sheet.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Okay. And obviously we know we have a water plant that is in need of some serious overhauls and upgrades. But with, I guess, our high costs in operating, would be attributed to the age of the facility and what it takes to keep it operating? Is that one of the factors?

DR. JOHNSON: I would say yes, it is, because there's a 6.9 --

MR. SHERMAN: No. What are you doing? (Audio disruption.)

DR. JOHNSON: What, Mr. Sherman?

MR. SHERMAN: No. No. I'm sorry. I have an open mic that...

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Okay. Let me go to the next question.

So, Mr. Sherman, would you be able to explain the over 5 million dollars that USD force to the City, that's for managing the employees? Is that the --

MR. SHERMAN: Correct. Yeah, we actually have a cost allocation plan that we run every year. And those charges include all of the administrative services that are provided to the district, it includes items such as fleet maintenance, IT. And

it does include the cashiering feature. It does include the billing. It includes the field crew as well. So all of the items that go into the billing are in there. Collections are in there. And then, again, all of the other administrative issues from all the other departments, including administration, legal, human resource. And, again, we have a breakdown of all that. And we can share the cost allocation plan if you would like.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: I'm sorry. Did you say elections?

MR. SHERMAN: I don't believe I said elections, no. If I did --

CITY MANAGER EVANS: I believe he said collections.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: I'm not sure if I heard it --

MR. SHERMAN: Oh. Collections, yes.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Oh. Okay. Is it -okay. For instance, I've already seen fleet
encompassed in a couple of these different
divisions' budget. For instance, distribution has
their fleet already encompassed. Are you saying
the fleet for the collection personnel that -- I
mean, I guess the custom service personnel?

MR. SHERMAN: That would be part of it. And then also within the fleet budget, does not include the administration for public works, so that number would be in there to oversee the fleet area.

And then, again, anything having to do with the facilities that they have, so their facility charges, for example, for the property where public works is, all of those costs are all incorporated in the cost allocation plan.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Okay. And since we had the first budget workshop and the discussion was more or less health insurance, these numbers that speak to health and dental insurance, does that encompass the projected increase that we have?

MR. SHERMAN: Those numbers would include the projected increase not only for health insurance but also for your general liability insurance.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Okay. And that, what we estimated -- actually, it looks a little different. But what we talked about, about eight percent, if we adopt that plan that's been proposed?

MR. SHERMAN: Yeah, the numbers that are actually in the budget are at 13 percent. That's where we were when the manager submitted his

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

budget. So if we sign on to the 8.9 percent then the numbers would all actually go down.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Okay. All right.

Thank you. That's all I have.

CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.

Councilwoman Lanier.

COUNCILPERSON LANIER: I think this question is for Mr. Sherman.

Mr. Sherman, you talked about cost allocation. How is cost allocation derived?

MR. SHERMAN: It's derived differently for each department. So, for example, IT may run off of the number of computer units that a department has. HR would run off the number of employees that they have. Facility costs would go by square footage. So every department may have a different metric that we utilize in calculating how the costs for that specific department gets allocated.

For the finance department, clearly all of the billing and field crew, that's a hundred percent goes to the utility. But when you look at the treasury area, where I have the cashiers and we do the banking and we do debt and those types of issues, then it's a little bit more, we look at the types of collections that we bring in and the

1 volume of those collections that we bring in. 2 We look at accounts payable, again, it 3 depends on the number of checks that we're writing 4 and accounts payable that are coming through. 5 COUNCILPERSON LANIER: So for the Utility Special District in particular, how is that cost 7 derived? Well, again, it's an allocation MR. SHERMAN: 9 based on each individual department's budget. 10 at the end of the day (audio disruption) four 11 million dollar charge to the district, but \$180,000 12 might be for the City administrator and 300,000 13 might be for the finance department. And it goes 14 down and it breaks down each individual department. 15 COUNCILPERSON LANIER: Okay. And is it 16 possible to get a copy of the cost allocation 17 (audio disruption) utilities? 18 MR. SHERMAN: Absolutely. 19 COUNCILPERSON LANIER: Yes. Thank you. Ι 20 would like to see that. 21 Now, the other question is that -- I wrote 22 down -- let me see my notes -- is what do we have 23 in bond money? 24 I'm sorry. What was that MR. SHERMAN: 25 question?

1 COUNCILPERSON LANIER: What do we have in 2 bond money? 3 In actual cash available? MR. SHERMAN: Oh. It's (audio disruption) it's right about 26 million 4 5 dollars. COUNCILPERSON LANIER: And how old is that? 7 MR. SHERMAN: 2016 is when we issued that debt. 9 COUNCILPERSON LANIER: 2016. And what is it 10 being used for now? 11 MR. SHERMAN: A variety of capital projects. 12 COUNCILPERSON LANIER: Okay. I'd like to 13 kind of break that out for me as well. 14 You know, the Utility District is very near 15 and dear to my heart. I'm a fanatic water drinker 16 and hey, hey, we got to get this water (audio 17 disruption). So I'm really interested in how this 18 is all going to work in terms of our end game, 19 getting a new water department. 20 So I certainly would like to see in terms of 21 the bond money that we have, in terms of how costs 22 is allocated for this utility district, and also 23 looking at the metrics for it, when it comes to 24 those cost allocations I would like to see what 25 metrics are used in each of those departments to

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Riviera Beach City Council Budget Workshop 1 get those cost allocations. 2 3 MR. SHERMAN: 4 does do that. 5 7 9 out of the bonds. 10 11 12

If you can break that out for me, I would really appreciate it.

