1 2 3 4 5	CITY OF RIVIERA BEACH PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL WORKSHOP HELD AUGUST 29, 2020, 1:00 P.M.
6 7	(The following may contain unintelligible or misunderstood words due to the recording quality.)
8	CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: at 1:03 p.m. Madam Clerk, please call the roll.
9	I. ROLL CALL
10	CITY CLERK ANTHONY: Mayor Ronnie Felder.
11	MAYOR FELDER: Here.
12	CITY CLERK ANTHONY: Chairperson Julia Botel.
13	CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Here.
14	CITY CLERK ANTHONY: Chair Pro Tem Douglas Lawson.
15	CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON: Here.
16 17	CITY CLERK ANTHONY: Councilperson Tradrick McCoy. (No response). Councilperson KaShamba Miller-Anderson?
18	COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: Present.
19 20	CITY CLERK ANTHONY: Councilperson Shirley Lanier. (No response). City Manager Jonathan Evans?
21	CITY MANAGER EVANS: Present.
22 23	CITY CLERK ANTHONY: City Clerk Claudene Anthony is present. City Attorney Dawn Wynn.
24	CITY ATTORNEY WYNN: Here.
25	CITY CLERK ANTHONY: That completes your roll call.
26	CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Thank you.
27	II. INVOCATION/PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
28 29	CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Thank you. We'll have a moment of silence followed by the Pledge of Allegiance led by Councilwoman Miller-Anderson.
30 31	(Everyone stood for a Moment of Silence with the Pledge of Allegiance being led by Councilperson Miller-Anderson).
32	III. INTRODUCTION/PURPOSE OF WORKSHOP

- 33 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Please silence your microphone if you're not speaking. Mr.
- 34 Evans, go ahead.
- 35 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Good afternoon, Madam Chair and members of the City
- 36 Council. This is a continuation for our FY 2020/2021 budget workshop. We have a list
- 37 of things that we would like to go through with the budget to get final direction and
- 38 clarification. There is a couple of operations that are still going to present to you, so I'm
- 39 gonna go ahead and share my screen at this point.
- 40 Okay. So moving right along. First we'll talk about the marina expansion, an overview of
- 41 the marina, talk about capital projects, seek direction on the FY 2021 budget, and then
- look at the budget calendar, and if it is the desire of the Council to move forward with
- 43 another budget workshop, if necessary, to provide any additional clarity or address any
- 44 concerns that the Board may have prior to first reading of the fiscal year 2020/2021
- 45 budget.

46 IV. FISCAL YEAR BUDGET WORKSHOP DISCUSSION VI PRESENTATIONS

- 47 Marina Phase IV Expansion John Sprague, Sprague Consulting
- 48 Marina Operating Budget Presentation Randy Sherman, Finance Director
- 49 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** So the first presenter will be Mr. John Sprague, and I will go
- ahead and turn the presentation over to Mr. Sprague to talk a little bit about the marina
- expansion as well as the marina operations.
- 52 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Well, John is -- this is Randy. John had difficulty
- 53 getting in, so I can either walk through this or we can jump to it a little bit later down
- through the operations and capital budget till Mr. Sprague is able to get in.
- 55 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** So he's actively trying to get in? Why don't we just wait a little
- bit, go to something else and then come back to him.
- 57 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Okay.
- 58 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: You'll let us know when he --
- 59 DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN: If you want to fast forward to the operating
- 60 budget?
- 61 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Certainly. I will move to that particular side, some marina
- 62 overview. Mr. Sherman.
- 63 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Okay. Yeah, this is the discussion on the marina
- operating budget. On the next slide you'll see again we do have Marina Operations. Am
- 65 I supposed to be -- there we go. And again, we do have a third-party management service
- 66 down at the marina. They actually prepare the budgets. They submit the staffing and --
- there we go. They're not recommending any operational changes down at the marina at
- this point, despite the fact that docks E, F, and G will be operational during the next fiscal
- 69 year. Their main goals that we have for the marina going forward into 2021 will be

- wrapping up the current phase, which again is docks E, F, and G, and then hopefully starting on phase 4, and that's what Mr. Sprague will be discussing when he arrives.
- 72 The operational budget again, as I said, is actually provided by the third-party manager.
- 73 You'll notice there is actually very little change from 2020 to 2021. The largest issue is
- down in the capital and contingency number. They're asking for \$200,000 for small capital
- 75 items. The marina at this point is five years old, believe it or not. So they are looking at
- starting to do some of the replacement items, such as the electrical pedestals, the fuel
- system, changing out some of the hoses and potentially the pump, the pump-out, which
- would be the sewerage as provided for the boats in the marina. Again, they're looking at
- 79 some motor changes and hoses, upgrading the docks or, you know, repairing some of
- the docks, the cleats, the walkway panels, you know, the things that after five years might
- be looking a little tired at this point. And then again, they want to update the laundry
- equipment and the restrooms. So that's why they've -- that \$200,000 in the capital.
- 83 In essence, when they do give us their budget proposal, they're giving us a budget
- proposal that shows a profit, and it's that profit that we put into the contingency. So last
- year where they were projecting that \$500,000 net income, this year we're carrying
- \$312,000 of net income, as put the 200,000 into the capital. And again, down on the
- capital projects, I know Mr. Sprague has talked to you in the past about the mooring field.
- 88 So next year we'll be looking at the mooring field and then again the expansion.
- 89 And, Mr. Evans, if you want to go to the next slide, we can look at the capital page.
- 90 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** And I see Mr. Sprague.
- 91 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Oh, okay. Let's go back. Actually, I'd prefer that
- 92 he go before we speak of the capital. Any questions operating budget of the marina?
- 93 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Madam Clerk, you had a comment.
- 94 **CITY CLERK ANTHONY:** Need to recognize that Councilperson McCoy is now on.
- 95 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Thank you. And did you recognize that the Mayor was here?
- 96 I think he was here already when you called the roll. Right? He's on the line. Thank you.
- 97 **CITY CLERK ANTHONY:** Thank you, Madam Chair. So let's go back to Mr. Sprague's
- 98 presentation.
- 99 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Okay. Mr. Sprague, your microphone is still muted, and I
- 100 think if you unmute it -- there you go.
- 101 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** Good afternoon. Apologize being late. I had link problems getting
- 102 in.
- 103 As you well know, this is phase 4 of the marina. We are finishing construction of phase
- 104 3 presently, which is dock G in the northeast and the south end of the marina. We hope
- to have that completed sometime the remainder part of this year and open for business.
- So the next section goes into the phase 4, which is primarily the north end of the marina,

107 but it's also the dredging portion, which allows us to fully utilize the docks that we've 108 already built, along with the new docks. Part of the issues that we've had that we've kind 109 of held this section back is, if any of you are familiar with building docks, we have seagrass 110 present, and in order to disturb that or do construction, you need to do what's known as 111 mitigation. So we came up with a couple ideas. Number one, of course, we've had a problem with derelict vessels and vessels anchored all over the waters around our fair 112 113 city, and those of course -- we've heard things about discharging sewage and garbage 114 and whatever into the waters.

So the mooring fields, what it does it makes affordable dockage that is managed just like a marina. We collect their sewage, we collect their garbage, and it reduces the amount of anchoring damage to resource, which is considerable. So there's a lot of benefits to it. So that's the first portion that we're working on, because that will provide us the authorization to go ahead and do the dredging that we need to totally utilize not only the marina slips we have presently, but also last of them.

So after that, the next phase will be the dredging, because then we can totally utilize the docks that we've already been building, which will greatly increase the profit lines of the marina. Then we have the new dock areas. We've also proposed a waterfront floating restaurant way out on the end of the marina. I also might add just for your own information, even if we permit something, that doesn't mean you have to build it. It's not locking you into it, but that's an amenity that we believe would bring a whole lot of people to the marina and also a lot of income as well to the city.

We're short of restrooms. We need some floating restrooms out in the various areas.

Believe or not, we have some areas of the marina that you gotta walk a quarter mile to
go to a restroom. So we're putting that in as part of it.

We finished our seagrass study and the (unintelligible) Side-Scanning Sonar's completed. From that we're going to be able to utilize where we've got any damage that's currently going on by anchored-out boats, which gives us our mitigation because it stops that. And secondly, it tells us our areas in detail within the marina of exactly where we need to dredge and to what levels and whatever. And then of course, it identifies all current seagrass damage that is located in the mooring field areas, which becomes the mitigation. We're working on the applications and the drawings, prepared to submit the permit applications. That permit process generally is about one year to go through. And next slide, please.

140 Manage mooring field (unintelligible). As I said earlier, it reduces anchor and chain 141 damages. It provides sewage so we don't have sewage going into the areas of the waters 142 around the city. We provide digging dockage at the mooring field, which keeps them from 143 going into private properties, which of course we have a lot of in our waterfront community. 144 It provides the mitigation that we need from the marina expansion. And of course, mooring field's no different than the marina, have a set of rules and regulations that you 145 have to abide by in order to use the moorings. The other big part is, is that you know in 146 every hurricane, we wind up with boats in front yards of our waterfront residents within 147 the city as well as our commercial marinas and our parks, and moorings are designed for 148 149 the size of the vessel and also can substand generally most hurricanes with the

131

132133

134

135

136137

138

139

- exceptions of when you get up to cat 4s and 5s, but normal hurricanes they will survive
- without a problem. Next slide, please.
- The project elements. Dock G is the very northeast part of the marina. It will provide 396
- 153 feet of marginal side-tie dockage. It'll build two 200 -- or 100-foot slips, one 120 and 140,
- and in that area it also have a set of restrooms on a concrete floating pad.
- Dock H is also off the north end of the marina, but it's about in the middle. It provides 226
- 156 feet of marginal side-tie dockage. And what I might add is the nice thing about marginal
- side-tie is when you take like the length on dock G, 400 foot, you could have a 300-footer
- 158 come in tomorrow or you could have a pair of 150s or 550s. The combination allows the
- city to be able to accommodate almost any size boat that needs to come in when they
- need to come in, where slips are generally designed to only take a certain size vessel.
- 161 So it gives us a lot more latitude.
- Dock H, just to continue, we'll build three 80-foot slips, eight 90s, three 100s, one 120,
- and one 40. Slide, please.
- Dock I, to continue, dock I is just a little bit east of where our community dock is now
- where we do our canoes and kayaks, or kayaks and personal watercraft, etcetera. That
- will provide us with 236 feet of marginal side-tie dockage and it gives us six 80-foot slips.
- And dock J is the actual community dock itself, and we're building it so it goes further
- north to the north property line and it will have a bridge. So the personal watercraft and
- and kayaks can come off the park where they do now and go underneath that new section.
- 170 The new section will lead to some additional floats for personal watercrafts and kayaks
- for the general public, but also because they do cover surface area of the water, they
- need to be part of a permit process. And then we'll get 11 more 16- to 20,000-pound boat
- lifts. The advantage of those are is they keep the vessels out of the water, very popular.
- but when the wife wants to go out in the middle of the night or 11:00, go for moonlight
- 175 ride, they can assess their boat where they can't do that with dry stacks. Very popular
- and being right on the ocean, right around the corner, we expect them to rent very quickly.
- Dock AB area, which is all the way out on the north end along the water, we designed in
- at least where it can go is a 2640-square-foot restaurant on a concrete floating pad. It's
- 179 frame construction. It can be open or closed operation depending upon weather. A full
- 180 kitchen, restrooms, and it's got a roof, open-air, screened second floor. Those floating
- pads, and especially in Europe, they build those actually with high-rise and office
- buildings. They're slowly starting to come into this country, but they can support all kinds
- of things out on water, which make it more enjoyable. Slide, please.
- 184 Some of the element main docks are all 16-feet wide. They're stable. We can run
- golfcarts down them, except for J, which is the aluminum-frame, floating, IPE decking,
- 186 which is the same as the boardwalk. It looks like teak, very attractive, different
- 187 construction. We can save money, but also it's extremely attractive. And way down the
- corner there it's very protected, so the massive concrete docks really aren't required. Also
- as part of another element is we're presently installing six very large, 32- and 40,000-
- 190 pound lifts on G dock. We will fund the five more intended to complete G dock, which
- 191 was designed and permitted to be all lifts on the west side. I talk about the concrete

- 192 floating docks.
- All right. The moorings themselves. When you anchor a boat, you have an anchor and
- a chain. When the boat moves to (unintelligible) in wind conditions, that chain scrapes
- over the bottom; and what it does, it damages seagrass, which are our fisheries and our
- 196 environment. Moorings are designed, they have helices that screw into the bottom so
- there's no impact to the bottom itself. Seagrass will actually grow right up to the shaft of
- the helices. And then there's a special connection between that helices mooring and the
- buoy on the top that the boat connects to and that never touches the bottom. So from a
- 200 permitting point of view, we've stopped all resource damages to the bottom, but we're
- able to incorporate water access, affordable, as part of our city offerings to the general
- 202 public in the area. Next slide, please.
- 203 To give you an idea of some of the utilities, et cetera, that we do provide, 120-volt
- standard. They're twist locks, though, because of rain and weather. 240-volt, which is
- stuff like you plug your range into. And then we have 480-volt, three-phase, 100- and
- 206 200-amp connections. To give you an idea, the average four-bedroom home only
- requires 200-amp, 240 single phase. Some of these big boats use 40 200-amp double,
- 208 three-phase power. Tremendous power.
- 209 We have potable water on the dock. We have sewerage pump-out at every single slip,
- so there's no excuse for any vessel to discharge sewage in the water. We have fire
- 211 cabinets, standpipes are required by our fire department, dock boxes, garbage
- 212 receptacles so garbage doesn't blow in the water. Surveillance cameras, Wi-Fi so
- 213 everybody can operate their computers and communications anywhere in the marina, and
- security gates to make sure at nighttime that we're protecting all those vessels. We also
- 215 have security staff, roving golfcarts, which unfortunately today the combination of both of
- 216 those are needed. Next slide, please.
- 217 All right. This is your layout. I tried to pick a picture that you could easily see where the
- 218 new docks are. If you look in the very top of the drawing, dock G is that long one that has
- 219 the fuchsia on each end of it. The portion in the middle is the construction that we just --
- 220 they are completing right now and the construction on either end of it is what will be the
- 221 first part of phase 4. That allows us a tremendous marginal dock right on the waterway.
- 222 And then if you'll look below it, on the right-hand side of that top drawing, that's where the
- restaurant would go and the restroom pads in that area.
- And then as you move down the bottom and we go to the middle, that fairly good-size
- dock there is dock H, and that goes from 70-foot slips, the smallest one, to 140-foot slips.
- 226 And then when we move down to the bottom, the next set of dock there is I, which is the
- 227 80-foot slips and marginal. And the very bottom one is our current community dock and
- we've added some more floats in there, permitting them so we can utilize more kayaks
- and more personal watercraft. And then as you move to the left bottom corner is where
- 230 that bridge section is. Then you go to another float there for more non-motorized
- 231 enjoyment.
- And then when you move east along that new section, that's where the new eleven 16-
- 233 to 20,000-pound lifts are. The bottom right all the way in the corner of the bottom right is

- where the Marina Operations building. The reason why it's there is, is because in a corner
- 235 we're usually trapped in being able to utilize it because the other boats can't get out. I
- 236 might mention just above where that square is for the building, that is all dock F. And the
- little bit of dock to the right, those five slips is dock E, and those are the two that are under
- 238 construction currently at the marina. Next slide, please.
- 239 This is the dredging plan. And notice it's in all different colors, and the reason being is all
- 240 different size boats need different depths of water in order to operate safely. So dredging
- is not cheap. It's by the yard. So the different colors are because we needed different
- 242 depths for different size boats and that's the most utilized, the most cost-effective
- 243 approach we can do to do the dredging. Next slide, please.
- 244 That's just the details of dock G, which shows the restaurant on the bottom right. Just
- slightly up above that is the restroom pads. The long little slice there is to fill in to existing,
- to make a longer marginal dock on the outside that's right next to the waterway, and that
- is long enough that we could actually tie a couple of 300s in that area, or any combination,
- 248 150s, 2s and whatever. I should add, too, the Intracoastal Waterway all along our marina,
- 249 we are fortunate enough that the Army Corps deepened that recently to take great big
- boats, and that is the only section in all of Palm Beach County that's been dredged to be
- able to take the big boats. So we will have the unique ability to take these boats that no
- other marina in Palm Beach County can supply. And at the end is the rest of new dock
- 253 G, just putting in more slips and adding to that marginal dockage. Next slide, please.
- 254 This is the detailed dock H, which is the middle dock, which is straight off the park in the
- 255 middle. And like I said, that's basically one 170-footer and the rest are 80s, all the way
- up to 140s, and a lot more marginal that there again gives us good flexibility depending
- upon the size of the boat which is looking for slips. Next slide.
- 258 And that's a closer detail of I, which is the upper one, and then J dock, which is going
- around with the new lifts and the extension of that. Next slide, please.
- So the Marina Operations. Fixed concrete, southwest corner of the marina. Gives you a
- little bit of detail of the kind. The big boats do a couple things. Number one is they have
- 262 crews of up to 20 and they have very large expense accounts that get spent in a
- 263 community. The idea is to get them in there and suck every dollar we can out of them,
- free enterprise side of me. But at the same time the crews that are on these boats, I
- mean, their living quarters is basically a berth, a little baby closet, and some drawers. So
- The art, the riving quarters is businessly a bertil, a little busy closet, and some drawers. So
- their off time -- you know, even though they're on this big yacht, it's not theirs. The owners
- aren't there. It's just the crew. But they -- the captain and crew decide where these boats
- go, not the owners. And so what you do is you put upland facilities on there, which we're
- going to design in your new operations buildings, that gives some crew areas that they
- can hang out and enjoy themselves and be able to get out of their berth and have an area
- that they can enjoy each other with.
- Secondly, the other things that we'll finalize in that operations building that allows us to
- 273 complete the first-class marina for Palm Beach County, you know, some store things and.
- 274 you know, we put radar, some areas where the owners of the boat can come in, hang out
- 275 and talk with one another. Vending machines, more restrooms, you know, staff

