1	CITY OF RIVIERA BEACH CITY COUNCIL
2	Special Meeting
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	Maniara Willer Describ Control
11	Marina Village Event Center 190 East 13th Street
12	Riviera Beach, Florida Thursday, February 25, 2021
13	6:03 p.m 7:21 p.m.
14	-
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	IN ATTENDANCE:
20	Chair Tulia Datal
21	Chair Julia Botel Vice Chair Douglas Lawson Coungilnowson Chirology D. Lonion
22	Councilperson Shirley D. Lanier Councilperson Tradrick McCoy Councilperson KaShamba Miller Andergen
23	Councilperson KaShamba Miller-Anderson Mayor Ronnie Felder City Managor Jonathan Eyang
24	City Manager Jonathan Evans City Attorney Dawn Wynn City Clork Claudone Anthony
25	City Clerk Claudene Anthony

1	BE IT REMEMBERED that the following City
2	of Riviera Beach Special Council Meeting was had at
3	the Marina Village Event Center on Thursday, February
4	25, 2021, beginning at 6:03 p.m., with attendees as
5	hereinabove noted, to wit:
6	
7	CHAIR BOTEL: I call to order the Special
8	City Council Meeting for February 25th. The time is
9	6:03 p.m.
10	Madam Clerk, please call the roll.
11	CITY CLERK ANTHONY: Mayor Ronnie Felder.
12	(No response.)
13	CITY CLERK ANTHONY: Chairperson Julia Botel.
14	CHAIR BOTEL: Here.
15	CITY CLERK ANTHONY: Chair Pro Tem Douglas
16	Lawson.
17	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Here.
18	CITY CLERK ANTHONY: Councilperson Tradrick
19	McCoy.
20	(No response.)
21	CITY CLERK ANTHONY: Councilperson Shirley
22	Lanier.
23	COUNCILPERSON LANIER: Here.
24	CITY CLERK ANTHONY: Councilperson KaShamba
25	Miller-Anderson.

1 COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: Present. 2 CITY CLERK ANTHONY: City Manager Jonathan 3 Evans. CITY MANAGER JONATHAN EVANS: Present. 5 CITY CLERK ANTHONY: City Clerk Claudene Anthony is present. 6 7 City Attorney Dawn Wynn. 8 MS. WYNN: Here. 9 CITY CLERK ANTHONY: Thank you, Madam Chair. 10 CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. We'll have a moment 11 of silence, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance led by 12 Councilwoman Lanier. 13 (Moment of silence observed. Pledge of 14 Allegiance recited.) 15 Thank you. Any person who CHAIR BOTEL: 16 would like to speak on an agenda item, please complete 17 a pink public comment card located at the front desk 18 and give it to the staff prior to the item being taken 19 up by City Council for discussion. 20 Members of the public will be given a total 21 of three minutes to speak on all items listed on the 22 consent agenda and three minutes to speak on each 23 regular agenda item. The time limit for public comment 24 may be reduced by a vote of the City Council based on 25 the voluminous nature of public comment cards. In no

1 event will anyone be allowed to submit a comment card 2 to speak on an agenda item after the resolution is read 3 or the item considered. 4 Do we have any disclosures by -- additions, 5 deletions or substitutions by Council or staff? 6 CITY MANAGER JONATHAN EVANS: Yes, Madam 7 If the Board would indulge staff, we'd like the 8 opportunity to provide some information with regards to 9 the flying of the flags at half staff. 10 CHAIR BOTEL: Okay. Where would you like to 11 put that on the agenda? 12 CITY MANAGER JONATHAN EVANS: If we can do 13 that at the beginning of the agenda? 14 CHAIR BOTEL: Okay. Any disclosures by 15 Council? 16 COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: 17 CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. Would someone care 18 to make a motion to approve the agenda? 19 COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: So moved. 20 COUNCILPERSON LANIER: Second. 21 CHAIR BOTEL: The motion has been made and 22 seconded to approve the agenda. As your name is 23 called, please say yes or no. 24 Madam Clerk. 25 CITY CLERK ANTHONY: Councilperson

1 Miller-Anderson. COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: Yes. 3 CITY CLERK ANTHONY: Councilperson Lanier. COUNCILPERSON LANIER: Yes. 5 CITY CLERK ANTHONY: Pro Tem Lawson. VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Yes. 7 CITY CLERK ANTHONY: Chair Botel. 8 The agenda is approved CHAIR BOTEL: Yes. 9 unanimously. 10 Mr. Evans. 11 CITY MANAGER JONATHAN EVANS: Madam Chair and 12 members of the Board, if I can have Fire Chief John 13 Curd make the presentation with regards to the 14 protocols and procedures with respect to the raising 15 and lowering of the flag. 16 CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. 17 CHIEF CURD: Good evening, Council. 18 COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: Good evening. 19 CHIEF CURD: So I want to -- I was asked to 20 come up here to talk about some of the protocols and 21 basically to set the record straight over what's 22 happened or transpired in the last week as it relates 23 to the lowering of the flag. 24 On Monday evening at approximately 6:03 p.m. 25 I received an e-mail from a subscribed site that I'm a

member of that notifies fire chiefs and other individuals of when proclamations and declarations come out for lowering of the flags at half staff.

