1	Riviera Beach Community Redevelopment Agency
2	Regular Meeting
3	Marina Village Event Center
4	190 East 13th Street
5	Riviera Beach, Florida
6	Thursday, November 12, 2020
7	6:08 p.m. to 10:30 p.m.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	IN ATTENDANCE:
21	Chain Tulia Datal
22	Chair Julia Botel Vice Chair Douglas Lawson Commissioner Shirley D. Lanier
23	Commissioner Shirley D. Lanier Commissioner Tradrick McCoy Commissioner KaShamba Miller-Anderson
24	Executive Director Jonathan Evans
25	General Counsel Michael Haygood Senior Project Assistant/CRA Clerk Tamara Seguin

BE IT REMEMBERED that the following Riviera
Beach Community Redevelopment Agency Regular Meeting
was had at the Marina Village Event Center, on
Thursday, November 12, 2020, beginning at 6:08 p.m.,
with attendees as hereinabove noted, to wit:
CHAIR BOTEL: I call to order the Riviera
Beach Community Redevelopment Agency meeting for
November 12th. The time is 6:08 p.m.
Madam Clerk, please call the roll.
THE CLERK: Commissioner Miller-Anderson.
COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Present.
THE CLERK: Commissioner McCoy.
(No response.)
THE CLERK: Commissioner Lanier.
(No response.)
CHAIR BOTEL: Commissioner Lanier.
(No response.)
THE CLERK: Commissioner Miller-Anderson.
COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Yes, I'm here
two times.
THE CLERK: Vice Chair Lawson.
VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Present.
THE CLERK: Chair Botel.
CHAIR BOTEL: Here.

Let the record show that Commissioner McCoy has joined the meeting.

We'll have a moment of silence, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance, led by Commissioner
Miller-Anderson.

(Moment of silence observed. Pledge of Allegiance recited.)

CHAIR BOTEL: Any person who would like to speak on any agenda item, please complete a blue plastic public comment card located at the front desk and give it to the staff prior to the item being taken up by CRA Commission for discussion.

Members of the public will be given a total of three minutes to speak on all items listed on the consent agenda and three minutes to speak on each regular agenda item. The time limit for public comment may be reduced by a vote of the CRA Board of Commissioners based on the voluminous nature of public comment cards. In no event will anyone be allowed to submit a comment card to speak on an agenda item after the resolution is read or item considered.

Do we have any additions, deletions or substitutions?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: Yes,

Madam Chair. We would like to remove item 13 for the

1 acquisition of property and bring that back at a 2 subsequent meeting. 3 CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. 4 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Madam Chair. 5 CHAIR BOTEL: Yes. 6 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Can we add discussion and 7 deliberation for Executive Director contract and 8 salary? 9 CHAIR BOTEL: We can. Make that item number 10 13? 11 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Roll call on that. 12 CHAIR BOTEL: Okay, Madam Clerk. 13 THE CLERK: Commissioner McCoy. 14 COMMISSIONER McCOY: 15 THE CLERK: Commissioner Miller-Anderson. 16 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Are we having 17 a discussion first? 18 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Discussion. 19 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: I want to ask 20 something before I vote. 21 CHAIR BOTEL: Okay, go ahead. That's 22 (inaudible), I'm sorry. 23 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: So I don't 24 have an issue with it per se, but if we're taking any 25 action on it, I don't want to take any action because

we haven't posted it on the agenda. But I mean if we just want to have a talk about it, not necessarily making any decisions, I'm fine with that, and that could probably just be under Council comments or Commissioner comments. But if it's something we're going to take action on, I agree. But not being that we haven't advertised it, that's the only thing I would have a problem with us making a decision tonight.

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Madam Chair.

CHAIR BOTEL: Yes, go ahead.

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: And just to follow up with that, it was just discussion and deliberation.

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Can you put it up a little bit? I can't really hear anybody, really.

Go ahead.

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Thank you. It was to have discussion and deliberation in reference to the Executive Director that we hired in our last meeting, so just to get an idea of what direction we were going, how we're going to negotiate and the timeframe in regards to salary, outline of scheduling, and just to have a discussion on it, because we haven't had those financial impacts on what it's going to look like.

CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. McCoy, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Thank you.

Welcome to the body, Commissioner Lawson. Is this not something that you knew of before that you could have properly placed on the agenda as an item to be discussed? But clearly, while I understand this may be important, I would have loved to have the opportunity to prepare for the discussion before being able to be surprised by it.

This is something that when it comes up in any event, you know, I've said this time and time again both on the CRA and also on the City side, even if it's merely a discussion, the talking points and the notes that I have, I'm not prepared to give that. In fact, I got caught by the train and left half of my regular meeting equipment in the vehicle.

So I'm not so inclined to do that. I mean so long as we're not taking action is good, but my other concern is I don't want to allow whatever discussion takes place to embody or represent some sort of embodiment of my position, because I'm not prepared to discuss it. And I think any discussion at this point would put me at a disadvantage and disenfranchise me from what I really have -- you know, my concerns prior to now.

But if you would love to have this on the agenda for next month, I will be highly in support. In

```
1
    fact, if you want us to call a special meeting to
2
    discuss it, so long as it doesn't happen anytime after
3
    today or before the 15th of -- well, anytime before the
4
    15th of December. But I just feel like everything here
5
    operates in some sense of an emergency about
    everything, and it's last minute about everything.
6
7
              And I certainly respect your position, but is
8
    this something that we have to discuss?
                                              This is
9
    theoretical in nature. Is this something that we have
10
    to discuss tonight, and do we have to take any kind of
11
    formal further deliberation?
                                   I yield.
12
              CHAIR BOTEL:
                            Thank you.
13
              Commissioner Lanier, are you there?
14
              Walter, is Commissioner Lanier connected?
15
              COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON:
                                              Madam Chair,
16
    can we --
17
              CHAIR BOTEL: While we're waiting.
18
              COMMISSIONER McCOY: Yes, we don't have any
19
    volume.
20
              COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: I really
21
    cannot hear people.
22
              COMMISSIONER McCOY: We need volume.
23
              COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Yes.
24
              CHAIR BOTEL: While we're waiting,
25
    Commissioner Lawson.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER McCOY: And Madam Chair, while we're waiting, I had to park in Pakistan because my assigned parking space was taken. So I just want to make it a note, like when we have to run in here after being caught by --CHAIR BOTEL: The train. COMMISSIONER McCOY: -- school zones and railroad tracks, you know, it's quite tough to have to park way over at Willis Williams' barbecue stand. CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. Evans, can you be sure that our parking spots are available? EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: Certainly we can take care of that, Madam Chair. CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. Is Commissioner Lanier on? COMMISSIONER McCOY: Lawson was in my space. VICE CHAIR LAWSON: I brought two cars specifically. CHAIR BOTEL: All right. Well, I hate to take a vote without --COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Well, I mean she never was on. She wasn't on the roll call either, so I mean --

the roll call that she was on, so I figured maybe she

CHAIR BOTEL: Yes, but they said just before

1 just didn't want to -- well, let's -- I don't want to 2 tie the meeting down. 3 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Well, I mean 4 now we don't have him, so --5 CHAIR BOTEL: Yes, him either. 6 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Well, while 7 we're waiting, I have a question. We won't be having 8 another CRA meeting in November? What's today? 9 CHAIR BOTEL: Oh, Shirley's on, but she says 10 she can't hear anything. 11 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Mr. Evans, are 12 we having one -- are we scheduled to have one on that 13 Wednesday or no? 14 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: No. Madam 15 Chair. 16 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: 17 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: Or 18 Councilwoman -- Commissioner. 19 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: I know 20 (inaudible). 21 CHAIR BOTEL: So we're not having anything on 22 the 25th. That can go away. So our next meeting is --23 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: The 9th? 24 CHAIR BOTEL: Okay. Is Commissioner Lanier 25 on, Walter?