- conference room for that they can meet on a daily basis to go other problems. Its own
- security room, which instead of having a guard that walks over all these docks, which
- 278 criminals -- same thing with our patrol cars. You know, when the police aren't there, they
- 279 kind of follow in behind them. But instead is their own security center that the guard is
- 280 posted with a bunch of monitors, and if anything moves in that marina at night, we're
- 281 gonna see it.
- 282 Gangways. Two gangways. Number one, we've designed one that will go down to the
- boardwalk because we have ADA that we've gotta deal with, and a second one that goes
- up on the parking lot so we don't have any ADA issues or require elevators within the
- 285 building. But that allows good access, regardless whether it's staff, and we kind of
- separate the ground floor and the second floor as far as what those elements are and the
- building and that will get designed over time. Next slide, please.
- All right. So what we've done is we came in and we did a pro forma on the slips. To save
- 289 you all time but you can read at your leisure, we broke the individual docks down as to
- 290 what the potential revenue --
- 291 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Hey, please save us some time because I'm getting lost
- 292 here with all this -- with this whole orientation. I'm looking more for budget related. You
- 293 know, all that operational stuff and future things, yeah, save us some time, please. Can
- 294 you --
- 295 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** Okay. Yep.
- 296 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY**: -- a little bit?
- 297 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** Yep.
- 298 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Thank you, sir.
- 299 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** Yep. So basically this slide when you go down is the slips that are
- 300 listed there, what the revenues will be. Phase 3 that we're under construction right now
- will be about \$905,000-a-year income from the two docks that we're building presently.
- Phase 4. Next slide, please. These are all the new slips. The only thing I want to add to
- that is, presently we're pricing those big slips at probably \$2.50 a day. We're looking at
- 3.50. When we get amenities in, \$5 a foot is the normal. So if you take \$5 a foot and
- 305 multiply it times a 250-foot boat times 365 days a year, but without that currently, the
- 306 expected revenue of phase 4 will be 2,427,000 per year and that's a very, very
- 307 conservative number because it's gonna take us a while to build up that business.
- Next slide, please. I'm not gonna go through them, but these are the itemized costs of
- every single dock by dock. If you notice dock G, construction costs of 1,974,000. Slide,
- 310 please. Next slide.
- 311 Dock I be a million-three. Next slide.
- 312 These are several components. Number one is J dock. And then also we've got the
- 313 restaurant structure and component 5 of the restaurant, and we broke those down

- 314 individually.
- Next slide is component 7, which is Marina Operations building. We've done it two ways.
- 316 First is the slab. The building can go in later on. No difference than what we did with the
- fuel building. Is because that will take longer to design and the elements, but the main
- thing is, is the permitting while we're doing the mitigation, as long as we get the slab in,
- we can be permitted by DP and U.S. Army Corps. If grass starts growing in there over
- 320 time after we do it, we would have to go through a mitigation process all over again. The
- dredging component, which as you can see is a large one, but that is not just for the new
- 322 phase 4. That will improve all the existing dockage that we have in the marina to be able
- 323 to take boats that we can't currently take because we do not have sufficient water. Next
- 324 phase -- or slide, please.
- 325 So we have a grand total of 23,235,290. Everything is broken down as per each
- 326 component so you can look at it in your leisure. Next slide, please.
- 327 I just gave you a picture. This is your new last section of marginal dock that we're in the
- 328 process of building. That's why the chain-link fence is still there behind it. That's dock E,
- which has been there along the boardwalk, the last dock itself in. Next slide.
- 330 This is your new G dock, which is northeast of the marina, and that's the one in phase 4
- we'll be adding both ends. As you can see, it's a very long dock. And those concrete
- piles in the far end are where the first of the six lifts are going. And next slide.
- And that's a picture of the lifts themselves that are presently under construction now. Next
- 334 slide.
- I believe that's it. Oh, that's just an example of the power center. If you see the reds and
- the blue ones, the blue ones, I think, is the 200-amp. Each one of those plugs is basically
- 337 supplying the whole power that a four-bedroom house requires and that's why these
- outlets are so big and massive. I believe that ends the slides. And then I believe it's up
- 339 to Randy now.
- 340 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Before we proceed, do we have any questions from
- 341 councilmembers? Mr. Lawson and then Mr. McCoy. Your microphone, Mr. Lawson.
- 342 Thank you.
- 343 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Thank you, Mr. Sprague, and thank you, Madam Chair.
- The structure that we had, Mr. Sprague, the temporary portable structures that we have
- for the office, are we going to be looking to actually building a more permanent office
- 346 structure over at the marina?
- 347 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** Yes. That will be the new -- the one that I just went through in the
- 348 bottom right-hand corner of your major drawing, that's where your --
- 349 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Uh-huh.
- 350 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** And the reason why in the corner, first of all is the marina itself can't
- 351 operate both in there.

- 352 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Right.
- 353 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** So what it does, it utilizes the building that we need without using up
- valuable waterfront land and hopefully we can -- yeah, it's bottom right-hand corner. That
- 355 will replace it.
- 356 CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON: Okay. I see it.
- 357 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** And that's over the water.
- 358 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Over the water. Good. Okay. And then the next question
- is, the excessive revenues that we're calculating projecting with the renovations, are we
- reallocating these to capital improvement projects?
- 361 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** That's out of my wheelhouse, sir.
- 362 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Yes, Councilman Lawson. This is Randy
- 363 Sherman. When we move on Mr. Sprague's presentation, we get into the marina capital
- project. The reason we asked Mr. Sprague to be here is because this \$23 million project
- shows up in the marina capital CIP for next year. So revenue's been generated. I think
- discussion we can have, you know, possibly down the line is whether we want to roll this
- out over a five-year period. If that's the case, we would actually leverage those dollars
- and borrow the money, pay the debt service, and, you know, again, you'd have about a
- seven-year payback, or we can wait for all the FINE grants and build it out like we built
- out phases 1 through 3.
- 371 So that's a discussion, you know, that we can have down the line, but that's why we asked
- 372 Mr. Sprague to make this presentation, because this really such a large, new project
- 373 component of the (unintelligible).
- 374 CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON: Correct. Correct. Perfect.
- 375 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** If I could add to that, we need one year of permitting. We could build
- all the structures in two years. You know, it could take three to four, but we believe we
- 377 can build them all in that three-year period.
- 378 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Okay.
- 379 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** Which the bonding we would need or over the five. If we stretch it
- out with grants, we've been getting about a minimum about a million-two in grants every
- year. We have gotten more than that considerably in back years. It depends on what
- grants that are available, and lately the government or whatever, they've kind of cut back
- on the available grants. So there is other grants out there possibly, but generally we can
- be pretty reassured that we can apply and receive around a million-plus a year.
- 385 CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON: Okay. Excellent. And I'm assuming the marina buildout
- right now that we're looking with our phase 2 marina development, do we have any
- designated boat slips that are gonna be for any future or potential restaurants or retail
- that we're building out in our phase 2, we're looking to build in our phase 2 project?

389 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** They tie the land and the water go together. As you well know, the 390 Council fortunately, you know, had the wall taken -- lowered down to reconnect the land 391 with the water. But they feed after -- it's like any other area, like (unintelligible) or whatever. We're creating, you know, water and land; and the more infrastructure we put 392 393 in, the more people we will draw that are commercial, the more people that have charter 394 boats to come in and fish, that want to go out and dive. You know, those are all -- they 395 create jobs and bring revenue in that kind of starts at the marina but it goes way out 396 beyond that. So, yes, I mean, the economics, the more vibrant we make the marina, the 397 more money not only will the city make, but how it greatly increases revenue to other 398 support businesses.

CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON: Correct. And as we all know on this dais, that we have a goldmine in our marina. So we have to capitalize on every space, every unit, but not saturating it with just having it as a boatyard. We want to make sure that it's attractive for retail, attractive for development and growth. And I appreciate the grants that you guys are applying for, just continuing to apply for those various grants. So thank you for those updates as well.

CITY MANAGER EVANS: And, Madam Chair, if I may. To Councilman Lawson's point -- and, Mr. Sprague, you can opine on this and provide additional context. I think the question was if we look to create 3 or 400 residential units, are there going to be adequate slips to accommodate that? And so this is where the conversation may spin into. We may have to construct a high-and-dry to accommodate that particular type of development depending on what the capacity needs are at the marina. Mr. Sprague, if you can provide some perspective. If we see a situation whereby 3 or 400 residential units by virtue of condos come into that area, how can we accommodate that demand to provide that onestop-shop service that we want to offer?

414 JOHN SPRAGUE: Well, if you remember, we had a dry stack located in the very south end of the property, which is where the marina parking is today. The problem with our 415 dry stack was it was 40 years old and it was about to fall down. It also was built for very 416 417 small boats, which are not the market today. That's why we're putting lifts in, to be honest 418 with you. Lifts compete with the dry stack and the ability that the water -- the boat is not 419 left in the water.

So what we're finding is more and more people like the lift concept because they have --24 hours a day they have access to it. It's not like it's open, but the boat is not left in the water, which most people do not want in the kind of boats that go in the dry stacks. And then the other thing is we do have the ability to put covers over the top so the boats are not necessarily in total sun. So that's the element that we're starting to build into the marina slowly and there are other slips -- all those slips that are behind H dock, which is the new one in the middle of the marina, the ones that are vertical, those were actually 427 designed that we could put lifts in them a later date.

428 So that's how we'll incorporate the demand for people that have condos that don't need a slip in the water, but they want to have a smaller boat that they can put on a lift. But 429 also, the lifts that we're doing now, you can actually put up to 50-foot boats on those lifts. 430 431 And we find that that's a whole new market which is now -- has availed itself, but also

399

400

401

402 403

404

405 406

407

408

409 410

411 412

413

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

- that's why we're putting the smaller, 16- and 20-ton lifts in the corner- because that's more
- of the 24s, 25s, 30s, in that range, center-console boats that the general public generally
- 434 buy. Did that answer your question?
- 435 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Perfect.
- 436 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Is that everything, Mr. Lawson?
- 437 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Thank you, Madam Chair.
- 438 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Mr. McCoy, you're recognized.
- 439 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Thank you, Madam Chair.
- 440 Mr. Sprague, you said we currently have lifts for 50-foot boats or no?
- JOHN SPRAGUE: We are putting them in right now on dock G, the very left upper, upper
- dock, and we're putting six lifts in right now and we will put five more big ones in the phase
- 443 4. That'll probably -- you know, I'm not sure which grant we'll apply to put those in. It may
- be the next one. But they're already permitted to go in. It's just a matter of buying them.
- 445 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Okay. Would you be able to speak to -- perhaps the
- 446 Manager. The management company, how many -- what's their staffing complement, do
- 447 you know, that manages the marina here?
- 448 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** Is that for me to answer or somebody else?
- 449 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Whoever can answer it can answer it.
- 450 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Their FTE count -- Mr. Sherman, do you have their FTE count
- 451 in your --
- 452 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** I have their July report. If you want to give me a
- 453 moment, I can see if their count is in there.
- 454 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Mr. McCoy, do you mind if I piggyback a question onto yours?
- You do mind or you don't mind? I'll take that as you don't mind. While you're looking at
- 456 that, Mr. Sherman, do we just roll over a contract with them? What's the arrangement
- 457 with them? Is it Seven Kings, I think? And do we just -- is there ever an evaluation of
- 458 them that we do, or how do we contain -- how do we continue to have that relationship?
- 459 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Seven Kings is currently in their last year of the
- 460 current agreement and we're developing the RFP now to get it out hopefully this fall. I
- 461 believe their contract expires in May.
- 462 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay. Thank you.
- 463 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** We want to make sure we have ample time to
- 464 evaluate.
- 465 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Right.

- 466 COUNCILPERSON MCCOY: Well, to that end --
- 467 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** You're recognized, Mr. McCoy.
- 468 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Page 66 of these operations or the operation fund, does
- 469 that reflect Seven Kings?
- 470 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Yes.
- 471 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** So I don't understand why we have it in this fashion with
- the salary and wages. That can't be Seven Kings management.
- 473 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Yeah, that's -- they actually give us an operating
- budget similar to you would see from, you know, the City departments.
- 475 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Okay.
- 476 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** They actually do -- we don't -- we don't break it -
- we don't pay like one lump-sum management fee. We actually get a monthly breakdown
- 478 of their operating budget.
- 479 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Okay. Interesting. The 2019 transfer -- so I guess that's
- 480 the -- not retained earnings in this fashion, but the excess, is that what that is that's
- 481 transferred over to general fund?
- 482 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Correct, yes.
- 483 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** And when is that done, at the end of the fiscal year?
- 484 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** They make transfers on a quarterly basis. So
- take their quarterly net. They put some money into an R&R fund that we've agreed upon
- and then they transfer the surplus back to the City. Currently they're holding about \$2
- 487 million in cash for both operations and renewal and replacement.
- 488 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** And that goes to the general fund. Correct?
- 489 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** No. It stays in the marina fund. We run the
- 490 marina as an enterprise fund, which is what will actually allow us to be able to use this
- 491 potential cashflow to leverage if we wanted to go out and borrow, these revenues and our
- 492 pledge to any of our current debt so we could take these marina revenues and actually
- 493 go out and issue marina debt.
- 494 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** And that marina enterprise fund was set up when?
- 495 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** I couldn't you an exact date, but it was prior to
- 496 my arrival.
- 497 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** So -- well, if that's true, then, how was it that we had -- and
- 498 Mr. Sprague spoke to it. When we had those dry dock, it was always that those were a
- 499 cost center and the marina was at a loss. So exactly -- you know, I mean, honestly, I
- know we turned the corner with eliminating that, but at what point did we see Marina

- Operations start to breathe and then start yielding a profit?
- 502 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** It started -- a profit once we turned it over to the
- 503 third --
- 504 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** (Unintelligible).
- 505 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** -- and we completed the first phase of the new
- docks. Prior to that -- prior to the issue that the marina had, as Mr. Sprague mentioned,
- was the dry dock was losing money; and the marina was also covering Newcomb Hall,
- 508 which was losing money. So now that Newcomb Hall is being -- or the new event center
- is being supported by the CRA, that pulls that loss away from the marina. And then
- obviously with the dry dock being gone, that helps, but certainly the expansion is what's
- 511 providing that cash flow.
- 512 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Okay. And that decision to remove Newcomb Hall was
- done through the interlocal agreement with the CRA and the City to be away from Marina
- 514 Operations?
- 515 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Correct, yeah. We really separated the waterside
- 516 from the uplands.
- 517 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Okay. I'm sorry. I got a grin on my face because
- 518 Councilman Lawson looked like -- I'm in a TikTok video. One second he was in black and
- 519 all of a sudden --
- 520 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** He spruced himself up.
- 521 CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON: I had to step in the booth real quick and transform, so --
- 522 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Mr. Sherman, did you find the FTEs for --
- 523 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** I did. I just flipped through their July report. I do
- not see an employee count, so that is something I can contact Seven Kings and get for
- 525 you.
- 526 COUNCILPERSON MCCOY: Okay. I just was curious because I hope it's somewhere -
- 527 you know, I guess -- I don't know. And I don't want to speculate because I don't even
- know anything about marina operations, but I just was curious to see what represents
- \$457,000 in wages. Like how many employees is that? Like what is that? You know, I
- don't know what that means. So that just be good information to know. But to the point
- of the marina enterprise fund, if that's the case that we have -- I guess we don't have a
- balance sheet for them, but if we transferred \$1.3 million in -- well, about \$1.4 million in
- 119, then why is it that the CRA is still covering the common-area maintenance for the
- 534 whole entire marina event center in the common areas?
- 535 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Well, when we turned the marina to a third-party
- 536 management, the marina's about \$5 million in debt. So a lot of the funds is just starting
- to repay the City. And then additionally, as we get FINE grants, we're required to match
- those FINE grants. So the funds are being used as the match.