Unfortunately, I just got home and I was taking a shower, so I didn't get to the e-mail for about 30 minutes.

When I did get to that e-mail at 6:47, I called my Battalion Chief Robert Aylsworth on that Monday evening to have all of the flags at City Hall and fire stations lowered to half staff to remember a half a million individuals that have lost their lives to COVID-19. The flags were to remain at half staff from Friday, upon notification -- or excuse me -- Monday, upon notification, until sunset on Friday.

There seems to be some concerns about the verbiage in the proclamation as it may only apply to federal buildings or the like. And the verbiage simply states: The flag of the United States shall be flown at half staff at the White House and on all public buildings and grounds, at all military posts and naval stations, and on all naval vessels of the federal government, and the District of Columbia and throughout the United States and its territories and possessions until sunset, February 26, 2021.

That is the same verbiage that's used as a

standard. It was used for Representative John Lewis, in which we flew the flag at half staff. It was used when the United States Capitol police officers died.

And it was also used when Representative Elijah

Cummings passed away. This has been standard protocol.

This has been a responsibility of the Fire Department, and it's a responsibility that I feel is of great significance.

Coincidentally, on Tuesday, Governor DeSantis had his own order to have the flags flown at half staff for the passing of a radio host and a political figure. It was coincidental. Our flags were lowered by my staff on Monday, and we felt that we were lowering them with our hearts of the 500,000 people that died a very lonely death by themselves, no one holding their hand, no one to tell them I love them, no one for them to say good-bye to.

I understand the political climate and how some people may have interpreted what they thought they saw or they thought they heard, but there were some half truths and not complete stories that went along with some of these narratives.

If the Council or if Mr. Evans would like a revision or a policy on how this is done, why it's done, we can definitely visit that, but the

responsibility of lowering the flags is mine and mine alone, and it was my decision to make, and I made it with my heart.

CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you, Chief.

Please let the record show that Commissioner McCoy and the Mayor have joined the dais. Thank you.

CHIEF CURD: And I'll answer any questions you have about the events over the past week.

CHAIR BOTEL: Any questions? Mr. McCoy, you're recognized.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you, members, and my apologies for my tardiness.

Chief Curd, you said the protocol. Where's the definition of protocol and how does that present any binding obligation by the City of Riviera Beach? So let me repeat that. I want the definition of protocol and how does that prevent -- how does that provide for any binding by the City of Riviera Beach?

CHIEF CURD: I'd have to get back to you on the definition, unless you wanted me to look it up on my phone. But the protocol is when the President of the United States puts out a proclamation, we read over that proclamation to see how it's interpreted. And it's something that's been done through past practice ever since I've been a member of this organization.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Okay, but let me go ahead and give you my understanding. A protocol does not bind us when we have our own Charter. By a resolution of this body, by an ordinance that's adopted on two readings is what is formal action by the City of Riviera Beach. And absent of that, the City Manager does have the authority to carry out the day to day.

But Mr. Fire Chief, I'm not going to sit here and let you interpret this as we are under some obligation because the Governor, the President, which has no absolute authority to tell us as an elected body or us individually unless you're sanctioned under some constitutional obligation, but there is no authority that exists that allows for the Governor to dictate to us as a body that we have to lower our flags.

In fact, I brought this up to both the Manager and also the Attorney, there is not one provision that you can find that mandates that we even have to have a flag at our public facilities, and because of that same reason.

There are presidential pardons and there are gubernatorial pardons. The President cannot issue a pardon for a state offense. The Governor who's the Governor of the state cannot issue a pardon for a federal offense. In the like, neither one has the

authority to implement land use regulations or ordinances that are defined solely by the authority of this body. And to suggest that some sort of protocol gives us now the obligation is outright incorrect, and I just want to put it out there.

You can go and do whatever research, but we set forth the policies that are -- that operate in the City. In the absence of a policy, the discretion rests with the Manager. And I would much rather hear the Manager say he has bestowed that authority upon you. But no protocol from the federal government dictates what we do here in the City of Riviera Beach unless there's some binding authority.