```
1
              MR. STEPHENS: (Inaudible) I'm speaking to
2
    her.
3
              CHAIR BOTEL: Oh, okay.
4
              COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: So what I was
5
    about to say is I thought -- do we have a Utility
6
    District meeting scheduled? Is that on a regular --
7
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)
8
              COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: What date is
9
    that for?
10
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS:
                                                  That is
    scheduled for the 18th.
11
12
              COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Okay, I'm
13
    thinking about the Monday. I'm either -- I would be
14
    fine with either our next CRA meeting, or if we wanted
15
    to do something that Monday or Tuesday before
16
    Thanksgiving, a special meeting maybe?
17
              CHAIR BOTEL: I'm okay with the Monday or
18
    Tuesday before Thanksgiving or waiting until the next
19
    CRA meeting, which is December 9th on my calendar.
2.0
              COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Yes.
21
              COMMISSIONER McCOY: I'm sorry?
22
              CHAIR BOTEL: We said we are both available
23
    to meet on either -- let me just make sure that's true
24
    in my case -- on either the Monday or Tuesday before
25
    Thanksgiving, the 23rd or 24th.
```

1 COMMISSIONER LANIER: This is Commissioner 2 Lanier. Can they hear me now? 3 CHAIR BOTEL: We can hear you now, 4 Commissioner Lanier. So let's take a vote. 5 COMMISSIONER LANIER: I'm not sure if they 6 can hear me or not, but I can see them. 7 CHAIR BOTEL: We can hear you; we can hear 8 you. 9 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Oh, they can hear me? 10 Well, I can't hear them though. MR. STEPHENS: Okay, hold on. We're going to 11 12 work on it. 13 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Okav. 14 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: We're taking a 15 vote on what? 16 CHAIR BOTEL: We're about to vote on whether 17 or not we will take up the discussion and deliberation 18 on the subject of the CRA Manager's position. 19 motion was made by Commissioner --2.0 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: I don't think 21 she hears you yet. 22 CHAIR BOTEL: Can she hear me, Walter? 23 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: No, I was 24 asking the question what about --25 COMMISSIONER LANIER: No, I can't hear

1 My speakers are all the way up. nothing. 2 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: -- Mr. 3 Lawson's? 4 CHAIR BOTEL: Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Whatever it is that you 6 do, usually when you hit whatever it is you hit, I can 7 hear everything. But at this point I can't hear 8 everything. I can't hear anything, I should say. 9 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Are we 10 recording? 11 MR. STEPHENS: Hold on for a second. 12 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Sure. 13 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: Madam 14 Chair, would you like us to --15 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Sorry about the 16 technical difficulties. 17 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: consider a brief recess so we can --18 19 CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. We're going to take 20 a brief recess until we get all of our Commissioners 21 with us. 22 Walter, let's take a brief recess. 23 MR. STEPHENS: Okay. 24 CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. 25 (Recess in the proceedings.)

1	CHAIR BOTEL: Ready?
2	COMMISSIONER LANIER: I need to yes, we're
3	ready, but I need to go back to I was looking at
4	this on You Tube, and there was a motion made. I need
5	to figure out what's happening here.
6	CHAIR BOTEL: All right. Commissioner
7	Lanier, can you hear me?
8	COMMISSIONER LANIER: I can hear you. Can
9	you hear me?
10	CHAIR BOTEL: Yes.
11	Walter, are we back on record? Yes?
12	Commissioner Lanier, I'm going to ask
13	Commissioner Lawson to restate his motion.
14	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Okay. Thank you.
15	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Thank you, Madam Chair.
16	We were doing additions and deletions, and I
17	wanted to add to the agenda to have a discussion item
18	about our Executive Director in regards to salary and
19	contract.
20	CHAIR BOTEL: And the discussion centered
21	around Mr. McCoy, do you think you can, in a brief
22	way, restate your concern?
23	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Sure. I just wanted to
24	see if that we can have an opportunity to have this
25	ahead of time to discuss it. In particular, if there's

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 something that the Commissioner from the Fifth District 2 wants to share, then, you know, we can kind of be aware 3 But I was not in any sense even prepared to 4 have a discussion about it, and anything that we 5 discuss would be completely from the hip, and I don't 6 think that's giving us ample time to digest it or even, 7 you know, deliberate or even discuss it. 8 CHAIR BOTEL: Commissioner Miller-Anderson, 9 did you want to say anything else? 10 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: No. I can't 11 hear. 12 CHAIR BOTEL: Okay. Other than that. 13 COMMISSIONER LANIER: I'd like to say that 14 although I agree with the motion, I'm of the mindset 15 that we need to have some type of item or discussion 16

although I agree with the motion, I'm of the mindset that we need to have some type of item or discussion item in front of us to be able to do that. I want to be able to have something to work with. I don't want to just go into this cold and not knowing what we're going to discuss and how we're going to discuss it. So if the CRA Director can bring back a discussion item that addresses this, I will be more than willing to discuss it.

CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. McCoy, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Thank you, Madam Chair.

I just want to make sure, is that

Commissioner Lanier that we were speaking to?

CHAIR BOTEL: Yes.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Oh, it doesn't sound like it. It doesn't sound like the person that last month, after we went through eight hours of interviews, when we had an agenda, went completely off agenda. Now she's saying she's ready to have a discussion if it's on the agenda and we get time? Because clearly, that sounds very hypocritical to me. And not only that, it's not a decision for the CRA Director to make that. It's for us as the Commissioners.

So it seems like to me, if I'm not mistaken, that can't be Commissioner Lanier. And I would like to see if we could see her picture up on the screen, because one day it's one thing and the next day it's another. Who are we really talking to and what have you done with Commissioner Shirley Lanier from the Third District? I yield.

COMMISSIONER LANIER: Commissioner --

COMMISSIONER McCOY: I would like to see her.

How do we validate that?

COMMISSIONER LANIER: -- McCoy, First

District, sir, I'm asking that, yes, I do want to have this discussion. In fact, I wanted this discussion -- I was going to bring this up during my Commissioner

1 comments. But I also understand that based on the last 2 conversation that we had about this item, that you felt 3 like there should be some more time to be able to 4 discuss. So in light of that, that is the reason why I 5 suggested that we bring back this item as a discussion 6 Now, if you want to move forward with it 7 tonight, we can do that as well. 8 CHAIR BOTEL: Anyone else want to -- go 9 ahead, Mr. McCoy. 10 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Madam Chair, so we need 11 some better audio, because it's difficult hearing 12 another member speaking. It's much more difficult 13 hearing --14 CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. Stephens, is there an 15 opportunity for us to see --16 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Madam Chair. 17 CHAIR BOTEL: -- Commissioner Lanier on the 18 screen when she speaks? 19 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Well, no, no, Madam 20 I say it's difficult for me to hear you guys, 21

Chair. I say it's difficult for me to hear you guys, and it's much more difficult for me to hear the person that's portraying themselves as Commissioner Lanier, because that's really not the person that was here in the month of October that put a motion on the floor to hire Jonathan Evans as the CRA Executive Director

22

23

24

1 without even going through --2 CHAIR BOTEL: All right, do we have any --3 COMMISSIONER McCOY: -- I'm still speaking --4 without us even going through the entire process. 5 then even after us interviewing eight candidates, plus 6 we spent over an hour deliberating on narrowing down 7 the list of four candidates, this clearly can't be the 8 same person. So do we have some method, Mr. Jonathan 9 Evans, or perhaps --10 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Madam Chair. 11 COMMISSIONER McCOY: I reclaim my time; I 12 reclaim my time; I reclaim my time. 13 Mr. Jonathan Evans, do we have some method, 14 or perhaps Mr. Michael Haygood, do we have some method 15 of validating or verifying the person that we're 16 speaking to or hearing from is, in fact, the 17 Commissioner from the Third District, Shirley Lanier? 18 And that question is for the attorney or the Executive 19 Director. 20 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Madam Chair, if I can 21 speak, please? 22 CHAIR BOTEL: Yes, go ahead. 23 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Mr. McCoy --24 COMMISSIONER McCOY: My question is not

answered, so I would take it that we have no way,

- because this was a parliamentary inquiry that we had, so --
- COMMISSIONER LANIER: Could I reclaim my time, Mr. McCoy?
- COMMISSIONER McCOY: So unless we're going to put order into this meeting, I'm going to vehemently object, and I'm going to state my motion of parliamentary privilege because we can't validate who's on the actual line.
- 10 CHAIR BOTEL: I think --
- COMMISSIONER McCOY: And I restate my
  objection, and I object, Mr. Attorney and Madam Chair.
- 13 CHAIR BOTEL: I think Mr. Stephens is
  14 attempting to make that happen. I just saw the
  15 screen --
- 16 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Well, it's a question of 17 parliamentary inquiry that's provided for under 18 Robert's Rules of Order. Mr. Walter Stephens is not 19 qualified as a member of this body to be able to 20 resolve that question. And my question still remains: 21 Can we validate that this is, in fact, the Commissioner 22 from the Third District, Shirley Lanier? And that's a 23 question from me, as a member, to either the Director, 24 the attorney, or more importantly, from the 25 Chairperson.

1	CHAIR BOTEL: And my response was that I
2	believe that it's being there is an attempt
3	COMMISSIONER McCOY: So we can't validate it.
4	So that's a yes or no question. It's a point of
5	parliamentary inquiry.
6	CHAIR BOTEL: We are attempting to validate
7	it.
8	COMMISSIONER McCOY: So the question is no.
9	The answer to that question is no.
10	CHAIR BOTEL: At this point in time the
11	answer is no
12	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Thank you. So I yield
13	back, and we shouldn't hear anything else until that
14	person is validated.
15	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Madam Chair, I am on
16	camera now
17	COMMISSIONER McCOY: We shouldn't hear
18	anything else until that person is validated. This is
19	a point of I'm challenging the rules.
20	CHAIR BOTEL: Well, there she is, just in the
21	nick of time.
22	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay, well, is that her?
23	CHAIR BOTEL: Yes, that is, indeed.
24	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Raise your right hand.
25	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Hello, Commissioner

1 from the First District. This is --2 COMMISSIONER McCOY: That look like her. 3 CHAIR BOTEL: Can we -- are we done with this discussion? 4 5 COMMISSIONER McCOY: No, but I mean 6 realistically, like, you know, first of all, I really 7 feel some kind of way that we're having a discussion 8 about what we're going to discuss that's completely off 9 agenda, when it didn't have -- you know, we didn't have 10 a problem last month with it being off agenda. Now the 11 Commissioner from the Third District is saying it has 12 to be on the agenda or she would rather that it's on 13 the agenda. I mean, wait, you'd rather now, after we 14 spent eight hours. And then the other thing is, you 15 know, the whole order of it. I guess we just interrupt 16 when we choose to, right? 17 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Point of order, Madam 18 Chair. 19 COMMISSIONER McCOY: No, there's no point of 20 I have the floor, and I'm raising a point of 21 order. 22 CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. McCoy has the floor, 23 indeed --24 COMMISSIONER McCOY: So until my point of

order is resolved, I would respectfully ask that you

let me have that.

CHAIR BOTEL: You have the floor, Mr. McCoy.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay, so Madam Chair, would you agree now that we've validated that this is

5 | the gentlewoman from the Third District?