- 539 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Okay.
- 540 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** And I think you're actually heading in the right
- direction 'cause if -- the numbers that have come out in the pro forma as Mr. Sprague has
- listed, we go out, we get all of these phases done. I agree, I think the waterside at that
- point would be able to carry the upland costs.
- 544 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Okay. Well, thank you, Mr. Sherman, but I'm ashamed to
- say I've never even been down that far into the docks, probably because I'm not a water
- 546 guy. At least I want to be contained in a pool or something. But okay. Thank you so
- much. I appreciate that. Mr. Sprague?
- 548 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Thank you. Mr. Sprague and then Mr. Lawson.
- JOHN SPRAGUE: Yeah. I meant to say this earlier. I would love anybody on the Council
- or staff of the City that's interested in a tour, I'd be more than happy to take you down,
- explain to you in detail the areas of the marina, the operations, where we're going, why
- we're going, why what we're doing so you have a better understanding of where we're
- 553 moving.
- 554 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Thank you, Mr. Sprague.
- Mr. McCoy, you mean to say that they never took you out on the City Council yacht?
- 556 Sorry, couldn't resist.
- 557 Mr. Lawson?
- 558 COUNCILPERSON MCCOY: Thank God I did go. Thank God I did go.
- 559 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Mr. Lawson.
- 560 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Thank you, Madam Chair.
- That was actually my question, Mr. Sprague, if I could schedule -- if we could have your
- contact, send that over to us so we could schedule a tour of the marina, because I really
- am not concerned but excited about the marina expansion, but I want to make sure that
- with the development of the actual new slips and the relocation of the current office -- the
- current office, the portables right now is prime real estate for potential housing and
- restaurants, so I want to actually make sure that the plan -- the development plan and
- construction plan is also working cohesively with the CRA, with the marina phase 2, and
- 568 City's plan. So that expansion is something I want to just kind of look real deep into to
- 569 utilize that real estate that we have. Thank you, Madam Chair.
- And then, Mr. Sprague, if we can, I'll just get your information from Mr. Evans so that we
- 571 can get that tour.
- 572 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** Be happy to do that, sir.
- 573 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Thank you so much, Mr. -- thank you, Madam Chair.
- 574 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Don't go away, Mr. Sprague. I have some questions. Anything

- 575 else from the rest of Council? Okay.
- 576 This is the first I'm hearing about that restaurant. I'm excited about that. I think it's
- wonderful. You're keeping that a secret, John.
- 578 **JOHN SPRAGUE**: Yeah.
- 579 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** It'll be great.
- JOHN SPRAGUE: I mean, I loved the old tiki bar before they took it down.
- 581 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Yeah. Yeah, we all did.
- JOHN SPRAGUE: So this is kind of a -- it's a replacement of the wood, the frame, the
- 583 atmosphere.
- 584 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Yeah.
- 585 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** All the way out by the Intracoastal Waterway. And it'll augment the
- different kinds of restaurants that hopefully we're gonna get on the upland and it kind of
- 587 ties it in a big bow as to what you want to eat and how you want to enjoy on the water.
- 588 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** That's great. My one question about it, though, is, you know
- how sensitive we are over here about concrete pads and the like. So how much mitigation
- -- and I see that it's sort of -- it's not right out in the Intracoastal. It's contained within those
- dock areas. So has mitigation already taken place for that area of the docks or do we
- 592 have to do additional mitigation?
- 593 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** No. Basically the mooring field design, the three of them that we've
- 594 got, the layout of where they're going. We've done our side bend. You know, it's gonna
- 595 give us inch by inch of what the bottom looks like.
- 596 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Right.
- 597 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** So we can determine the damage that's out there that's being caused
- 598 by anchored boats. What we do is we figure out what all those areas are and we do it
- like a reverse UNAM. In other words, we're gonna stop that damage; therefore, we get
- 600 credit for it.
- 601 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Uh-huh. Great.
- JOHN SPRAGUE: So at like four to one the damage that we're going to do by extending
- 603 the marina. So the environment not only benefits three times our damage, but in
- 604 perpetuity, there's no more damage occurring.
- 605 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Right. Right.
- JOHN SPRAGUE: So that will give us hopefully all the mitigation that we need to do all
- 607 of our dredging --
- 608 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** That's great.

- JOHN SPRAGUE: -- build all the docks, and that's the process I'm just getting into right
- 610 now.
- 611 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: That's great. That was gonna be my other question. How
- much tradeoff is there for the mooring field in terms of mitigation? So that's great to know.
- With regard to the dredging, I think I saw on one of those very tiny fonts that you were
- gonna take 118,000 cubic yards of sand. Where does that sand go and can it go to
- 615 replenish Singer Island beaches?
- JOHN SPRAGUE: We are about to actually do the borings, which are going to give us a
- sand analysis for what we're going to be dredging. And then the next question is, can we
- put it on the beach? And if we can put it on the beach, how do we get it there? And we're
- starting to look at that. You know, how can we run the pipelines? How do we do that?
- 620 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Right.
- 621 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** But first it has to be, as you well know, sand quality, grain size and
- whatever, but also remember that most of the sand we're going to dig has never been
- 623 dug, so it's probably pretty good quality sand.
- 624 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Yeah.
- 625 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** But I can't answer that question till we get the analysis done.
- 626 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Okay. And last question. I'm hoping that you will be serving
- on the derelict boat committee that's been established by our friends at Berm and -- let
- me see. What was my note about this? Oh, I know. You know, one of the problems I
- 629 think that Greg Reynolds has had with regard to the derelict boats is that he doesn't
- always have a place to plonk them while he's -- you know, if something's floating around
- out there, he can latch on to it, but where's he gonna put it? And the Sheriff's docks have
- not been available to him. Will there be a place in the mooring field for derelict boats to
- be temporarily moored until we can have -- you know, find out who the owner is, etcetera,
- 634 etcetera?
- 635 **JOHN SPRAGUE**: I intend to get with the police chief and go over what we think our
- 636 needs are going to be and where the best place to designate a few moorings.
- 637 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Okay.
- 638 **JOHN SPRAGUE**: Not only our police in general, Riviera, but possibly County and
- whatever, because part of the problem is once you seize a boat -- and we have one sitting
- of the boat's gonna sit right off Bicentennial Park right now. They have been arrested. The boat's gonna sit
- there until we get adjudication from the court.
- 642 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Right.
- JOHN SPRAGUE: So we don't fix the problem. The boat's still there and how do we get
- 644 it off? Somebody's gotta pay for it.
- 645 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Right.

- JOHN SPRAGUE: So the thing is, is on a mooring, there isn't a cost. If you bring it in to
- even your own marina, if you occupy a slip you could rent, there's a monthly cost for that.
- If you haul it out to a boatyard, they're going to charge you for it. So how can we store
- these boats cost effectively until court goes through its process and then Greg can send
- them to the dump? So, yes, I will be building that into mooring field database, but not
- only that, I'm working with FWC on a State level to get that done all over. Every other
- 652 county's got the same problems we've got.
- 653 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Good. And of course people are interested in knowing where
- 654 the mooring field will be. Are you certain yet about a location or is that still -- last time we
- 655 spoke about this --
- 656 **JOHN SPRAGUE**: No.
- 657 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** -- it was still up in the air. Okay.
- 658 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** There are three potential mooring fields. One is south of the turning
- basin in the section probably from -- equivalent to like 58th Street, West Palm, down to
- 660 42nd.
- 661 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay.
- JOHN SPRAGUE: There's 104 boats located there at the moment. The next would be
- Phil Foster Park. We actually had 27 boats in there sitting this morning according to my
- 664 count.
- 665 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Uh-huh.
- JOHN SPRAGUE: And then the third one will be the western shore of Riviera, between
- the channel and all of our homeowners and our commercial facilities from the marina, all
- the way up to the bridge, which because of a mooring field, you cannot anchor in a
- 669 mooring field.
- 670 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Right.
- 671 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** So therefore, it protects our entire waterfront from one end to the
- other from the danger of those kinds of boats that are anchoring in there from being able
- 673 to.
- 674 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** I'm sorry. Could you say again that last one, the western shore
- 675 of --
- 676 **JOHN SPRAGUE**: Right -- if you take the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway --
- 677 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Right.
- 678 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** -- from there to our eastern shore of land, the western side of the
- water. In other words, right off of Rybovich, Viking.
- 680 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay. Okay.

- JOHN SPRAGUE: Martin Marietta, the houses all the way up to the bridge.
- 682 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay.
- JOHN SPRAGUE: So in a hurricane, hopefully we have no more boats there that can
- 684 actually wind up --
- 685 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Yes.
- **JOHN SPRAGUE:** -- on all of the properties, which they do repeatedly every hurricane.
- 687 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Yeah, yeah. That would be -- that would be great. Okay. I
- 688 don't have anything else. Anyone else?
- 689 Thank you so much, Mr. Sprague. It's always good to -- oh, Mr. Lawson, you're
- 690 recognized.
- 691 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Yes, Madam Chair. Sorry, I stepped away. Mr. Sprague,
- 692 just a real quick question. I know that the restaurant or potential restaurant that we're
- 693 putting on the water, is that gonna be private for the -- for the boat owners, marina owners
- of the slips, or is that gonna be public restaurant?
- 695 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** Has to be -- everything that we do out on those waters, we have a
- 696 dedication from the Governor and Cabinet. We're very lucky. That is like one step back
- from fee-simple ownership. And it was dedicated to the City to use for public recreation.
- 698 CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON: Okay. Excellent. No, absolutely.
- 699 **JOHN SPRAGUE**: So --
- 700 CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON: I just want to make sure that we can --
- 701 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** Everything has to be open to the public, first come, first served. And
- 702 if it's owned, it's gotta be owned by the City of Riviera Beach. So if we build --
- 703 CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON: And I know -- go ahead, Mr. Sprague. I'm sorry for
- 704 interrupting.
- 705 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** -- we build that restaurant, it has to be owned by the City. It can't be
- 706 owned by anybody else. And then --
- 707 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Excellent.
- 708 **JOHN SPRAGUE**: And some agreement you put whatever strings you want to as the
- 709 City for the operation and the use of that restaurant.
- 710 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** I just know with the fence that's there and the docks that
- are built currently, residents have just asked are these private, are these private docks,
- are these gonna be private areas and restaurants. So I just want to make sure that we
- are on the record and clear so residents don't come back asking later. So thank you so
- much for that clarity, Mr. Sprague. I appreciate it.

- 715 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** Yeah, all the gates that we've just put in, those are open during the
- 716 daytime. Anybody can walk through --
- 717 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Yes.
- 718 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** -- when they want to. We shut them at a certain time at night because
- of security because the marina's closed. And we're making -- in the new docks going in,
- 720 we're going to be able to shut those secondary gates --
- 721 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Uh-huh.
- 722 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** -- so the public can get to the restaurant during close hours, or 10,
- 723 11:00 at night or whatever.
- 724 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Right.
- 725 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** So we're building that in the plan.
- 726 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Excellent. Yeah, I figured as much. It just sounds better
- 727 coming from you, Mr. Sprague. Thank you.
- 728 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** I appreciate it. Thank you very much.
- 729 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** I have one more quick question. It has to do with dinghies and
- 730 -- I received a complaint. Maybe this is a question for the harbormaster and not you or
- for Seven Kings, but about the fact that if you wanted to rent a dinghy slip, it could only
- be a day-to-day basis. You couldn't say, "I want this slip for a month for my dinghy." So
- 733 you know anything about that or is that a question that I have to address --
- 734 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** No. I mean, there are some things as we expand the marina that are
- 735 inherent to -- in other words, if we have a mooring field, we have to provide dinghy
- 736 dockage --
- 737 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Right.
- 738 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** -- because it's the only way they can get out to their boat back and
- 739 forth.
- 740 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Right.
- 741 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** But no different than a slip. We've got rules and regulations on how
- those dinghies operate, where they're allowed to go.
- 743 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay.
- 744 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** Whether or not there's charges or whatever. We've gotta work on
- 745 that.
- 746 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay.
- 747 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** The parking issue. That's all part of the communications as we go

- 748 forward and dealing with every one of those items.
- 749 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay.
- 750 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** The dinghies sometimes -- you know, I've seen marinas that charge
- 751 like for \$50 a month, you got a dinghy slip that you can come in to. Other marinas don't
- 752 want them. You know, it's on a management case-by-case. But regardless, those
- dinghies that come in need a set of rules and regulations because they're coming onto
- 754 City property.
- 755 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Right.
- 756 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** And how we deal with that issue.
- 757 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay. Thank you. Thanks again, John.
- 758 **JOHN SPRAGUE:** Yes.
- 759 Capital Improvement Plan Jonathan Evans, City Manager
- 760 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay. Mr. Evans?
- 761 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** All right. We'll go through the capital projects, and Mr.
- Sherman will be leading the discussion as it relates to the capital projects on the marina
- side as well as the City side.
- 764 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Okay. Marina projects are just this one sheet.
- The first seven projects that you see on the sheet, these are all carryovers from the
- current CIP projects. As Mr. Sprague said, we should actually be wrapping up most of
- those in the upcoming fiscal year. I did want to point out the south basin dredging number,
- that is not the cost of the dredging; that is just the cost of the permitting at this point. And
- some of these projects may actually wrap up in September, before the new fiscal year
- starts. Again, as Mr. Sprague said, dock G, dock E, they're pretty much done. The pile
- covers and the security gates are actually being handled by Seven Kings, and I fully
- expect that by the time I get their final September numbers, those two projects may also
- 5773 be coming off the board.
- And then the very last item again -- and this is why we invited Mr. Sprague to present
- today -- is the expansion for phase 4. As I said earlier, we took that \$23 million number
- and we just spread it evenly out over five years. And we can have the discussion at a
- future meeting on whether we want to wait out each FINE grant and spread it out, take
- advantage of as many grants as we can, understanding that those grants are limited,
- they're competitive, and it will take, again, probably another eight to 10 years to complete
- the project, or if we want to use the anticipated revenue stream and the current revenue
- stream that we have and actually go out and leverage that and borrow and get this project
- 782 done in the short term.
- 783 So I'll take any guestions on the marina capital projects or we can move right into the
- 784 general government projects. Okay.

- 785 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Did anybody? I don't think anybody did. Go ahead, Mr. --
- 786 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** All right. Everybody's had enough on the marina.
- 787 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Yeah.
- 788 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** All right. John, thank you.
- 789 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Thanks, John.
- 790 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Getting into the governmental capital projects,
- and we will have a separate presentation on the USD capital project, a good five-year
- 792 CIP project, typically what you would be able to do, you have years 1 through 5. If you've
- done your homework and done a good job of putting that together, once you get to year
- 2, the projects really should shift to the current year and then you really add new projects
- on at the end of the five-year cycle. So you're not gonna see a lot of changes in this CIP.
- 796 Obviously, every year projects close out, projects may have some unforeseen issues
- come up, and so there will be very little tweaking to it; but in essence, what you're gonna
- see today is a lot of the same projects that were in last year's CIP.
- So first up is the Fire Department, and Chief Curd and Mr. Bailey are both on to answer
- any questions on these projects. But where we're focusing -- again, all these projects
- were in last year's CIP, with the exception of the last one, which is bunker gear, and the
- 802 Chief asked if we could include some funding for his bunker gear replacement this year.
- 803 But where you see the primary focus on the Fire Department is Station 88. As you know,
- we have purchased -- or in the process of purchasing the old Walgreens site at Congress
- and Blue Heron, and that's where we're putting the bulk of the capital dollars to get that
- 806 project done.
- 807 So I'll ask at this time if there's any questions on the Fire projects. If not, I'll move down
- 808 to the Police Department projects.
- 809 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** You're recognized, Mr. McCoy.
- 810 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Thank you, Mr. Sherman. Thank you, Madam Chair. The
- impact fees, where do we see those numbers at as far as what we've gotten in? Because,
- you know, even now I'm thinking about it, right, I think it's comingled in the coffer. Like,
- is there a separate line item for impact fees that we see in the -- in the coffer or, like, how
- 814 do we see what we get in impact fees every year?
- 815 DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN: I'm trying to think the best place that I could tell -
- we do keep the impact fees in a separate fund. We do track the impact fees by the type
- of fee. You would see it -- when I send out the quarterly treasurer's report, you would see
- a lump sum, but I certainly can provide a breakout of the impact fees in the future if you
- 819 would like for me to do that.
- 820 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Well, I don't know if that's necessary, but I guess what I
- was trying to get at is, you know, like we're gonna set aside 350,000. You know, I want
- to know like what's been the trend? Like how much do we bring in for impact fees? You

- 823 know, obviously there's not a whole lot of new development, unless it's like residential
- 824 housing or some massive condominiums. I just was curious to see where those numbers
- are held and what it looks like, you know, over the years and what we bring in. 825
- **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Madam Chair, if I may. 826
- 827 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Mr. Evans.
- CITY MANAGER EVANS: Mr. Sherman, is this -- this is the impact fees associated with 828
- 829 the levy associated with Fire Rescue that's being utilized for the 350,000. Is that correct?
- 830 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Correct.
- 831 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Or is it -- yeah. So the impact fees have to be specific to what
- the impact is, or the development, what the impact is going to be. So it's very specific as 832
- to what the use can be. So that's why that 350,000 can be utilized. For instance, that 833
- 834 particular money, we couldn't utilize it for a new slide at Barracuda Bay. So it's really
- earmarked for the provisions of Fire Service. And so there is different tranches of money 835
- 836 that Mr. Sherman communicated, so we're pulling out the monies from that fund
- 837 exclusively and specifically for Fire Services.
- 838 COUNCILPERSON MCCOY: Okay. Well, I remember seeing a breakdown, but I'm just
- curious. I know that there's a tranche, if you will, for Library Services, a couple different 839
- 840 things. But, you know, once you know that we collect it, I guess us as policymakers, we
- never really know what happens to the money after that. You know, obviously we know 841
- 842 we collect it, but if it's spent directly for that purpose, it would seem to me that -- isn't there
- even an allocation for Police? 843
- 844 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Yes. And, see, we utilize it for one-time capital purchase so
- there's no reoccurring because once the money's gone, it's gone. So it's more so utilized 845
- for these kind of capital acquisitions. This \$350,000 was monies that we made it all the 846
- way to the Governor's desk and was vetoed. And honestly, the reason that we're asking 847
- 848 for the \$350,000 stems from an unfunded mandate that the legislature placed on local
- 849 jurisdictions as it relates to fire and bunker gear and cancer prevention, etcetera, but did
- 850 not provide the funding mechanism to effectively address the concerns that local
- jurisdictions are having. So multiple fire agencies are going through this, and Chief Curd 851
- can provide some -- as far as the end-of-life information concerning the gear that we do 852
- 853 have.
- 854 **FIRE CHIEF CURD:** Yes. Currently what we try to maintain in Fire Rescue is two sets of bunker gear so that in the event our firefighters actually engage in a structure fire, they
- 855 can take that gear off that's covered, soaked with carcinogens, it can be washed, 856
- decontaminated, but we have a second set so that they can go right back into service and 857
- have no delay or impact in the services. Bunker gear traditionally has a 10-year life 858
- expectancy. We look to purchase sets of bunker gear every five years so that every five 859
- 860 years there will be a set when we hit that 10-year end of life; we know we have a set that's
- gonna be good for five more years. We are at that 10-year mark on one of our sets of 861
- 862 bunker gear.