Yeah, the cost allocation plan

And when we present the capital improvement plan, you'll see the different funding sources. you'll see a list of projects that are in essence paid from cash, ongoing cash proceeds; and then you'll see a list of projects that are being paid

We will provide that to you.

COUNCILPERSON LANIER: Okay. Good. like to see that. Thank you so much.

CITY MANAGER EVANS: And Madam Chair, if I may, to Councilperson Lanier's comments. The cost allocation plan also is a plan that is heavily audited and to make sure that the funds are utilized to really charge back for the services that are being provided, because being that it's an enterprise fund, in the past -- and I mean not in this agency but in other agencies -- you saw a situation whereby other municipalities were utilizing electric, gas, water, wastewater utilities, to be able to fund general operations.

So there has to be a fair apportionment, and

it is highly scrutinized and audited, and compared to other municipalities to make sure that you don't have a percentage where Riviera Beach may charge 5 percent but another community may charge 2 percent and it may be industry norm that 2 percent is.

Then you have to explain that particular rationale. So there's a lot more to it.

But to your point, Councilperson, we can provide you that information. But it is something that is scrutinized very heavily.

COUNCILPERSON LANIER: Okay. Yes. I just definitely would like to see how that's all worked out, so I can get a clearer picture of what's being (audio disruption) in Utility District and how far do we have to go to get to this new water plant.

CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.

Councilman McCoy.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Thank you.

Mr. Johnson, the utility engineer position that's being unfunded -- how many engineers do you have at the district and why is that being unfunded?

DR. JOHNSON: We successfully brought aboard Jonathan Batista, who is now the assistant director of the Special District. He is an engineer. He

has a chemical engineering background. Also an environmental engineering background. He's helped and assisted us with multiple projects. So he's one.

We have Mr. Armstrong, who is another engineer for us, who's been with the district for about eight years.

And currently the position that is going to be unfunded is occupied. It's (audio disruption) that person today and let them know that we were considering defunding the position. Of course, that's up to Council to decide.

So we have three engineers on the district but we have multiple engineering contracts, because there's so much work that has to be engineered, designed and constructed, that's required by the state.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Thank you, sir.

CHAIR BOTEL: Anyone else?

I have just a couple of questions.

Mr. Sherman, could you explain to me the contingency? It looks like there's 600,000 in contingency in sewer, 550 in water, 550 in distribution, 550 in administration, for a total of \$2,250,000. Where does that go? Does that just

roll over every year, and it's just there in case we have an emergency and we need it?

MR. SHERMAN: It's there in a given year in case you have an emergency needed. We try to keep it at around 10 percent of operating expenses. So, again, it could be a break, or a pandemic, who knows, that's what it's there for, you know, for emergency purposes.

Under the bond documents there is a specific flow of funds on how cash comes into the agency and flows through and pays all the bills. At the end of the day, if the Utility District generates, we'll call it a surplus, through operations, that surplus actually flows down into a capital projects account, so it's dollars that are available to pay for capital projects.

So you're funding it this year. If you need it, it's there. If you don't need it, we can use it to fund a capital project in a subsequent year.

CHAIR BOTEL: Okay. I guess this question is probably also about the potential of planning for a new water plant at some point. The question I have is the USD water, the contract services goes from the adopted budget last year in 2019 of 46,000 up to 200,000. And then -- that's not the only one I

have a question about, but maybe you could explain that one first.

Is it that we're going to be using -contracting out more engineering services than
normal or than we have in the past? The -actually, the actual for 2020 was 91,000. So it's
more than double in this current budget we're
looking at. And is that -- again, is that because
we're looking at designing new...

MR. SHERMAN: I think, yeah, if I recall on that, what we were trying to do is just follow the spending trend. We've been using a lot of what we call the CIP dollars for our on-call contractors; and part of the thought was is that we would put a little bit more money in the operating lines, some of those smaller contract projects, rather than using the capital dollars.

CHAIR BOTEL: Okay. Because you've got quite a bit more in the operating supplies in that USD water, going from 350,000 to a million. And is that the same explanation?

MR. SHERMAN: Yeah --

CITY MANAGER EVANS: And I think it's predicated on a lot of the capital projects that we're looking to move forward. And so, of course,

you need that design and engineering to move forward. So that's why I think you saw on the increase.

So, our intent is to, obviously, move forward with twice as many projects as we accomplished this year, to be able to move our Utility District that much further.

Plus, as we go through the exploratory phases for a new water treatment plan, you're going to incur a lot of costs on the front end as you go through that, for that specialized assistance.

CHAIR BOTEL: Okay. Yeah, but I see the same thing is under USD administration, where you put 100,000 in as opposed to 33,000 in actual from last year.

How come the bank charges went down so much, went down from 248,000 actual in 2020 to a budget of 100,000?