CHAIR BOTEL: I would agree that we have validated it.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay. All right, so thank you. So we've resolved that parliamentary inquiry. And I think you need to know why it's important to have parliamentary questions of whether or not the rules are being adhered to, because she's saying something that, clearly from my experience from our last CRA meeting, didn't seem like something that was consistent with her position today.

And if I might go back, she's now suggesting that we have a meeting based on something that's placed on the agenda to discuss the City -- the CRA Executive Director salary and the contract, when clearly there was not that same consideration when he was selected as the CRA Executive Director in the month of October.

CHAIR BOTEL: Right.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Wouldn't we agree that that's definitely different? Never mind. I withdraw that question.

But my point is this: I don't agree that we even have this discussion until its agenda'd, but I'm very much surprised that somebody didn't think it was important to show up at a meeting, but now, would now reinstate the very thing that they didn't particularly care about last month as one of the reasons to now have that discussion. So I yield back, and I'm opposed to us having that discussion.

CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. Any other comments?

COMMISSIONER LANIER: Madam Chair.

CHAIR BOTEL: Yes, Commissioner Lanier.

address the Commissioner from the First District. My position was this: If there is -- my first position was that I would rather it be an agenda item. But I also said if we want to discuss it tonight, then let's discuss it tonight. Either way. We can agenda it, we can discuss it tonight, we can discuss it right now. However you want to do it, we have to discuss compensation for the CRA Director, period. So however we do that, I am amenable to however we do that, tonight, tomorrow, next week, right now, it doesn't matter. But it has to be discussed regardless. And that is my position.

CHAIR BOTEL: Any other comments or

1	questions?
2	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: No.
3	CHAIR BOTEL: Madam Clerk.
4	THE CLERK: Madam Chair
5	CHAIR BOTEL: I'm sorry, did we have a
6	second?
7	THE CLERK: That was my question.
8	CHAIR BOTEL: Did we have a second?
9	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: I don't think
10	so.
11	CHAIR BOTEL: I don't think we had a second.
12	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Restate the motion and
13	ask for a second, I would ask.
14	CHAIR BOTEL: We heard the motion. I don't
15	remember hearing a second.
16	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Yes, I don't
17	think we did.
18	CHAIR BOTEL: Did you hear a second,
19	Mr. Lawson?
20	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: There was no second.
21	Councilman McCoy asked for a roll call after the
22	statement of the addition was made.
23	CHAIR BOTEL: Okay. So does anyone care to
24	make a
25	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: So I will ask I will

1	make a motion to add to the agenda discussion and
2	deliberation about our Executive Director's
3	compensation and contract.
4	CHAIR BOTEL: Does anyone want to second?
5	We have no second. Thank you, Mr. Lawson.
6	Now, is there any other addition, deletion or
7	substitution? Does anyone have any disclosures? I
8	don't see any.
9	All matters listed under this item, the
10	consent agenda, are considered to be routine and action
11	will be taken on one motion.
12	THE CLERK: Excuse me, Madam Chair.
13	CHAIR BOTEL: There will be no
14	THE CLERK: We need to adopt the agenda
15	first.
16	CHAIR BOTEL: Oh, I'm sorry. Got so caught
17	up. Would someone care to make a motion to adopt the
18	agenda, now that we've gotten it out of the way?
19	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: So moved.
20	CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.
21	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Second.
22	CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.
23	THE CLERK: Commissioner Miller-Anderson.
24	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Yes.
25	THE CLERK: Commissioner Lanier.

CHAIR BOTEL: Second?

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: So moved.

24

	Regular Board Meeting 26
1	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Second.
2	THE CLERK: Commissioner Miller-Anderson.
3	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Yes.
4	THE CLERK: Commissioner Lanier.
5	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes.
6	THE CLERK: Commissioner McCoy.
7	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Yes.
8	THE CLERK: Vice Chair Lawson.
9	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Yes.
10	THE CLERK: Chair Botel.
11	CHAIR BOTEL: Yes.
12	THE CLERK: That motion carries.
13	CHAIR BOTEL: Item number seven.
14	THE CLERK: Update on Safety Tool Kit
15	Project, including revised timeline and metrics.
16	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Madam Chair,
17	want him to go? Want him to talk?
18	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: Madam
19	Chair and members of the Board, this item before you
20	this evening is to talk about the Safety Tool Kit
21	Project. This was a project that staff was originally
22	going to move forward with prior to COVID. We have
23	done some due diligence as well as testing as it
24	relates to the program and think it will be an

exceptional program for those that reside within the

CRA. And we will look to expand this program after the installation process and we have contact and conversations with the recipients of the devices that will be articulated this evening.

At this time I will ask for Mr. Neimah Spence to make a presentation on the program. Mr. Spence.

MR. SPENCE: Thank you. Good evening, Madam Chair, Board members. My name is Neimah Spence,
Operations Manager for the CRA's Clean and Safe
Program.

I'm going to be going over an update on our Safety Home Initiative Program, our Safety Tool Kit, give you a little background on the tool kit. In October 2019 the Clean and Safe Program initiated the newest residential crime prevention tool, the Safety Home Initiative Program, which is our tool kit. The program was due to begin in March 2020. However, due to the COVID pandemic, the program was placed on hold.

The Safe Home Initiative Crime Prevention

Tool Kit includes an exterior motion sensor light, a smoke detector with a ten year battery life, our SmartWater CSI, our Ring Video Doorbell, our code compliant house numbers and our yard and window signage.

This program is for the CRA District and

residents that lives inside of our district. We broke down the district into five different zones.

Zone one is, from east to west, from Broadway to Lake Shore Drive, and north and south, from East 30th Street to East 22nd Street. Zone two will be from Broadway to Avenue F, and north and south from Silver Beach Road to West Blue Heron Boulevard. Zone three will be from Broadway to Avenue F, and north to south from West 25th Street to West 14th Street. And zone four is, east to west, from Broadway to Avenue F, and north and south, from 13th Street to West 11th Street. Zone five will be our Riviera Beach Heights community that goes, east and west, from President Barack Obama Highway to Australian Avenue, and north and south, from West 10th Street to West 6th Street.

There's a new update and timeline in our matrix. In November we scheduled our installation team to start training on our test homes, and during that training, we had video of the installation of the tool kits. And this would give our residents an opportunity to see exactly how these tool kits are installed and some of the parts of the tool kit. The video will be aired and broadcasted on Channel 18, also our CRA website.

Our market and outreach. We will have all

this information on our website, along with Channel 18.

We will have our Constant Contact in the designated

area, and also Ambassadors will be distributing fliers

in the residential areas.

We're going to begin to schedule installation appointments for the applicants who put in their application prior to the pandemic, and the installation of the tool kits will commence on Monday, November 16th.

We will continue the installation in December of the tool kits by appointment. Our goal is to first do one home per day per team, and for that first week we'll do ten homes. The second week we'll do two homes per day per team, and we'll do 20 homes in that second week. We've already trained three teams, so if we need to expand with more teams, we'll be able to do that because we already cross-trained all of our Ambassadors on the installation of the tool kits.

In January we'll finish the installation of the remainder of the first 100 homes, and then we will start our evaluation process for phase one. In February we'll carry over the installation cancellation. If residents cancel for any reason, we'll be able to have those dates available to be able to reschedule their installation. And in late

February, early March we'll commence phase two of the second hundred homes.

The budget. The budget for the phase one includes the first 100 Safe Home Tool Kits that total 27,543, with a built in ten percent contingency.

Our partners. We have the Rivera Beach Fire Department, Rivera Beach Police Department, American Red Cross, Revival Church of God, SmartWater CSI, Florida Training Service. One of the unique parts of partnering was our Fire Department partnered with the Red Cross for the hundred smoke detectors, and during that time we'll be able to do training on fire safety, along with giving emergency escape routes, and we'll also be able to give them hurricane safety tips and we'll have a hurricane checklist.

The Florida Training Services helped out a lot, because they're helping us with installing the numbers on our -- on the addresses so we'll be able to just go ahead and secure the new addresses on the homes.

Our next step will be phase two of the Safety Home Initiative. It has been budgeted for the fiscal year 2020 and 2021 and approved by the CRA Board. In January we will start the procurement process to obtain the installation supplies for phase two and schedule a

meeting with our partners to review the phase two goals and objective.

At that time we will start our new round of marketing outreach to notify homeowners about the Safety Home Initiative and inform them about the application process. And during that time we will send out fliers, we will have meetings so we can really give the residents the opportunity to understand exactly what this tool kit is all about and how we can ensure that all our neighborhoods are safe.

And part of this program is added to our Neighborhood Watch, our SmartWater program and other safety initiatives that we have. And again, we work very closely with the Police Department, the Fire Department and other departments within the City. We're going to have Rod McLeod going to come up and speak about our safety plan as it pertains to the installations of these tool kits.

MR. McLEOD: Good evening, Madam Chair and Board members.

The safety protocol that we're going to be following is prior to going and starting installation, we will do the COVID-19 questionnaire recommended by the CDC. We will take temperatures of all the people in the houses prior to us entering the homes. Our

- Ambassadors will wear a face shield, face mask and have vinyl gloves. Upon completion of the installation, they will discard their gloves, wipe down all the equipment and move on to the next house. We're taking every safety precaution that we can to minimize exposure of our Ambassadors.
  - And with that, I'll answer any questions.

    CHAIR BOTEL: Any questions? Mr. McCoy.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Thank you.

- So Mr. McLeod, the motion sensors that I'm seeing, are those some sort of remote devices or are they electrically hard wired?
- MR. McLEOD: I'm sorry, the echoing's -- I'm going to walk over this way.
- COMMISSIONER McCOY: The motion sensors that I'm seeing in the presentation, are those remote, or are those hard wired into the electrical system? And speak into the mic so we can --
  - MR. McLEOD: Smoke detectors.
- MR. SPENCE: I can answer that question. The sensor, motion sensor light is going to be solar, so we don't have to worry about hard wiring, we don't have to worry about batteries or anything like that.
- COMMISSIONER McCOY: Follow-up. Is that the same for the smoke detectors as well? I heard you say

a ten year battery life.

MR. SPENCE: Yes, the smoke detector has a ten year battery life so you don't have to worry about changing it for ten years.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay. So the organization that you mentioned, what exactly -- I think it was Florida Training Services. What exactly will they be providing? How is that a partnership? Are they going to be doing the installation of just the numbers or what, because the photo shows a Clean and Safe worker what appears to be installing the numbers. So what exactly is Florida Training Services' role?

MR. SPENCE: Well, what they're going to do is we already sent them over our siding. So they are pre-cut, and they'll install the numbers on the site. And so -- and pre-drill the holes so all we have to do is when we put the kit on, is just to drill the screws in to secure it to the residence.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Are we paying Florida Services for that?

MR. SPENCE: No, we're not. That's just part of our partnership. And they're doing, they're helping students and young adults --

COMMISSIONER McCOY: So the answer was no?

MR. SPENCE: The answer is no.