- This is an unfunded mandate. There's been a lot of conversations with the International
- 864 Fire Chiefs Association on some types of funding for this mandate. Making it to the
- Governor's desk through appropriations was going to be a big win for us in funding that
- 866 Cancer Prevention Act that we put together for appropriation funding, but it never
- happened. And, you know, I hate to use the term, but it's the cost of doing business.
- That PPE, that structural firefighting gear is an absolute necessity.
- 869 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Mr. McCoy, you're recognized.
- 870 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Thank you. Chief Curd, it sounds like deja vu. I can
- remember this specific conversation last year at budget time. You almost started off with
- the same line about the carcinogens and the cancer and I was like, man, like I've heard
- this before. But how much is that bunker gear if you had to estimate just for one firefighter
- 874 outfit, just one set?
- 875 **FIRE CHIEF CURD:** So there's different ways to look at an ensemble, and actually what
- 876 we're looking at now is very new, technologically advanced gear that's specific to
- 877 carcinogen prevention. But if we talk about a full ensemble, we could be somewhere
- between 5 to \$7,000 per firefighter.
- 879 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Well, Councilman Lawson will do it for much cheaper. He'll
- run in with his black shirt and then come up with a (unintelligible). Thank you, Chief.
- 881 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Chief, I have -- anything else, Mr. McCoy? You're good?
- 882 You're good?
- 883 Chief, I have a question. Oh, no. Actually it's for Mr. Sherman. I'm sorry.
- 884 Mr. Sherman, how do our impact fees compare with surrounding municipalities or
- 885 comparable municipalities?
- 886 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** We recently did an impact study on the USD's
- impact fees and we found that we were woefully behind most communities. The City's
- impact fees, it's probably been a good dozen years since they've been evaluated and it
- 889 certainly is -- it certainly is time.
- 890 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Yeah, could we take a look at that? Do you need a vote of
- 891 Council to do that or is that something you do as a routine measure -- matter?
- 892 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** As part of our directives that we would like to get from the
- 893 Board today, that certainly is one item that should be discussed and provided to staff
- 894 because if we -- we're leaving probably millions of dollars on the table as it relates to
- impact fees, but at the end of the day, once the impact fee analysis is done and we move
- 896 forward, then there is going to be an impact to those businesses and residential properties
- and those types of things. So the Board has to be comfortable with what the results are.
- 898 Now, on the other side, some communities have done impact fees and have found out
- that they have to reduce their impact fees. So it is a double-edged sword, but what we've
- 900 been able to see from the City's side is that we are woefully under where we should be

- as it relates to impact fees. So that's a direction that we would like to get from the Council
- 902 today to move forward with an analysis.
- 903 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Are we allowed to make a motion at a workshop?
- 904 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** You can just provide staff direction if there's a consensus
- 905 from the Board.
- 906 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Do any council people have objection to asking staff to go do
- 907 that analysis? Sounds like you're -- sounds like you're okay. Mr. McCoy, you're
- 908 recognized.
- 909 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Mr. Evans, did I just hear you say the impact that's gonna
- 910 be -- is that gonna be on existing businesses? If we have impact fees, shouldn't we need
- 911 an increase?
- 912 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** No. It would be prospectively. It would be new construction
- and new businesses, etcetera, renovations, those kind of things.
- 914 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Okay. I support the study and the analysis.
- 915 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Thank you. Mr. Lawson, you're okay with that?
- 916 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Yes, Madam Chair. I just had a quick follow-up in regards
- 917 to the impact fees.
- 918 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: You're recognized.
- 919 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Thank you so much, Madam Chair.
- 920 Mr. Sherman, how much do we have across all accounts in impact fees?
- 921 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** If you gave me a couple minutes, I can get that
- 922 number for you.
- 923 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Pretty much how much are we holding? And then
- 924 Councilman McCoy referenced the impact fees, and I would actually like a report on the
- 925 impact fees to see where we stand and what we've been charging and also comparing it
- 926 to other municipalities, what are comparable impact fees compared to other municipalities
- 927 as well.
- 928 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Mr. McCoy, you're recognized.
- 929 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** So thank you, Madam Chair.
- Ouncilman Lawson, I think that's a great point, but, Mr. Manager, you know, having that
- 931 information would only be fair and complete if we examine the services that we provide
- 932 compared to some of the other municipalities because ours wouldn't be the same as the
- 933 town of Lake Park's, I mean, even if we look at the rate. Mr. Evans?
- 934 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Right. That is correct, Councilman. You have to have a

- 935 rational nexus to be able to levy the impact fees, and there's a formula and a scientific
- analysis that has to be concluded because you can't just establish an impact fee for the
- 937 sake of an impact fee. But as we look at our impact fees, there's certain ways that the
- 938 Board can say you want to address or set aside money for capital projects and
- 939 investments.
- But, yes, you're right, Councilman, there has to be a rhyme and reason for the impact
- 941 fees and it has to -- the fees have to go to mitigate what the actual impact is, and the
- 942 State Legislature has definitely made a lot of changes and modifications because they
- 943 saw where local jurisdictions were taking one -- let's say the impact fees in one particular
- 944 district and spending in a completely district. Now it has to go to mitigate exactly what
- 945 the impact is based on the development and those parks fees and all those other fees
- have to go to be spent in that particular zone. So it's very specific now, as in the past it
- 947 wasn't.
- 948 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** So, Mr. Evans, to give an example, if there were to be, say,
- 949 new construction over here on Singer Island and we knew that was gonna require
- 950 additional demand for potable water, we could charge an impact fee on that new
- 951 developer and put that funding toward our new water plant?
- 952 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** If it is intended to benefit the district or the area in which the
- 953 impact occurred, then yes. So if it is that, you know, all the infrastructure underneath
- would be replaced or improved or what have you, then that's what the investment would
- 955 be. But most developers come in with a development agreement and say in lieu of the
- 956 impact fees, I'm going to make the improvements to the systems to go ahead so I'm not
- paying out of pocket to go to your impact fee. So there's ways to structure arrangements
- and deals where you can get the private sector to build out some of the infrastructure and
- make the investment on behalf of the government entity. I've seen developers build parks
- and build municipal stations and those types of things versus contributing to an impact
- 961 fee, but you have to go through the study to do that.
- 962 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Well, it seemed like -- Councilwoman Miller-Anderson, did you
- have any objection to the staff going through that study? Okay. So we'll take a look at
- the comparable municipalities' impact fees and also what we spend from our impact fees'
- budget on -- what items we spend that on. Thank you. Anything else for Mr. Sherman?
- 966 DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN: Yeah, let me respond back to Councilman
- 967 Lawson's question. \$1.9 million is sitting the impact fees' account right now. Some of it
- 968 is all programed into the CIP.
- 969 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** So some of that is appropriated to CIP currently.
- 970 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Correct.
- 971 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Do we know what amount is appropriated? And how have
- 972 we spent those funds over the last few years -- over the last, I would say, three to five
- 973 years?
- 974 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** That I would have to get back to you on as far as

- the specific projects. I believe at the end of this presentation -- we do not have a summary. I'll get you a summary total before we break today on how much is on
- 977 (unintelligible) the impact fee.
- 978 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** And to Councilman or Vice Chair's point, as we go through
- 979 the capital projects, you'll see the funding source and we've delineated for impact fees.
- So, for instance, PD tech enhancements starting in 2021, all the way to 2025, \$80,000
- 981 from the impact fees are gonna be appropriated for law enforcement, and there is a fee
- that's associated for law enforcement. So as we go through, we do have the numbers
- 983 that are set aside, but we can get it into an aggregate form and then provide it to you in
- one particular sheet so you're not having to go back and forth through the pages.
- 985 CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON: Excellent. Thank you, Mr. Evans. Thank you, Mr.
- 986 Sherman.
- 987 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Yeah, let me move on to the Police capital.
- 988 Again, there's only two items for the Police Department. The first one is their body
- 989 cameras. That's actually a grant that they received. It was over \$300,000, and 25,000 is
- 990 what's remaining on that. And then as City Manager just commented, we do fund the
- 991 tech enhancements, which is really the police cameras. So these two projects were in
- 992 last year's CIP and continue forward into the next five-year budget. And again, the
- 993 cameras are being funded out of the impact fees.
- The next sheet is all Public Works projects. Again, very little change from the prior year,
- and the projects have just shifted from outyears one column to the left. There are a couple
- 996 minor tweaks on here that I want to point out. The streets milling and surfacing, you'll see
- 997 we have \$1 million in that project. What that \$1 million is going to do, it covers the CDB
- 998 project match. It does provide a little bit of money for some miscellaneous street projects,
- 999 but it also includes the paving at Avenue M that was just approved at the last council
- 1000 meeting. So that's all in that \$1 million.
- The other item that I do want to point out on this sheet and then we'll respond to questions
- is the last item, municipal facilities. We tried to pile a few dollars that we could put together
- 1003 as we transition into a new municipal complex. So anything that we're doing moving
- 1004 forward between now and the interim to get to the new complex, we're gonna be charging
- out of this \$4.1 million that we have budgeted in 2021. All the other items again are
- 1006 carryovers from the prior years. And we can take any questions that you may have.
- 1007 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Mr. McCoy, you're recognized.
- 1008 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Mr. Sherman, you seem to have omitted District 5 sector
- 1009 dollars. Where is that at? Oh, I'm sorry.
- 1010 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Oh, I'm not doing the eye-poking.
- 1011 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Oh, I came back specifically for this conversation. Yes,
- 1012 Mr. Sherman. Let's discuss District 5 funds. I'm looking at this budget, capital projects,
- and, yeah, it's missing. Is there something we could discuss?

- 1014 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Wait a second.
- 1015 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Well, let's see. We could add one (unintelligible)
- 1016 divide it by five and reallocate it.
- 1017 CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON: I'm really liking that idea. I just don't know where I would
- start, and then the policies behind it. So I'm just letting those -- I'll let them handle it.
- 1019 COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: Start in District 1.
- 1020 CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON: Yeah.
- 1021 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Yes. Mr. Sherman -- I have a serious question now, Mr.
- 1022 Sherman.
- 1023 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Yes.
- 1024 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: The North Ocean probably should be North Ocean Drive
- landscaping through a grant, \$100,000? What is that?
- 1026 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** I'll allow the Manager to address that one. That
- was an item that we received from Mr. Bailey.
- 1028 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** So one of the things that we've consistently heard complaints,
- and even the Council has made some concerns, that we want to start improving some of
- the medians and some of the landscape areas throughout the city. And so we're looking
- to move forward with setting aside some monies to redo some of the medians in the city.
- There is some design elements as we move through the community that we are going to
- redo some of the landscape going to more Florida friendly, stuff that is more conducive
- to a beach community. And so we're looking to redesign the look and feel not just down
- the Blue Heron and North Ocean, but also down Broadway to make sure there's
- 1036 consistency and uniformity. So we did set some monies aside for that particular initiative,
- but there is subsequent dollars that we think that we can put forth to be able to address
- 1038 medians holistically throughout the city.
- 1039 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Okay. Mr. Lawson, you're recognized.
- 1040 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Thank you, Madam Chair. I do have a serious question,
- though. In regards to the sector funds, would these funds be able to be utilized for the
- 1042 program that we're initiating, the Arts in Public Places program? Would we have or
- inclined or my colleagues to possibly contributing a percentage, 10 percent of their sector
- 1044 funds towards launching our Arts in Public Places? Because this is gonna be a citywide
- initiative. Is that possible to actually use sector funds for that type of program?
- 1046 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** It is, Councilman, and we do actually have a slide that speaks
- to Arts in Public Places that we wanted to get direction from the Board to set any monies
- aside for the particular program and the initiative.
- 1049 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Mr. McCoy?

- 1050 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** So I guess that would be my question. I'm sorry, Manager.
- 1051 Just had a follow-up.
- 1052 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Go ahead, Mr. Lawson.
- 1053 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** That would be a question to my colleagues to see if we'd
- be entertaining -- I know that you've already allocated some of your sector funds, but if
- there is a percentage that we could do across the board from each sector to go towards
- the Arts in Public Places program, I think that would be an amazing initiative for the city
- 1057 to really get it launched and moving.
- 1058 COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: Madam Chair?
- 1059 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Miller-Anderson, yes, you're recognized.
- 1060 **COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON:** So as we go along and get to that particular
- slide, then I, you know, would have a few more questions and then we can entertain that.
- 1062 At least I can entertain it.
- 1063 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Mr. McCoy, you're recognized.
- 1064 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** And I don't want to seem as if I'm coming off dismissive,
- 1065 Commissioner Lawson, but how does Art in Public Places enhance the quality of life and
- 1066 public safety for the city, you know, specifically? Now that I've seen this issue with
- Brightline, I'd be hard pressed to put a nickel into any art until I can make sure that we
- have addressed the real serious safety concerns throughout our district. And obviously
- sector dollars work for capital improvement projects to make each individual district look
- 1070 -- you know, to improve the district all around. But again, it's not my money. Right? You
- 1071 know, it's the voters of City Council that can decide, you know, if you guys decide on
- something, but I just don't want to support anything other than making sure that we have
- adequate safety measures, especially relative to this new concern that just popped up
- last week with our rail crossing. So -- and I like the idea, but not for -- not for sector
- dollars. I want to make sure my priority is figuring out, you know, if we have to do a
- 1076 collaborative with FEC, how we can make sure that we have appropriate safety
- 1077 barricades for rail safety.
- 1078 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Thank you, Mr. McCoy.
- 1079 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** And Madam Chair, if I -- to Councilman McCoy as well as
- 1080 Councilman Lawson's point, the Art in Public Places, if we look at the medians, there is
- architectural and landscape features that can be artistic that can be incorporated into that
- that are a fraction of the cost. It's not just, you know, the hardscape or a statue or a mural
- or those types of things. But, you know, those are things that we will bring back to the
- 1084 Board for further conversations and discussions.
- 1085 And Councilman McCoy, to your point, staff is already looking about the possibility of
- some decorative fencing or some shrubbery or some dividers that create that barrier
- between the tracks and our community, and so we're looking at what other communities
- are doing to address this. And hopefully in a partnership with the rail companies, there