MR. SHERMAN: Yeah, I think that -- I think we're going to have to revisit that budget number. One thing that I think a lot of our customers have learned during the pandemic is how to use the internet to pay their bills. So they're paying online, our bank fees have obviously gone up. So that line is going to have to be adjusted going

1	forward.
2	CHAIR BOTEL: Okay.
3	MR. SHERMAN: We're actually hoping that the
4	customers continue to, you know, use the online
5	services. We do not charge for it. And a lot of
6	communities do charge that dollar or two for a
7	service fee. We do not charge for that. It's
8	fully absorbed by the district.
9	But, again, that's how everybody is paying
10	their bills for the last four months so
11	CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. I don't have
12	anything else.
13	Anyone else?
14	Thank you.
15	Mr. Evans.
16	CITY MANAGER EVANS: All right. Madam Chair,
17	we'll go back to Director Bailey, assuming he has
18	the technical issues worked out.
19	MR. BAILEY: Hello, hello. Mic check. One
20	two.
21	CITY MANAGER EVANS: All right. Sounds good.
22	MR. BAILEY: All right, all right.
23	I apologize for that previous technical
24	glitch.
25	As you can see, the Public Works Department

is broken up into five divisions: Admin and engineering, fleet services, property maintenance, streets and grounds, and stormwater.

In fulfilling the City manager's budget request, we are unfunding five positions: Two of which are filled, the project manager and staff assistant; four vacant maintenance workers; the lead electrician's position, which is vacant; and the assistant public works director position that is vacant.

Over the next year we are looking to increase our turn-around time on permit review, site plan review, plat review, and inspections.

Another -- as we enter this time of large capital construction projects on the horizon, we're looking at, in the engineering and public works department, evaluating all projects on a 50-year life cycle. As you can imagine, taking a 50-year perspective on buildings, roads, significant capital infrastructure, will make a much better return on our investment today, so that we don't have to disturb the lives of our citizens, having to rebuild roads and other infrastructure on a higher frequency.

Our last goal for Public Works is to look at

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and incorporate sea level rise and resiliency in all of our capital projects. The road project on Singer Island is a great example. And I got a number of calls in the last two weeks on that project, as it relates to resiliency. The choice that this City made to build those roads out of concrete, which will last 40 or 50 years, as opposed to asphalt, considering how close they are to the water table and to the seasonal high -- mean high tide on the island, is an example of how we are at the forefront of resiliency and longevity when we do our capital projects. Moving on to fleet. We --COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Before you go on, I want to make sure I say something to that. Ι

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Before you go on, I want to make sure I say something to that. I appreciate the work that was done over on Singer Island, but I still have some roads over in the Monroe Heights community that folks aren't too happy about. So don't get on such a high horse at this point because I, I won't feel comfortable or nor will I feel content until I can stop getting five and six calls just about the deplorable road conditions in the Monroe Heights neighborhood.

So I appreciate what you're saying but, you know, we can't celebrate until we make sure that

the quality of life is extended to the mainland when it comes to just simply driving down roads, where you don't have to worry about damaging your car or even falling off the bicycle.

So that's what I'll say to that, Mr. Bailey.

And I'm done.

MR. BAILEY: All right. I seem to have lost control. There it is.

Moving on to our fleet department. We are unfunding one senior heavy equipment mechanic position.

The goals for 2021 in the fleet department.

We are now at a point in our history where we can look at certain vehicle types, where we can move to electric vehicles. I'll be working with

Mr. Sirmons on putting legislation in as development and City projects come online, electric charging stations.

I know that Palm Beach County in their land development regulations are considering making a certain number of electric charging stations mandatory. And we will be looking at that very closely as well.

In addition, right sizing the fleet. Right now there are some historical standards that have

gone forward with the number of vehicles assigned to each department as the economy shrinks, as our staffing load goes down, and as we become more efficient. And second, those vehicles need to be repatriated or redistributed so that our capital cost for vehicles will also go down. Not every individual in a department needs their own vehicle, and we will be looking to right size the fleet department as we change our operating protocols going forward.

And last but not least, stormwater. Our stormwater utility is very robust. I can tell you in my nine years here we have made incredible gains in the efficiency and effectiveness of our stormwater system. We've added a significant amount of exfiltration trench in the roads to relieve localized flooding and move water through our canals.

We have a great handle on our storm system.

We know where some of our trouble spots are; and my

team is out there as soon as the storm ends, so we

can provide service to the residents.

There are no budget modifications for stormwater, but the goals for 2021 are to complete our capital projects on time. Complete our GIS map

of all known drainage pipes and structures within the City. And to conduct national pollutant discharge elimination system, commonly known as NPDES inspections, within one business day of a qualifying rain event.

And with that, I will take any questions.

CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. McCoy.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Thank you.

So, I guess, two things. If I can go back to the slide -- well, I have it here, but the fleet services slide and the transition to electric vehicles. It was -- do you contemplate, I guess, any of the money that's set aside in this specific division, that this will be the actual purchase of fleet vehicles? Or just, are we looking to put some of those capital dollars into electric charging stations at this point? Or, I guess, in this upcoming year.

MR. BAILEY: The capital funds identified on this sheet are only for vehicle purchases. If we were to purchase vehicles -- and I don't intend on necessarily purchasing vehicles in this budget -- obviously, we first need to do a proper analysis of which are the right vehicles, when is the right application for those vehicles.

Obviously, our FT 50s, 350s, that pull very large equipment are not immediately going to convert over to electric. It will mainly be our passenger vehicles, our sedans, maybe some small SUVs that don't have high towing capacity or a towing need that we can transition over.

As we run that analysis over this fiscal year we will also then in next year's capital look to add the necessary charging stations at City facilities. But I can foresee as we build new facilities, charging stations are going to be required not only for our fleet but as part of a benefit to the community.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Okay. So if we were looking to do it at the actual City facilities, why would this be encompassed in your budget?