```
1
              COMMISSIONER McCOY: My next question is are
2
    there any costs outside the purchase of the supplies
3
    and the tools that we need, as far as any other labor
4
    costs or any other costs other than the purchase of the
5
    equipment?
6
              MR. SPENCE:
                           No.
7
              COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay. Thank you, Madam
8
    Chair.
              CHAIR BOTEL: Any other questions?
10
              VICE CHAIR LAWSON:
                                   Madam Chair.
11
              CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. Lawson, you're recognized.
12
              VICE CHAIR LAWSON:
                                  Sir, how many residential
13
    properties are in our CRA District?
14
              MR. SPENCE: I don't have the exact number,
15
    but I can get that number for you. We're starting off
16
    with the 100 tool kits, and then the phase two will be
17
    another 100 tool kits.
18
                                   Okay. And that's based
              VICE CHAIR LAWSON:
19
    on the application process as you outlined for the
20
    houses that you select?
21
              MR. SPENCE: Yes, sir.
22
              VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Okay, what metrics are we
23
    going to use to measure the success of this project?
24
    How are we going to know if this first hundred and
25
    second hundred are actually successful?
```

```
1
                           Okay, when we started, we did a
              MR. SPENCE:
2
    crime analysis, so we have a baseline of where we're
3
    going to start. Six months later we'll do another
    crime analysis, and then after that year we'll do, also
4
5
    do another crime analysis. What we're going to do,
6
    we're going to call the residents to check, to get an
7
    update on how things are going, if there are any issues
8
    or anything like that. We're also going to have
9
    surveys that we'll have going around to get a feel of
10
    how this is working out, and if we can improve on that,
11
    what can we do to improve it.
12
              VICE CHAIR LAWSON: When was the last crime
13
    analysis done?
14
              MR. SPENCE: Say it -- I'm sorry, I didn't
15
    hear that.
16
              VICE CHAIR LAWSON: With this project, when
17
    was the last crime analysis done?
18
                           It was right before we started.
              MR. SPENCE:
19
    So it was, I want to say in October. Last October, I'm
20
    sorry.
21
              VICE CHAIR LAWSON:
                                  Okay, so last October.
```

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Okay, so last October.

So that's my concern. What is the cost of doing one of these analyses? What is the cost of doing this crime analysis?

22

23

24

25

MR. SPENCE: It's no cost. It's a service

that the Rivera Beach Police Department gives us.

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Okay. I would actually like to see if the first concern would be having a crime analysis done a little closer to the project being installed, being that, you know, the whole world has gone through a pandemic that we've never experienced, our expected crime numbers have gone up based upon conversations within our community. So let's do a current analysis so we can have accurate numbers based upon the installs we do now, so that in six months we (inaudible) records.

MR. SPENCE: Yes, sir.

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Thank you, Madam Chair.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Madam Chair.

CHAIR BOTEL: Yes, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: So in response to the gentleman from the Fifth District, so what exactly would that give us? Is that going to be any kind of determinate in whether or not we continue the program going forward? Is that what you're suggesting?

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: The purpose would be for metrics. It would give us kind of some feedback and understanding as to what's the impact of these safety kits, if they're actually impactful, if they're beneficial, and if the cost, \$230 per unit, is actually

worth the City spending.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay. Thank you for allowing me to ask that question.

But the other question I want to ask for Mr. Spence. So all of these apparatuses and these peripherals that I'm seeing, and I think I seen SmartWater, numerical signs, smoke detectors and motion sensors, is that going to be applied to every single one of the houses in phase one, or how exactly does that work? Is there a selection process of who gets what? And what's the matrix?

MR. SPENCE: Yes, we have an application process, and all of the residents will receive all of the components of the tool kits. We come with -- we'll have them, once they turn in their application, we'll, as a team, go through the applications to make sure all components in the application is in, and then we'll make the determination of the approval. And we will give them a call, interview them over the phone and set up a date and time for the installation.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay. Madam Chair, last question.

The Ring I know requires having a wireless internet connection or a broadband connection. Is there any collaboration with the Police Department of

requiring or providing access to these surveillance or doorbell cameras for police investigation purpose? And I said requirement. Is this a requirement, because I don't want somebody to get one of the Ring doorbell systems and didn't realize that now by getting this, they have consented to the Police Department having access. So the question would be yes or no.

MR. SPENCE: No.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Are they disclosed that the police does have the opportunity to come and request it, and they are under no obligation to provide it?

MR. SPENCE: That will be part of the interview process. We will --

COMMISSIONER McCOY: So wait a minute, let's stop, because I ask very simple questions, and what I'm asking you is a simple question. Are they going to be told that they have the option to opt out of providing that information to the Police Department if there's no warrant, yes or no?

MR. SPENCE: Yes, we will let them know.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIR BOTEL: Any other questions?

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Yes, Madam

25 Chair.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know that sometime ago the CRA did provide smoke detectors for some homes already within the CRA, and also SmartWater. And I think that was it. (inaudible). So will you all be asking questions if they already have it, or will they be getting duplicates? Yes, what we have, we have -- we MR. SPENCE: started the SmartWater in 2014, and we have a spreadsheet with the information of all of the houses that we gave SmartWater to, along with the smoke detectors, within the Rivera Beach Heights community. COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Right. MR. SPENCE: So yes, that will be part of the process when we go through our documentation to see. And as we do the application, we have a checklist of questions that we ask to get all of the vital

information that we may need to be able to ensure that they're getting the best quality of work.

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Okay, thank you.

CHAIR BOTEL: Commissioner Lanier, do you have any questions?

COMMISSIONER LANIER: No, I don't have any questions. I like the idea, but I don't have any questions at this time.

1 Thank you. CHAIR BOTEL: 2 Mr. Director, would you like a motion that 3 indicates our support for you moving forward with the 4 Safe Home Initiative program? 5 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: Yes, 6 Madam Chair. 7 CHAIR BOTEL: Would someone care to make a 8 motion that we move forward? 9 COMMISSIONER McCOY: It's already been 10 budgeted for, so what exactly will we be voting for? 11 CHAIR BOTEL: In the memo they were asking us 12 to give them our support for moving forward. That's 13 why I asked. 14 COMMISSIONER McCOY: I thought support would 15 be of us --16 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Second. 17 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay, so let me -- is it 18 okay if I finish? So I thought support, and you know, 19 this is -- let me tell you why, because a lot of things 20 come out of voting for something that you don't realize 21 it. There was this guy I know that brought up an issue 22 about the water bills, right? When you vote on 23 something, you don't really realize what you're voting 24 on, because sometime the item comes back and it's not 25 exactly what you were told in the meeting.

And I don't particularly think that this is something that necessitates a vote, because there's clearly no resolution in the backup. And we're putting ourselves in a situation again where we're finding culpability by specific members of the Board for voting on something that's already been budgetarily authorized. So, you know, and I was very much going to ask the question of why we even need to have a presentation when much of what we seen today was already the same thing we seen in a budget workshop.

So like, Madam Chair, let's like think about what we're doing as members of a body. Like how many times do we beat this item before we send our staff out to go and do the good work that they do? We've authorized it in the budget. It's not something that we're spending money outside of the organization. But again, we're being asked to now go back and validate something that's already been previously budgetary approved. And I just don't think that that's proper, especially without a resolution authorizing specific language.

And the gentleman in his complaint brought up very specific motions that I don't believe anybody can deny that there was things there were later brought back for us to execute that were not stated in the

- meeting. So if you want to vote on it, go right ahead, but I'm not about to participate in that.
- 3 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Madam Chair.
- 4 CHAIR BOTEL: Yes.
- 5 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Can
- 6 Mr. Haygood just clear that issue up?
- 7 CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. Haygood.
- MR. HAYGOOD: I'm sorry, I didn't hear.
- 9 CHAIR BOTEL: You're being asked to clarify.
- The memo asks for Board action. The memo says request
- | 11 | for Board action. So I asked the CRA Director whether
- or not he wanted us to make a motion, and Commissioner
- 13 McCoy is objecting to us voting on this, so --
- 14 COMMISSIONER McCOY: So let me clarify. I'm
- | 15 | not objecting. What I'm saying is it's on the agenda
- 16 as an update.
- 17 CHAIR BOTEL: Right.
- COMMISSIONER McCOY: My first thing was
- 19 saying, well, why not just have it as a presentation?
- 20 Much less, why not just give us an e-mail memo? But
- it's already been authorized. But the problem I have
- is when I seen an update, there's no action item to be
- taken. And I looked at the backup. All I seen was a
- 24 memorandum that particularly didn't ask, in my opinion,
- for us to take any Board action, because we've already

authorized this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

But there's not anything specific, Madam
Chair. And that's what I'm saying. Like we supported
it when we authorized the budget, and that was the
time. So like I don't want anything wild or whacky to
come out of this and then something refers back to
November 12, 2020 you guys voted on that. I'm like,
well, clearly, we authorized the budget to do this. If
the program staff -- because think about it, is that
going to be the situation for -- I don't remember what
the full budget amount of 30 some odd million dollars,
every item of the CRA's budget is going to have to come
back to be voted on again?

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Madam Chair.

Mr. McCoy --

CHAIR BOTEL: Are you done?

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: So let me --

MR. HAYGOOD: I don't see a problem.

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Hold on one

minute, Mr. Haygood.

CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. Haygood, Commissioner

23 | Miller-Anderson wants to speak.

24 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: So while I

understand exactly what Mr. McCoy is saying, what I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will say is that we have had a practice of doing what we're doing tonight. Now, that's why I'm asking Mr. Haygood if he can clarify it so we don't have this issue anymore, because we've done this before when they say update. And I understand what you're saying now --COMMISSIONER McCOY: But don't say update. That's all I'm saying. COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: What? COMMISSIONER McCOY: It shouldn't say update. COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: I agree; I And so if Mr. Haygood could clarify it. And maybe next time it just goes under presentation, or can you just clarify if we need to make the motion so that we don't continue this further on? MR. HAYGOOD: Well, you know, it's difficult because you don't have any set procedures. As you said, sometimes you'll do it and then sometimes you don't. COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Well, that's what I'm trying to figure out. Let's just make one way right now.

MR. HAYGOOD: Yes. I think the fact -- I think you just look at the consensus that you want to move forward, so I don't see a problem with the motion.

CHAIR BOTEL: You don't see a --

1 Madam Chair. COMMISSIONER LANIER: 2 MR. HAYGOOD: I don't see an issue with doing 3 it by motion, saying go ahead and move forward if it's 4 consensus to move forward. 5 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: But with his 6 issue that he's bringing up is that we've already 7 talked about it in the budget. What is that noise? 8 MR. HAYGOOD: But everything that you have in 9 the budget doesn't automatically -- is implemented. 10 You have to bring issues back, even if it's in the 11 budget, to approve it. 12 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Okay, so 13 that's what I wanted to hear as well. So for this item 14 here, does it require an actual vote or just the 15 consensus that we agree and we're on the same page and 16 we want to move forward with it? 17 MR. HAYGOOD: I think a consensus is fine, 18 and I think either the consensus or by motion. 19 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Okay. 2.0 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Madam Chair. 21 CHAIR BOTEL: If I could allow Mr. Evans to 22 speak, Commissioner Lanier, and then I'll call on you. 23 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: Madam 24 Chair, the intent behind bringing this item before the

Board for discussion, and ultimately a vote, is to get

25

the Board's support, because sometimes we do have things that are budgeted in the budget, and then when it comes before the Board, there's tweaks and modifications to that.

For instance, in this particular program, the Board can say that we don't want the Ambassadors actually installing the items in the homes of residents, we want that service done by someone else.

So the intent behind this was to provide the Board with all the nuances and intricacies of the program, see if it is the Board's desire to still go forward with the expenditure of \$23,000, and then for us to move forward with the interaction with the community.

so there are certain things that -especially when we're going to be going on private
property and you're talking about something as intimate
as security and some of the questions that Commissioner
McCoy raised with regards to the camera, those are all
good things to bring to this Board for conversations
and discussion versus the City Manager or the CRA
Director just moving forward with it because it's under
the threshold of the \$25,000. So in the abundance of
caution and transparency is why items certainly as
sensitive as this come before you and we're requesting

action and direction from the Board to move forward accordingly.

CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. Would someone care to make a motion to --

COMMISSIONER LANIER: Madam Chair.

CHAIR BOTEL: Yes, I'm sorry. I did say I would call on you. Go ahead, Commissioner Lanier.

COMMISSIONER LANIER: I just wanted to say that a lot of -- most everything that is budgeted comes back before the Board, most everything.

Second of all, a lot of residents, many residents do not know about what we decided in budget talks. The purpose of bringing these items back to the Board and to hear our consensus or our vote or whatever it may be is that the public, the people, the residents who pay taxes in the city know exactly what we're doing with their money.

So yes, I agree with the fact that whatever it is, if it's 25,000 or just 2,500, that it comes back to the Board so that the public has an airing, they know exactly what it is that we're putting their money towards and what we're trying to accomplish here. I agree with the program, I want to move forward with it, and we can take a vote or a consensus. Either way, it needs to be brought back to the Board so the residents

1	understand what it is that we're doing with their
2	money.
3	CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you, Commissioner Lanier.
4	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: So moved.
5	CHAIR BOTEL: Would someone care to make a
6	motion then?
7	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: So moved.
8	CHAIR BOTEL: We have a motion
9	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Second.
10	CHAIR BOTEL: and a second. Madam Clerk.
11	THE CLERK: Commissioner McCoy.
12	(No response.)
13	THE CLERK: Commissioner Miller-Anderson.
14	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Yes.
15	THE CLERK: Commissioner Lanier.
16	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes.
17	THE CLERK: Vice Chair Lawson.
18	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Yes.
19	THE CLERK: Chair Botel.
20	CHAIR BOTEL: Yes.
21	THE CLERK: That motion carries, with
22	Commissioner McCoy absent.
23	CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. Item number eight.
24	THE CLERK: Item number eight: A resolution
25	of the Board of Commissioners of the Riviera Beach

Community Redevelopment Agency approving the Commercial Grant Incentive Program, round three applications, to assist local businesses and improve properties in the community redevelopment area, for a total of \$84,000, providing an effective date.

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: So moved.

CHAIR BOTEL: Do we have a second?

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Second.

CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. Mr. Director, do we have a presentation?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: Yes,

Madam Chair and members of the Board. This item is to

request approval of the Commercial Grant Incentive

Program application for round three, totaling 84,000.

At this time if we can have Mr. Andre Lewis present this item to the Board.

MR. LEWIS: Good evening, Chair Botel, Vice Chair Lawson, Commissioners. Thank you for having me.

So I'll start with giving you a little bit of background on this item. So on October 9th, the Board approved round three of the program acceptance application, back in October of 2019. January of 2020 the agency closed acceptance of submissions of the applications. April 22nd, 2020 the Board approved the highest ranked applicant and requested that the

remaining applicants be brought back at another date. September 25th, 2020 the Commercial Grant Program, round three, was approved for fiscal year 2020-2021.

So we'll go through the final three applicants, which is Badie Alliance, Badie Alliance

Tax -- Badie Tax Alliance, I apologize, and Badie

Seafood/Vegan. They're looking to do some improvements to their facade, the front facing facade, lighting and signage. And the signage program will address the signage as a whole, so the entire plaza will be addressed as a part of the signage program.

Terry's Hair Studio, which is their neighbor to the west, he's seeking to install outdoor surveillance cameras, shutters, and also he's looking for signage. And that will be addressed through the signage program also.

I want to talk a little bit about the disbursement criteria. So all payments will not be disbursed without the following: A visual inspection by the agency representative to -- we're going to certify that the item that's being reimbursed has actually been done and completed; a copy of an approved inspection or a permit; and proof of payment, whether it be through a canceled check, credit card statements, bank statements, EFT, and they should match with the

1 invoice submitted from the contractor. So it's Badie Seafood/Vegan and Badie 2 3 Alliance, Tax Alliance Services and Terry's Hair 4 Studios, all of the -- the first two are for 40,000, 5 Terry's Hair Studio is for a full reimbursement of 6 4,000, for a total of 84,000. 7 Again, I just gave you the fiscal impact, 8 which is a total of 84,000. And the program 9 acceptance -- acceptance of this program, we will 10 accept any improvements that have done -- been 11 completed within 90 days of the Board's approval. And 12 I'll take any questions at this time. 13 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Madam Chair. 14 CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. McCoy, you're recognized. 15 COMMISSIONER McCOY: How'd you know? 16 CHAIR BOTEL: I just knew it. 17 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Thank you. 18 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Well, I said 19 something. He didn't even open his mouth. 20 COMMISSIONER McCOY: She knows me. Thank 21 you, Madam Chair. 22 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: But I had just said "Madam Chair." 23 24 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay, thank you, Madam

