no 14 MR. McCOY: Because he --15 MR. GAGNON: There's no public access 15 public access? 16 MR. RICHARDS: The answer is no, correct. 16 easement. 17 17 Harbor Point Drive currently ends in a cul-de-sac in MR. McCOY: Right. But I'm speaking of the 18 abandonment. Is there public access to the beach -front of a building. There is no easement by 19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No. prescription. If one believes that they go to court 20 MR. McCOY: -- through the abandonment that and get a Court Order, the statute and case law is 21 we're -- we have in front of us? clear that there has to be ongoing, open and notorious 22 22 activity over many, many years for a property owner to MR. GAGNON: I see. What's being discussed is there's an easement for Palm Beach County for sand 23 23 lose their right to another person. That's nonsense. 24 24 nourishment activities. So if there was any sort of Yes, Mr. Ward, that is nonsense. And there is no 25 storm event that washed away the sand, that's another easement on a plat. Currently Harbor Point Drive Page 144 Page 145 simply -- it was originally developed by --1 are you going to --2 2 MR. McCOY: No, no, no. Hold on; hold on. CHAIR KUNUTY: Ask your question. 3 Obviously, you have a vested interest, and if you're MR. GAGNON: I think that I had already 4 representing the applicant, maybe I should defer that provided the answer to the question, and I have no 5 question to the City Attorney. And I'll tell you why. vested interest. Simply because if you're working for them, then, you 6 MR. McCOY: Right. But, okay --7 7 know, I at least --MR. GAGNON: There is no public access. 8 8 MR. McCOY: So let's not defer to MR. RICHARDS: I'm stating facts. 9 MR. McCOY: Well, I beg to differ. Mr. Richards for a second. So my follow-up to that, 10 CHAIR KUNUTY: Mr. McCoy, let him finish the 10 Mr. Gagnon -- and Mr. Chair, if you would indulge me to point. 11 finish what --11 12 12 MR. McCOY: No, I'm not going to let him CHAIR KUNUTY: Yes, go ahead. 13 13 finish the point because --MR. McCOY: -- my line of questioning is. 14 14 MS. SHEPHERD: Point of order; point of CHAIR KUNUTY: Go ahead. 15 15 MR. McCOY: When these matters come up of order, please. 16 MR. McCOY: I asked Mr. Gagnon a question, abandonment, because I remember when we did the Avenue 17 17 and he deferred to him. Obviously, he has a vested N, there was some sort of sign-off by different departments. And I know when we did the abandonment of 18 interest, so I don't even know if --19 CHAIR KUNUTY: Well, I understand that. So Avenue N there was a petition, and then it went to City staff, the City Attorney and then the various agencies, 20 you know he has a vested interest --21 MR. McCOY: Right. 21 being the police and fire. Was that the process here? 22 22 CHAIR KUNUTY: -- but he still has the MR. GAGNON: Yes, it was done concurrently. 23 So even more so being that it is a public right-of-way right --24 MR. McCOY: But that's deviating from my currently, our utility department had a vested interest, being that there's water structures and question that I initially asked, Mr. Chair, so I mean

Page: 38 (146 - 149)

Page 146 utilities and infrastructure that currently exists. So there were probably more meetings than I can even recall to discuss the ongoing future, and one, if the right-of-way could potentially be abandoned, and two, how easements and other things would act in the future, being that there is a shared access agreement with Ocean's Edge to the north. So there were various factors that were discussed over multiple meetings with every department, and it was reviewed concurrently with 10 the site plan and replat application. 11 MR. McCOY: Right. And the sign-off was not 12 in the packet is what I'm saying. So there was no actual sign-off by our attorney's office, because what 13 14 I'm thinking is this. If for whatever reason --

MR. GAGNON: Yes.

I right? The official plat, I should say.

MR. McCOY: And is that not examined prior to an abandonment?

obviously, the plat has a legal description on it. Am

MR. GAGNON: I don't understand the question.
MR. McCOY: Okay, so if we're going to
abandon City owned property --

MR. GAGNON: Yes.

MR. McCOY: -- and give it to a developer or whomever, do we then actually go and refer back to the

that was unusual in this process. All the departments were included. There's backup information in the

3 packet --

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

MR. McCOY: And I'll move forward. My only line of thinking, Mr. Chair and Mr. Gagnon, is I'm not going to sit here and allow you or anybody else to tell me what the applicant wants me to hear. If there's somebody that we assigned or designated in the City, or a specialist, because I mean this is pretty overwhelming, what the last two commenters got up and said. And if you're going to expect that I'm going to allow you to defer to the same person that stands to benefit off of it, that's hideous.

MR. GAGNON: Well, I did answer your question, and I did not defer. I allowed him to expound upon --

MR. McCOY: Okay. Well, I appreciate it. Thank you, Mr. Gagnon. That's it for now.

MR. GAGNON: You're very welcome, sir.

CHAIR KUNUTY: Any comment from our Board attorney?

MR. SHUTT: No, sir. I would, you know, I would defer to the City Attorney's Office as far as, you know, the matter of the easement.