MR. BAILEY: So this is the funds to buy the vehicles, not the charging stations.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Okay. All right.

Well, you said when we look to, I guess, start on our new facilities. But even at this rate, if this is \$475,000 that we're putting forth, you know, I don't know what exactly the -- I guess, here's my concern. I'm not sure I understand what those \$475,000 represents as far as capital vehicle

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

purchases, when you have -- well, I would like to see what our useful life is for our vehicles, and which one of our -- which of those vehicles within that department have reached their useful life.

In fact, I'm no mechanic, and I know we do have a fleet unit, but what I would like to know is -- well, my particular concern is this: I talk about funding a half a million dollars in vehicles, I don't want to lose employees from the, I quess, the departmental overview positions. I at least see two positions that's there. And, you know, I've not heard a justification of why we no longer need a project manager. And obviously, a staff assistant is something that I think we all need, because I field a number of calls about various things, and I think we all, members, over the weekend was copied on an e-mail regarding some of the things that need to occur down on the south end with regular public works maintenance.

But, you know, I certainly don't want to see anybody in the Public Works Department have any cuts for us to think about going forward with possible electric vehicle purchases. Especially when we haven't already built out, I guess, the charging stations, which are pretty costly to do an

install. So, you know, that, that's a major concern for me.

But I definitely want to understand what the reasons for those cuts, for those two positions.

MR. BAILEY: Councilman, the 475,000 in capital purchase are not any electrical vehicles this year. That goal is to start the research that is necessary to spend this fiscal year so we can roll out a capital plan on where electric vehicles are appropriate within our fleet for next year.

So, we do have a list of vehicles at the end of their useful life that comprise the replacement plan for the 475,000; and that was provided to finance as a part of our submitted budget package, and we can get that to you.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Yes, please.

And, Mr. Bailey, since we're on that subject, can you speak to why we no longer need a project manager? Because I thought, if I'm not mistaken, there was maybe a construction manager position that we decided to do an RFP for; and I don't know if that's any relation, but I'm curious as to why we need to reduce this position and also the staff position. Is that something that we're looking to do for an outsource contracted position?

Perhaps Mr. Evans or --

MR. BAILEY: No, sir. The project manager position was only added five years ago when we went out and got about 40 million dollars of bond funding for the road program. As you know, the road program is coming to an end with Avenue M and 26th Court. I believe we have one more CDBG project that will begin at the end of the year; and then for all intents and purposes, the large capital program that we've been working on for the last five years comes to an end, so we would not need that project manager.

The job description and the skill set for that individual is for horizontal roadway construction. The RFP selection that you're referring to, sir, is for construction, I believe it's entitled construction oversight; and that is for vertical construction in building construction for the new City Hall, police, fire, and all of the capital construction that was discussed at the workshop, that are building related and not necessarily road related.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Okay.

MR. BAILEY: As for the staff assistant, I have a -- in my department of 50, I have an office

manager and another administrative level person, so we have two other individuals who can absorb the load from this staff assistant's position.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Okay. So was -- well, I guess, was there a reduction in demand, or is this just a part of your across the board cuts? But help me understand why exactly are you proposing this at this point.

MR. BAILEY: Well, sir, in the manager's budget directive we were asked to get down to a certain level of cost allocation. And when we looked at actually trying to maintain level of service with our other line items, these are two positions that unfortunately rose to the top that we were going to have to continue it out.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Okay. I understand your justification for the CDBG block grants are coming to an end because of those current road projects, but do we have a current demand -- I mean, do we have a reduction in demand at least from the staff assistant position? Because those are the persons that's on the front line that actually fields the calls for Public Works and then redirects them to the appropriate departments, right?

that. The existing staff complement that the Public Works Department has can easily accommodate the demand. Plus, there's additional capacity to be able to absorb any increase in numbers associated with services or calls for service for the particular department. Plus, with having other operations in the existing facility there is other clerical support and staff that can assist in facilitating those particular calls for service.

And we have seen the more folks utilizing Q
Alert Systems and utilizing more electronic means,
especially in light of the pandemic, to request
services from the City. So we believe with the
existing staff complement, that there's enough to
be able to absorb the workload.

And one of the challenges, obviously, with the loss in revenues that we experienced, with all the state revenues being down, and 40 percent of the revenues the City collects from other entities being down, we had to make some budget reductions to be able to balance the budget. And I think wholistically -- and I would have to get with HR and they maybe can provide that -- I think the number of those that would be impacted as it

relates to being in actual positions, the number may be no more than three to four persons.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Across the whole entire agency?

CITY MANAGER EVANS: Across the whole entire agency.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: So how did we determine that? Because, I mean, are we reallocating? Are we reassigning them to different departments?

CITY MANAGER EVANS: Some are being assigned to different departments. Some departments have asked for reclassifications to other positions. So we have tried to find homes for those individuals that potentially could be displaced as a result of this budget.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Mr. Evans, Ms. Wynn perhaps, to what level is that a consensus or embodiment of this City Council, that we make a desire not to have those individuals, you know, laid off or completely eliminated from, I guess, our workforce? Like, do we have some -- I guess, obviously, we approve the budget but I mean -- and, obviously, I don't want to tell you who you can and can't hire. But, you know, I certainly am not in support of any budget that puts any employee out of

work.