25

Chair.

1 So Mr. Lewis --

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: He did?

MR. LEWIS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Did he say

5 something?

COMMISSIONER McCOY: So a couple questions. So first off, when we had this the last time, my question still remains what assurances we have when we put money into these facilities and these various businesses. Do we have any kind of clause or even post a lien on the property so that they don't turn around and use our money for improvements and sell them? And that wasn't resolved when we first heard it, and I don't think I heard it today.

MR. HAYGOOD: If I may, the program is set up such that we will sign -- there are certain covenants in the agreement itself, and to ensure that the improvements are actually made or that we are able to enforce the agreements, we actually file a memorandum of the agreement against the property. The owner is also asked consent, the owner of the property -- since these are all people who are leasing the property -- has to consent to the improvements being made, because it will be -- a memorandum will be filed against the property.

1 Thank you. COMMISSIONER McCOY: 2 Follow-up, Madam Chair. 3 CHAIR BOTEL: Go ahead. 4 COMMISSIONER McCOY: You say consent. Is 5 there any binding provisions against the property 6 owner, because consenting is one thing, but is there 7 any provisions that bind the landlord in the event that 8 for some reason the tenant doesn't stay there or 9 something of that sort? 10 MR. HAYGOOD: Yes. The consent says that he 11 consents to and agrees to the covenants that are 12 included in the agreement. 13 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay, thank you. 14 Mr. Lewis --15 MR. LEWIS: Yes. 16 COMMISSIONER McCOY: -- you also indicated 17 that this is to the entire plaza. Did I hear you say 18 that? 19 I said that as a part of the MR. LEWIS: No. 20 signage, because two of the applicants are looking for 21 signage improvements, all the of the signage work will 22 be addressed under the signage program that was 23 approved for fiscal year 2020-2021. 24 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Thank you. 25 Madam Chair --

1 CHAIR BOTEL: Yes. 2 COMMISSIONER McCOY: -- so I didn't see in 3 the grant application anything about surveillance 4 This is the first time I've ever seen it, and 5 I looked in both the beautification and the improvement 6 application. So when did that get updated? 7 MR. LEWIS: I believe it says security 8 improvements, and that would fall under the security 9 improvements. 10 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay, good enough. 11 Thank you. 12 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Madam Chair. 13 CHAIR BOTEL: Yes. Commissioner 14 Miller-Anderson and then I will call on you, 15 Commissioner Lanier. 16 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Thank you. 17 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: So the 18 replacement for -- it says the exterior brick and 19 window seal replacement. That is just -- can you go 20 back to that, to the picture of the little --21 MR. LEWIS: Sure. 22 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: -- the 23 businesses? So do we get estimates to -- because we're 24 just (inaudible) a small portion. And so that's 40,000 25 for each, for those three windows and the L-shaped

1 brick and then another three windows and a door, or a 2 door on both in the L-shape? 3 MR. LEWIS: Yes. 4 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: 40,000, 5 40,000? 6 So the business owner MR. LEWIS: Yes. 7 submitted quotes to us that they received from the 8 contractor, and that's what we're working off of. 9 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Do we have 10 some in-house --11 MR. LEWIS: Usually we ask for two to three 12 auotes --13 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Do we have 14 something in-house to compare that to, or we just go 15 off of what their quotes are? 16 MR. LEWIS: We go off of what the quotes are. 17 They're giving us multiple quotes, and we usually 18 select the lowest quote. 19 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: But how do we 20 know that those are reasonable quotes? 21 MR. LEWIS: If we need to do independent 22 That's something we can do. quotes, we can. 23 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: I mean I'm 24 just -- did you want to add something to that? 25 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: Yes,

Madam Chair. We actually have folks that we work with to get estimations on projected costs, capital costs. So we can do that as a function of this program, both now and moving forward, to ensure that the costing of any type of facade or repair is consistent with what the market bears.

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Yes, I mean and that's no slight to this organization, but I don't think we should just leave it up to outside individuals to tell us what it costs. I mean what if they come back and say it costs 200,000? I mean we're just going to go with it because it says 200,000?

Even if we had that amount that we were giving out, we just need to make sure that what we're giving out is the actual amount that, I mean, is reasonable. And we've been kind of down this road before on other estimations and making sure that we can properly justify that that amount is exactly what it should be.

MR. LEWIS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: And then the other question I had, okay, so you said with the signage, the signage will be addressed during the signage project. The signage project is for the whole plaza, correct?

MR. LEWIS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Okay, so that's not being factored into their costs?

MR. SCOTT EVANS: If I could just add, the grants that they've applied for include signage. But what we've put into the contracts is we know that we want to change all of the signage for all of the properties, so we've required that they submit their signage to the CRA so that we can make sure that it matches the overall plan before the building permits are submitted.

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Okay. All right, thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIR BOTEL: Anything else, Commissioner Lanier?

COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes, Madam Chair. I just wanted to ask, I was making notes as the gentleman was speaking, and it seems as if you're saying two businesses, does that mean that they're consistent with the whole plaza? And it would seem to me they should be consistent with the whole plaza. It would be more appropriate if that was applied to what would be called stand-alone businesses and not strip malls.

And answer me this: Is Treasure Coast working on a program to deal with strip malls, because

it seems that you're taking two businesses out of a strip mall, and it would appear that, or it would make sense that they would all conform to the strip mall model.

CHAIR BOTEL: Could you respond to that, Mr. Evans?

MR. SCOTT EVANS: Yes, I could provide some feedback.

The Treasure Coast is working on how we could improve our strip malls as a part of the signage program, and their recommendation is that we adopt a design code that all of the various tenants would adopt. And of course, we can't force them to do that, but the tenants who are willing to accept our grant program can, of course, take advantage of those available funds, and we can ensure that all of the new signage that's put in is coordinated.

The Treasure Coast is also recommending for this particular site that we make some parking lot improvements, try to fit in a little bit of landscaping and just make some minor upgrades just around the property.

But these business owners have requested to make improvements to their store facades, which would not be a part of what the signage program would attempt

to do for the rest of the property.

COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes, and that's my -- CHAIR BOTEL: Commissioner Lanier's not done.

COMMISSIONER LANIER: -- this is the fact that this is two businesses that reside within a strip mall. The purpose is to make it uniform, to make it uniformity, you know, for the whole strip mall to be in consignment and lockstep with everything else. Now, what you're proposing, it appears that this could work well for a stand-alone building. But we're giving up -- I see 40, 40, 4,000 -- we're giving up money to be able to redo these, you know, buildings, or whatever it is that we're trying to do with these businesses within a strip mall.

My question is should it not be uniform across the whole strip mall than it is where you're taking two businesses out of a strip and doing work for them? It should be something where all of the strip mall is uniform in terms of how -- or the appearance of it for the general public. And then I mean what incentives, what incentive do they have to meet our design standard that Treasure Coast comes up with?

CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. Evans.

MR. SCOTT EVANS: So of course, we can't force other business owners to participate in the

program. They all have the right. It's by voluntary. So these business owners who are proposed for tonight's grants have all applied to our program, because we can't force the business owners to change their signage. Their signage complies with the City's general codes.

And the signage grant program is designed to try and enhance and get businesses to participate.

We're going to come up with a design theme for this particular strip mall. But of course, the businesses will have the option of whether or not they want to participate. And the incentive is that the CRA will provide the funding if they will upgrade their signage to the new design theme, but of course, we can't force them.

am getting to. I'm getting to incentivize the rest of the businesses to come on board, because we don't want two businesses out of a strip mall looking a certain way and another, you know, two or three (audio disruption) another way. All I want to do is to be able to have some uniformity in terms of a person driving by and seeing it all not so much looks the same, but because we are spending our money, and I should say the taxpayers are spending their money to be

able to make sure that these properties look like they are, you know, look not so much the legitimate businesses, but they look good to the eye.

So I want to make sure that if we are going to (inaudible) with two businesses, that we have some incentive, and all the businesses come on board to be able to do the same thing with some type of uniformity in how the design looks. You can't have two businesses on this side looking great and wonderful, and then one business that does not agree with how you do it. But if you incentivize them to be able to come on board or how the outlook looks for the whole strip mall, then at least we can get something that looks uniform, it looks pleasing to the eye, and all businesses are in participation.

But what are you doing to incentivize those other businesses to be able to come on board?

MR. LEWIS: Well, I can say with respect to the signage program that what we have planned for the parking lot landscaping and signage, we have been in preliminary talks with the owner and the owner rep, and they are very much on board. They are eagerly waiting for us to move forward with our program so they can do some of the due diligence in the process, but they are willing to be on board.

1 COMMISSIONER LANIER: I don't mean to cut you 2 off. 3 MR. LEWIS: Sure. 4 COMMISSIONER LANIER: I totally agree. The 5 thing about it is that if they want our money, then 6 meet our standards. It's just that simple. 7 MR. LEWIS: I agree. 8 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: Madam 9 Chair, if I may add onto the presenter, as well as 10 Mr. Evans' comments, on a subsequent item is the 11 authorization to proceed forward with an additional 12 phase of our Commercial Grant Incentive Program. 13 believe that by moving forward with, you know, this 14 particular or these three businesses, that there will be the establishment of uniformity, and then we can see 15 16 it reverberate and then actually see that entire plaza 17 improve. 18 And the value that we get as a community, of 19 course, it's more aesthetically pleasing, as well as 20 the incremental increase in taxes as a result of the 21 property improvements occurring. 22 So to Mr. Evans' point, the carrot that we do 23 have is that in the event that you would like to 24 participate in this grant program, we want uniformity

and consistency, and we want it to be brought up to our

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Lanier?

design standards. And so these programs that we're bringing before you is that mechanism to voluntarily see compliance as it relates to some of the aesthetic elements that we've talked about both on the City and CRA side.

CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LANIER: And Mr. Evans, I totally agree with that, and I think that the incentive should be for the strip mall owner. I mean we understand that we're trying to get these local, these businesses to be able to come on board, but the owner of the strip mall is the person that we need to go to in terms of the incentive.

CHAIR BOTEL: Is that it, Commissioner

COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. McCoy, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Thank you.

Mr. Lewis --

MR. LEWIS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: -- so how much money is in our budget for this, because it seems like -- well, can you tell me what's in the budget, because I am kind of confused as to what exactly are we dealing with.

MR. SCOTT EVANS: This actually -- if I could

answer?

2.1

MR. LEWIS: Sure.

MR. SCOTT EVANS: So we have \$250,000 approved in this year's budget for a new round that we will bring forward in a future agenda item tonight. The money for the grant program is carried over from last year, but it was also included in this year's budget. So we have approximately 240,000 in outstanding, older commercial grants that are either in progress or that have a contract that's available in case the owner decides to proceed. Some of -- not all of them have proceeded.

So when the CRA Board approves a grant application, we reserve those dollars and that commitment for those business owners until the next year, and then the Board makes a decision again on those reallocations. In this case, all of the dollars that are requested in this round have been carried over from the previous year and are available for the Board to make a decision on.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Madam Chair --

CHAIR BOTEL: Yes.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: -- can I ask a question?

So how much money do we have in the budget,

because I heard a whole bunch of something, but I

didn't get the actual amount that's in the budget for the commercial grant. So Mr. Lewis, can you take a shot at it? Perhaps one of the -- Mr. Evans down at the end can answer that question.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: The Board, in the fiscal year 2020-2021, the Board appropriated or has appropriated \$250,000.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay. So Madam Chair, can I ask a question?

So perhaps, Mr. Lewis, can you answer this question?

MR. LEWIS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: And I'm going to ask one more time, because clearly, someone didn't understand when they authored the memo what's contained in there. How much money is set aside for the community beautification and incentive program, because I've heard two answers, and neither one of them are correct. And if the answer is I don't know, I'm okay with that. But I don't need anybody to tell me something that's inconsistent with what's in the memo --

MR. LEWIS: Yes. Well --

COMMISSIONER McCOY: -- because I will tell you it didn't go back from '19-'20. What I'm seeing is moneys or even a reference of moneys going back from

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

66 1 fiscal year 2018 and 2019. 2 MR. LEWIS: Yes. 3 COMMISSIONER McCOY: So what my question is, 4 and I'm going to say it one more time, how much money 5 is in the community beautification and incentives grant 6 program? 7 MR. SCOTT EVANS: Mr. McCoy, the program has 8 \$250,000 approved for your new round in case the Board 9 approves that this evening. And on page 23 of the 10 budget, we have \$242,656 from previous round dollars 11 that are approved, so --12 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Madam Chair. 13 MR. SCOTT EVANS: -- the combined amount is 14 just under 500,000. 15 Thank you. That's --COMMISSIONER McCOY: 16 wow, just under 500,000. But here we are, a 17 multimillion dollar organization, and nobody seems to

wow, just under 500,000. But here we are, a multimillion dollar organization, and nobody seems to know how to use a calculator. And the point is that we've been carrying over money from the '18-'19 budget year, which is exactly, if I might add, would have been almost three years from now, because we're into 2021 budget. And if I'm looking at your memo correctly, we've been carrying over this money since '18-'19. Perhaps the memo is incorrect.

But the point I'm trying to make is like at

what point do we reevaluate what we're doing here? If the money's not being spent every year, perhaps our approach is different. But you're not going to sit here and submit to me by giving me a memo that says we've been carrying money and it's been authorized -- and I can go right back to it -- since the '18-'19 budget, and I'm saying to myself do we have that much money at the CRA where folks don't take advantage of these incentive opportunities, or maybe there may be a typographical error.

But there's no way that we can be carrying

But there's no way that we can be carrying money this long in the CRA without utilizing it. And if the money was appropriated and it wasn't used, then there shouldn't be any reference of it. But I didn't know that we generally carry over moneys for that long.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: Madam Chair, if I may?

COMMISSIONER LANIER: Madam Chair.

CHAIR BOTEL: Excuse me, but can I get an answer first, please, from Mr. Evans?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: And Mr. Evans, correct me if I'm wrong.

The money has been carried forward because there is grant agreements that have been executed by the parties that are interested in participating in the

program. The reason the money has not been expended is because of the policymakers not authorizing the expenditure associated with the grants. So the money has been reserved that in the event that the Board wanted to proceed forward with the grant program, that those moneys are there, we're not pulling out of fund balance.

And so that is the reason why money has been carried forward. And these types of programs are very common in CRAs throughout the nation for facade improvement grants. And so that's why you see the balance that continues to carry forward, because there's agreements --

COMMISSIONER McCOY: I don't see a balance.

I've asked three times. What is the amount of money that we have for the commercial incentive and grant program? Perhaps someone needs to pull out a calculator. But I'm sitting here trying to ask the same question as to why we continue to carry money over and over from year after year. So I don't see it,

Mr. Jonathan Evans, and I'm saying that respectfully. How more or how better should I ask that?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: The

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: The answer that I have for you --

COMMISSIONER LANIER: Madam Chair.

1	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS:
2	Commissioner, was that the money is carried forward
3	because of the agreements.
4	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay. Can somebody work
5	on that and give me an exact answer before we make a
6	decision on this? Thank you. I yield back.
7	MR. SCOTT EVANS: It's
8	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Madam Chair.
9	MR. SCOTT EVANS: \$492,656.
10	CHAIR BOTEL: I'm sorry, Mr. Evans
11	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Madam Chair.
12	CHAIR BOTEL: Yes, Commissioner Lanier, I
13	just want to make sure I understand what Mr. Evans,
14	Mr. Scott Evans just said.
15	Could you repeat that, please?
16	MR. SCOTT EVANS: Yes, it's the total amount
17	is \$492,656.
18	CHAIR BOTEL: And how much of that is how
19	can I say it already spoken for, if you will?
20	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Exactly.
21	MR. SCOTT EVANS: We have \$250,000 that's not
22	spoken for and available for the future grant round if
23	approved tonight. And then the additional grants that
24	are before you tonight is a component of those moneys.
25	CHAIR BOTEL: What is before us now, the