And absent of any binding authority, I think that decision rests with this body; and in the absence of this body, the City Manager. And I'm going to rest on that, and I'm going to -- well, obviously, I don't see that anybody seems concerned, but that is the absolute truth. Unless I see anything otherwise, we have that authority. And to suggest that we read something off a -- we don't have to adopt -- because of the very nature, we don't have to adopt any kind of political directives by the Governor, nor by the President unless it's accepted by this body.

And I yield, Madam Chair.

1 COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: Madam Chair. 2 CHAIR BOTEL: You're recognized. 3 COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: So, and I 4 hear what you're saying, Mr. McCoy, but are we now 5 saying that any time one comes out, that we need to 6 vote on whether or not we're going to do it? 7 as past precedent, we have not involved ourselves in 8 lowering of the flags, when it should be done or when 9 it shouldn't be done. So are we now saying that we 10 want to have this be a decision that the Council makes, 11 or are we going to allow the Manager to delegate those 12 duties to the Fire Chief as it's been done? 13 COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Madam Chair --14 CHAIR BOTEL: You're recognized. 15 COUNCILPERSON McCOY: -- if I can respond. 16 Thank you, Councilwoman Miller-Anderson. 17 that's perfectly what I'm, you know, where I was going. 18 I trust that we allow that decision to rest with the 19 Manager, who is in charge of the day to day. 20 absent of that, I don't want to hear anybody suggest 21 that it's a protocol that we're subject to follow it. 22 The Manager makes that decision. 23 within his discretion, because clearly, we can't 24 convene a meeting if there is an untimely death of some 25 notable person that we need to take some sort of

legislative action or even a resolution. But I would rest that with the Manager solely and not because we're bind -- or we're bound, I should say, by some sort of order, because we're not. In fact, there's nowhere that requires us to even have a flag at City facilities. And that's exactly what I'm saying.

So to suggest that it's protocol, protocol insists that there's a rule. There is no rule. There is no -- I mean even a court order, you know, arguably a court order we'd have to adhere to because that's a, you know, that is the separation of government and that's a different process.

But as far as I'm concerned, we are the legislative body, just like, you know, the Governor is the executive branch. But unless there's a court order, I don't see that we're bound by anything. But your answer -- the answer to your question is I would rest that with the Manager.

COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: Okay, and like I said, this is something that has -- I don't believe I've ever had this come up. And I understand the sensitivity of the issue, but I do appreciate the fact that the truth is out there about when they were lowered, because that was the concern, as to when the flags were lowered.

Last night when we were here and the question was asked and it was put out there that the flags were just lowered on yesterday. And if they were lowered on Monday, clearly that was because of the COVID situation.

I understand people's concern about it being down on Wednesday, but my thing is, you know, if we as a body had an issue with that, then obviously, we could have called that meeting, which I don't think makes a whole lot of sense, but we could have called a meeting and addressed the issue. By the time we talked about it last night, it was over. The Governor's order was from sunup to sundown yesterday only.

I certainly understand the confusion that was out there, but I do believe some people are now trying to make -- use it as a political football, and it shouldn't be a political football. None of us up here, I'm pretty sure, supports the individual who -- lowering the flag for that individual. I think that was pretty clear, and I think we all know where everyone stands with that. I believe the community understands that.

But if we have people that are putting it out there that we're supporting that, and when we know that is not the truth, that's a problem. You know, I mean

maybe we should have -- it should have been taken down yesterday. The County did that, and maybe a couple of other cities did that as well. Maybe that would have helped with less confusion. But if you have people out there purposefully making it an issue when that was not the intent, people are very well aware that was not the intent of it being down. It was down well before yesterday.

And so, you know, being disingenuous and saying it's down for one reason, when we, in reality, know that's not what it was about, that's crazy. I understand it's elections, and I understand everybody is all hyped up and trying to, you know, battle for their person, but don't make an issue out of something that is not an issue.

That was not the reason why that flag was down, because of the Governor's order. And it just doesn't make any sense for that type of rhetoric to be put out there when we, in reality, know that was not the purpose. We know that's not the purpose. None of us up here support that individual, and we are very clear on that. But I understand.

But I just want for the record, because I understand that, you know, well, you know, it was a three to two vote. I mean first of all, we didn't vote

on it. That's number one. Number two, we kind of made an agreement. Mr. Lawson shared his point. Mr. McCoy shared his views. He asked a couple of questions, and then that was kind of it. I mean we didn't take a vote on it. We all understood why it was down. And by the time we talked about it, it was over anyway.

So for the flags to be put back up today, I don't understand how that happened anyway when we do not interfere with day-to-day operations. That is not our job. That is not our job.