- may be some way to find that happy medium, but staff is already building out some
- solutions to that particular concern that you did raise.
- 1091 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Mr. Lawson recognized.
- 1092 CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON: Thank you, Madam Chair. And Councilman McCoy, to
- your point, there are a number of studies, actual reports, that speak on how Arts in Public
- 1094 Places has improved communities on a number of spectrums. So the goal is -- what I'll
- ask Mr. Evans is to make sure that Mr. Sermons incorporates into the next AAIP report
- that we can actually show the increase in community safety, in regards to economics, in
- regards to just, you know, love and appreciation for your community and the different
- transformations that's happened throughout the state and the country with the Arts in
- 1099 Public Places program. Because the decorative fencing is one address and one touch
- that we can add, but there's so many different mechanisms that we can incorporate into
- 1101 youth, into our business development, into our economics, into our streets and our
- streetscaping that the Arts in Public Places program will actually incorporate into.
- So I'll make sure and ask Mr. Evans to get that in the next report so that we can kind of
- show the improvements that it actually can provide so it can be -- make it comfortable for
- transferring some of these sector dollars, or wherever we can get some funding to help
- 1106 get this program launched over. Because I really think that just individuals that are
- actually contributing to this community, they'll see that it's aesthetically pleasing. They'll
- 1108 see that the businesses will be attracted to coming here and actually attract more
- business and job opportunities. But it's a deep spectrum that we'll go deeper into it at a
- 1110 later date.
- 1111 CITY MANAGER EVANS: And Madam Chair, if I may to that point. We certainly will
- incorporate that as part of the impact fee analysis to see what cities have the Art in Public
- 1113 Places as a mechanism of a fee that's collected as a result, so that'd be a reoccurring
- funding stream that we can be able to collect associated with that program.
- 1115 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Thank you. Mr. Sherman?
- 1116 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Slide? Thank you. And closing out the general
- 1117 government CIP projects, we have City Administration. Again, these are three projects
- that we have been carrying in the CIP.
- 1119 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Mr. McCoy, you're recognized.
- 1120 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** I'm sorry. I seen something on your screen in the last slide
- that I don't see on mine. And maybe I'm cut off here. Can you go back one? I seen
- something that said 35th Street and I don't see that on mine.
- 1123 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: 35th Street, Avenue H to Avenue F grants, 558,000 in FY '21.
- 1124 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** It's not showing on my slide sheet. Okay. All right. Yeah,
- 1125 I can't see all -- okay. Okay. We can go ahead.
- 1126 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** And then down at the bottom we have the IT

- master plan. That is the money we've talked about from the study that we did back in
- 1128 2015. We are decreasing that number for the next four years. It has been upwards of
- 1129 \$1.5 million per year. As we've implemented systems, purchased our hardware, put some
- things into place, that number is being cut in half for the next four years. Again, probably
- by the time we get through dollars be time for the next master plan study, as that will have
- been 10 years. But that's the general government CIP. And if there's no questions, we
- would move into -- oh, I'm sorry. I do have one more slide. I do have one more slide.
- 1134 These are the projects that are currently been requested that are unfunded. As you see
- the top three again are from the Fire Department. It's not that they will not be funded
- going forward; it's just that right now funds have not been identified. Those three projects
- for the Fire Department for either be grants or will be pay-as-you-go capital. I don't tend
- to put pay-as-you-go capital into the plan unless I know I have it in hand. So next year
- when we get the FPL numbers, we will program that and those projects will be funded.
- 1140 And then down at the bottom are two Parks projects. We talked about these last year,
- some expansion at Barracuda Bay and Tate gym, and those are being unfunded at this
- point in time as we decide what we're doing with those facilities.
- 1143 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Okay. I'm sorry.
- 1144 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Go ahead, Mr. McCoy. You're recognized.
- 1145 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** They are being unfunded?
- 1146 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** They were unfunded last year and they're
- 1147 continuing to be unfunded.
- 1148 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Right, but we voted to do the party room at Barracuda Bay.
- 1149 We had that item.
- 1150 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** I thought we specifically voted not to fund it, but
- 1151 --
- 1152 COUNCILPERSON MCCOY: I know we had an RFP and then there was a --
- 1153 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Yep.
- 1154 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** There's the -- there's the --
- 1155 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** (Unintelligible).
- 1156 **UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:** It was voted not to fund the party room at Barracuda Bay
- 1157 last year.
- 1158 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Okay. All right. Thank you for clearing that up.
- 1159 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN**: We'll move to USD?
- 1160 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yep.

- 1161 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** And Dr. Johnson is on the line so if you have
- specific definitions as to what these projects are, but I will walk you through the numbers.
- Last week, just give you a brief update, we did receive a ratings review from Fitch Ratings.
- We haven't received the final determination on the ratings at this point, but these projects
- were all shared with Fitch last week and they are obviously well aware of the city and the
- challenges that we face here, but the fact that we have these projects all funded they
- were very pleased with.
- So this sheet here is from the renewal and replacement account. Annually the district is
- required to put money into the R&R fund, and these projects will be funded from that fund.
- 1170 I have a slide a couple slides down that will talk about adding some additional money to
- this fund, but right now these are the projects that are listed. Again, they're the same
- projects that have been in the CIP for actually a couple of years now, moving along from
- one year to the next.
- On the next slide, these are the projects that are being funded from the series bonds that
- were issued on behalf of the district. I do need to make a clarification just so everyone
- understands. We did issue debt on behalf of the USD in 2014, but that was a re-funding
- of the 2004 bond. So that was not new money; it was just a refinancing similar to what
- the CRA did with their debt a couple of weeks ago.
- So again, these are the projects from the series 2016 bonds. Again, there is nothing new
- 1180 here. These are the same projects. The numbers have shifted around, you know, from
- 1181 year to year. I have incorporated -- you have an item on your September 2nd district
- meeting. Those dollars that are being asked to be moved and funded for a capital project
- are incorporated, so this is up to date as next week.
- 1184 I would point out on the bottom, had this discussion again with Fitch, the water treatment
- plant is included in the five-year CIP. As you heard from Ryan Smith on Thursday night,
- actually looking for probably about \$121 million project; and his thinking is, is maybe we
- can issue less than that. But again, as you go down the line, those numbers will get
- solidified. I probably will be bringing back in fairly short order a resolution for the district
- 1189 to approve.
- 1190 You'll see on that water treatment plant line there is actually \$750,000 that has been
- approved as part of the current year CIP and \$2.2 million next year. Now, technically you
- cannot spend bond money, bond proceeds before you receive them and expect to get
- reimbursed out of a future bond sale. However, you can adopt a funding -- what is known
- as a funding resolution and make that declaration now and then you can then, when you
- issue the bonds, you would actually be able to reimburse yourself. So I'll be working with
- bond counsel to draft up that resolution and bring that to the Board's consideration.
- 1197 So that's the USD capital projects. If you have a question on a specific project, Dr.
- 1198 Johnson is available.
- 1199 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Any guestions? Mr. Sherman --
- 1200 CITY MANAGER EVANS: Mr. --

- 1201 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** I'm sorry. Who's speaking?
- 1202 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Mr. Sherman, these are -- this is Jonathan. These are the --
- 1203 I had a wardrobe change, so if you didn't notice me up here.
- 1204 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** You and Lawson, I don't know.
- 1205 CITY MANAGER EVANS: These are the -- it wouldn't be a budget session without a
- wardrobe change, like a pitstop. These are the unfunded projects in the USD.
- 1207 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Correct. Correct. There is one sheet with the
- unfunded projects. A lot of these are street projects where Public Works goes in, they're
- doing a street project, we try to do the underground work at the same time. But there are
- some projects that are significant on here. We had to -- we did cut the line with the dollars
- that are available. Does not mean -- as you see, we bring resolutions guite frequently,
- moving dollars from project to project once we get the bid amount.
- 1213 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Mr. Evans, are you done? Miss Miller-Anderson?
- 1214 CITY MANAGER EVANS: And --
- 1215 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: I'm sorry.
- 1216 **COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON:** I see a lot of those are in somebody's district,
- a lot of those unfunded things there, so let's just pay attention when we start cutting that
- money there. A lot of those seem to be on the east side of the tracks here, I see.
- 1219 Hopefully some of those can come back at some point.
- 1220 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Mr. Evans or Mr. --
- 1221 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Certainly.
- 1222 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** A guick thing that's -- it's probably almost inconsequential in
- the grand scheme of things, but can something be done about the landscaping around lift
- station 10? Does that go into this budget or into Park, into Public Works? Where does
- 1225 that --
- 1226 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** We probably -- we probably have funds available this fiscal
- 1227 year that we can cure that and not have to incorporate it. And so I'll ask Director Bailey
- and Dr. Johnson to work together to address, you know, not just that lift station, but to
- look at all our lift stations because there's some cosmetic landscaping improvements and
- 1230 even a fresh coat of paint and some new fencing that we can look to do --
- 1231 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay.
- 1232 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** -- throughout our community.
- 1233 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: So there's a person over there who's been asking for a
- meeting. We can set that up in the near future perhaps with Mr. Bailey and Mr. -- and Dr.
- 1235 Johnson and maybe you?

- 1236 CITY MANAGER EVANS: Sure.
- 1237 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** You'll work on that? Thank you. Councilwoman Miller-
- 1238 Anderson.
- 1239 **COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON:** So -- but in all seriousness, is it possible to
- 1240 find out what are the conditions of those -- that underground stuff on those particular
- roads? Because I know we did have a -- have one that -- a pipe that burst a year or two
- ago over here on Avenue E and -- I think it was like 15th, 16th somewhere. So I don't
- 1243 know if they intersect or anything.
- 1244 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** So what we can do, Madam Chair, and if it's the pleasure of
- the Board, we can have -- all the projects that are unfunded, we can have staff, you know,
- 1246 provide the rationale at a district meeting as to why these projects have been pushed
- back and to provide some clarity so the public doesn't think that there's deteriorating
- 1248 infrastructure and it's being neglected.
- 1249 COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: Right.
- 1250 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** So we can provide the rhyme and reason behind those
- particular decisions. So we can bring that to a Utility District board meeting to provide
- 1252 some context as to why these projects aren't funded and then what's the long-term
- 1253 solution with regards to these.
- 1254 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** That'd be great.
- 1255 **COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON:** Okay. Sounds good. Thank you.
- 1256 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Thank you. Okay. Mr. Sherman? How much -- just in terms
- of time, are we -- are we still -- are we on track to finish at 4? Because I know people
- have obligations at 4:00. We want to be sure we're done by 4. Does it look like we'll be
- 1259 okay?
- 1260 CITY MANAGER EVANS: We're on slide 44 out of 65, so I think so.
- 1261 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay. Thank you.
- 1262 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Except for the 15 slides that I wanted to insert, too.
- 1263 **COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON:** We're gonna ask you to take your questions
- off mine or you'll be in here by yourself. No.
- 1265 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** When I talked about the district's capital projects
- and we talked about the renewal and replacement account, again, the bond documents
- require each year that 5 percent of the revenues go into the R&R fund. There are other
- capital dollars, though, available to the district, and one of the points that Mr. Smith made
- on Thursday evening is now is the time -- as you're getting ready to build this new plant,
- now is the time to look at the cash balances that you have and take advantage of that.
- So created this very graphic slide for you to hopefully explain actually how the bond
- documents work and where this money is coming from.

- So according to the bond documents, we actually take every revenue that comes into the
- district and it all goes into the revenue fund. And then what we do is we take out of that
- revenue fund the amount of money that we need to put into operations. Okay? So again,
- the bond documents, their issue is they want to make sure we're operational, we have
- 1277 enough dollars to, you know, continue to operate. That's their first priority. After that, if
- there is additional revenues available, it rolls in and it pays the debt service. After that, if
- there was additional funds available, it rolls down and we put the -- make the annual
- renewal and replacement contributions. Then after we make those contributions, if there
- are transfers to the City, that's the next bucket that the funds come out of. And then at
- the end, if there are still dollars available, it goes into the capital and surplus fund.
- So hopefully you can read the note that's on this slide, but what we do with the capital
- and surplus fund is we set aside as the surplus portion of the fund 50 percent of the
- operating revenues. So again, as you go back up to the top, if there are insufficient
- 1286 revenues to pay for operations, we can then tap into that surplus fund to pay the
- operations. The rest of the money -- yep. I see a question?
- 1288 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Okay. I got it now.
- 1289 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Sorry.
- 1290 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** So the transfers to the City, Mr. Sherman, is that the
- management cost or the management fees for us managing the overhead of those
- 1292 employees and --
- 1293 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** That is in that number, yes.
- 1294 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** But, I mean, as funny as it sounds, why wouldn't that be a
- part of the operating expenses, included in the operating?
- 1296 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** We build it into their operating budget, but
- 1297 according to the bond documents -- this slide is in accordance with the bond documents
- 1298 -- we're the last one that gets paid. They may carry a payable to us due to, you know,
- the general fund, but we're -- it's a cash flow, so the City is the last person to get paid in
- 1300 this.
- 1301 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Well, I don't think there's ever been a case where we've
- 1302 not been paid, but it just -- I'm just curious as to the whole pecking order of how this --
- 1303 this --
- 1304 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Yeah. Yeah. I haven't seen it here, but I will tell
- 1305 you in West Palm Beach one year, it ended up that there was a receivable and payable
- on the books because there wasn't sufficient cash.
- 1307 COUNCILPERSON MCCOY: Okay. Thank you.
- 1308 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** So what -- I'm sorry. You all set? Okay. So at
- the end of the day, the capital fund that we have -- and some of you may recall we have
- brought some resolutions once or twice to pull some money out of here. The capital fund

- at the end of fiscal '19 -- and I know that's an unaudited number, but at the end of '19 was
- 1312 \$19.978 million. In order to make this five-year plan work, what we're recommending --
- and again, we'd like consensus on this because we'll build it into the resolution -- is to
- transfer 75 percent of those funds over to the renewal and replacement account. So
- we're asking to transfer \$15 million -- and again, this is part of the recommendation from
- 1316 Ryan Smith, your district rate consultant, and we'll put those into that R&R fund and that
- will allow us to fund the five-year projects that you saw on that fund. This does not include
- anything that may through the flow of funds in 2020 get added back in, but it will leave \$5
- million in this bucket and allow us to do the entire five-year capital projects that you
- 1320 sought. And I can take any questions that you may have.
- 1321 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Mr. McCoy, you're recognized.
- 1322 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Mr. Sherman, I would just ask that you repeat that. I was
- looking for my pen and it sounded very -- it wasn't very simple. It seems a little more
- 1324 complex. I want to make sure I understand that. So if you could just run that back again
- 1325 for us.
- 1326 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** You need me to start at the revenue fund or just
- 1327 at the bottom?
- 1328 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** The bottom.
- 1329 COUNCILPERSON MCCOY: The recommendation of --
- 1330 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Okay. The recommendation?
- 1331 COUNCILPERSON MCCOY: Yes.
- 1332 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Okay. So in the capital and surplus fund -- okay?
- 1333 Again, the surplus fund we maintain 50 percent of the operating budget. So that's almost
- 1334 \$11.5 million. That's not the entire budget, just operating. And that leaves about \$20
- million in the capital fund. So what the recommendation is, is to take 75 percent of what
- is in the capital fund and transfer it over to the renewal and replacement fund. That will
- then be used to assist the required annual contribution to pay out that five-year capital
- 1338 program that you saw as funded by the renewal and replacement. It still will hold \$5
- million in the capital fund that can obviously be accessed. You know, we would have to
- 1340 bring back a subsequent resolution.
- 1341 And again, I point out that we set these numbers at the end of the fiscal year. So once
- fiscal 2020 is complete, we will be adding additional money into the surplus and capital
- funds as we close out the books. But right now there's \$20 million there and we're asking
- to move 15 to the R&R fund. And if we can get some consensus on that, we would build
- that into the budget resolutions when we draft those.
- 1346 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Mr. Sherman, what's the downside of doing that? Is there any
- downside? Is there any reason why we would object to that?
- 1348 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** The only reason you would object to it is if I was

- trying to take 100 percent. No. It was only leaving 1 or \$2 million as the emergency
- 1350 reserve.
- 1351 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Right.
- 1352 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Again, we're not projecting 2020. We're leaving
- 1353 5. And again, at the end of 2020, we will actually be --
- 1354 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** That more.
- 1355 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** -- dollars.
- 1356 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** I understand. Does anyone have an objection, or how do we
- 1357 -- we're not gonna vote on this. We're gonna give our consensus.
- 1358 Mr. McCoy, you're recognized.
- 1359 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Thank you, Madam Chair.
- 1360 Mr. Sherman, just clarify, the renewal and replacement fund, the difference in that
- 1361 compared to the actual capital fund. I mean, I guess it kind of seemed to be one and the
- same. If we have projects that are on the renewal and replace -- well, I guess they're not
- on the renewal and replacement. They're certainly on the capital projects list. Does that
- include the -- is that just set aside or does that include what we would like to do some of
- the unfunded? Because the unfunded would seem as if it comes from the capital and
- 1366 surplus fund.
- 1367 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Yeah. What I included on the renewal and
- replacement sheet with the list of projects includes the required contribution, the 5 percent
- that gets put into that fund every year, and the \$15 million transfer. So you're right, they're
- close cousins. You know, they're not exactly the same, but in essence they are the same.
- 1371 The capital fund again is just that's where the money ends up at the end of the day. The
- revenue flows through all the buckets and then we're transferring that money back to the
- 1373 renewal and replacement account.
- 1374 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Anything else? I think we've lost Councilman Lawson, unless
- -- he was in his car it looked like, but -- any objection to that, Mr. McCoy?
- 1376 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** I'm not sure I completely understand it, Madam Chair. And
- 1377 I guess what I'm looking at is what effect does that have on -- Councilwoman Miller-
- 1378 Anderson spoke of the number of projects. Like, you know, renewal and replacement
- exists with our existing plant and the things to make the plant operate. But the capital
- 1380 projects is the underground infrastructure. Correct?
- 1381 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** It could be above-ground projects as well for the
- district, but, yeah, most of it is the below-ground. I mean, it could be equipment, you
- know, pumps, pump stations, but most of it is the below-ground. And really what this
- does is it does set aside \$5 million -- and again, believe that that number will increase at
- the end of 2020 -- that could be used to fund some of those unfunded projects going
- forward. But what I don't want to do at this point is draw down 100 percent and not have,