CHAIR KUNUTY: Would that end the abandonment

Page 147 official records to figure out what the plat is, or do

we just arbitrarily give them what the applicant says is the right-of-way, or do we defer to our attorney's office or to someone specialized that works for the

⁵ City, not the applicant? Do we do that?

1

6

9

10

11

12

13

25

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

19

20

21

22

Page 148

MR. GAGNON: Yes. So not only do we have a survey of the entire parcel, we have our City engineer, who has expertise in that field, as well as I believe we worked with --

MR. McCOY: And is he here to attest to that?
MR. GAGNON: -- maybe it was the Wantman
Group was the third party entity that reviewed the
plat.

14 MR. McCOY: Well, I guess, and here we are 15 again. This is a part of my concern. Are we doing a different process in different places, because I 17 remember specifically there were -- and this is prior to some of the members coming on. When we abandoned Avenue N, there was a whole litany of things that had to happen, I mean from going to get petitions from the neighboring neighbors around the community, sign-off by 22 the various departments. I'm not sure if that occurred 23 here. So is that not the same process that should have 24 been applied, or is this something different?

MR. GAGNON: There is nothing that occurred

Page 149

1 or not the abandonment?

MR. SHUTT: Well, then the abandonment as well, yes, sir.

CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay. All right, Mr. Barber. MR. BARBER: Mr. Gagnon, did we get any input from our City Attorney as to whether or not there is a prescriptive easement and whether or not we can abandon it? And if so, what was said or written?

MR. GAGNON: There is no easement shown on the plat. And Mr. Ward actually provided the plat as an exhibit to the Board right now. So there's no public access easement dedicated on the plat.

MR. BARBER: Right. But has our City
Attorney confirmed that? Has her or her staff been
able to? Because I'm sure these types of issues have
come up at meetings before today, right? Can you tell
me whether or not she has confirmed or her staff has
confirmed whether or not it is a prescriptive easement
or whether or not it is something that can be abandoned
by the City?

MR. GAGNON: Again, our City engineering department would review it, and we had a third party engineering team review it. So it's not something that I believe our Legal Department specifically reviewed to determine, because again, the plat does not show it.

Page: 39 (150 - 153)

Page 150

2

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

It's a black and white plat.

2 MR. BARBER: My next question is for Mr. Richards. You said that one of the elements of the prescriptive easement, or two of the elements of the prescriptive easement would have been for it to be open and notorious. And as I understand open and notorious to mean, it is something that happens, you know, out in the open constantly and continually. And from what I understand from Mr. Lozman and other comments is that 10 people have open and notoriously used that land to access the beach, or to at least park. Would you not consider people walking along that road or driving along that road, or you know, using it in order to 13 14 access the beach over a number of years activity that 15 is open and notorious?

MR. RICHARDS: First of all, it's good to see you, Mr. Barber.

MR. BARBER: Likewise.

MR. RICHARDS: Thank you. We heard two different things, and I think we're just -- that way, I think individuals are throwing things on the wall hoping something will stick, because the first thing that we heard was it's on the easement. And the other person said, well, it's by prescription because they're using it.

Page 152

pay them.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

9

10

12

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. RICHARDS: But that's not your process. Mr. McCoy is very big on process. We hear about process. Your process is for City staff to handle -the City staff and departments to handle these matters, and when there are matters that they believe they need extra assistance, they send out to the top professionals. And that process was followed here.

MR. BARBER: Right. But you also have to agree that that process is followed many times all across the country, and courts determine that, you know, that process is basically null and void, not to say that this -- that would happen in this particular case.

15 MR. RICHARDS: Your process was adhered to. 16 Your City Attorney's extremely competent, but they gave it to professionals that do just that.

MR. BARBER: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR KUNUTY: Yes. Any other comments?

MS. SHEPHERD: Mr. Chair. Mr. Chair --

CHAIR KUNUTY: Ms. Shepherd.

MS. SHEPHERD: One question for Mr. Richards, Attorney Richards.

23 24

Mr. Richards, there was a meeting held on what day? I can't remember.

Page 151 1

There's no easement. And the City hired a professional plat reviewer, engineers; that's what they do, the Wantman Group. This is what they do. The City hired a third party company to review the replat. They reviewed it in its entirety. They have signed off on it, Mr. Barber. The City departments have signed off on it. Every professional the City has employed inside and outside has signed off on it, notwithstanding at the 12th hour throwing two things up on the wall to see if anything could stick. I don't think it's going to stick.

MR. BARBER: With respect to what you just said, Mr. Gagnon already seemed to confirm for me, and maybe I heard him, you know, wrong, but of all of the departments that you stated a second ago, one of the departments that did not review whether or not there was an easement, and if there was an easement, if it could be abandoned, was the Legal Department.

MR. RICHARDS: There is no easement. It would be on a plat.

MR. BARBER: Right. And I understand what you're saying, and I'm a lawyer as well. But I think the prudent thing to do, for the Board or anyone else, or for the City in general would be to at least run that by our Legal Department. I think that's why we

Page 153

MR. RICHARDS: January 5th.