You know, my first option would be to maintain them in their position, and which we know that's not always practical because of those budgetary constraints. But ultimately I would like, in lieu of us actually eliminating them, that's reassigned to a different department or a different division or something of that sort. Is that a Council directive that can be initiated? Or is that one of the provisions that's, I guess, restricted for our, I guess, restricted according to our charter?

CITY MANAGER EVANS: I can provide, you know, from my perspective, and Ms. Wynn can put -- you know, my job as a manager is to provide you with a balanced budget based on the economic conditions of the agency. And based on the direction that I provided to staff, to bring forward some recommendations to be able to -- originally, we were at a 4.2 million dollar budget shortfall. We were able to, once the information was provided to us, get to about 1.9 million dollars.

We are concerned that revenues in subsequent years will also look to be reduced. We have reduced some of the vacancies that we do have in

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 And so we really looked long and hard the agency. 2 as to how we can go ahead and address some of the 3 challenges to be a balanced budget. As it relates to the Council's role in the 4 5 budget process, I think it's something that the Council ultimately ratifies the budget and they 7 provide direction to administration, whether they agree or disagree. 9 10

The other element that makes it even that much more complicated is you do have bumping rights and you do have collective bargaining agreements, and so inevitably you may have a situation where you look to address one particular position and it may have an impact on another department. And so we did look at how can we minimize the reduction.

But I can tell you even the City of West Palm has to reduce their budget by 10 million dollars.

I have a survey out to other municipalities that are going through the same type of exercise because of the revenues that the city collects being down in all municipalities.

But Ms. Wynn can speak more exclusively and specifically as far as the Council's role and responsibility in the budgetary process.

> CITY ATTORNEY WYNN: Yes. Good evening

everyone.

I agree with everything Mr. Evans just said. You know, the manager has the responsibility and the obligation to bring forth a budget to you all and to balance that budget. If you all were to give the direction as a body that you did not want to see any cuts, you'd have to figure out a way to bring that forward. And, you know, that is usually is pretty difficult to do. But, you know, you have that authority to say you don't want to see any cuts, as a body, any cuts in the workforce.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Okay. Well, that didn't necessarily sound like the same thing that I heard Mr. Evans say.

Obviously, the responsibility of staffing and departmental operations exists with the manager. But my concern is, can we have, can we take a position as a body that if any positions are to be unfunded then the first right of refusal should go to reassigning or reallocating them to different departments.

And I'm only speaking in terms of, you know, the obvious unforeseen pandemic pay that we've seen, that really took us, I think, way more than we -- obviously, more than we budgeted, because we

didn't even anticipate this last time this year.

But I don't want the first thing that we have to do
as a body in terms of our budget is to cut

employees jobs or raise the health care costs on
our employees.

So, you know, I don't know, and it seems to me -- and I'm being very honest. I'm a little confused as to responses, because neither one of them were specific to give me any direction.

But my position is, and I would hope that the members support this, that the last thing should be any cuts to employees; especially since many of these employees didn't enjoy the actual pandemic pay or have the ability to earn pandemic pay. But I don't want because we've reached this shortfall that anybody has to be concerned with health care costs or whether or not they have a job.

And, obviously, the pandemic pay was beyond any city's control in this nation.

But certainly I want to, you know, try to see if we can cut on some of these services that we provide in some of the other departments, as opposed to making employees the first thing that has to go, or health insurance costs that has to be increased.

CITY ATTORNEY WYNN: Mr. McCoy, if you would give me the opportunity to research your question, and I'll get an answer to you.

CITY MANAGER EVANS: And Councilman McCoy, if I may, with regards to the pandemic pay, as previously stated, it doesn't have anything to do with this particular budget that's being proposed. We're funding the budget based on revenue collection that is anticipated to come in in fiscal year 2020-2021. And we have seen a loss in revenue from state revenue sharings.

If the Board is to take the position that there's not to be no cuts to employees, and then we move forward with the health insurance as previously -- as the plan, as it is today, you're looking at an additional increase of well over probably 2 million dollars; that we would have to find 2 million dollars in additional reductions in operations. And at that point, now you're talking about either increasing fees or having a situation where another department or another operation ends up feeling that particular pinch. Because there's only that finite amount of resources.

That's why when we saw the positions that law enforcement gave up, we had the discussion as part

of the union negotiations with the Police

Department, if we're going to move forward with

this contract, realize that there's certain

operations and positions and opportunities that may

have to be modified to be able to fund that.

In addition to, in this particular budget we're hoping to be able to collect some revenue associated with the fire assessment. And so that revenue doesn't come in, you may be looking at a 3 million dollar budget shortfall that we would have to find somewhere. And so we try to do as many things as we possibly can.

And this doesn't even account for the \$678,000 that we programmed into this budget to receive monies back from the loan that we provided to the CRA in 2009 and haven't received any payment since. So if you add that to the situation, we may find ourselves in a 3.5 million dollar budget shortfall.

So I can assure you, we've had multiple conversations and discussions and the Reasonableness Committee looked at this in multiple forms and fashions to be able to bring a budget that, one, the City can afford; and two, we can continue to operate with the understanding that in

subsequent years we're going to have to go through the same type of exercise to reduce vacant positions. And that's what we tried to do, go through vacancies as much as possible. And then operationally what things that other departments could absorb as part of the process.