```
1
    $84,000, correct me if I'm wrong, the $84,000 that is
2
    before us now is part of the carried over hundred
3
    and --
4
              MR. LEWIS: Yes, yes.
5
              CHAIR BOTEL: Am I correct?
6
              MR. LEWIS:
                         Yes.
7
              CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.
8
              COMMISSIONER McCOY: And what fiscal year
9
    would that be from?
10
              CHAIR BOTEL: Was that from the --
11
              COMMISSIONER McCOY: '18-'19 --
12
              MR. LEWIS: 2019.
13
              CHAIR BOTEL:
                            2019 fiscal year.
14
              MR. LEWIS: 2019-2020.
15
              CHAIR BOTEL: Okay.
16
              MR. SCOTT EVANS: Yes, Madam Chair, I'd just
17
    like to add we didn't -- we're not including it from
18
    last year's budget. We took those dollars and we
19
    included it in this year's budget. We just listed it
20
    as a separate item. So we had two items, one which is
21
    the new commercial grants, and then the second is the
22
    continuing commercial grants.
23
              COMMISSIONER McCOY: Madam Chair, let me
24
           And you know what --
    stop.
25
                                    Madam Chair, it's my
              COMMISSIONER LANIER:
```

1 turn now.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: No, I think I'm going to reclaim my time --

CHAIR BOTEL: I don't think Mr. McCoy has yielded the floor.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Here's the point that I'm trying --

COMMISSIONER LANIER: I'm sorry, Mr. McCoy.

Go ahead; I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: But like perhaps it may seem very abrasive, but I'm asking very simple questions. And I don't need the pontificating of all this malarky, right?

My question is very simple. We can't, as a taxing body, as a taxing authority, continue to tax the residents of this city, and I'm looking at a memo that says we actually set aside moneys for commercial improvement in the fiscal year of 2018 and 2019, and that money is being carried over. It is fiscally irresponsible for every voting member of this body to sit there and allow Mr. Jonathan Evans, whatever CRA Director we have, to continue to tax individuals in this organization, or tax individuals within the city, or the CRA, I should say, and we're not making good use of the money if it's being rolled over year after year.

So perhaps it's not clear what my question is, but I'm actually trying to get somewhere. And I don't want us to create programs if they're not being utilized every single year, because, yes, we're carrying the money over, but that doesn't negate the fact that what we're doing every year when we authorize a budget is we're continuing to tax people, but we're not even using the money that we have currently. And I can probably say that about a lot of things, and I will bring it up at a later point.

But if we're going to be able to create these programs, let's make sure that we're doing it. And I totally support it, but I don't want to be, you know, creating, you know, a CRA's budget off of a program if we're not using the dollars, if year after year we're constantly rolling over to the next year. That should tell us we need to address or change our approach to how we go about doing this, because it's not okay to just tax money and then have it roll over year after year, because if that's the case, that's purely taxation without representation, and that's the point that I'm trying to make.

And regardless of whatever I know that I'm going to hear come down from, you know, the south side of this table, but the truth is I like to know if we're

spending money from '18-'19, if we're spending money
from '19-'20, because technically right now, we're in
2021 fiscal year. And that's all I have, Madam Chair.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: Madam Chair, if I may, with regards to --

CHAIR BOTEL: I'm sorry, Commissioner Lanier, Mr. Evans just wants to reply, and then I'll get right to you.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: With regards to the program, there has been multiple iterations of this program. This program was not created by Jonathan Evans. This program was in existence prior to Jonathan Evans. These types of programs are very consistent in CRAs.

If we were to appropriate the moneys when these items came before the Board, the item that we would be discussing this evening is the appropriation that we're requesting in an agenda item that you'll see soon. Nobody would like to continue to carry forward capital projects or items. That's why it's so important that we move on some of these items so we can effectively see some of the progress.

But if the item is deferred and tabled and we have agreements on the books, the intent is we can't move forward to the subsequent phases of this program

without addressing the items that have not been addressed in the past. So in my conversations with staff, we want to move forward with the phase three, and then bring subsequent phases before you with some modifications so we can utilize the money that's set aside for these particular programs.

So it's not our intent to continue to sit on these dollars and not utilize them for what they're earmarked to be utilized for. So I do want to make that clear, that we're bringing this forward because we want to get this off the books and move forward and create the synergy and the economic development that CRAs are intended to accomplish.

So that is why you're seeing this, because we can't put the cart before the horse and not address the ones that have still not been appropriated. It wouldn't be fair to the applicants that submitted, and it would not be fair to those that will end up submitting for the subsequent phase that will be before you this evening.

Our intent is for the ones that are appropriated in 2021, our budget, is to bring you this item the latter part of the year and appropriate the funds and then move forward accordingly. But for whatever reason, these items were not authorized --

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Madam Chair.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: -- to proceed for --

COMMISSIONER McCOY: You know, here's the -Mr. Evans, and I appreciate that. And all I'm trying
to get to, right, is just what you said, for whatever
reason. Whatever that reason is, we shouldn't just be
sitting like -- members, I call your attention to the
settlement negotiation we had for a lawsuit regarding
police. Yes, we can authorize the money, but when do
we get down to the root cause of addressing the policy?

And just like you said, for whatever reason. Like when do we address why haven't we spent moneys that were allocated in '18 and '19, and what we did is now went back in '19-'20 and then double down, I would say triple down in 2021.

So Mr. Evans, through no means am I challenging. And I know certainly you weren't here for that. What I'm saying is if we're going to set aside the money, let's just use it. If we're not going to use it, let's not tax individuals in the organization, because I don't have an obligation to these recipients. What we have an obligation to is the taxpayers.

And I want to certainly make sure that if we're going to tax somebody, we're making good use of

the money and it's not taxing them to sit in some bank account and then we decide later that we didn't get enough applicants and we're going to keep rolling it over. It's like, come on. The conversations I get in the community about folks saying that this is really an organization that we can see more of what they're asking and not any of what they're giving.

Obviously, this is a grant application for a business, but it comes at the expense of the business owners and those that reside in the CRA who pay ad valorem -- not sales tax -- ad valorem taxes to the City of Riviera Beach. But through no means am I suggesting that we (inaudible) nor are you responsible. I just want to make sure that we're not getting money, sitting on it and then finding ourselves three years later still dealing with the same dollars.

So that's all I have. And I apologize if it -- but literally, it takes a whole lot to even get to an answer of 492,000, and that's simply all I'm asking. So thank you. I yield back.

CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.

Commissioner Lanier.

COMMISSIONER LANIER: Madam Chair.

CHAIR BOTEL: Commissioner Lanier.

COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes, I just want to say

this, and I really can't believe it, but I agree with Commissioner McCoy. I agree with the fact that first of all, the incentives that we have are really not aligned with the CRA needs. (Inaudible.) We should not just be doing, you know, commercial grants for strip mall properties, because, number one, our focus should be the person who owns the strip mall, not just individual people who pay rent in the strip mall. We should be negotiating and making sure that whatever money we spend is going towards the owner, the person who has the right, who has the ability to be able to expand and to be able to do whatever we need to do for their property.

Second of all, I agree with Mr. McCoy on the fact that, you know, we need to be looking at -instead of talking about strip malls, we need to be talking about the whole freaking community, talking about all of E Avenue, talking about all of going (inaudible) in Singer Island, talking about all those properties and not just one or two properties.

And I think that that is the purpose of having Treasure Coast on board, to give us some direction so that we can make sure that it's not just -- as we're going into Singer Island, it's not just two or three parcels over on the left-hand side or

two or three parcels on the right-hand side. It revitalizes all of it. It revitalizes all of E Avenue, it revitalizes all those places that ten years ago, ten years ago we said we were going to revitalize.

So what I want to do at this point is to make sure that whatever money that we're spending on strip malls, on little bitty pieces of parcels that are in those strip malls, that we're going to the owner of those strip malls and we're going to rehabilitate all of it.

CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LANIER: Those are my comments.

Thank you.

CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.

I have a question.

MR. LEWIS: Yes.

CHAIR BOTEL: Did you say that you had been in conversation with the owner of that strip mall?

MR. LEWIS: Yes, and the owner rep, yes.

CHAIR BOTEL: Did the owner express -- is this the same owner as the gentleman who owns the Comerica Bank building, from the bank building all the way to the -- all the way to the gas station?

MR. LEWIS: I'm not 100 percent sure. I believe so, but I'm not 100 percent sure.

CHAIR BOTEL: Okay. My understanding was that that gentleman had intentions of completely renovating that entire strip of commercial properties at some time in the future. Did he indicate to you that he would be doing anything like that?

And my concern is if we spend \$84,000 now to develop these two individual or three properties, to approve these properties, what's the longevity of that investment? In other words, is he planning and can we determine by conversation with him whether or not he is, in fact, planning to redevelop that entire section? I've heard a lot of things from him about that.

MR. LEWIS: No, I haven't heard. He didn't discuss what other plans he had as far as those other parcels. What was shared with us as far as the signage program, and this is where the discussions were -- where we went with the discussions, that he was happy with the signage program, the preliminary renderings that we showed him, and he wanted to move forward. He wanted to make some minor changes, but he was on board with the signage program. I would anticipate that it would be counterproductive for him to want us to do some signage changes and he's going to revamp the entire parcel --

COMMISSIONER LANIER: Right.

1 MR. LEWIS: -- or the entire lot, so I 2 don't --3 CHAIR BOTEL: I would --4 MR. LEWIS: -- I can confirm that, and we 5 can --6 CHAIR BOTEL: I would be very interested. 7 I'm in favor of doing this this evening. Don't get me 8 wrong, I think it's important that we assist these 9 businesses in improving the look of their facades. 10 I think it would behoove us to find out what that 11 gentleman's intentions are long-term. 12 MR. LEWIS: Yes. 13 CHAIR BOTEL: Anything else? 14 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: I do have a 15 question. So why do we go into -- why are the 16 individual businesses applying versus the person that 17 owns the property? 18 MR. SCOTT EVANS: The Commercial Grant 19 Program is available to both property owners and 20 business owners who have a lease. So it's an 21 opportunity that's out there for whoever wants to take 22 advantage of it. And in this case, the property owner 23 hasn't applied for any grant for that parcel. 24 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: But he's 25 giving his permission for the work to be done?

1 Yes, he did sign off on it. MR. LEWIS: 2 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Was he, to 3 Dr. Botel's point, does he -- when he's signing 4 documents, does he sign any of these documents, or it's 5 just the business owners? 6 MR. HAYGOOD: Yes, the owner has to --7 MR. LEWIS: Yes, the owner. 8 MR. HAYGOOD: -- consent to the program. In 9 addition, one of the covenants of the program in the 10 agreement is that the improvements have to be 11 maintained for five years. 12 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Right, I saw 13 So if that's the case, then what Dr. Botel is 14 pointing out, he would have to pay the money back if he 15 decides to do something within that --16 MR. HAYGOOD: That's correct, yes. 17 MR. LEWIS: Yes. 18 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Okay. 19 CHAIR BOTEL: Okay, thank you. 2.0 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Madam Chair. 21 MR. HAYGOOD: I'd also like to point out that 22 some of the money that has been carried forward was 23 because the improvements haven't been made. So one of 24 the things we've got to remember is if you approve this 25

program, even though you've allocated the money now,

you approve it in, say, June, then they have a year to complete the improvement. So you're already running behind. And then in addition, some of the recipients have complained because they can't get construction permits. There are other things involved in why there are delays and why this money is carried forward.

COMMISSIONER LANIER: Right. Madam Chair.

CHAIR BOTEL: Yes.

COMMISSIONER LANIER: There's one more thing, Madam Chair, just a little bit.

CHAIR BOTEL: I'm sorry, say that again, Commissioner Lanier.

COMMISSIONER LANIER: Just one more thing I just wanted to say.

CHAIR BOTEL: Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER LANIER: I want to say that, guess what, we are the inventories, we are the ones who say what properties are going to be -- that need improvement. The standards, is it retail, is it office, that is us.

My thing is that we're dealing with particular renters in properties. Let us deal with the owners, and especially when we're talking about E Avenue, when we're talking about going to Singer Island. We're dealing with the owners of these

properties because, see, we're nickel and diming here.

And I agree with what Mr. McCoy said. You know, we got money from way back, and we're trying to figure out how this one particular venture is going to go. We deal with the owner, and we have the whole properties, whole E Avenue, and we go for it, because we are the ones who set the standard. We are the ones -- it's our money. We get any owner on E Avenue, any owner is going to Singer Island and say, listen, we're going, we have your whole strip mall, and it has to look like this. Guess what? We're going to go for it. Guess what? It's money from the City.

CHAIR BOTEL: Walter, we might have just lost --

COMMISSIONER LANIER: I'm sorry, my thing went off because I was getting so -- I was getting so --

CHAIR BOTEL: Oh, you're back.

COMMISSIONER LANIER: Because of the fact that I think that we're dealing and we're talking to the wrong people. We need to be talking to the owners of these properties. As I said before, E Avenue, the place is going into (inaudible), both of those, and I mean south and north side, those are long strip malls.

I go every Saturday and get my smoothie from

a little place right in a little small little place and that's Smoothie. So guess what? If we go to the owner of that property and we talk with them about it and not just dealing with the people that say, oh, you know, I pay rent here, I got to go call the owner, I got to get him to agree with it, no, we deal with the owner up front and we make rehab and we make it amenable and we make it sightly to the people who are going into Singer Island. We make it sightly for everybody who's coming up E Avenue. That's what we do. It's our money.