And we are a body. We are not individual -we don't make decisions on an individual basis. We had
an agreement. We talked it out last night. Whatever
the majority decided, agreed, consensus, whatever it
was, and that's what it was. We cannot just go out
there and randomly do what we want to do. It doesn't
work that way.

And until we understand our role, we're going to have problems like this. That is not how a government works together. We work together. We discuss things, and that's how we get things done. Somebody may win, somebody may lose. It's okay. But we work it out. We don't go out there and just do what we want to do. That's not how it works.

And you're talking about being divisive,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- that's division at its finest. So, you know, I understand everybody's feelings about it, but please understand, that was not why people had that flag down. Absolute nonsense. And those who are out there spreading that, you know that's not the truth. So that's the end of that for me. I'm done with the flag situation. It's over anyway as of yesterday. you. Madam Chair, for the record, I MAYOR FELDER: put the flags back up this morning. But to insinuate everybody in the community knows that the flag was half staff because the president ordered is not true. COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: That's not what I said. MAYOR FELDER: Tell my mother that, who's confused about it, all right?
 - The mere fact even Mr. Evans, in a text last night, said he didn't know if the flag was lowered for (inaudible) or not, so if he didn't know, how do you

expect anybody else to know?

The thing about it is we knew that it would be a sensitive situation. That's why the County backed off from it, that's why some cities backed off from it. We should have -- I mean we follow the County in other time. So I just think this should have been a

conversation, you're right, before it was put up, because we had a President and we had a Governor that had two different points on why that flag should have been at half staff. And it just happened, all right.

So to insinuate people knew, that's not fair. To insinuate it's political, that's not fair. People have feelings in this community too, and sometime I think we overlook that. To make the point that it's divisive I think is -- the division has been here way before a flag became the issue, if we had to really be truthful and real about the situation. So yes, I will take the blame for putting it back up because I was the one that asked that it -- that it be raised last night.

Secondly, I'm not on the CRA's Board, so whether I'm here or not is my choice. But that was not on the agenda last night at the CRA's Board either. To suggest that I should be here to be in that discussion, that wasn't on the agenda last night. That came up by a Councilperson because he was receiving e-mails and texts or whatever that it was going to be a problem.

And so if we don't have enough wisdom to understand, to say some things may be legal, but it doesn't mean that it's going to end up the right way, we as a body should take that into consideration also, and that's what I did. I took it into consideration,

which I don't think we would be here today with this issue over our heads. And now everything that happens we're going to blame it on an election or political? I beg to differ.

CHAIR BOTEL: Are we done with this conversation?

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Madam Chair.

CHAIR BOTEL: You're recognized.

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Thank you.

My concern from last night, and still is, was just the optics of what the half mast flag represented in the community. And what I would like to see, just for future, is us being hypersensitive to our community for all situations. And when the issue arised about the Governor passing an order for us to lower the flag for half mast to support someone that this City definitely does not, we should have at least put out a memo, something that would have acknowledged this prior to it actually getting back to being a firestorm.

So I continue to ask for us to be proactive versus reactive. I would like for us to really focus on the imagery and optics of our community, to showcase this beautiful city and the unity that we do have and the beautiful city that we represent. So it's just making sure that we get ahead of these stories as

quickly as possible, and if we don't, we make sure that we respond to them in a way.

And thank you, Chief Curd, for giving us the response and information today.

But based upon Councilman McCoy's statements and sentiments, I just want to speak crystal clear that from Legal's points, that the order that was issued is not something that we have to follow on a local level, if I understand that correctly.

MS. WYNN: That's correct.

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Okay. So I guess is this something that we have to make a policy moving forward, or are we just at the consensus, or do we need to vote that we're going to move forward with allowing for the Manager to make this decision in future situations?

CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. Evans, you're recognized.

CITY MANAGER JONATHAN EVANS: Madam Chair, just a couple quick items.

With respect to the lowering of the flag and the order that was administered by the Governor, it was provided only to Palm Beach County Courthouse in West Palm Beach, City Hall in Palm Beach and the State Capitol in Tallahassee. It was exclusive to those particular entities. That's why it wasn't able to be located as easily. It was a memorandum that the

Governor sent to the Mayor of Palm Beach County as well as the Mayor of the Town of Palm Beach with respect to lowering of the flag. So it wasn't even applicable to the City of Riviera Beach, or any other city in the state of Florida for that matter.

So when others have communicated that they're not lowering their flag and they're in the panhandle or what have you, the memorandum is exclusive to the entities that I just read off in the memorandum. And I did e-mail that to the Board today when we were able to find that.