COUNCILPERSON McCOY: Okay. Well, here's what I would say in response to that, Mr. Evans and Ms. Wynn, you know, the question still remains, how is it that we're statutorily required to pass a balanced budget but we have no oversight on when wages, such as what occurred just a few months ago, pandemic pay wages, are being now inserted without a budget amendment and essentially -- and it's done at the administrative level; and then we have no control over the signing as a body, beforehand, that this is something that we're willing to support.

And I just really find it very tough to tell an employee that, no, you couldn't get pandemic pay because it wasn't done across the organization.

Irrespective of their unions. But then the next conversation we have during budget discussion is salary -- I'm sorry. Not salary -- health care cost increase. And then, obviously, some positions

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are going to be eliminated.

And I would definitely like to see this from the remaining departments, about the number of positions that's going to be, that's going to be, I quess, the full -- the FTE across the board for the entire city. But that's really tough. And it's almost -- it's a real serious question to think And I think I've asked this three or four about. months ago, about how is it that we have no oversight on a pandemic pay policy that we didn't even budget for. But now we're right here at the very point of budgeting and we're saying that perhaps -- and it's a question of whether or not we have the ability to say we don't want to cut certain positions; or if we do eliminate positions, then they should be reallocated. And that's a question that I still have, and it still remains.

And I want to make sure that -- you know, I'm not just here to represent what the City Manager proposes but -- obviously, I think I've shared with a few of you, I have people that literally come to my office, and it's not always a pleasant conversation when I have to tell someone that this budget is going to be something that we knew four months ago, based on communications, that this was

going to be something that was going to be a tough year and possible job cuts and also increase in taxes.

Thankfully, we didn't have to increase the taxes -- tax rate, I should say.

But certainly this is going to be a tough budget year for everyone. And I don't want to start off with what I think was the most important and critical thing, and that's our employees. It's the number one asset that we have as a city, because they make us work -- they actually provide us what we need to run the government. And then also being able to tell them there's an increase in those medical costs.

So that's all I have relative to this, but I certainly don't want to give up any positions at this point.

CHAIR BOTEL: Ms. Miller-Anderson -- I mean, excuse me. Ms. Lanier.

COUNCILPERSON LANIER: I wanted to ask Public Works, in regards to this four maintenance workers vacant positions that are being phased out. As Mr. McCoy has said, I too get numerous calls about the esthetics of the city, meaning that -- the city is very small, it's eight square miles, and I think

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that the city should look better than it does, given the fact that it's a very -- this is a very small city. And people have called me about Australian and Blue Heron, about the overgrown grass. They've called me about the south end. And I have gotten -- I mean, this is since I became a councilperson.

The lack of esthetically pleasing parts of the city, and how it looked, and how the grass looks, and how the landscaping looks, and how is this cutting four maintenance workers going to affect that? Because, I mean, it appears that we can't -- we can't keep it looking well with what we So how do we address that in terms of trying have. to ensure the city is esthetically pleasing, given that it's only eight square miles, and given the fact that the crews that we do have -- not that they're not doing any work, but you're going to take four workers from them, you know, not fund four workers, to be able to do this job. So how does that affect us? How does that affect how we're going to make sure that the city looks like it's supposed to?

MR. BAILEY: Thank you for that.

CHAIR BOTEL: Councilwoman Miller-Anderson

wants to say something. But do you want to wait for her to finish, and get an answer?

COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: Yeah. I mean, I'll -- yeah, I'll go -- let him answer. But her point has been brought up sometime now, so it -- I don't think cutting them will make a difference. But he can answer, and then I'll come back.

CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. Bailey.

MR. BAILEY: Yes. Councilwoman, I was going to say unfortunately the maintenance worker position is a high turnover position, so we've had those four vacancies on sort of a rotating basis for the last 18 months to 24 months. Only recently exacerbated by COVID-19. With trying to maintain at least one of the three crews that we have out at any one given time, it's taken out 33 percent of our capacity for doing maintenance in the roads. So those four maintenance were positions -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.

COUNCILPERSON LANIER: No. I think my question is that, you know, with the four maintenance workers -- I mean, how do we address this? I mean, this is -- as Mr. McCoy has said, I mean, all of us Council people have said the same

thing, how is it that this small city does not have at least esthetically pleasing maintenance in the landscaping. Grass is overgrown. Lots are overgrown. Alleys are overgrown. Constantly.

So how do we address that? I know that we are in budget talks. And I know that we're looking at trying to trim the fat. But I need to tell my constituents, and I'm sure everybody on this Board needs to tell their constituents, how we're going to address this issue.

Because it's not just, you know, now -- and Councilwoman Miller-Anderson and Botel have been here for years, and it's the same thing. I just want to know how the city is going to address that, the overgrown lots, the overgrown alleyways, the lack of maintenance, the lack of esthetically pleasingness. As either you're coming off of 95 or you're coming in from the south or the north, it does not look well at all.

So I need to be able to tell my constituents how we're going to address this issue. And if anybody who has an issue -- which I do -- and you're looking at positions being cut, you're trying to figure out in your mind how we're going to be able to address this.

And I don't want to hear the same thing I've been hearing. I need to hear something different here.

CHAIR BOTEL: Ms. Miller-Anderson.

COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: So over the years that has always been an issue. And I know Mr. Bailey has heard it from several of us over the years. And from my experience it has been more of a scheduling issue and just a maintenance schedule of making sure that -- you know, because at one point I understood that, you know, the focus was on Blue Heron, the focus was on MLK, and then maybe, you know, Broadway or a few of those -- hold on. My computer went out. Hold on.