MS. SHEPHERD: January 5th. Refresh my mind. It's about 20, 25 people at that meeting?

MR. RICHARDS: No, I counted 38, 39. There were a lot of us there.

MS. SHEPHERD: Okay. A lot of them came in early, so you might (inaudible). And was there anybody in that room -- they were all from the Island except for me and I think another young lady. Was anybody in that room that was against it?

MR. RICHARDS: The support, there was overwhelming support by everyone --

MS. SHEPHERD: It was what?

MR. RICHARDS: Overwhelming support.

MS. SHEPHERD: Overwhelming support.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR KUNUTY: Any other questions or comments from the Board? Okay, Mr. McCoy.

MR. McCOY: I just want to know where we are now. I don't have any comments. I just know what direction we're going in, because --

CHAIR KUNUTY: Well --

MR. McCOY: -- I believe we're still in public comment.

CHAIR KUNUTY: There are no more public

Page: 40 (154 - 157)

Page 154 Page 155 comments, unless I missed somebody. 1 recommending body, but --2 2 MR. McCOY: Okay. MR. BARBER: Right. The issue I see in the 3 CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay? So we're to the point future is if we didn't at least put forth the effort to 4 of discussion and a motion. confirm that there is no easement, you know, we 5 5 MR. BARBER: Before we make that motion. potentially put ourselves in a bad predicament as a 6 CHAIR KUNUTY: Mr. Barber, go ahead. City moving forward when it comes to possible 7 MR. BARBER: I'd just like to say I think litigation on the issue. So I think we could eliminate that I could support a motion that had conditions upon and give ourselves at least some comfort on the front it, one of which would be the confirmation from Legal end by simply examining whether or not there is even an 10 10 that that is indeed not an easement, and also the issue of an easement. And I think the best and prudent condition that job applicants aren't asked about their thing to do would be to have that done before a vote by 12 12 prior criminal activity or prior criminal convictions the Council, as opposed to them looking at it after the 13 13 fact or in court. prior to the extension of a -- of the job. 14 14 MR. McCOY: Follow-up questions, Mr. --MR. COULTON: Chair. 15 15 CHAIR KUNUTY: Mr. Coulton. CHAIR KUNUTY: Mr. McCoy. 16 16 MR. COULTON: I think what's in front of us MR. McCOY: Mr. Barber, I certainly 17 17 understand your intentions, but do you want to do that is an approval or denial of construction. What's not 18 in front of us, and I think if it comes up if there's as a condition, simply because I would hate for the 19 applicant not to be able to offer up that simply 19 an easement, that may be interpreted yea or nay, because they're only the developer. And I don't want there's an easement. Our approval doesn't stop someone 21 21 from saying there is an easement to stop this project us to potentially have roadblocks that that's not 22 22 something they even control after the job -- after the or to let this go unless we abandon it, and then if we 23 23 job portion. abandon it, the City Council has the final, or if we 24 24 MR. BARBER: The issue is -vote to recommend the abandonment, the City Council has 25 the final say in actually officially abandoning it. I MR. McCOY: I mean obviously, we're a Page 156 Page 157 am hoping that the City staff and our attorneys, like been outlined and the proposals? 2 they said, have vetted this particular project. MR. RICHARDS: The answer is no. I -- we are 3 3 Secondly, I would not vote on any project proponents of banning the box. We know about banning while I'm on this Board with any condition of asking the box. The answer is no. I do believe that is any applicant about how they hire, because to me, that citywide. It's really for the Council to determine the would be extortion. Don't want to extort someone to policy of the City. So we share your sentiments 7 say for me to approve it, you have to have this on your personally in many whichways, so we are not opposed. application. Thank you. 8 We are opposed to tabling. We have been 9 CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay, thank you, Mr. Coulton. great corporate citizens. When the City wanted to 10 Do we have a motion? 10 change their zoning and modify the CCCL, we sat by for 11 MR. McCOY: Mr. Chair, I would like to put a 11 over nine or ten months. We have lost the season. We 12 12 motion that says we can move -have given up an acre of land for beach renourishment 13 13 CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay. behind our building. We are trying to catch the last 14 MR. McCOY: -- forward for the comments. I 14 one month of our season. This is a very big project, 15 15 mean Board comments. and there's no reason to table. 16 16 MR. COULTON: On what? The good news is, ladies and gentlemen, this 17 CHAIR KUNUTY: There are none. 17 has to go to the City Council, and that's three weeks 18 18 MR. McCOY: I do have -- okay, well, I move from now; it's three to four weeks from now. And if 19 to table. 19 there was -- if there are any questions to be asked, 20 20 VICE-CHAIR JAMES: Second. legitimate questions, they will definitely be brought 21 CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay, we have a move to table 21 up between now and then. But we have waited very 22 patiently. We have lost the season, and it's really the project. Mr. Barber. 23 23 not fair. MR. BARBER: Let me ask, would the developer 24 24 have any issue with the conditions that have been MR. BARBER: Mr. Chair.

outlined, or at least the recommendations that have

25

CHAIR KUNUTY: Mr. Barber.