With respect to the lowering of the flag in future situations, staff will post information on our website and social media with respect to why the flags are lowered. And clearly, if there is ever any situation that we do not feel comfortable with, we will always err on the side of caution with respect to that. So we will handle it from an internal process. I will provide something in writing to the Chief so he has that with respect to some of the things that have been communicated from this Board so there's no further confusion in the future.

CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. Anything else?

So are the flags going back down for the rest of the time to honor the half million dead in this

1 country, Mr. Evans? 2 CITY MANAGER JONATHAN EVANS: I would say 3 yes, Madam Chair. 4 CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. Next item. 5 Thank you, Chief. 6 CHIEF CURD: Thank you. 7 CITY CLERK ANTHONY: Presentation of the 8 City's audit for fiscal year 2018-2019. 9 Madam Chair, members of the Board, we do not 10 have any public comment cards on this item. 11 acceptance of public comment cards on this item is now 12 closed. 13 CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. 14 COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Madam Chair, procedural 15 question. 16 CHAIR BOTEL: You're recognized. 17 COUNCILPERSON McCOY: So are we taking public 18 comments at all? Because I don't see that we're going 19 to take any formal action. 20 CHAIR BOTEL: Well, there are no public 21 comments to be taken. 22 COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Well, I quess I'm 23 asking procedurally, are we taking action on -- is 24 there a formal action that's going to be required, 25 Mr. Evans, of us accepting this?

1 CITY MANAGER JONATHAN EVANS: 2 we're going to ask that you do accept the audit report 3 and its findings, and then we would transmit that to 4 Tallahassee. 5 COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Okav, well --6 CITY MANAGER JONATHAN EVANS: There should be 7 a section in here for public comments. 8 COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Well, it's presented as 9 presentation and not an actual action item, so I just 10 wanted to find out: Are we actually taking a vote on 11 this? 12 CITY MANAGER JONATHAN EVANS: To accept it, 13 yes, sir. 14 COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Okay, I don't know what 15 that means, Mr. Evans. And pardon me from me not 16 understanding, but it's listed under awards and 17 presentations, which historically, we've never voted on 18 an award or a presentation. So if this was an action 19 item, it should have been listed as such on the agenda. 20 But in any event, you know, I think we can go forward, 21 but that's not the way it was presented, because it's 22 not a discussion, deliberation, because I quess, 23 hypothetically or in theory, we could vote not to 24 accept it, right?

That is

CITY MANAGER JONATHAN EVANS:

correct.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: And that puts us in a situation where aside from being incompliant, it almost presents -- and I really don't understand what that means, quite honestly, because I do have a number of questions about the audit, but I'm going to reserve that.

But I guess there should be rules of engagement when we get to a meeting. And I wanted to go back to 2019 to find out, but this wasn't presented in this fashion. And I just want to know should we be expected to take any formal action. Is that generally required?

CITY MANAGER JONATHAN EVANS: The intent for the audit is that it is presented to the Board. The Board does acknowledge that they have received the annual financial report, and then it is effectively transmitted to Tallahassee. I've seen it in agencies where they vote to accept it, I've seen it in agencies where they take it as presentations.

So if the Board just wishes to acknowledge that, in fact, that they have heard the annual audit, then it is transmitted to Tallahassee. It doesn't require you to take any official action, but at the pleasure of the Board, you can certainly do that. I've

Madam Chair, at

24

25

24

1 seen it done multiple ways. COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Madam Chair. 3 CHAIR BOTEL: You're recognized. 4 COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Thank you, Mr. Evans, 5 for that. But I quess just going forward, I just --6 because things are often misunderstood, I don't want us 7 accepting this to suggest that we agree with the 8 findings or agree with the way the financial statements 9 were presented and that there is a little bit of 10 narrative behind this, or if it's a formal resolution 11 and it describes exactly what action we're taking as 12 opposed to just voting, because it's not clear from the 13 agenda. 14 CITY MANAGER JONATHAN EVANS: So to your 15 point, Councilman, I think the best thing to do is to 16 hear the presentation, and that the presentation was 17 presented to the elected body, and the Board does not 18 take any official actions to adopt or accept the audit, 19 because it is immaterial to the submission that has to 20 be provided to Tallahassee. 21 COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Thank you; thank you, 22 Mr. Manager. 23 CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.

CITY MANAGER JONATHAN EVANS:

this time I'd like to turn it over to the

1 representatives from HCT accounting firm.

MR. WILLIAMS: Madam Chair, Chair Pro Tem, it is our pleasure to present to you our audit findings for the City of Riviera Beach for the September 30, 2019.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the terms established in our engagement letter in October 26, 2020 --

CHAIR BOTEL: Could you just state your name, please.

MR. WILLIAMS: Oh, I'm sorry. Thomas Williams.

CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.

MR. WILLIAMS: Very welcome.

We have issued an unmodified or clean opinion on the financial statements as of February 24, 2021, and we'll touch more on that later in the presentation.

Today we will be presenting to you results of our audit, some required communications that we give to those charged with governance, and then we'll talk about the responsibility of those charged with governance, the City and staff, and as well as your external auditors.

The scope of our audit was to provide an opinion on the financial statements ending in

September 30, 2019 in accordance with accounting standards, auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, governmental auditing standards and Chapter 10.550 of the Auditor General of the State of Florida.

There were -- we had -- there were no changes in our planned risk, meaning there was nothing that we found that we needed to dive deeper, our audit, our risk assessment from our planned strategy. The following are the letters that we did issue unmodified opinions on.

The first one is the Independent Auditor's Report. Then the Independent Auditor's Report on internal controls over financial reporting and compliance over matters based on an audit of financial statements performed in accordance with general governmental auditing standards.

Then the Independent Auditor's Report on compliance for each major program and on internal control compliance over compliance required by uniform guidance and by Chapter 10.550, Rules of the Auditor General. Then we had a schedule of findings and questioned costs.

We issued the Independent Auditor's Report on compliance with requirements of Section 218.415,

Florida Statutes, required by Rule 10.556(10) of the Auditor General of the State of Florida. And then finally, a management letter, in accordance with the rules of the Auditor General.

The significant accounting policies and practices you can find as note one under financial statements.

The following are a list of significant estimates that we identified throughout our audit. Those would be depreciation of useful life of capital assets, the fair market value of investments, the fair market value of the pension and OPEB assets and liabilities, an allowance for doubtful accounts.

Significant risk areas that are flagged to us that we should always be looking out for during our audit are management override of controls and revenue recognition.

And there were no new accounting pronouncements that were applicable for 2019 for the City.

There were no uncorrected misstatements. And there were several adjusting entries posted throughout the year, but at the bottom line, all the entries were corrected and there were no uncorrected misstatements and there were no disclosure or presentation omissions.

There was one deficiency -- there were several deficiencies noted starting on page 15, and we will discuss those later on in the presentation.

We believe that all of the related party transactions were identified and properly disclosed, and there were no significant findings or issues with any of those transactions.

And this last bullet point is just to point out that if the City were to provide our financial statements in any document, we have a responsibility to read and ensure that the numbers are correct, but that's where our responsibility begins and ends.

Just a couple things I wanted to note here is that you will see that subsequent events, even though it was ended in September 30, 2019, we still had to go through items going up through the time of issuance, and you would find all of those events noted at note 20 of your financial statements. And as mentioned before, we will discuss all of our significant findings and management letter comments starting on slide 15.

Next slide, please.

And then here we state that we do believe that we are independent of the City, in accordance with the AICPA and other professional standards.

Next we're going to talk about some of the

financial components of the audit and give some overall highlights of the things that we did and the numbers that we tested.

The first thing that we did, we obtained an understanding of the financial reporting process. We inspected financial statements to ensure that they conformed with GAAP and GASB, and then we also inspected the disclosures to ensure that all the proper disclosures were met and there were no omissions.

Now, to talk about some of the numbers, total revenues for September 30, 2019 totaled 135.1 million when compared -- which compared to 128.9 million for fiscal year 2018.

The main increase, the main purpose for the increase of 1. -- was 1.2, dealing with operating grants and contributions. And there was also another 3 million increase for capital grants, you can see, as compared to '19 and '18. To test those, what we did was select a sample of significant transactions and verify their existence, their accuracy, and ensure that they were recorded properly.

Moving on to the expenses, in 2019 there were a total of \$116.7 million worth of expenses as compared to 85.9 million in 2018. To test those, we selected a sample and tested them also for accuracy, completeness

and existence.

Capital assets in 2019 rose from 190 million to 216 million, and most of the increase was due to increases in construction and progress and equipment purchases.

Receivables increased only 1.9 million from 11 million in 2018 to 12.9 million in 2019. What we did was confirm balances and also tested subsequent collections to ensure that they were properly recorded.

Long-term debt for 2019 was 173.9 million as compared to 169.6 million in 2018. There were issued debt during the year, but also you were also paying off debt, so those were able to offset and keep that number around the same. And likewise, we confirmed balances to ensure.

And with that, I'm going to turn it over to our managing partner, Roderick Harvey.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Madam Chair, I have a question for Mr. Williams.