- 1387 you know, a little emergency reserve set aside.
- 1388 COUNCILPERSON MCCOY: Yeah, that's a lot. You know, I guess the spreadsheet I
- got doesn't seem to show the full list that you guys have and I don't know if I'm the only -
- 1390 I mean, I'm sorry, the PowerPoint that I received doesn't show the full list. So I'm looking
- at the renewal and replacement sheet and I only got, let me see, three, four, five, six,
- 1392 seven, nine, ten --
- 1393 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Councilwoman Miller-Anderson, you're recognized.
- 1394 COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: Which slide is that with the renewal, then --
- 1395 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** It's back a few.
- 1396 COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: -- list?
- 1397 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** They got about 12 projects. That's all I see. Oh, no, further
- 1398 back. Starting with the Garden Road --
- 1399 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Right, right there.
- 1400 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** So I'm sorry if I don't understand this, but exactly us
- transferring 75 percent, we would already -- I don't -- I don't understand. Is there a target
- that we're looking at for renewal and replacement? Is there a certain level or minimum
- 1403 reserve that you're trying to have in that?
- 1404 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** No. The 5 million -- there's no magic to the \$5
- million other than it's 25 percent. But the renewal and replacement, we're only depositing
- 1406 about \$1.6 million into that every year. And if you look at this list of renewal and
- replacement projects, it's \$23 million. So we're trying to move the \$15 million over to
- cover, then, a large portion of this \$23 million; and then the million-six that we deposit
- over the next five years is another \$8 million.
- 1410 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Mr. Sherman, would it be -- would the rating agencies look at
- it favorably if that change occurs? Because if you're having a renewal and replacement
- program that is not funded to the level that it needs to be funded, especially with the age
- of the plant, that that creates some challenging as we go for additional ratings for future
- 1414 bond issue answers.
- 1415 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Yeah, the answer to that is yes. I mean, they
- saw or, you know, had access to the revenue sufficiency report that the consultant
- 1417 prepared. You know, and their concern over the last two or three years is that capital
- projects have not been taking place. When I provided them a list of projects we did for
- 1419 fiscal '20, it was only \$6 million. And we're sitting on 30 million -- close to \$30 million of
- bond money. We have \$20 million in this capital fund and they're -- they want to know
- why the projects aren't being done. So I think, again, with Dr. Johnson coming onboard,
- we can see, you know, he's starting to move some of these projects. And if we don't
- move the 15 million, you know, most of the projects on here, similar to how we talked
- about the marina, we'll have to wait until next year's \$1.6 million transfer to get divvied up

- and then decide which projects to move forward on.
- 1426 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** So it's a strong recommendation from you that we do this.
- 1427 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Yes, ma'am.
- 1428 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Thank you. Mr. McCoy, do you have other questions?
- 1429 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Well, I guess you just got the answer for us.
- 1430 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay. I'm just (unintelligible).
- 1431 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** You know, I guess I don't object to it. I just wanted to make
- sure I understood it. But, you know, it seemed like the gentleman that we had -- you
- 1433 know, these meetings are becoming so --
- 1434 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** The rate consultant.
- 1435 COUNCILPERSON MCCOY: Yeah. His name was Smith?
- 1436 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Smith, yes.
- 1437 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** For the 121 million, you know, just looking at this, right, I
- 1438 know we need to maintain our lift stations, but there is -- this is so tough to look at right
- now. Well, you know, I'm just concerned about how much of this is gonna be a sunk cost
- and how far are we out from, you know, getting started on some of these. I guess you
- can't -- yeah, this is not something I want to even -- yeah, I support the recommendation,
- but, you know, I just was curious as far out are we on a new plant and, you know, how
- much of this is a sunk cost if we're talking about eight years -- eight years away or
- 1444 something like that, you know?
- 1445 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Mr. Sherman? Mr. McCoy's --
- 1446 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN**: Oh --
- 1447 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** -- got a question for Mr. Sherman. I think so.
- 1448 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Well, if you want -- Mr. Evans, if you want to go
- 1449 to the next slide.
- 1450 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Yeah, sure.
- 1451 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Again, this is at the bottom project that's on this
- slide and hopefully you can see the bottom where we have the water treatment plan. This
- is where I talked about bringing back a funding solution. If we approve the funding
- resolution, as you see, we actually have money budgeted in 2021 to start working on the
- development of that project. Start, you know, site plan, start, you know, design. And, you
- 1456 know, we have the property. I think, you know, we have these bonds in 2025. That was
- what the consultant had. Last week when I spoke to Fitch, we talked about even maybe
- 1458 2023/2024, depending on how guickly we could do the design work.

- 1459 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Who is that you spoke to, Mr. Sherman? Fitch?
- 1460 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** That would have been Fitch Ratings.
- 1461 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Oh, I thought you spoke to Fitch himself.
- 1462 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** No. I'm sorry.
- 1463 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Okay.
- 1464 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** On a first-name basis.
- 1465 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Yeah. Miss Miller-Anderson, are you okay with this? Yes.
- 1466 Mr. Lawson, are you still with us? Are you all right with -- your speaker's -- your
- 1467 microphone is off. Please don't drive --
- 1468 CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON: Yes, I'm okay -- I'm okay with this, Madam Chair.
- 1469 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay. Okay. It sounds like you have what you need, Mr.
- 1470 Sherman.
- 1471 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Thank you.
- 1472 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Next slide.
- 1473 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** All right. So this gets into a couple of items that we wanted
- to get clarity and direction from the Board, and we have a couple more slides that would
- speak to each of these particular items.
- 1476 One, the budget is adopted based on the millage rate or is proposed at the millage rate
- at 8.4520 mills for fiscal year 2021. We believe with some economic uncertainties in the
- market that it is not the opportune moment to look to reduce the millage rate. Also we
- have some staff modifications that have been presented at prior workshops, and we'll go
- through those briefly as part of the slide. Mr. Stephen Gude will share some information
- 1460 through those briefly as part of the side. Will Stephen Gude will share some information
- that I think is very important as we look at the insurance renewals and provide some
- perspective that I think will be helpful to the Board to provide staff with clear direction on
- the matter. Also looking to get direction from the Board about the possibility of moving
- forward with the CRA reimbursing the City for the monies that was borrowed back in 2009.
- 1485 If the Board agrees with staff moving forward with an increase on the water rate of 6
- 1486 percent for the fiscal year 2021 budget. As well as looking to implement a fire
- 1487 assessment, and we have some additional information that we can provide you
- concerning the fire assessment and the process that staff has currently been undertaking.
- 1489 Also if the Board is in favor of 3-percent raises for all non-represented employees, and
- then there is a list of programs here from Public Safety Citizens Review Board, City of
- 1491 Riviera Beach Leadership Academy, Workforce Development, Powell Funding, Hope
- Dealers, Art in Public Places. And then ultimately the funding that has not been utilized
- in its entirety from our COVID response efforts would look to be rebudgeted.
- So those are some of the things that over the next couple of slides we will provide some

- 1495 comments, and then we'll come back to this slide to get direction from the Board as to 1496 how you wish to proceed.
- So the next slide, I will turn it over to Mr. Sherman to talk about the revised tentative
- budget. You were provided a budget by myself, and there has been some modifications
- and tweaks, and Mr. Sherman can provide some context with regards to that.
- DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN: Yes. Hopefully you received the updated budget reports yesterday via email. I believe Noel sent them out. You will notice that there is
- about a half-a-million-dollar increase in the general fund budget. Much to my surprise
- and pleasure earlier this week, the State actually released new updated revenue projections. The City picked up \$382,000 in additional revenue projections from the State.
- 1505 Most of that came in the revenue-sharing distribution, but there were also increases in
- 1506 the gas tax and the sales tax numbers. And then down the bottom you will notice that the
- 1507 IT, Information Technology, budget is a slight drop, as is the Fleet Services budget.
- 1508 After the August 17th meeting, we went through another process again of updating all of
- the salaries, pick up all the positional changes, the hirings, the separations, picking up
- after the discussion that we had with IEFF about their contract. So we reran all of the
- 1511 health insurance numbers, the pension numbers. Everything has been refreshed. Once
- that was completed, we reran the cost allocation plan, which was also hopefully supplied
- to you yesterday. So there was some changes in the payments between funds, which is
- why you see some of these changes, but again, generally the budgets did not change
- significantly. Again, there were some shifts between departments, again, depending on,
- 1516 you know, who was -- employees are coming and going, but the general fund budget is
- increased or has been increased by \$514,000; and the IT and Fleet budgets have been
- decreased, Fleet by 233,000 and the IT budget by 93,000.
- 1519 CITY MANAGER EVANS: Slide goes into some of the reductions that we have
- incorporated in the fiscal year 2021 budget as it relates to FTEs. You can see that when
- you look at the total government, it is 41.5 positions. And then when you look at the -- to
- incorporate your Utility Operations, it's 43.5, with a total reduction in personnel costs of
- approximately 1.8 million, and that is actually compounding. So there is gonna be
- subsequent savings in future years as a result of this. You're not gonna be paying for health insurance, additional wages, etcetera. We also look to -- as attrition occurs in the
- 1526 organization, looking at each and every position and making the determination whether
- we keep the position or we look to remove the position from the agency. Just depends
- on what the needs and the demands of the department is. So being able to get a little bit
- 1529 -- to be a little bit more -- looking at the sustainability and right-sizing the agency.
- 1530 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Mr. Lawson, you're recognized.
- 1531 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Evans, I see that Police
- 1532 HR, they had a substantial amount of reductions in full-time employees. Are these
- positions that they're gonna be looking to have replaced within the next one to two budget
- 1534 cycles? Also in Finance I see that we had a drop-off of full-time of about six. Are these
- positions that they're gonna want to have filled in the next one or two years?

- 1536 CITY MANAGER EVANS: What we're looking to do, if we're able and successful to move
- 1537 forward with the fire assessment and implement that funding stream, there is less burden
- that we will have on the general fund. And then we would look to reconstitute some of
- the positions in Public Safety first, and then look at some of the other operations.
- As it relates to Human Resources, one of the positions that I would look to transfer over
- to -- or the dollars associated with the position is the Economic Development position that
- was housed in the City Manager's Office, looking to provide that funding to HR because
- we do have a need for Labor Relations. But with us looking to hire a new HR director, I
- want them to see what the need is and then go forward with creating what position they
- think is optimal for the operations. But there is certain operations and certain positions
- that may look to come back, but there's a large segment of positions that will not be
- returning, as, you know, there's some efficiencies that the agency has gained as well as
- some positions that have been vacant for well over two or three years that we've never
- had anyone in that position. So we will be scrutinizing every position that we look to bring
- 1550 back or look to eliminate.
- 1551 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Mr. Evans, who -- I'm sorry. Go ahead, Mr. Lawson.
- 1552 CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON: Yes, Madam Chair. Thank you. That was my actual
- 1553 question. I wanted to make sure that we were assessing every department and making
- sure that the positions that we're eliminating are truly positions that are not needed within
- our department, especially now that we see how we're operating within times of COVID
- and actually may not need those in-office individuals that have been vacant and that we're
- operating efficiently and effectively within those departments.
- 1558 CITY MANAGER EVANS: And to your point, Councilman, one of the things that we have
- looked at is what is the right size for our community, what are the right positions for the
- 1560 community, and we have -- instead of eliminating the positions, we're unfunding them.
- So the job description and all that still remains the same, and should we decide to put
- 1562 funding in through the process -- the legislative process with the Council, then those
- positions come back to life and it's not like we have to recreate the position, etcetera. So
- that's what we're doing with all these positions, and we have had conversations with the
- labor unions as a result of the unfunding of these positions.
- Now, one thing that I think is important to note that even though it's 43.5 positions, only
- two persons are being impacted as a result of the reduction in the positions. Most of
- these positions are all vacant positions, and those individuals have been spoken to from
- the department director as well as with HR present. But we did speak to all the labor
- unions and shared with them what is going on as it relates to the City's financial position.
- 1571 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Anything else --
- 1572 CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON: Thank you, Madam Chair.
- 1573 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: -- Mr. Lawson?
- 1574 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Thank you, Madam Chair. That's it.

- 1575 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Thank you. Mr. Evans, who in Administration will be tasked
- 1576 with doing Economic Development?
- 1577 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** That is going to be between myself and the Deputy City
- 1578 Manager will be responsible for Economic Development. With the importance of the
- 1579 synergy with the development community and City administration, it needs to reside in
- the Manager's Office.
- 1581 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay.
- 1582 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** So we will be spearheading the Economic Development
- initiatives from the Manager's Office.
- 1584 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay. Thank you. And one last question about Utility Special
- 1585 District. Does the Utility Special District still have in its ranks people who do the cutting
- of grass and the maintenance of the -- what do you call, in the back where the alleys are,
- or is that something that's done by Public Works?
- 1588 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** That particular function has been transferred over to Public
- Works because, you know, when we had the conversation and discussions that Utility
- 1590 folks, how does that have anything to do with the Utility operation? So --
- 1591 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay. Thank you.
- 1592 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** And that has been absorbed by the Public Works staff.
- 1593 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Thank you. Anything else? Mr. McCoy, you're recognized.
- 1594 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Mr. Evans, can you clarify -- I think I heard you say
- something about the positions would be unfunded but they won't be removed? I guess I
- 1596 kind of heard it and I wasn't --
- 1597 CITY MANAGER EVANS: Yes. So we have a situation where if we eliminate the
- positions in its entirety, the job description, all that goes away. By unfunding the positions,
- we just extract the funding, but the position, the title and where it stays in the pay plan still
- 1600 remains.
- 1601 So, for instance, if we unfunded a -- let's just talk about a position we don't even have, a
- solid waste worker 1. If we unfund that position, it still would remain in the pay plan; it still
- would be a range 17; the salary range will still be the same. And then if we come back in
- subsequent years and we wanted to reconstitute that position, all we do is add the funding
- to it and that position's there. If it's eliminated, I then have to come back to the Board with
- a new job description and the union has to take a look at the job description, and then we
- have to assign it to whichever collective bargaining unit and then we request the funding
- 1608 from the Board. So it's a pretty strenuous process when you look to create positions that
- are to go into represented classes.
- 1610 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Okay. And these FTE full-time equivalent positions, are
- they to coincide with these departmental overviews that we've seen over the last two
- 1612 budget meetings?