Page: 41 (158 - 161)

	1		Page: 41 (158 - 161)
	Page 158		Page 159
1	With Britisher. Thave to say rugice with	1	CHAIR KUNUTY: You could amend the tabling
2	Time the first that issue, and I don't think that they	2	motion, yes. You can amend the tabling motion, but we
3	reer into they re being exterted. This seeing as though	3	can't have a new motion until this is resolved, that
4	they don't, I don't see why anyone would be against	4	either you withdraw or you vote.
5	what was recommended earlier.	5	MR. McCOY: Well, I guess my thinking was
6	CHAIR KUNUTY: We do have a motion on the	6	that, I guess to the point of what Mr. Barber was
7	floor to table.	7	speaking of, I mean obviously, I do want some sort of
8	MR. McCOY: Is there any other discussion	8	Legal prior to this even going any further. I mean you
9	about tabling before we	9	would think that would have already occurred, that
10	CHAIR KUNUTY: Well, you know, I tend to	10	somebody in Legal should have signed off on it. But
11	agree with Mr. Barber. I'm not sure tabling, you know,	11	perhaps not in this situation. But my thinking is that
12	tabling is the way to go. You know, I could live with	12	if it is to move forward, it's under the condition that
13	Mr. Barber's suggestion of a condition that the City	13	it's signed off by the Legal Department.
14	Attorney signs off on it, but I don't think it's fair	14	CHAIR KUNUTY: We still have to if, in
15	to the developer for us to just put a stop on it on a	15	fact, that's a condition you want, we do have to either
16	couple of late arriving comments. So Mr. McCoy.	16	withdraw the tabling motion is that correct?
17	MR. McCOY: Okay, thank you, Mr. Chair. In	17	MR. SHUTT: Yes. Yes, Mr. Chairman. I mean
18	light of that, I do want to offer up an amended motion.	18	you can withdraw the motion
19	CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay. Correct me if I'm	19	CHAIR KUNUTY: And then offer a new motion.
20	wrong. You have to withdraw the first motion, correct?	20	MR. SHUTT: Correct.
21	MR. SHUTT: Yes, sir.	21	MR. McCOY: Well, actually, you know what? I
22	CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay, you have to withdraw the	22	move to table.
23	tabling motion.	23	CHAIR KUNUTY: Move to table the motion.
24	MR. McCOY: So I wouldn't be able to amend	24	MR. McCOY: And keep it as is.
25	it?	25	CHAIR KUNUTY: All right. So the motion to
	Page 160		Page 161
1	table has been withdrawn. Now, do we have	1	MR. WHIGHAM: It's pressure. So if I said
2	MR. McCOY: No, no, no. I keep it where it	2	yes, then
3	is.	3	MR. SHUTT: It's going to be tabled and there
4	CHAIR KUNUTY: I thought you said withdraw.	4	will not be any further motions tonight, unless there's
5	MR. McCOY: No, I	5	a motion for reconsideration.
6	CHAIR KUNUTY: I misunderstood.	6	MR. WHIGHAM: If I said no, then
7	MR. McCOY: stated the motion to table.	7	MR. SHUTT: The Board can someone else can
8	CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay.	8	make another motion on this matter.
9	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I have a point of	9	MR. VELASQUEZ: Tradrick McCoy.
10	order.	10	MR. BARBER: Wait, Mr you didn't get a
11	MR. SHUTT: And it's been moved and seconded.	11	vote from
12	CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay, it's been moved and	12	MR. VELASQUEZ: I did not call his name.
13	seconded. Call the roll.	13	MR. BARBER: Oh, okay.
14	MR. VELASQUEZ: Zedrick Barber, II.	14	MR. McCOY: Yes.
15		15	MR. VELASQUEZ: Margaret Shepherd.
16	MR. VELASQUEZ: Tradrick McCoy.	16	MS. SHEPHERD: No.
17	MR. WHIGHAM: Just a minute. If we say	17	MR. VELASQUEZ: Julius Whigham.
18	yes	18	MR. WHIGHAM: No.
19	CHAIR KUNUTY: We're tabling it. If you say	19	MR. VELASQUEZ: Brian Coulton.
20		20	MR. COULTON: No.
21	MR. WHIGHAM: Well, if we say no, we are not	21	MR. VELASQUEZ: Rena James.
22	tabling	22	VICE-CHAIR JAMES: Yes.
23		23	MR. VELASQUEZ: Edward Kunuty.
24	can offer another motion to do whatever.	24	CHAIR KUNUTY: No.
25	MR. McCOY: I know it's pressure, right?	25	MR. VELASQUEZ: Five, no; two, yes. Motion

Page: 42 (162 - 165)