CHAIR BOTEL: You're recognized.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: So Mr. Williams, you're speaking of long-term debt. Can you give us an example of some of those items that's listed as receivables that represent long-term debt? Are we speaking of property tax? Is this speaking of any particular

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

revenue from the enterprise Utility Special District? MR. WILLIAMS: So to ensure that I'm clear so I can answer you properly, we're talking about revenues and accounts receivable? COUNCILPERSON McCOY: You spoke of long-term It was one slide prior. I want to understand debt. what those represent. MR. WILLIAMS: I know that I remember that the CRA took out a debt towards the end of 2019. would go into that balance. Those would be the revenue bonds and the general obligation bonds referred to in long-term debt. COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Okay, okay, so I'm sorry. I misunderstood. Okay, I think I got it. Thank you. MR. WILLIAMS: No problem. MR. HARVEY: Good day, Mayor, Chairperson, Pro Tem, Council Members, City Manager, City Attorney, City Clerk. Good day to you all. My name is Roderick Harvey, partner with HCT. HCT, as you know, is the CPA firm of record for the City. We're going to spend some time and talk about the controls and some of our findings as relates to our 2019 audit.

Can we move to the next slide, please?

I want to give a couple definitions regarding deficiencies. A deficiency is an internal controls design or operation matter that does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of work, to detect or prevent, on a timely basis, an issue. There are two levels of deficiency.

A significant deficiency is a combination or a single deficiency in the controlled environment that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to be brought to the attention of those charged with governance.

A material weakness is an internal control matter that does not allow the issue to be prevented or detected on a timely basis, and so we flagged those things as material weaknesses.

As it relates to the 2019 audit, we have several findings we will go through judiciously, as quickly as we can in short order.

Finding number one relates to the lateness of the report not being filed. We recommend that the City file the report timely. The City has a response that they have already communicated with JLAC in November of 2020 regarding the reasons for the delay.

Our finding number two deals with a formal process that the City should have that gives

evaluations at least annually to employees. We recommend that the City institute an annual employee evaluation starting in 2020, although 2020 is past.

Management's response: The Human Resources

Department partially concurs with this finding. HR, in

coordination with IT, is exploring electronic

performance management software options to be

implemented in 2022. In the meantime, HR performs

annual evaluations manually.

Finding number three deals with Parks and Rec and the receipt or the acceptance of cash as relates to rental facilities being used by clients. We recommend that the Finance Department implement, through the elected body and the appointed officials, a cash handling procedure that does not allow cash payments to be given at, during or after any event.

Management's response: Parks and Rec concurs with the finding. Parks, in coordination with Finance, is implementing TPAR, Tyler Parks and Recreation module, which offers online registration and payment options. Furthermore, a kiosk is being installed at Parks' main office which will further limit the amount of cash being handled by Parks staff. Parks and Finance will ensure the policy and procedures reflect these changes prior to reopening City facilities.

Finding number four deals with the Office of the Internal Auditor. And that office does not report currently directly to the Commission. And so we recommend that the Office of the Internal Auditor be established and report directly to the elected body in a manner as set in the org chart. Obviously, we recommend that this happens on a prospective basis.

Management's response: An ordinance shall be presented to City Council, or will be -- shouldn't say shall -- will be presented to City Council to address the hiring and reporting of the internal auditor and the duties of the audit committee. We anticipate the ordinance to be presented in April 2021.

Moving on to finding five, we noted that City employees should be trained and informed of all potential cyber attacks. We recommend that the City's IT Department upgrade training sessions and frequency of training for potential cyber attacks training as a method to eliminate real and potential cyber attacks.

HR Department: The IT Department concurs with this finding. IT, in accordance with HR, is exploring enterprise learning management system options which will help modernize the City's training programs, and that is to be implemented in 2022.

Finding number six deals with Finance giving

RIVIERA BEACH CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL MEETING 35 1 a monthly or quarterly report to the elected body. 2 recommend that Finance institute a practice of monthly 3 or quarter-end closing and reporting. The completed 4 monthly and/or quarter-end close reporting should be 5 retained in accordance with the records and statutes of 6 the City Clerk. 7 Management's response: Finance does not 8 concur with this finding. Finance does perform monthly 9 and quarterly year-end closing of the accounting 10 records. 11 COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Madam Chair. 12 CHAIR BOTEL: You're recognized. 13 COUNCILPERSON McCOY: So is it your 14 preference to address these as they go, or should we 15 ask questions at the end? 16 CHAIR BOTEL: It seems to me we should just 17 ask them as they go and not have to come back to 18 something, because we'll have to find it later. So if 19 that's all right with everybody else, go ahead. 20 COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Thank you. So, well,

Madam Chair, actually I quess I want to pose my question to the author of the official response. would that be the Manager?

> CITY MANAGER JONATHAN EVANS: Madam Chair, if

25 I may?

21

22

23

24

CHAIR BOTEL: Yes.