- 1613 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Yes, Councilman.
- 1614 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Okay. And I'm asking because I'm looking at Parks and
- 1615 I'm trying to figure out the number of part-time positions that I'm looking at from the
- 1616 department overview.
- 1617 CITY MANAGER EVANS: And I have -- the next couple of slides goes in by each
- department, so it'll be able to be a little bit more -- it'll be cleaner than this particular slide
- that has everything enumerated in one particular slide.
- 1620 COUNCILPERSON MCCOY: Okay. Because -- yes, please, because I'm not seeing
- 1621 (unintelligible).
- 1622 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Okay.
- 1623 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Thanks.
- 1624 CITY MANAGER EVANS: No problem. All right. So the next slide --
- 1625 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** I'm sorry. Mr. Evans, so I'm clear where we're going from
- here, the previous sheet that you showed us that had the various projects -- and I know
- there's some projects by some of the members or initiatives by the members -- are we
- 1628 gonna revisit those later?
- 1629 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Yes, sir, that's our -- that's our closing slide, yes, sir.
- 1630 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Okay.
- 1631 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** So this is the staff change that's being proposed in fiscal year
- 1632 2021. We have Law Enforcement here, unfunding of five positions, and unfunding eight
- positions to fund the PBA contract. So three officers, five police officers. So this is --
- 1634 you're seeing most of these positions are vacant; and then through attrition in the agency.
- we do carry some vacancies throughout the year as well.
- 1636 With regards to Fire, we are actually creating three Firefighter positions, creating an
- 1637 Executive Assistant position, converting the Ocean Rescue position from one full-time
- position to part-time. And then if the fire assessment is implemented, we would ask to
- 1639 create three new Firefighter positions and EMS captains. Right now, obviously with the
- presentation that we did have with the Board, we're woefully understaffed as it relates to
- 1641 Fire Service and that's why it's so important that the fire assessment is part of the project
- 1642 as we move forward. From what I've been communicated from staff -- and Chief
- 1643 Curd, if you can come on the line -- there has been a process that has been undertaken
- by staff as it relates to the fire assessment. Chief, if you can provide some insight to the
- Board and give them an update to where we are at this moment.
- 1646 **FIRE CHIEF CURD:** Yeah, I'm not quite sure in the procurement or RFP process how
- much we can actually discuss, but we have looked at the proposals that have come in.
- The evaluation committee looked at the two proposals that were submitted and we all
- 1649 provided our rankings. So it is my understanding that the next steps are -- well, we will
- be coming to Council with the proposed RFP or the RFP that was -- scored the best for

- 1651 Council's direction or approval to move forward with the assessment. And part of the
- 1652 RFP was for them to provide various options on how the assessment would be -- can be
- implemented and then also the constraints on the time frame that we bring that back to
- 1654 Council for you all to look at and make a determination on if any of those options meet
- your expectations for what a fire assessment would look like for our community.
- 1656 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Thank you, Chief.
- 1657 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Chief.
- 1658 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** The next slide speaks to the City Clerk's Office. It's unfunding
- a Record Management Specialist position that's vacant and converting a full-time Mail
- 1660 Carrier position to part-time. The other position is unfunding a vacant Labor attorney.
- And to provide additional clarity as to why the operational cost in the City Attorney's Office
- was so high is because all the legal fees, even those that Police utilizes, has been
- transferred, as well as HR has been transferred to the Legal Department. So Legal has,
- in essence, the account that any type of legal services that are being requested by a
- department has to be approved and signed off by Legal. So that's why you saw the
- increase in the Legal Department's operational cost.
- The next is the Human Resources Department, unfunding three positions. We have an
- 1668 impending retirement with the Human Resources administrator and that's where we will
- see a situation where I will transfer the monies over to Human Resources for a position
- that will be named later. It's almost like an NFL draft pick of player to be named later. So
- the department director can select what the title for that particular position may be.
- 1672 We're eliminating a vacant HR Administrator position that occurred as a result of a
- promotion and then the Insurance Tech position that is vacant. The Deputy City Manager
- has interviews -- has interviewed folks for the Risk Manager position, so we should be
- bringing somebody onboard for that position fairly quickly. And I believe the HR Director
- position interviews are after Labor Day, so we should be bringing someone onboard to
- 1677 lead up that department here shortly.
- 1678 As it relates to Procurement, unfunding a Senior Procurement Specialist position that's
- vacant and reclassifying a Contract Administrator to a Contract Manager or a Contract
- 1680 Coordinator depending on what the needs of Miss Pemsel's team has at the particular
- moment when they're looking at the candidates for this particular position.
- 1682 Moving along. Unfunding a Senior Reference Librarian position that's vacant. City
- Administration, unfunding a Multimedia Specialist and a vacant Receptionist position.
- 1684 Finance and Administrative Services is reclassifying Treasury Manager to Treasury
- 1685 Services Administrator, reclassifying Customer Service Manager to Billing Administrator,
- 1686 and reclassifying Assistant Customer Service Manager to Assistant Business
- 1687 Administrator.
- The reason for these reclassifications is associated with the individuals that are serving
- in those roles that are being reclassified. We're working outside of their classifications in
- positions that they did not meet the qualifications to retain the position full-time, and so
- 1691 what we're doing is we're making the modifications to reflect their skillset and their

- experience so it is a position that coincides with the persons that are in those positions.
- So there's some reclassifications that occurred as a result of that.
- 1694 Also the elimination or the unfunding of Billing and Collection Technician, Business Tax
- 1695 Receipt Specialist, two Customer Service Representatives positions that are vacant, and
- then transferring a Customer Service Worker position that's filled, and transferring an
- 1697 Accounting Specialist position over to the Procurement Department to assist with P-card
- reconciliation. The transfer of the Customer Service Worker, I believe that's gonna go
- over to the Parks and Recreation Department to assist with Parks and Recreation
- activities more so on the Parks side with the grounds maintenance and the upkeep of
- 1701 some of our facilities. The next is unfunding a -- yes, sir.
- 1702 COUNCILPERSON MCCOY: Mr. Evans, did you touch on the -- I seen the Accounting
- 1703 Assistant, the last one on the page?
- 1704 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Yes, sir.
- 1705 COUNCILPERSON MCCOY: Accounting Specialist, where -- transfer --
- 1706 CITY MANAGER EVANS: Procurement, Procurement to assist with P-card
- 1707 reconciliation.
- 1708 COUNCILPERSON MCCOY: Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. Thank you.
- 1709 CITY MANAGER EVANS: No problem, sir. The next couple of positions for the
- 1710 Information Technology is the Information Specialist Technician, which is vacant;
- 1711 Information Technician Position II, which is vacant; the System Administrator position,
- which is vacant. And the GIS CAD Technician position is currently filled, but that position
- 1713 -- the incumbent in that position is actually moving into a higher-level position. So it is
- 1714 filled at this particular moment, but prior to the adoption of the budget, they will be moving
- into the higher-level position. So that position will be vacated.
- 1716 The next is the position eight -- unfunding eight positions in the Public Works Department.
- 1717 The Project Manager position, that's a position that is being -- that is filled and is being
- eliminated. The Staff Assistant position, that position is filled and that position is being, I
- believe, eliminated as well. There's four vacant Maintenance Workers positions that are
- 1720 being eliminated and vacant. Lead Electrician that's being eliminated, and then the
- 1721 Assistant Public Works Director position that's vacant.
- 1722 With regards to those positions that are filled at the Staff Assistant and Project Manager,
- the conversations have occurred with staff; and if there is vacancies in the organization
- that those individuals are willing to move to or apply for, they're more than welcome to do
- that. And I believe that every position -- like I said, there's only two individuals that are
- impacted as a result of the proposed reductions and they have been communicated prior
- to these slides being made public. We wanted to inform them, and as well as reached
- out to their collective bargaining units to inform them about that as well.
- 1729 Fleet, we unfunded one position, the Senior Heavy Equipment Mechanic which has been
- 1730 vacant for over a year. Development Services --

- 1731 COUNCILPERSON MCCOY: Can you go back to --
- 1732 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Yes, sir.
- 1733 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** -- Fleet position?
- 1734 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Yes.
- 1735 COUNCILPERSON MCCOY: But that doesn't seem consistent. The Fleet position, I
- thought on the previous slide that there was no changes in Fleet as far as -- as far as the
- 1737 FTE count. One of the earlier slides -- maybe I can pull it up here, but --
- 1738 CITY MANAGER EVANS: That position has been vacated -- has been vacant for over
- 1739 a year now. So there's no -- and I believe that particular service that's being provided is
- being provided by staff as well as a contractual provider when needed.
- 1741 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Okay. Thank you.
- 1742 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Yes, sir. Development Services. They are adding a Permit
- 1743 Tech for the front counter and reclassifying two positions, Principal Planner to a Long-
- 1744 Range Planner, and Code Inspector to a Field Supervisor. Based on what's happening
- with the Code Compliance operation is that Miss Bostic is being in the -- it's hard for her
- to be in the field, providing instruction and direction as well as catching up on some of the
- paperwork. So this provides some assistance to be able to accommodate the need from
- the department to be able to be a little bit more proactive, as well as the Police Department
- is gonna be working with Code Compliance to try to address some of the nuisance
- properties that we have in our community, and Chief Osgood has four folks that are going
- to augment the Code Compliance operation to assist in really taking a more proactive
- approach on getting compliance as it relates to code enforcement in our community. And
- 1753 Parks and -- yes, sir.
- 1754 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** I don't like that idea at all. In fact, in 2015 and 2016, it was
- very strongly stated from several people that we didn't want Code Enforcement anywhere
- 1756 within the Police Department. And I just don't like that idea at all. I mean, police -- go
- 1757 ahead.
- 1758 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Councilman, they're not going to be writing the citations.
- 1759 They're gonna be helping providing the documentation and information to Code
- 1760 Enforcement. Chief Osgood, if you can provide some insight with regards to that.
- Because they're not gonna be interacting, from my understanding, with the properties.
- 1762 They're just gonna be providing some of the paperwork and documentation as they're out
- in the community. Chief Osgood, can you speak to the thought?
- 1764 POLICE CHIEF OSGOOD: Yes, Mr. Manager. Thank you. Councilman -- good
- afternoon, everyone. Thank you for having me speak today.
- 1766 Yes, Councilman McCoy, it's not that Code Enforcement's coming over to work with the
- 1767 Police Department. It's not that type of idea. We obviously have code issue in the City
- 1768 of Riviera Beach. So instead of having Police Department doing one thing, Code

- 1769 Enforcement doing something different and we're not communicating together, we
- 1770 decided, speaking with our new director over in SI, to let's put together a Code
- 1771 Enforcement Nuisance Abatement Team that we're working together to address some of
- these code issues within our city.
- 1773 I mean, you can go down every street and see clear violations of code issues. Trying to
- make our residents aware, our stockholders aware of their violations. And some of the
- 1775 communities are very intimidating for an unarmed person or persons not law enforcement
- to go into that community and tell a person that they need their roof cleaned or they need
- to move those cars from underneath or do something about it. So then they go in as a
- 1778 team. We're gonna keep tracking mechanisms to see which calls we went into the
- 1779 community to talk about. This is a quality of life, part of beautification of the city so that
- we can address code issues together versus having one group do one thing, the next
- group do another thing and then we don't find out that we were working on that for a year
- 1782 later.
- 1783 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Mr. McCoy, you all right with that?
- 1784 COUNCILPERSON MCCOY: Thank you, Chief.
- 1785 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Thank you, Chief.
- 1786 **POLICE CHIEF OSGOOD:** Thank you, sir.
- 1787 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Thank you. The next is the Parks and Recreation Department
- unfunding of 12 positions, a vacant Park Superintendent, two vacant Program Aides, eight
- 1789 vacant Program Aides part-time, and a Summer Program Coordinator position which is
- 1790 vacant.
- 1791 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Mr. McCoy?
- 1792 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Thank you. My math finally works. I do see the seven
- and a half, but I guess this goes back to the -- I guess the departmental overview that I
- 1794 seen -- was it the 27th? Yes. It was two days ago. It seemed like forever. But I didn't
- see anything as far as Recreation is concerned and I want to know what's going on with
- 1796 that.
- 1797 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** We can -- Director Blankenship and Mr. Sherman, if you all
- 1798 can provide Councilman McCoy with -- and the rest of the Board with the follow-up
- 1799 conversation that you all had after the Board's concerns.
- 1800 DIRECTOR OF PARKS AND RECREATION BLANKENSHIP: Good afternoon, Council.
- 1801 Richard Blankenship, Parks and Recreation Director. Yes, yesterday -- the eight Program
- part-time Program Aides that you see, I'm not sure exactly of which discipline they're
- made up of, but I don't think they included the part-time lifeguards for Barracuda Bay. So
- 1804 I had a conversation with Mr. Sherman vesterday that we can include those, the unfilled
- ones. There's a few that are filled and they're doing other things right now, but the vacant
- ones we can add to this group of those that are being unfunded.

- 1807 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY**: Madam Chair?
- 1808 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Mr. McCoy, you're recognized.
- 1809 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** I guess my question is, looking at your org chart, it only
- 1810 called for Parks and Aquatics. And I'm trying to find out, does that not include the
- recreation program? Is that why we're seeing 12 positions right now as being unfunded?
- 1812 **DIRECTOR OF PARKS AND RECREATION BLANKENSHIP:** No, sir. Councilman,
- when I arrived, that was the way the org chart was set up. So I haven't done anything to
- 1814 further delineate the divisions. Will moving forward, but that's why you only see two
- divisions 'cause that's the way I inherited the org chart when I arrived.
- 1816 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** So I guess Aquatics is only Barracuda Bay. Correct?
- 1817 **DIRECTOR OF PARKS AND RECREATION BLANKENSHIP:** Yes, sir.
- 1818 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** And then the lifeguards that -- because I guess what I'm
- 1819 looking for is any changes with -- like when you put in rebrand and reconfigure how
- recreation program is delivered, that falls under Parks?
- 1821 **DIRECTOR OF PARKS AND RECREATION BLANKENSHIP:** No. That will fall under
- the whole department. That will be a departmentwide effort and communitywide effort.
- 1823 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Right, right, but -- so Recreation isn't a division of its own?
- 1824 **DIRECTOR OF PARKS AND RECREATION BLANKENSHIP:** Again, this is the org
- chart that I inherited. Normally it would be a division. It would be a division listed, but I
- 1826 just kept with what was here when I got it.
- 1827 COUNCILPERSON MCCOY: Right. But -- okay. So -- okay. Let's talk about
- 1828 Cunningham and Timber Pines Park and, you know, some of the various parks around
- 1829 the city.
- 1830 **DIRECTOR OF PARKS AND RECREATION BLANKENSHIP:** Uh-huh.
- 1831 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** What I consider as Park. But when we had organized
- recreation sports over at the gymnasium and then the Saturday football games with the
- 1833 Chargers, are you saying to me that that is all included under Park?
- 1834 **DIRECTOR OF PARKS AND RECREATION BLANKENSHIP:** I'm saying to you that
- there -- as far as the org chart is concerned, there is no recreation division. It would fall
- 1836 into --
- 1837 COUNCILPERSON MCCOY: Well, let's talk in terms of budget, because that's what I
- was kind of trying to figure out. Like when you look at an operating budget, I want to know
- what the components is of that budget and what that looks like. So, I mean, however you
- decide on your organizational chart is fine, but, you know, what represents \$2,065,000 is
- what I'm trying to make sense of.
- 1842 DIRECTOR OF PARKS AND RECREATION BLANKENSHIP: That would be the

- recreation program budget, management of recreation programs, the staff that goes along
- with it, summer camp if it returns. Those type of things that are in that -- maintenance of
- the gyms, the maintenance of some of the other ancillary items that we have. That all
- 1846 falls under recreation budget. Under the Park side --
- 1847 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Madam Chair, if I may.
- 1848 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Please do.
- 1849 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** To Councilman McCoy's point, I certainly agree that it needs
- to be Parks, Recreation, and Aquatics. We can -- Deputy City Manager and I can work
- with the Parks and Recreation director and Finance and kind of bring you those slides on
- 1852 your meeting on Wednesday to show that and break that down a little bit more granular
- to be able to communicate what those -- what those items may be to provide a little bit
- more specificity because it is -- there should be three divisions in there.
- 1855 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Thank you. Thank you. And it really sounded an alarm
- 1856 when Councilwoman Lanier said the County was opening back up their recreation. And
- 1857 I'm thinking to myself, I want to see where we have that allocated or is it separated from
- our existing operations. So, yes, I look forward to that, Mr. Evans. Thank you, Mr.
- 1859 Blankenship.
- 1860 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** The next and last slide with regards to the personnel changes
- 1861 is the enterprise fund, the Utility District, unfunding a vacant Backflow Technician,
- 1862 Assistant Water Plant Superintendent, and a Utility Engineer position, which is filled.
- 1863 Currently we have one engineer that is on staff, as well as the new assistant director that
- is an engineer as well, and Dr. Johnson and staff do believe that that is adequate to be
- able to fulfill the needs for the department, plus the stable of Engineering Services
- 1866 contracts that we have with outside consultants to assist in our water treatment process.
- 1867 Reclassifying a Water Superintendent position, which is vacant, and then adding one
- position that is a Wastewater Collection Superintendent because you have collection,
- distribution, and the wastewater side. So there is, you know, three specific operations.
- 1870 So that provides for that deviation based on the needs of the department.
- So those are the proposed staff changes that are incorporated in fiscal year 2021, and
- then I'm going to turn the presentation over to Mr. Stephen Gude to speak on the health
- insurance and provide a little bit more insight and perspective on the plans and the
- 1874 programs. Mr. Gude?
- 1875 **STEPHEN GUDE:** Good afternoon, Council.
- 1876 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Good afternoon.
- 1877 **STEPHEN GUDE:** Thank you, Mr. Manager. Just wanted to go over -- like you said, if
- 1878 you look at the first slide right here we're gonna talk about, it just shows that there's the
- 1879 comparison. It's just a quick snapshot. And we went over it twice already with the other
- 1880 two workshops and it shows you -- I want to zoom in on the 8.9 percent, the last one --
- shows you that there are minimum changes. And if you have any questions, I can answer

- 1882 them.
- 1883 We have an increase on the deductible slightly, \$500, and we also have an increase on
- the maximum out-of-pocket to \$3500. Okay? But one of the points that I want to bring
- in, I just want to make sure you know that, Aetna is still giving us seed money. They're
- gonna give additional \$500. Then on top of that \$500, if you have a family coverage,
- 1887 you're gonna get \$1,000. Okay? Staff is also gonna recommend that we try to get as
- 1888 many of our staff members to sign up for the FSA because the FSA would cover the
- 1889 deductible.
- 1890 Mr. Manager, if you could switch to the next slide, or do anyone have any questions?
- 1891 Thank you.
- 1892 If you notice on slide -- what we're saying now is the staff and brokers, we're
- recommending for fiscal year 2021 that we renew with the 8.9 percent. It shows here --
- 1894 I'm gonna highlight -- there are some minimal changes again. The savings is gonna be
- about \$534,000, which is almost half a million. If you look at the 15.9 percent, that would
- be an increase of 943,000, which is close to a million. When you compare the plans from
- the employee's point of view, just looking at plus one -- because the employees, we have
- 1898 72 employees plus ones out there. If we stay with the 8.9 percent, it's gonna be a minimal
- of \$13 per paycheck for employee plus one. Employee family, we have 114 employee
- 1900 family out there. The employee family increase is also just a slight minimum of 18.98 for
- the employee family. If we were to increase to the 15.9 percent, the 15.9 percent would
- 1902 give an overall increase, double digit on both of it for employee family and employee plus
- 1903 one. Do we have any questions?
- 1904 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** I think we're good. Mr. Evans -- are you done, Mr. Gude? I'm
- 1905 sorry.
- 1906 **STEPHEN GUDE:** Yes, ma'am. If you have any --
- 1907 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay.
- 1908 STEPHEN GUDE: -- questions --
- 1909 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Mr. Evans, did you want us to handle these topics as the
- 1910 presenter is here? You want consensus from the Council about whether or not we
- 1911 approve the 8.99?
- 1912 CITY MANAGER EVANS: We definitely would like some direction, whether it's any
- 1913 questions that you may have, but direction at least when we get back to the slide that has
- 1914 all the --
- 1915 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay. So you want to wait till that last slide. I just -- I want to
- 1916 be sure we're all here at that time, so we'll move along.
- 1917 **STEPHEN GUDE:** Thank you.
- 1918 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Thank you, Mr. Gude.