			Page: 42 (162 - 165)
	Page 162		Page 163
1	failed.	1	we approve this application at 5000 North Ocean Drive,
2	MR. BARBER: Mr. Chair, I'd like to make a	2	known as Harbor Point, to begin construction of 48
3	motion.	3	units, a 19 story condominium.
4	CHAIR KUNUTY: Mr. Barber.	4	CHAIR KUNUTY: Do we have a second?
5	MR. BARBER: I move that we approve with the	5	MR. COULTON: Second.
6	condition that the Legal Department confirms that there	6	MR. BARBER: Point of clarification. Is that
7	is no easement, and that as such, there isn't anything	7	with the conditions from the staff?
8	to be abandoned, a right-of-way to be abandoned, and	8	CHAIR KUNUTY: That was her motion. There
9	also with the condition that all job applicants are not	9	were no
10	questioned about their criminal arrests or convictions	10	MR. BARBER: I had a motion too
11	until an offer for employment is extended.	11	CHAIR KUNUTY: Are you including
12	MR. SHUTT: And if I may ask, sir, is it also	12	MR. BARBER: the question was still asked.
13	incorporating the other conditions in the staff report?	13	CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay, the question is: Are
14	MR. BARBER: I would say yes.	14	you including all of staff's recommendations in your
15	CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay.	15	motion?
16	MR. BARBER: Yes.	16	MS. SHEPHERD: I'm including all of staff
17	CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay, we have a motion. Do we	17	recommendations.
18	have a second? Do we have a second? Okay, we do not	18	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I have a point of
19	have a second, so the motion fails for having a second.	19	order. This book has a copy of the plat that shows the
20	Is there anyone we have to address this	20	easement.
21	issue, so is there anyone else who wants to make a	21	CHAIR KUNUTY: No, I'm sorry.
22	motion on this item?	22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: This book here has a
23	MS. SHEPHERD: Mr. Chair.	23	copy of the plat
24	CHAIR KUNUTY: Yes.	24	MS. SHEPHERD: Point of order, Mr
25	MS. SHEPHERD: I'd like to make a motion that	25	CHAIR KUNUTY: I'm sorry.
	Page 164		Page 165
1	MS. SHEPHERD: Can we go with the roll call?	1	MS. SHEPHERD: Yes.
2	CHAIR KUNUTY: We have a motion, and we	2	MR. VELASQUEZ: Julius Whigham.
3	have	3	MR. WHIGHAM: Yes.
4	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I can't believe it.	4	MR. VELASQUEZ: Brian Coulton.
5	It says right here	5	MR. COULTON: Yes.
6	Title 1110001: Title out we start over again.	6	MR. VELASQUEZ: Rena James.
7	Could she restate her motion, because he said something	7	VICE-CHAIR JAMES: No.
8	earlier that he wasn't going to sign onto the 350,000,	8	MR. VELASQUEZ: Edward Kunuty.
9	so can we start over, get another		CHAIR KUNUTY: Yes.
10	MS. SHEPHERD: Absolutely not.	10	MR. VELASQUEZ: Five, yes; two, no. Motion
12	CHAIR KUNUTY: He's already seconded it. So	12	approved. MR. GAGNON: We also would need a motion on
13	he heard MS_SHEDHEDD: It was approved and second	13	the abandonment ordinance as well. So that was for the
14	MS. SHEPHERD: It was approved and second. CHAIR KUNUTY: and he seconded it.	14	
15	MR. McCOY: So is it second with conditions,	15	site plan and plat. CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay, any discussion, any
16	Mr. Coulton?	16	there was one Board comment one public comment on,
17	MR. COULTON: Yes.	17	let's see, Ms. Newbold.
18	MR. McCOY: Okay, thank you.	18	MR. WARD: I also have a comment.
19	MR. VELASQUEZ: Motion by Ms. Shepherd,	19	CHAIR KUNUTY: Mr. Ward, you queue up.
20	second by Mr. Coulton.	20	MS. NEWBOLD: Hello again. I hate to say
21	Zedrick Barber, II.	21	this, but I agree with Fane Lozman, and I never thought
22	MR. BARBER: Yes.	22	in my life I'd do that. It would be very hideous for
23	MR. VELASQUEZ: Tradrick McCoy.	23	us to abandon a public road. You've got 48 units. I
24		24	feel like you all letting them just buy the City from
25	MR. VELASQUEZ: Margaret Shepherd.	25	
1	The second of th	1	

Page: 43 (166 - 169)

Page 166

1

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

3

4

10

11

And the previous vote that you made, I'm disappointed, because if there's a question of something illegal and somebody's providing you the documentation, what's one month so that you can make sure that what you're voting on is accurate? We got 48 units.

6

7

10

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

I just heard him say something about the survey. My father had a construction company and licensed by the State of Florida, I think 0021. You know how many surveys he got and they were incorrect? He did a \$250,000 home in the eighties, so that was a lot of money. Right now that would be about a million dollars. And the surveyors told him the property had been demucked.

But my father had the sense enough to walk through the property, and he told the property owner: There's muck here. Oh, Mr. Newbold, I got my survey. It's signed, it's sealed, it's everything. He said: I would not risk my license and have your building to crack by me putting a house of that caliber here. He made them come back out, and he simply showed the surveyor one plant. He said: There's no way for this to grow without muck being there. They found eight pockets of muck that they claim was demucked.

So if the citizens is telling you that

Page 168

easement is there, according to the documentation I saw -- and that the public road and the easement belong to the citizens that's paying taxes other than the 48 million.