COUNCILPERSON LANIER: We can hear you though.

COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: I know. But I couldn't see you. Everything cleared -- it went out. I'm back. Okay.

And so the focus was always on just specific streets. And even the scheduling -- you know, you may have eight on one street, whereas you may have been able to split them up and put four on this street and four on another street. So from my experience, and from just bringing this up, and

others bringing it up over the years, and hearing from some of the employees, it's more of a -- it was more of a scheduling issue than not having enough people. Because sometimes you could have enough people and they may not be -- they probably aren't being utilized in the most effective manner.

So now unless that has changed and we're still having an issue, then that's a whole other problem. But that, from my understanding, was the issue. And if that's been corrected, then we have a new situation that I wouldn't be aware of.

And so even with that, it wasn't that we didn't have enough people.

So for me, the four maintenance vacant positions, I don't, you know, I don't have much to say about that, because I think we have the people; and I think over the years we've mentioned, you know, we have a lot of positions in the whole agency, you know, the whole City of Riviera Beach, we have a lot of positions, when you look at other municipalities that are larger than ours, but they don't have almost -- they don't have nowhere near the same amount of employees that we have.

And so, you know, I've always questioned the number of employee positions that we've had over

the years. So I'm not really concerned about some of these positions being eliminated because I've felt like all along we've always had a whole lot more than we probably should have.

And being that we've operated -- and I know sometimes, you know, if we see that a person can do five jobs, you know, sometimes you -- people will tend to let continue to do the five jobs instead of hiring five people. But we have a lot of positions when compared with other agencies.

And so -- but this particular section here,

I -- over the years, I realize that it has been

more of a scheduling and just properly maintaining

the streets based on maintenance of a schedule, not

so much that we don't have the people.

So, Mr. Bailey, if I'm incorrect on that, or if that's been fixed, I would love to hear the update.

COUNCILPERSON LANIER: Well,

Ms. Miller-Anderson, I just wanted to speak to your point. I don't think that I was complaining so much about the vacant or the workers being cut. I think that I was -- my complaint is in regards to -- if we can't keep it, if we can't get it fixed, you know, with what we have, why are we

cutting more? Why are we not taking on more?
You're saying that we're not taking on more because that isn't the issue.

COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: No, I'm saying that it's been a scheduling -- just utilizing the people that they've had -- and, Mr. Bailey, please jump in -- from my understanding, from all of the conversations that have been had, we have always had enough people. It's just putting the right people in the right places, and keeping the streets on a maintenance type schedule. So it's been a scheduling thing, from my perspective anyway. And so if I'm wrong, please, someone jump in.

COUNCILPERSON LANIER: Well, that means that -- but there's still an issue though. The issue is that the city looks -- doesn't look well.

COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON: I agree. And that's why somebody needs to answer. I can't answer that. That's why I said, unless it's been corrected, that was the issue.

Now if we're still having a -- it's not looking the way that we think it should, then that is what needs to be answered.

COUNCILPERSON LANIER: Well, that's clear.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Because even today it's still not looking the way 2 eight miles should look. 3 You know, we have bigger municipalities, we have bigger -- much bigger cities that look -- I'm 4 5 just not going to get into that. 7

But I'm frustrated because of the fact that, you know, I keep getting calls about it. And, you know, they call the maintenance department, they ask for people to clean out alleys, they ask for people to look at the landscaping. It doesn't get So I don't -- you know, I'm just trying to figure out what to do at this point in terms of talking to constituents.

And the reason why I brought this up was because if a resident who has this issue is looking at this tonight, they're saying well they're taking away four positions and, as you said, not knowing that it's not the positions, it's the people that you already have. So I just wanted to be clear to the audience of what the issues is.

And you can, Mr. Bailey, speak to the audience and let them know how this is going to be rectified.

All right. Can we just ask --CHAIR BOTEL: and Mayor Felder has a comment first, and then

we'll move on.

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCILPERSON LANIER: Okay.

CHAIR BOTEL: Mayor Felder.

MAYOR FELDER: Yes, I agree with everybody. Just to get some intake on it. I did have a conversation with Mr. Evans and Mr. Bailey today, and Mr. Evans assured me that we're coming up with a comprehensive plan to roll out to make sure that we are covering the city and making sure that we are beautifying our city. So that is a conversation we had today, that we are working on. And hopefully sooner than later we can get that plan rolled out, so we can make sure that every part of the city has been beautified. So it has been an issue, but we've been -- they assured me today that that plan would be rolled out and we would start the process for making sure that the lots, the alleyways, and every other aspect of the city will be beautified, so

CITY MANAGER EVANS: Madam Chair, if I may, before Mr. Bailey provides some insight. We did have a conversation with the mayor today and, obviously, it is our commitment to make sure that we beautify our community. We're looking at more drought tolerant vegetation. We're looking at more

Florida friendly landscaping. We're looking at ways to be more proactive in the picking up of trash and debris in our community.

You know, when you have a situation when you plant St. Augustine grass in medians in South Florida, you're going to have to cut that almost every week because it grows so quickly. And so one of the things we want to look at is comprehensively ensuring that the landscaping from the mainland all the way to the island is consistent and uniform.

We have developed a schedule that the employees have been following here since the onset of the pandemic. And we are doing it based on, you know, three different crews. We don't have all our staffing complement out there. And we haven't had them out there since the onset of the pandemic. So hopefully we can move to some form of normality where we could be more proactive in addressing some of those concerns when we're up to our full staffing complement.