CITY MANAGER JONATHAN EVANS: No, that actual response was from the Finance Department.

CHAIR BOTEL: Are you asking Mr. Sherman to --

COUNCILPERSON McCoy: Well, you know, these are management responsibility items. So if he wants to delegate that, then that's fine, but I don't want to interrupt. But this seems very significant that we need to address, and I want to not interrupt the flow, so please tell me what's best.

that particular item, I do concur with the philosophy that there needs to be some type of reporting. If it's quarterly or whatever the pleasure of the Board is, that's something that, you know, easily we can accommodate. So, you know, from a staffing standpoint, you know, I will make sure that that is something that is placed on the agenda on a regular basis so the Board can have a good understanding with respect to the expenditures, revenues, financial conditions of the agency, at least on a quarterly basis.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Well, if I'm understanding this correctly, these findings are presented to management, and what you said is in

complete contrary to what's printed here. So are you suggesting that the response or the official responsible is saying something different than your position?

CITY MANAGER JONATHAN EVANS: The individual that responded to the finding was not me. As it relates to the finding -- and I allowed for the departments to be able to address the items that were applicable to their department, but I don't necessarily agree in totality with the perspective that is communicated by staff.

So as the Manager, ultimately, the responsibility and the onus is on me to make sure that if I am in a different -- if I have a different perspective with regards to this, that staff complies with, you know, the edict from administration.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Thank you, Mr. Manager.

Madam Chair, can I be recognized to ask our Finance Director to give us an explanation why he don't think the elected body needs to be informed of the financial accounts and the reporting of what goes on in this agency at least monthly?

CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: And at the minimum,

quarterly.

CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. Sherman.

MR. SHERMAN: Yes, good evening. Randy Sherman.

Councilman McCoy, that's not what my response was. If you look at the condition and the header of this item, it talks about the fact that we don't do a month or a quarter-end closing. My response was we do do a monthly closing.

So I don't disagree. I can give you daily reports. I'm serious. I will give you whatever you want. That doesn't matter to me. At this point, using the system we have, it literally is almost the push of a button. And we can post, we can put it online. You know, as what was originally here, and I don't know if it's in one of the other responses, we're working for a full, open government so the citizens can go online and actually see the transactions as -- almost live as they're happening.

The current ordinance requires twice a year. So when I first got here, it was we do a year-end, and when we do the budget, that's the second one. That was the mentality at that point. In New Hampshire I did monthly. Whatever you would like. But my issue is we agree partially because we do do a year-end -- a month-end close and a quarter-end close.

```
1
              COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Okay. Madam Chair.
2
              CHAIR BOTEL:
                            You're recognized.
3
              COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Oh, gosh, thank you,
4
                  That was such a relief, because I'm
    Mr. Sherman.
5
    sitting here wondering why our Finance Director would
6
    not want --
7
              MR. SHERMAN: Oh, no.
8
              COUNCILPERSON McCOY: -- the Board to be
9
    apprised of its current financial conditions. But if
10
    you read that from our perspective, Mr. Sherman --
11
                            I understand.
              MR. SHERMAN:
12
              COUNCILPERSON McCOY: -- you understand why I
13
    was --
14
              MR. SHERMAN: I do.
              COUNCILPERSON McCOY: -- sitting here
15
16
    thinking --
17
              MR. SHERMAN: I do. But I just want you to
18
    look at 200 and how many other pages. We disclose
19
    everything, Councilman.
2.0
              COUNCILPERSON McCOY: But before you go --
21
              MR. SHERMAN:
                            Oh, okay.
22
              COUNCILPERSON McCOY: -- and that actually
23
    brought me to another question that I think is going to
24
    come up, seeing that we have access to Tyler.
25
    if we have access, I'm just not familiar with how to
```

navigate there. But certainly I just was confused as to why we wouldn't want our elected body to know the current financial status.

MR. SHERMAN: No, absolutely not. And again, if you would like to be trained on how to access more material in Tyler, I'd be happy to do that.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Thank you, Madam Chair and Mr. Manager and Mr. Sherman.

MR. SHERMAN: Okay.

MR. HARVEY: Can we roll forward to item seven, finding seven?

Finding seven we have noted and I highlight as a material weakness. This is a matter that was brought up previously. Based on our change in auditing procedures, we did something different.

I do want to give a commercial break and say thank you to all the department heads. They all met with me. They were very forthcoming, very knowledgeable in their departments, and so we were able to go through each department and gain a detailed understanding.

We performed an audit of approximately 156 employee files. We stratified the population into three strata. One dealt with retired -- for categories -- one dealt with terminated, and another