CHAIR KUNUTY: Mr. Ward.

MR. WARD: Good evening again. Gerald Ward, 3930 North Ocean, slightly south of this location. Obviously, the abandonment of the road is the real action that oppresses the 30,000 people of Riviera Beach who have had access, I know for 50 years, because I've been there for that long.

I would tell you that Mr. Gagnon got up here and said he wanted his pictures, and he started flipping back and forth. And so I went to one of the flips, which is the Caulfield & Wheeler, Inc., Civil Engineering, Landscape Architect, Surveying, Glades Road, Harbor Point right-of-way exhibit, which is in your package, date 6-5-2014. This is big enough.

19 Now, I have to admit I was cheap. The County 20 has raised its prices on getting copies, and the Harbor 21 Point plat would have cost me in the tens of dollars instead of using the Xerox off the County web site. But this document, which is in your pack, clearly shows the easements that are emanating from plat book 26, page 71, which is the exhibit that I gave you. It's

Page 167

there's a problem there, let's investigate it. What's another month? Forty-eight units, millionaires back here, they can wait.

I feel like you letting them just take the City over, because the public road should not be abandoned. Access to our beaches should not be abandoned. As a little girl growing up here in Riviera, there's very few places you can go on our beach. It's like you're telling them you own the property, now we give you the beach. And that's not fair.

And as far as this gentleman talking about impact fees, fees are reduced when there was a preexisting building there. The only time you pay 100 percent fees, there's never been a building there. So they're bamboozling us, and I'm appalled.

And I think that you all made a grave mistake, but please don't make a mistake with this public road. Tell them no, you build your building, but you can't have our public road. You've already apparently given them the beach, and I don't think that's fair to the citizens of Riviera Beach.

And I hope that these gentlemen back here is not throwing any mud up against the wall and they prove to the Legal Department that that pact exists -- the

Page 169

the third sheet. And the court reporter has a copy for both C1 and C2, which I want continued in the record.

But you have to exercise clear review, and this is what the drawing looks like. It's got the black roadway. So if you want -- I see some of you paging through. But you will clearly see that from the south side of the cul-de-sac there is two easements, five foot width. So you have ten feet to go to the south property line, and then you turn east with a five foot easement which goes all the way along the south property line to the, in this case, the ocean.

12 The prescription I talked about goes to the 13 north side, from the cul-de-sac to the north side of the existing condo. I looked at it yesterday from the beach side, walked up and took a picture. I 16 happened -- just one of our condoites went to -- up the 17 roadway sometime this week, he and his wife, just because they were seeing the demolition. It is a City 19 street that I've driven for 50 years because it's 20 access. And as I told you, it's the biggest cul-de-sac 21 that I know in Palm Beach County, and people parked around the edge, took their surfboards, went to the beach, took their fishing poles. Now I'm two minutes 24 or two seconds (inaudible). Thank you. 25

MR. BOYCE: If I could just address those

Page: 44 (170 - 173)