There's also been some personnel decisions that have happened in Mr. Bailey's operation that have allowed for some of the individuals that are well seasoned in addressing the needs of the community, taking on those true leader jobs and

helping to take care of situations that are problematic.

We're also looking at ways -- those alleyways behind some of the households. Do we pave those alleyways in their entirety? Do we look at a situation whereby we talk to the residential property owners and say, are you interested in expanding your backyard and collapsing some of those alleyways?

Because we have a lot a lot of alleyways throughout our community that is a challenge for us to continue to maintain. And, obviously, when people park vehicles back there and all the -- it's hard for our crews to get in there.

So we're looking at it wholistically, what can we do to beautify our community.

In the community value survey, as you all recall, community esthetics was ranked in the top three. And so we heard it loud and clear from the residents. We want to make sure that our community reflects the community that we want it to be.

And being more matter of fact about littering in our community. I've already communicated to law enforcement that if we see folks that are discharging waste, that we write a citation, zero

tolerance, because that's the only way we're going to change some of the behaviors.

And to some of the comments that were made by Councilperson Miller-Anderson about our nuisance abatement program and how do we address some of those nuisance properties in our community to spruce up their investment, to make sure that it doesn't become a problematic property.

And the other side, the more we transition folks into home ownership and taking pride and sweat equity in our community, invariably we're going to change our neighborhood. And remember, there's only 15 percent of the residential parcels in Riviera Beach that are homesteaded property. The other properties are owned by individuals, 85 percent, that do not reside in our community. So it's a wholistic approach that we're looking at trying to address this situation.

So we're not just looking at mowing and -mow, glow and go on the medians. We're looking at
how we can do some enhancements to make it easier
for us to spruce it up, to let folks know when
you're in Riviera Beach you can be -- you can feel
that you've arrived, and the community and the
esthetics matches the desires of the community as

well as the elected body.

So we're going to be looking to bring something more specific back to you all for further discussions, but it is something that is a priority of administration.

Mr. Bailey, is there anything else that you wanted to add to those comments?

COUNCILPERSON LANIER: Mr. -- Madam Chair, before he closes, I just wanted to ask you, Mr. Evans, I thought about this and talked to some residents about the possibility of the City, you know, deeding that land in the alley to them to put them to -- that that's theirs to take care of. How likely is that to happen?

Options that we would like to bring to the Board, because that is a simple solution. I've done that before in other organizations that I've worked for, and it was a success. And any time individuals have an opportunity to expand their backyard -- and invariably, in most cases some of them are taking care of it already. So it wouldn't be outside of the realm of possibility. And it would be an option that we would present to the Council for consideration.

1	COUNCILPERSON LANIER: Okay.
2	CHAIR BOTEL: Before Mr. Bailey responds, I
3	just want to add my two cents. You know,
4	Mr. Evans, the number of calls I get from people on
5	the island who are upset about the landscaping over
6	here. So it clearly is an issue for the whole
7	city, and I look forward to seeing your plan come
8	to fruition.
9	Thank you.
10	Mr. Bailey.
11	MR. BAILEY: Mr. Evans articulated the
12	staff's position incredibly, and so I really have
13	nothing further to add.
14	CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.
15	Anything else on Public Works then? Any
16	other questions?
17	Okay. Thank you.
18	Mr. Evans, Development Services.
19	CITY MANAGER EVANS: All right. Director
20	Sirmons, you should be able to be in the driver's
21	seat here. So when you're ready to begin.
22	MR. SIRMONS: All right. I don't think I'm
23	able to change that.
24	CITY MANAGER EVANS: Let me get you queued up
25	here, and then make sure that you have the access.

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right, sir. You should have it now.

MR. SIRMONS: All right. Good evening, Madam Chair, Mr. Mayor, Members of the Council. I am excited to be before you to present the FY '21 budget for the Development Services Department.

Starting here first with our organizational Again, having been here a little over two months now, we've begun to make some changes that I think will be very impactful in the organization. I'm one of the persons creating a fourth division called operations. What this division has done is kind of been a spin-off from our buildings division. Whereas we have a focus on the process -- or our permit techs and our operations manager, Ms. Grace Joyce, they focus on the nonregulatory aspects of the permit process; so the intake, the circulation and the issuance of those permits. Not any of the codes that are administered during that process. And with having the eye and focus on just those activities, we are -- we expect to see some great gains in the permit process. And so that's one of the changes that we have made already.

And getting into our budget, overall we have reduced our budget by six and a half percent from

last year's adopted budget. For personnel, we have gone up a little bit. But the majority of those savings have been in the operating expenses category, as well as capital.

So to talk about some of those modifications. The first one is our permit tech, Frank Calner (phonetic) -- and I'll start by saying that our permit techs are easily some of the hardest working staff members in the City. Each day they field two to three hundred phone calls off just incoming sometimes 50 to 60 inspections that they plan. And in any given month they process over 600 permits. And that's multiple touches per permit in terms of intake, circulation, as well as issuance of those.

And because of the huge volume of work, we don't have the efficiencies and sometimes the quality suffers just because of the massive volume of work that they're doing, and it's only three people, which is why one of my first asks with my first budget is to add an additional permit tech to that front counter. And we have been able to make that happen, so that's one staff addition that we'll have and that I am confident will result in some great gains in our processing times and efficiencies for the department.