- 1919 **STEPHEN GUDE:** All right.
- 1920 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** All right. So this is not to belabor the point. Obviously, we
- 1921 had the conversation about the loan that the City made to the CRA back in 2009. City
- staff is recommending that we proceed forward with modifying the agreements where the
- 1923 City can start receiving a portion of the monies on an annual basis as it relates to the
- Ocean Mall agreement. The agreement has been deferred since 2009. The interest rate
- has been reduced and the City has forfeited \$4.3 million in earnings that could have gone
- 1926 for some of the capital projects that we are looking to move forward with. And, oh, those
- that we've had to unfund, the CRA's revenue over a 10-year span has increased 119
- 1928 percent. So staff would like to move forward with recapturing the monies that was loaned
- to the CRA even if it's at that zero percent interest that payments can start in fiscal year
- 1930 2021. Moving on --
- 1931 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Mr. McCoy, you're recognized.
- 1932 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Mr. Evans?
- 1933 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Yes, sir.
- 1934 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Sorry. I'm trying to get my son out of here. So perhaps
- 1935 you should ask the interim CRA director -- oh, wait.
- 1936 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: That's you. Oh, wait. I thought you were gonna ask if
- 1937 Councilwoman Lanier would like to join us to make sure that she's aware of this vote since
- 1938 she brings it up at every meeting, but I'm sure she's --
- 1939 COUNCILPERSON MCCOY: Should I --
- 1940 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** If she's able to be listening, she's happy that we're gonna
- 1941 address this. So --
- 1942 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Should I go switch shirts back?
- 1943 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** I guess, you know, my concern is, you know, the idea that
- 1944 I just threw out there the other day, obviously the purchase of this Yachtsman property,
- 1945 what good does that do this whole development proposal? Because of two reasons. I
- think the City should be paid their money, but there is another side of it, and I spoke to it
- earlier today about the common-area maintenance that I've always heard that, you know,
- 1948 as far as Bicentennial Park and the uplands that belongs to the City that's not being
- maintained by the City that has been done by the CRA. And that's why I threw that in
- there when we were on the discussion regarding the marina.
- 1951 Now, if that's never been taken care of, then, I mean, I don't know how much of it -- I have
- no idea what that amount looks like if you were to go and take a retroactive. But in terms
- of the purchase of the Yachtsman, if the CRA owns that property, then the CRA wouldn't
- be bound by some of the limitations within the charter when it comes to being able to
- lease those -- lease the land and that sort of thing. And, you know, I certainly don't think
- 1956 that, you know, we need to just forgive the \$10 million, but I wanted to have a

- 1957 comprehensive plan in terms of if the CRA starts paying this money back, plus they've
- 1958 just taken on the purchase of this property, you know, what's the plan going forward and
- 1959 how does this kind of relate to the whole development altogether? Because I don't want
- 1960 -- I mean, even if the idea is that the CRA conveys the purchase of the Yachtsman and
- 1961 conveys that property to the City, then that then presents the limitations that's on the
- 1962 property that's prohibited by the charter. So, you know --
- 1963 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Right.
- 1964 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** -- I wanted to see if we can have a real comprehensive
- 1965 conversation in terms of where we are with the whole phase 2 development.
- 1966 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Well -- and Councilman, to your point, I certainly think that
- there's some opportunities for us to discuss that. And even if there's an interim agreement
- 1968 for a two-year period where the monies are remitted to the City and then we work out a
- 1969 long-term agreement, that's something that certainly we would be in support of. In the
- 1970 event that we don't receive any monies from the CRA for this fiscal year budget, Mr.
- 1971 Sherman and I have to spend the rest of the weekend trying to find the \$675,000 gap to
- Sileman and mave to spend the rest of the weekend trying to find the \$075,000 gap to
- 1972 plug that hole because we were anticipating that revenue. So if we get it this year and it
- 1973 gives -- even if it's a one-year agreement, it gives us the opportunity to have some
- 1974 conversations with the CRA Board as well as the City's City Council and the interim CRA
- director and myself to come up with some type of long-term solution that's palatable to
- 1976 both parties.
- 1977 COUNCILPERSON MCCOY: Follow-up. So --
- 1978 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Go ahead.
- 1979 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** -- it's funny to anticipate some money, but it seems like
- we're accelerating the due date essentially is what we're doing.
- 1981 CITY MANAGER EVANS: Well, we were supposed to get paid back in -- I think starting
- in 2010 and then it got pushed back, and so now we're saying at least can we get it in
- 1983 2021.
- 1984 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Hey, Mr. Sherman, I know your mic is off. I don't need you
- 1985 to say anything. But I'm glad you guys aren't the Department of Education because I
- 1986 would be in trouble right now if they decided today they wanted all of the student loans
- 1987 payments done today, potentially what you guys are doing. Even though it hadn't come
- 1988 due yet, we want our money and we want it now.
- 1989 So, you know -- you know, I have to support it because I know we have some obligations
- that we have to fill on the City side, but, you know, that was my concern as far as making
- sure that it kind of works cohesively with the development going forward and also factoring
- in the common-area maintenance. Because, I mean, truth be told, if they decide to call
- in on their debt -- like I think -- isn't that what it's called, call your debt when you decide to
- 1994 call -- yeah. If they tried to call in on the price of common-area maintenance, which I have
- no clue what that is, then, you know, what that would look like and how that would impact
- 1996 our budget. So I wanted to make sure --

- 1997 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Yeah.
- 1998 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** -- that we had a comprehensive conversation. But, you
- know, for all intents and purposes, I mean, do we literally ask them for a check on October
- 2000 1st? Is that -- that's what we're looking for?
- 2001 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** No. We would structure something and bring that back to the
- 2002 Board for execution. It wouldn't be something that -- I think -- Mr. Sherman, I think we
- 2003 were looking at sometime after the first of the year, around that time or --
- 2004 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Yeah. We get the bulk of the tax dollars in in
- 2005 December. We're required to give the CRA their portion on January 1st. So it would be
- 2006 January.
- 2007 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Yeah.
- 2008 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** It would just be reduced by that amount?
- 2009 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** No. We would pay 100 percent and then they
- 2010 would pay us back. I wouldn't want to net the two.
- 2011 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Well -- well, Mr. Sherman, you are the guy that kind of
- 2012 does the transactions, so I mean -- okay. That's good. I'm good with it, Mr. Manager.
- 2013 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Thank you.
- 2014 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Thank you.
- 2015 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** You have 12 minutes left, Mr. Evans.
- 2016 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** This next slide is Mr. Sherman's.
- 2017 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** Yeah, just real quickly. This is the 6 percent --
- 2018 five-year 6 percent increase on the water rates. Not the wastewater rates; just the water
- rates. You received two presentations on this, one in June and one on Thursday evening.
- 2020 And the 6 percent for 2021 is built into the district's operating budget for 2021.
- 2021 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Thank you.
- 2022 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** All right. And the next slide here talks about the scenarios
- 2023 that we've discussed as it relates to the five assessment. And obviously there will be
- subsequent conversations that will come before the Board, but if we can look to generate
- 2025 that 2\$2.5 million annually under option 2 -- and obviously these numbers are older -- we
- 2026 can move forward with the capital construction on all four of our fire stations concurrently
- and get our staff into stations that can accommodate them for the next 50 years, as well
- 2028 as fund the positions that have been asked by Chief Curd.
- 2029 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay.
- 2030 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** So the last slide speaks to a couple of the items that were
- 2031 discussed and we just need a consensus from the Board if you are in favor of us moving

- 2032 forward with the millage rate of 8.4520 mills for the fiscal year 2021 budget.
- 2033 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Do you want to -- how do you want to do this, Mr. Evans?
- 2034 **COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON:** I'm fine with that.
- 2035 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay. I'm fine. Mr. McCoy, are you a thumbs up or a thumbs
- 2036 down on number 1?
- 2037 And Mr. Lawson, good to have you join us.
- 2038 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** I support everything except for number 8, and questions
- 2039 on number 9.
- 2040 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay.
- 2041 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Okay.
- 2042 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** That might make it quicker. Mr. Lawson, do you support
- 2043 everything?
- 2044 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** I'm supportive of everything in the millage rate. I want to
- 2045 discuss the program funding.
- 2046 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay. Councilwoman Miller-Anderson?
- 2047 **COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON:** Yes, I'm fine with them pulling item number
- 2048 8
- 2049 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay.
- 2050 **COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON:** I just want to hear about -- I just want to hear
- about number 9, though.
- 2052 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay. I think number 9 was just that we would carry over and
- rebudget the program funds that we had available this year. Is that right, Mr. Evans?
- 2054 CITY MANAGER EVANS: That is correct. The COVID Economic Recovery Plan, the
- 2055 monies that have not been expended, we would just carry those monies over.
- 2056 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay.
- 2057 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Yes.
- 2058 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: So number 8 --
- 2059 **COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON**: And the same for COVID or for something
- 2060 else?
- 2061 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** For the same -- for the same items that were delineated in
- the plan.

- 2063 **COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON:** Okay.
- 2064 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Thank you. Mr. McCoy, did you have something or --
- 2065 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** I'm sorry.
- 2066 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: You want to go through -- you want to go through number 8
- 2067 one by one?
- 2068 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** Well, no. Actually, Mr. Evans, I was looking for my pilot
- 2069 program of Riviera Beach Homeless Coalition and I didn't see that put in there, but -- you
- 2070 know, I would never tell a member I don't want to support it, but I want to see that we
- 2071 have a conversation about each one of these.
- 2072 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Well, and Councilman, to your point -- and Madam Chair,
- would it be better for me to bring these items back at your meeting on Tuesday for further
- 2074 discussion? Because I don't want us to rush through them.
- 2075 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Yes.
- 2076 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** I'm afraid.
- 2077 **COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON:** We have a meeting on Tuesday? I don't
- 2078 know if I had that on my list.
- 2079 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** (Unintelligible) his camera, so I'm really afraid.
- 2080 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Our regular -- our regular City Council meeting.
- 2081 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SHERMAN:** On Wednesday.
- 2082 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: On Wednesday.
- 2083 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** On Wednesday. Sorry. Sorry. Wednesday.
- 2084 **COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON:** All right. You scared me there.
- 2085 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** On the 2nd.
- 2086 COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: Like, I know we have one Monday and
- 2087 Wednesday. I'm like, I didn't have Tuesday down.
- 2088 CITY MANAGER EVANS: No.
- 2089 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay.
- 2090 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** On Wednesday. And then --
- 2091 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** On Wednesday.
- 2092 CITY MANAGER EVANS: And then that gives me the opportunity to get with each of
- 2093 you to see to expand or contract the list and then to get the information that -- what the

- 2094 funding requests that you're looking for as well.
- 2095 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay. Mr. Evans, we do not have a meeting on Tuesday. It
- 2096 was originally in the calendar, but you're saying we don't. Right?
- 2097 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** We don't, no.
- 2098 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay. Thank you.
- 2099 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** So Mr. Evans and members, I know doing these meetings
- are very tough and it seems almost like a blur when we start talking about it because you
- 2101 don't know one meeting from the next, but obviously -- and I'm not about to try to scrutinize
- 2102 anybody's proposition or proposal, but, you know, I want to have some understanding. I
- 2103 know what the Citizens Leadership Academy is. I want to understand what's being
- 2104 proposed for the Citizen Review Board, you know, as far as their scope, what's their
- 2105 authority, you know, because I don't want -- you know, there's this misconception that is
- 2106 already going around that having a Citizen Review Board gets to decide to tell the police
- 2107 when someone -- you know, I want to make sure that we're clear so we don't get off on
- 2108 the wrong foot with some of these --
- 2109 COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: Yeah. And I agree.
- 2110 CITY MANAGER EVANS: And Councilman --
- 2111 **COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON:** And I think that's why we should have it on
- 2112 another day --
- 2113 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Wednesday.
- 2114 **COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON:** -- and not today.
- 2115 CITY MANAGER EVANS: And I can -- and I can reach out to each of you on your
- 2116 particular initiatives or programs and write up a paragraph or two that gives some
- 2117 description to it and get that out to you in advance of your Wednesday meeting.
- 2118 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL: Great.
- 2119 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY**: Okay.
- 2120 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** A paragraph, a paragraph.
- 2121 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Yeah, Great.
- 2122 COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: Sounds good.
- 2123 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Anything else for the good of the order? Mr. McCoy, you're
- 2124 recognized.
- 2125 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** You know, I'm a little skeptical --
- 2126 **COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON:** I think he does that on purpose, I really do.

- 2127 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** I'm a little skeptical about Public -- Art in Public Places,
- but, you know, in lieu of not having any sector dollars, you know, I'm gonna give Mr.
- 2129 Jonathan Evans (unintelligible). I'm gonna reach across the aisle.
- **VIBO** COMMENTS/DISCUSSION
- 2131 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Do we have any comments from -- any additional comments
- 2132 from the City Manager or City Attorney?
- 2133 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** No, Madam Chair.
- 2134 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay. Any comments from City Council members? Starting
- 2135 with Mr. McCoy.
- 2136 **COUNCILPERSON MCCOY:** I'm gonna just tell you every meeting until we come up with
- 2137 a plan, Brightline is a deadly train and that's not something I want.
- 2138 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Yeah, I agree. Is that it, Mr. McCoy? And Mr. Lawson?
- 2139 **CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON:** Thank you, Madam Chair.
- 2140 Mr. Evans, I do want to see something in reference to our disparity study. I know that
- 2141 we've spoke about -- I know we've spoke about bringing in a consultant, but I do want to
- 2142 make sure that we address that program and funding because I want to see us as a city
- 2143 complete that within the next two years, or whatever time frame or timeline it takes for us
- 2144 to collect the data required, so if we can have that addressed. But we'll talk offline in
- 2145 regard to some of the direction with the disparity study and the Arts in Public Places
- 2146 program.
- 2147 **CITY MANAGER EVANS:** Okay. Perfect.
- 2148 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Thank you. Miss Wynn, did you have anything? No? Okay.
- 2149 **CITY ATTORNEY WYNN:** No, thank you.
- 2150 **CHAIRPERSON BOTEL:** Okay. Thank you. Councilwoman Miller-Anderson? Nothing?
- 2151 And I just remind people again that we are gonna run our second cohort of the Business
- 2152 Specialist Program at Palm Beach State College, courses right here in Riviera Beach.
- 2153 And we're adding the Logistics and Transportation cohort, which is an 18-credit CCC,
- 2154 again to be held in Riviera Beach. So please contact stbrown, Sam TC Brown,
- 2155 @rivierabeach.org if you're interested in either one of those courses.
- 2156 Anything else, Mr. Sherman? Mr. Evans?
- YIET ADJOURNMENT
- 2158 This meeting is adjourned. Thank you.
- 2159 **APPROVED**:

2160		
2161 2162 2163	RONNIE L. FELDER MAYOR	JULIA A. BOTEL, Ed.D CHAIRPERSON
2164	ATTEST:	
2165		
2166 2167 2168 2169	CLAUDENE L. ANTHONY CERTIFIED MUNICIPAL CLERK CITY CLERK	DOUGLAS A. LAWSON CHAIR PRO TEM
2170 2171 2172		TRADRICK MCCOY COUNCILPERSON
2173		
2174 2175 2176		KASHAMBA MILLER-ANDERSON COUNCILPERSON
2177		
2178 2179 2180		SHIRLEY D. LANIER COUNCILPERSON
2181 2182	MOTIONED BY:	
2183 2184	SECONDED BY:	
2185	T. MCCOY:	
2186	K. MILLER-ANDERSON:	
2187	S. LANIER:	
2188	J. BOTEL:	
2189	D. LAWSON:	
2190	DATE APPROVED: MARCH 17, 2021	

2191