Page 170 Page 171 1 1 comments, please? there was no easement on the plat. 2 2 MR. LOZMAN: I'm next. MR. GAGNON: No public access. 3 3 CHAIR KUNUTY: I don't have a card from you. MR. LOZMAN: He is -- excuse me. I have the 4 MR. LOZMAN: I put a card in for C2, sir. 4 floor; I have the floor. 5 5 CHAIR KUNUTY: You did? MR. GAGNON: There's no public access --6 6 MR. LOZMAN: Yes, sir. MR. LOZMAN: You don't get to --7 7 CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay, let's just hear one more CHAIR KUNUTY: Mr. Lozman, Mr. Lozman, have a 8 little decorum, please. 8 comment and then you can address it. 9 9 MR. LOZMAN: Fane Lozman. I've actually MR. LOZMAN: Excuse me. He wasted time. 10 10 taken the page out of this book. I'd like Mr. Barber (Inaudible) the Chair. I have the floor. 11 to see it. And I've circled where it says easement on CHAIR KUNUTY: Can you get to the point? 12 12 the actual plat. Can somebody give this to him, MR. LOZMAN: I have the floor. please? 13 CHAIR KUNUTY: Yes, you do have the floor. 13 14 14 CHAIR KUNUTY: Give it to the staff, please. MR. LOZMAN: Okay. That document in your 15 15 MR. LOZMAN: Can you give that to him now so record says the word easement four different times on he can look at it? it, okay? So when he said there was no easement on 16 17 17 there, that is untruthful. I would like the Board --MR. GAGNON: There's a copy in that --18 18 CHAIR KUNUTY: It's -- there's a copy in the MR. GAGNON: That says easement -packet. 19 19 CHAIR KUNUTY: Let him finish, Jeff. 20 20 MR. GAGNON: On this document there's no MR. LOZMAN: I would like the Board to take a 21 21 place that says public access easement. motion, a motion to censure if he can't come in here as 22 a City employee and be untruthful (sic) to you. Right MR. LOZMAN: Can you give me that a second? 23 23 In that it has the word easement, it has the plat book, in the own backup it shows the plat book and where the 24 24 and it shows the five foot easement; four different easement is. It's clearly drawn on your own little 25 five foot easements are on your own backup. He said map, but he said it doesn't exist. He's a liar. We Page 172 Page 173 1 can't have somebody -would come in and be untruthful in his representation 2 CHAIR KUNUTY: Excuse me. what is on the plat. He said it wasn't on the plat. 3 MR. LOZMAN: He's another liar. But you didn't look at your own plat and see the word 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Nobody's a liar. four times, easement, five feet and the plat book where 5 it is. 5 CHAIR KUNUTY: Please, can you please have a little decorum and not call people names here? 6 That is a public easement. That's a public 7 7 Otherwise, we're going to have you ejected. road that's to be used by the people on the west side 8 MR. LOZMAN: He's untruthful. It says on the of the street to get to the beach. Now, Sugar Sands plat easement, sir. has an easement that goes to the beach, and so do some 10 CHAIR KUNUTY: I realize what it says, but I of the other areas. That's why there's an easement don't -- and you can say that, but don't call people 11 there. And they were supposed to fill in that property 11 12 12 names while you're here. to give access to the people on the west side. 13 13 MR. LOZMAN: I'm saying he's been untruthful Okay, we're not going to take away the 14 to this Board. He said an easement doesn't exist on people's right-of-way from the guy that gave that road 15 the plat, and there's four different instances where and those easements for the public use because somebody 16 easement is on there with the plat book, okay? Now, comes in here and they have their little employee say 17 the same thing is happening at Via Delfino now. 17 it doesn't exist. It does exist, and you have a There's a public easement. It was litigated in court. 18 responsibility to make sure that that easement is 19 19 Judge Ed Rodgers signed it and the City refuses to open upheld. 20 the easement because Mary McKinney and Gagnon doesn't 20 CHAIR KUNUTY: Thank you. 21 want it open, okay? 21 MR. GAGNON: So again, to clarify --22 22 You cannot let a City employee be untruthful CHAIR KUNUTY: Let Mr. Gagnon, please, to a Board. When he said the word easement, it wasn't 23 comment. 24 24 on the plat, it's on there four different times. If I MR. GAGNON: Thank you very much. 25 was sitting up there, I would be livid that this man Again, there is no public access easement on

Page: 45 (174 - 177)

Page 175

Page 174

any document. Just because an easement appears somewhere, it could be for utilities, it could be for just property owner access among their own parcels. There is no public access easement dedicated on any document that I have ever seen associated with this project. Thank you.

CHAIR KUNUTY: Mr. Boyce.

MR. BOYCE: Yes, I would just like to reiterate, you are a Planning and Zoning Board; you are very familiar with the term easement. There are numerous, as Mr. Gagnon said, there are numerous easements. What we're talking about here is a public access easement, which is what Mr. Ward and Mr. Lozman are talking about.

There is no public access easement on that property to the beach. You're intentionally being misled, using the word easement. There's not public access easement on the job. I'd be surprised if anybody on that Board has walked through that property to get to the beach as part of a public access easement.

Now, when we buy a piece of property and an actual survey is done, a land title survey is done.

This information all comes back. Our survey, our plat survey goes through that. I understand there are

Page 176

MR. BARBER: Mr. Chair -- CHAIR KUNUTY: Yes.

MR. BARBER: -- I'd just like to say I am currently looking at the page electronically where Mr. Lozman has argued that there is a public access easement. I have to say I don't see the words public access on the page and the easements to that. What I do see don't seem to point directly at the cul-de-sac that's at issue here.

Also, I want to note that I see several labels on the page that point to a BellSouth easement, which I believe is about ten feet. And so as Mr. Gagnon has said before, at least, you know, from my legal training, I know that often cable companies and phone companies in the past have created easements so that they have access to make repairs or to do whatever is necessary to maintain their property that might happen to be on someone else's property. And so seeing as though I don't see a public access easement labeled on this paper, I tend to believe that just looking at it and taking this sheet at face value, there is no public access easement.

However, I still think it would be most prudent for our Legal Department, in conjunction with every other department that we've had to look at this issues sometimes with surveys. And that is why you have your own engineers, your own survey company actually review these plats.

So not only do we have Caulfield Wheeler review the plat, put the plat together, going through the land title survey, they all do a survey, put together all the title work for that property, you then send that to the Wantman Group, who has come back and gone through the plat, made a few recommendations for minor changes.

But again, this public beach access you're hearing has not shown up on any of these surveys by numerous professionals hired by the City, by ourselves. So don't intentionally be misled by the word easement. Because you see a word easement on a piece of paper, that does not mean that you are perfectly entitled as the general public to walk through any easement, be it for FP&L, be it for Comcast, be it for any individual person. Because you see an easement on a piece of paper does not mean I have access to walk through your back yard because FP&L has a power line running through there.

CHAIR KUNUTY: Thank you.

MR. BOYCE: You're welcome.

CHAIR KUNUTY: Any Board comments?

Page 177

property, to simply look at it and say, you know what, I can confirm that, you know, this isn't a public access easement. And I think that would ease the minds of everyone in the City moving forward.