So I think that we wasted money. And like Mr. McCoy said, we're wasting time with this old money. Just go in, talk to the owner and get it done.

CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.

Commissioner Lawson, you're recognized.

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Thank you, Madam Chair.

While I do agree with Councilwoman Lanier about working with the owners, and our CRA can begin working with the owners on development, the foundation of our community is based upon these local businesses, and these businesses are not always owners. These small businesses that have been there for years are looking to establish development with signage, with beautification and security.

So while, yes, the owners need to make

improvements into their plazas and into their properties and we need to force that upon them, the ones that are actually making the work and make sure that we're going to beautify our properties are the actual individuals that are leasing these projects from these different businesses.

So we can begin working with the owners and look to request and demand certain things, but a lot of these owners aren't putting the quality of work into their property because they're just looking to get their ROI on their investment, where the businesses are looking to beautify and make sure that they can attract a lot of business to the community. So both are going to be essential when it comes to working on these grants.

My only question is going to be for Mr. Lewis, the signage process. With the signage program that we're rolling out, who is actually going to be paying for the signage improvements in this plaza that we're looking at?

MR. LEWIS: We would be paying for it as a part of our approved budget, yes.

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: So are we going to ask -- because I see in two of the three proposals, the signage is included as one of the expenditures for the

we're going to be doing that, yes.

grants. Are we going to ask and it's in alignment with the signage program we're looking to launch, or are we going to reimburse the owner or the business owner afterwards, or are we just going to take the signage aspect out and have that done off of our dime?

MR. LEWIS: We're going to take it out and

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Does that affect the bottom line on two of the businesses, because only two of the three are requesting signage costs. So does that affect the grant amount that we're allocating tonight, because if we're taking the signage piece out of that, then does that change the allocation amount?

MR. SCOTT EVANS: If I could answer, the -we haven't -- the Board has not yet approved the
implementation for the signage grant program, so the
signage for these individual business owners is
included in their grant application. Because at this
time we want the Board -- we've asked that the owners
of these businesses who've applied for the grants
comply with the future signage designs.

However, until that implementation goes forward, we want to make sure that the dollars are available for these business owners to upgrade their signs under the design guidelines, but as a part of

their grant and as a part of what they're trying to improve for their businesses. So the applications continue to include the signage because those dollars will then be committed to their business, and then the signage program, we'll just have more dollars remaining when the Board does approve that.

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: And due to the COVID currently, this has delayed a lot of this progress, because this proposal came to us a while ago. So I want to get moving forward with this.

So Councilman McCoy's points and concerns that he had about some of the dollars that are rolling forward, during my general review with our CRA Director, we've reviewed some of those items, and that's actually going to be in the next agenda item where we're going to talk about the concerns of the money rolling forward, the marketing and the exposure. So that's actually going to be an item that he talks about next so we can address these issues.

So I think with this, since we've already allocated his funds, we approved his project, I think we need to move forward with it and get onto the next item and correct some of the issues that were addressed by Councilman McCoy and others. And Mr. Evans is going to speak about that in the next item.

1	CHAIR BOTEL: Any other questions or
2	concerns?
3	Do we have any public comment cards on this
4	item?
5	THE CLERK: We have no public comment cards,
6	Madam Chair.
7	CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. Anything else?
8	Okay, Madam Clerk.
9	THE CLERK: Commissioner McCoy.
10	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Yes.
11	THE CLERK: Commissioner Miller-Anderson.
12	(No response.)
13	THE CLERK: Commissioner Lanier.
14	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes.
15	THE CLERK: Vice Chair Lawson.
16	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Yes.
17	THE CLERK: Chair Botel.
18	CHAIR BOTEL: Yes.
19	THE CLERK: That motion carries, with
20	Commissioner Miller-Anderson absent.
21	CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Madam Chair.
23	CHAIR BOTEL: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER McCOY: I just don't know that I
25	have to say this, but I think I have to say it. I just

would hope that we are ensuring that these businesses are properly licensed and have active BTRs and certificates of use, because I can't even tell you how many times I walk into somebody's business and see they haven't renewed their BTR since 2006 and would knock on our door and ask for a grant, because if you can't pay the \$180, don't come asking for a grant. I mean that's just rudimentary. I shouldn't even have to say that. But thank you, that's it.

COMMISSIONER LANIER: Well, that change, right, that should be added as a caveat to that motion.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: I think it is,

Commissioner Lanier; I think it is Commissioner. I just wanted to make sure.

CHAIR BOTEL: The time is 7:59. We'll take public comment. Please be reminded that the CRA Board of Commissioners has adopted rules of decorum governing public comment during official meetings which has been posted at the front desk. In an effort to preserve order, if any of the rules are not adhered to, the Commissioner Chair may have any disruptive speaker or attendee removed from the podium, from the meeting and/or the building, if necessary. Please govern yourselves accordingly.

Public comment shall begin at 7:30 p.m.

- 1 unless there's no further business of the
- 2 Commissioners, which in that event, it shall begin
- 3 sooner. In addition, if an item is being considered at
- 4 7:30 p.m., then comments from the public shall begin
- 5 | immediately after the item has been concluded.

Any person who would like to speak during public comments, please fill out a public comment card located at the front desk and give it to the staff before the public comments section is announced.

Madam Clerk.

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CLERK: Mary Brabham, followed by

Ernestine Gordon.

MS. BRABHAM: Ms. Mary Brabham, Riviera
Beach. Good evening. A happy belated Veterans Day to
everyone too.

And we've gotten over the election, but we still have to remember that we are all one, and we will all get through this, regardless what party affiliation that each one of us associated with, because if the ship sink, we will all sink. But we strive to do better. And I hope that we do do better.

I'm going to bring up some issues here. The flags on 13th Street that say the Marina District, those need to come down. They are worn. And if you ever decide to get something else up there, get some

sustainable material so that they would not just wobble, just fly all everywhere. That material, if we are to rebrand our city, we need to make it look like rebrand.

I agree with some of this here, because I have to say, well, Mr. McCoy had been asking for that. We have been asking for that too. How much you have in these programs here? Everybody made some critical points here about this too.

But the policymakers here, previous and before, you are responsible. This is one reason we, as a community base, we have said we're tired of the CRA for some of the same things that goes on in here about the projects, how we're funding out the projects, how the moneys and stuff are being delayed, because each one of you have your own little pet peeve projects instead of working on the projects that need to move forward and putting that energy in the projects that need to be done.

If you're going to keep this establishment as the CRA, then make it work as a CRA. And if not, this has long been the outcry in the city, that we get unification here in this city here. Okay, if you're not going to utilize those dollars, put them into our communities instead. Our communities look horrific

here as well. If you want to build up this place here, utilize those dollars that are necessary to make us look like who we should be and brand us for who we say that we are, not why because somebody think that we should be like this.

Occupational license, yes. Some of these businesses here, we need to crack down on them, make sure that they have their occupational license, make sure that they have their construction license.

No, no, no, this money here has been year after year after year. So see, all of it is coming to fruition now. So you do have a CRA Director here that can navigate, not just on this side here, but on the City side too. Because both of us need work, and these dollars here can be utilized to build up our city here.

The comment was made about E Avenue there.

What about all of those districts and stuff like that,
some over on the west side? I'm for one as well as the
others. I stood here, and Scott Evans can tell you.

Abandon the CRA. But if you are to make it work, then
let's make it work for the whole entire city. Thank
you.

CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you, Ms. Brabham.

THE CLERK: Ernestine Gordon.

MS. GORDON: Hello. I think -- you know

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

- 1 what, I think you all will probably solve my problem, because I came here for the Avenue E, because I be down 3 there sometime, and it looks so bad. And I know 4 Shirley and Mr. McCoy, they was talking about the money that goes towards that. I hope we get something done there because we really need something done there. you know that place haven't -- no way of improvement was done in that area for the last 40, 50 years. It's the same way.
  - And that's why I came in tonight, because I go down that way, and I look, and I say, you know, I'm going come to a CRA meeting and mention something about But I think the CRA, don't they cover that area? I know they do, don't they? I hope you all do something for that. That's what I came here tonight to talk about. Thank you.
  - THE CLERK: That's it. There's no more public comments, Madam Chair. There's no more public comment cards.
- 20 CHAIR BOTEL: So item number -- (inaudible) 21 close public comment cards.
  - (Discussion held off the record.)
- 23 CHAIR BOTEL: Madam Clerk, would you read the 24 next item.
- 25 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: Madam

1 Chair, this item before you is approving the publishing of RFP 20 -- or 2020-03, seeking artists to design the 2 3 Riviera Beach CRA Public Art and Branding Project, 4 round three. 5 At this time I would ask for Mr. Lewis to 6 make a presentation concerning this matter. 7 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Have you all 8 already read the resolution? THE CLERK: No. 10 CHAIR BOTEL: Would you read the resolution, 11 please. 12 Item number nine, a resolution of THE CLERK: 13 the Board of Commissioners of the Rivera Beach 14 Community Redevelopment Agency to authorize the 15 issuance of a Request for Proposals for RBCRA District 16 Art and Branding Project, round three, providing an 17 effective date. 18 The acceptance of public comment cards is 19 closed. 2.0 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: So moved. 2.1 CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. 22 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Second. 23 CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. 24 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Second. 25 CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. Lewis.

MR. LEWIS: All right. Good evening again, Commissioners.

So we're going to be discussing and seeking your approval for moving forward with our Art and Branding CRA District project for round three. So just to give you a little bit of background, back in April of 2019 the Board provided approval to enter into negotiations with three artists for round two. July of 2019 the Board provided approval for the agreements for those three artists. And in September of 2020, the Board approved for this fiscal year, 2020-2021, to include the Public Art and Branding Project for round three at 165,000.

So I'm going to give you some recommendations as a part of round three, how we want to move forward. We've earmarked some locations, and we're going to show them to you.

So the first three are the Ocean Mall beach entrance. So we are interested in putting a wrapped mural around the poles, around the pillars entering into the beach, and also some probably -- and this is proposed -- decorative animal prints for the walkway or whatever the artist who responds to this RFP proposes.

The Ocean Mall restaurant area, the north side of Mulligan's, we're interested in seeing some

sort of 3-D art there, on the west side of Mulligan's, some sort of traditional mural, and on the north side of Johnny Longboats, again, some sort of traditional mural.

So at the Marina Village splash park area we're interested in east of the concession stand to do some sort of 3-D wall mural, something that the kids can interact with. Also on the west side of the fountain, another recommended 3-D wall mural. And on the foundation of the pillar, some sort of wrap mural and on the foundation also.

In Bicentennial Park, we're interested in putting a traditional mural at the entrance of the restroom, and that's also visible when you're driving out of the Marina. That would be a great area. Also, the center cement walkway area, some sort of traditional walkway mural. This would be whatever, again, the artist proposes.

We are also interested in doing some sculptures within the CRA District, and hopefully it can be branded and we can expand out into the entire city.

We are interested in doing a sculpture at the corner of Blue Heron and Broadway. During the proposed developments for Avenue E, we want to do a sculpture at

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

the roundabout, and at the Marina Village intersection,
placing also a sculpture there.

And these are some examples, and it's to give you an idea. Whatever brand or design of sculpture that we choose, we would use it uniformly through the city, and starting with the CRA District. And we would have the artists to give us designs, their own design for their own sculpture.

So again, we're seeking approval to move forward with round three of this project. Again, the fiscal impact would be 165,000, and we want approval to put RFP 2020-03 out, seeking qualified artists and painters to participate in this project, to put it out on the street. And I'll take any questions at this time.

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Madam Chair.

CHAIR BOTEL: Yes, Ms. Miller-Anderson,

you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Could you go

back to the intersection of 23rd or 22nd and Avenue E?

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Thank you.

22 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: So a

roundabout at that intersection, and to have a

24 | sculpture in the middle of the --

MR. LEWIS: In the middle of. And again, if

you can remember, we will also be bringing back the final Avenue E streetscape study, and as a part of that study, the options that we're given by the architect were to either do a decorative paving item, a roundabout with some lush landscaping, or doing a sculpture, some sort of sculpture or large feature in the middle. And then, you know, as the --

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Yes, I would like to see how that whole thing would come together. Just looking at it right now, I don't see that at the moment, but if putting it all together and putting the whole vision together with the whole street, possibly. Now, there are some homes or businesses. Are you talking about where the City Hall, the old City Hall area is?

MR. LEWIS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: So the roundabout would be right there?

MR. LEWIS: Yes, yes. Those were the two proposed sites on those streets, right where the old --