CHAIR KUNUTY: Thank you, Mr. Barber. Any other comments?

MR. COULTON: Chair.

CHAIR KUNUTY: Mr. Coulton.

MR. COULTON: Being that this easement, public access or not -- I'm not a surveyor, or you know, I can look at it and pretty much read something, but I can't interpret things. I would feel more comfortable to know that there is something signed off here in the presentation from City Attorney or engineer to say okay. And I'm sure this isn't or should not have been the first time an easement came up. So when it says easement, I'm not sure.

CHAIR KUNUTY: Any other Board comments? Okay. Seems that that's -- the comfort level on that is here. So do I have a motion that addresses the -- this issue? Got a motion?

MR. BARBER: I move that we approve. I also recommend to the Council that, again, we have our Legal staff confirm that indeed, this is not a public access easement.

Page: 46 (178 - 181)

Page 178 Page 179 1 1 CHAIR KUNUTY: Do we have a second? MR. GAGNON: Okay, next on the agenda we have 2 2 MR. COULTON: I second that. letter D, an application from the Maruti Fleet and 3 CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay, we have a motion and a Management, LLC requesting site plan approval to 4 second. Any discussion? Call the roll. develop a single story 4,734 square foot dispatch and 5 MR. VELASQUEZ: Motion by Mr. Zedrick Barber, 5 vehicle fleet storage center on 1.7 acres of vacant II; second by Brian Coulton. industrial land on parcel known by PCN 7 56-43-42-31-20-000-0090, which is located at the Zedrick Barber, II. 8 MR. BARBER: Yes. northeast corner of the end of West 10th Street, east 9 MR. VELASQUEZ: Tradrick McCoy. of North Congress Avenue, west of Avenue U, and south 10 MR. McCOY: Yes. of West 12th Street. 11 MR. VELASQUEZ: Margaret Shepherd. At this point in time I would like to ask 11 12 12 MS. SHEPHERD: Yes. Mr. DeAndrae Spradley, our Principal Planner, to 13 MR. VELASQUEZ: Julius Whigham. 13 provide a presentation on this. 14 14 MR. WHIGHAM: Yes. CHAIR KUNUTY: Jeff, can we close that door? 15 MR. VELASQUEZ: Brian Coulton. 15 MR. GAGNON: Yes, absolutely. 16 MR. COULTON: Yes. 16 MR. SPRADLEY: Good evening, ladies and 17 gentlemen. DeAndrae Spradley, Principal Planner. MR. VELASQUEZ: Rena James. 18 VICE-CHAIR JAMES: Yes. Happy new year to all of you. 19 19 MR. VELASQUEZ: Edward Kunuty. The applicant is requesting a site plan approval to develop 1.70 acres of vacant industrial 20 CHAIR KUNUTY: Yes. 21 MR. VELASQUEZ: Unanimous voting. Motion 21 land. They're wanting to build a single story, 4,734 22 22 square foot dispatch and vehicle storage center. They approved. 23 23 CHAIR KUNUTY: Okay. Moving on, slowly but are currently operating on the parcel to the south of 24 24 the referenced site plan application. surely. 25 25 Here is a site location map just showing (Discussion held off the record.) Page 180 Page 181 where the property is located. Here's an aerial map about 341,000, and after construction the tax value

3

4

7

10

11

12

17

18

19

showing the property. To the north, the adjacent property description and uses to the north, there is single family and commercial uses. It has single family residential and commercial future land use. To the south there's industrial uses. That's where the existing Maruti facility is located. There is limited industrial future land use there. To the east there's single family uses, single family residential future land use. To the west, industrial uses, limited industrial future land use. And the subject parcel has a limited industrial future land use.

10

12

Staff analysis. The project is consistent
with and compatible to the City's Comprehensive Plan
and Land Development Regulations. City services, such
as roads, water, sewer and garbage collection are
currently available to the site. There's adequate
parking has been proposed by the applicant. There were
no objections from any departmental staff, including
Police, Fire, Utilities, Public Works and the Building
Department.

Palm Beach County owns the vehicles that they

Palm Beach County owns the vehicles that they will be on site there. They are expecting to employ 72 employees, and it will vary by shifts and days. The current tax value before development right now was at

about 341,000, and after construction the tax value will be 1.1 million. Here's a color elevation showing the property. Here's the overall site plan itself.

And in conclusion, staff is recommending the Board to consider approving the proposed site plan with the following conditions.

A two year landscaping performance bond for 110 percent of the value of landscaping and irrigation shall be required before the Certificate of Occupancy is issued.

Construction must be initiated within 18 months of the effective date of the adopted resolution in accordance with Section 31-60(b) of the City Code of Ordinances. Demolition, site preparation or land clearing shall not be considered construction. Building permit application and associated plans and documents shall be submitted in its entirety and shall not be accepted by City staff in a partial or incomplete manner.

All future advertising must state that
development is located in the City of Riviera Beach.
Fees and penalties in accordance with City Code,
Section 31-554 will be levied against the property
owner and/or business for violation of this condition.
This development must receive final