MR. SCOTT EVANS: If I might add, it is a central median, but it's not a traditional roundabout where the cars are going around in order to make the turn. They're just sort of turning and then turning again to stay straight.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And we really thought utilizing that graphic might help inspire the artist to come up with something, you know, for that location, perhaps for a similar location somewhere else. Because ultimately, the Board will have the option to select which art they wanted to move forward with and in which location. so we just created, you know, a whole list of areas and places so that the artists can bring a whole list of items for the Board to be able to consider. COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: So, but this part here will not take place until the road renovation takes place. We're not talking about putting this there right now. MR. LEWIS: No, no. As a part of the entire redevelopment plan, yes. COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: COMMISSIONER LANIER: Madam Chair. CHAIR BOTEL: Commissioner Lanier, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER LANIER: Madam Chair, I'm just looking at and they're saying about sculptures, and I'm looking at the fact that that sculpture may preclude like artists. Could it be modified to (inaudible) service as well?

And the thing about it is that we need new

streetscaping done first on E Avenue. If we're going to do anything on E Avenue, we need to make it beautiful, we need to make it look like someone -- because there's a lot of people, homeowners who live on E Avenue. And as I said from the beginning, the money that we're talking about right now, it is the money that the residents, the people who live in the city, it is their money.

So if we're going to do any type of streetscaping, if we're going to do -- what about a neighborhood mural near E Avenue? So what we want to do is to make sure that if we're looking at E Avenue, because that's clearly what we're doing right now, and everybody who lives in the City of Riviera Beach knows how E Avenue looks. And as Ms. Gordon had said in her public comments, 30, 40 years we've been talking about E Avenue. Nothing has been done on that street.

So we're going to do sculptures, we're going to do this, we're going to do streetscaping? Are we going to make sure that art is minority oriented, not just black, minority artists have an opportunity to be able to do some type of sculptures in that area?

And third of all, we need streetscaping done first on that area. Those is my comments.

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Madam Chair.

1 Commissioner Miller-Anderson. CHAIR BOTEL: 2 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: 3 definitely hear where we're going with this. 4 I know that we have quite a few issues that are on 5 E Avenue that have been pointed out, and we -- most 6 notably, we have quite a few sinkholes over in this 7 area. 8 So Mr. Evans, I'm sure with the City that's 9 something that we're trying to work at, because that 10 part is already in the works, from my understanding. 11 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: That is 12 correct. 13 Madam Chair, if I may, I've had staff look at 14 the infrastructure on E Avenue and some of the 15 surrounding streets in that particular area and look at 16 what improvements that we can look to do as it relates 17 to the Utility District. 18 But also, I remind you that there's 19 \$2 million that's in the budget currently for E Avenue. 20 And so the Director of Planning and I have had 21 conversations to have discussions with the USD and the 22 City to look to start improving sections of E Avenue. 23 We know that the roadway in its entirety will cost 24 probably anywhere between 11 to \$15 million, but we 25 know that taking incremental steps certainly will make

some improvement.

So we are looking to bring forward a holistic project that looks to address the infrastructure underground, as well as the roadway conditions, the streetscape, the hardscape, and then ultimately, some of the beautification that is communicated here.

So this is merely another element associated with it, but we're going to make sure we address the substantive issues first, before we get into the cosmetic side. And we do want to move forward with a project on E Avenue very aggressively, as we know that that's one of the areas that is poised for redevelopment within our community and it's an important roadway network for a lot of our residents.

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: And Madam
Chair, if I could, Mr. Scott Evans can kind of bring
everybody up to speed who may not be aware of how many
times E Avenue has come up and then there were moneys
that were provided or slated to give to us, but we did
not have it, it was given back.

So can you kind of just share that or remind people of how E Avenue was on the table to get some things done to it, but then all of a sudden, it vanished?

MR. SCOTT EVANS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: And this has been going on for a while now. This is not something that just started.

MR. SCOTT EVANS: Yes. The Avenue E was a CRA project, I want to say about six years ago, or maybe before that even. And the CRA's component was to try and get some decorative lighting and some sidewalks at the same time as a bike lane at the time. And then that project didn't move forward.

And then in 2018 the Board borrowed additional dollars from BB&T, and we reserved 2 million of those dollars as a capital commitment to Avenue E. And so I've been working with Mr. Evans to try and identify some potential City dollars in the future also that could be added to that 2 million so that we could not only apply for some grants, but also to try and start improvements to some segments of Avenue E.

And recently, in accordance with the Board's directive, we had a series of public workshops, and we're looking to bring back to the Board at a future date the final Avenue E plan. But we have got great feedback, and I think it was presented to the Board earlier this year, which was a very positive response. So those dollars are committed now and waiting for implementation.

1 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: And then we 2 were looking at utilizing funds from the City, CRA and 3 the Utility District in order to do that as well, 4 right? 5 MR. SCOTT EVANS: Yes. 6 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Right. 7 yes, we know E Avenue has certainly been around. It's 8 been a topic for many years, and many Council people 9 have been up here and have heard that issue, but it has 10 not been made a priority for the Board. So if the 11 Board is definitely on board with making sure it's a 12 priority, then --13 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes. 14 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: -- I would 15 certainly be happy with you all for making that happen, 16 because it has come up many, many years, but it has not 17 been a priority for the Board. Thank you. 18 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Madam Chair, Madam 19 Chair. 20 CHAIR BOTEL: Yes, Commissioner Lanier. 21 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes, I just wanted to 22 say that -- first of all, I want to say this. I am 23 District Three. We have Commissioner Lawson is 24 District Five. That's the whole entire City. We have 25 Commissioner McCoy who is at District One. Everybody,

everybody knows that, guess what, even though E Avenue is not our district, people call us about E Avenue. Because why? They have been talking about E Avenue, revitalizing that area. That area is right next to the Port. That area, that avenue goes into Blue Heron Boulevard. Everybody knows that, guess what, we're trying to do something with that area.

And as Commissioner KaShamba Miller-Anderson has said, we've been talking about it for so long, and guess what, she, among all of us, all of us who are here, she is the one who has been here the longest, and that is her district. And she's been calm, she's been kind, she's been trying to, you know go along, she's been trying to make it happen.

But listen, if we want to do anything, we're not going to do any type of sculptures until we get the substance of E Avenue done, until -- we can't run like 2 million like we do for -- that we do for financing for any other CRA project. Whatever it is that it takes to get that Avenue E revitalized, because those arteries that go off of E Avenue -- E Avenue goes all the way down to the Port where all of those things are in the E Avenue, and goes all the way down to that new development that's on Broadway. That corridor is a vital corridor, just like Broadway, just like what

Barack Obama Highway, just like Blue Heron Boulevard.

So all I'm saying is that it's not about this is Commissioner Miller-Anderson's district or because Commissioner McCoy brought it up or because even the Commissioner from District Five, he's always talking about E Avenue. And of course, Singer Island said it. It runs right into us. So what we're saying is that, quess what, whatever it is to take it to get done.

We had this same conversation just an item ago, saying that, listen, we have to understand that we -- this is the City of Riviera Beach. This is the people who pay taxes. This is their money. Your two public comments came from what are they going to do about Avenue E. And I have to tell Ms. KaShamba Miller-Anderson, I have to say that she's been very, very patient.

But we all have to get involved with this, because, guess what, I don't represent District Three. She doesn't represent District Two. Botel does not just represent Singer Island. We represent the whole entire city. And we understand that here, right now. And if we don't get E Avenue, I'm talking about this fiscal year, something done on that avenue, on that street, then clearly, we're not doing our jobs. That is my comments. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Madam Chair.

CHAIR BOTEL: You're recognized.

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: So I hear all of the comments, but, you know what's it going to take? It's going to take for people, three people or more to make a vote to make it a reality. We can keep saying what we need to do and what we should have done and how it's looking, but if we're not sitting up here talking about making a vote to make it happen, none of that matters. Not one person can do it and not two people can do it. It takes three or more to make that happen.

So if we're all now rah-rah-rah E Avenue, make the vote so that we can get it done. And that really is the end of it. I mean we really don't even have to give any more comments about it, because we just need to make sure that we do what we say we want to do. And if we do that, it will be just like new, like we want it.

But we sitting here making all these comments but not making any action is not going to change a thing. And believe -- yes, like she said, I've been here for a while, but guess what, the three or more have not made it a priority. So if now we're the dream team that's going to make it happen, I'm happy to be a part of that. So yes, let's make that vote so that we

1 can get it done.

CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. Evans.

COMMISSIONER LANIER: And I would say, Madam Chair, so moved and the vote is this. I'm making a motion to ensure that the priority of the CRA, the priority of the CRA, the first come -- what they call first come, first served, the priority of the CRA is to make E Avenue amenable, make it, you know, easy to the eyes, to make -- guess what we have? We've got 2 million that we can do -- we have 10 million on different projects. My motion is to make 2 million for the vote to ensure that E Avenue starts out with the CRA's priority to ensure that it starts happening on that corridor.

CHAIR BOTEL: Can I ask a question before we -- and I agree with you, we need to get going. But my question is to Mr. Evans: At what point are we in the process and will that be coming before this body in the very near future with regard to the study that's been done and so on? Where are we with it?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: It is staff's intent to bring something before the Board to look at E Avenue, looking at it holistically, from infrastructure below, as well as the pavement and some of the aesthetic elements that have been articulated

1 So if you would give me the opportunity to 2 caucus with staff and bring you a comprehensive 3 discussion on E Avenue and then get with Mr. Evans as 4 it relates to how quickly the report on E Avenue can be 5 finalized, we can set a meeting where that's what we 6 discuss exclusively, and then we can work with the 7 Finance Director and find out what moneys can be 8 brought to bear to leverage the capital investment 9 necessary to facilitate the improvements to E Avenue. 10 CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. 11 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Madam Chair. 12 CHAIR BOTEL: Yes, you're recognized. 13 COMMISSIONER LANIER: I restate my motion. 14 My motion is this. I want to make a motion to make 15 Avenue E, at the next CRA meeting, a budget, a budget 16 to ensure that E Avenue gets the -- it gets whatever it 17 needs to get to be able to be a viable corridor. So my 18 motion is -- this is my motion, to ensure that at the 19 next budget meeting -- at the next CRA meeting that the 20 CRA Director brings back a budget for E Avenue to be 21 the first priority for the CRA. 22 CHAIR BOTEL: Anyone care to second it? 23 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: I will second 24 it for discussion. Like to get more clarity. 25 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Yes, I'm not seconding

1 that, because here's why --2 CHAIR BOTEL: It's been seconded. 3 COMMISSIONER McCOY: But I mean, Madam Chair, I would ask that you put a little more control into the 4 5 meeting, because, you know, you've been down on that 6 side, and I certainly respect your having the 7 opportunity to speak, but like literally, that's not 8 even germane to what we're talking about, right? 9 So if she wants to make a motion, I think 10 she's more than -- you know, has a right to do that, 11 but I'm still back, because I got a bunch of things 12 that I want to ask about the art that's being taken up, 13 and we haven't even had a chance, because I know you 14 wanted to go on that end first. But I don't even want 15 to entertain what is being discussed or being proposed 16 by Councilwoman Lanier because there are some other 17 things that we haven't even --18 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Madam Chair, we have 19 a motion --20 CHAIR BOTEL: Excuse me. Commissioner 21 Lanier, Commissioner McCoy has the floor. 22 Go ahead. 23 COMMISSIONER LANIER: You have a motion and a 24 second on the floor. 25 CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. McCoy has the floor.

1 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay, so that means we 2 don't get an opportunity to speak on what has been read 3 into the record? Is that what I'm hearing? I would 4 love for you to say -- because like literally, it's 5 just -- you know, even Commissioner Miller-Anderson 6 said there's been a lot of comments, but you haven't even looked this way. And I wanted to at least allow 7 8 you guys to finish before we even entertain a motion, 9 because there's a number of things that I need to 10 discuss about what's been presented before us. 11 CHAIR BOTEL: If we could --12 COMMISSIONER LANIER: There is a motion and a 13 second on the floor. 14 CHAIR BOTEL: Excuse me one second, 15 Commissioner Lanier. 16 My sense of Commissioner Lanier's motion is 17 that she just wants us to make -- to show our --18 COMMISSIONER McCOY: It's out of order, Madam 19 Chair. 2.0 CHAIR BOTEL: Okay, so --21 What I'm saying is we've COMMISSIONER McCOY: 22 been presented with a resolution. 23 CHAIR BOTEL: Yes. 24 COMMISSIONER McCOY: I've been patiently 25 waiting --

1	CHAIR BOTEL: Yes, and we will
2	COMMISSIONER McCOY: to opine on it.
3	CHAIR BOTEL: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER McCOY: So would you allow me to
5	do that and tell her she needs to pull it off the
6	floor, or should I
7	COMMISSIONER LANIER: There's a motion and a
8	second on the floor, sir.
9	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay, look, I'm going to
10	make a point of parliamentary inquiry. It's up to you,
11	Madam Chair, to decide what happens. You either allow
12	me to speak, or you recognize her motion. So it's on
13	you.
14	CHAIR BOTEL: I'm going to recognize her
15	motion and ask that we deal with it tout suite.
16	Madam Clerk, would you just call the roll on
17	that motion, please.
18	THE CLERK: We do two motions?
19	CHAIR BOTEL: It was no, Commissioner
20	Lanier made a motion that we, as a body, express our
21	desire to have
22	COMMISSIONER McCOY: It's not germane to the
23	subject though, Madam Chair.
24	COMMISSIONER LANIER: No, it is a motion on
25	the floor.

1 CHAIR BOTEL: -- quickly rather than argue 2 about it --3 COMMISSIONER McCOY: So I'm going to make a 4 point of parliamentary inquiry --5 CHAIR BOTEL: In the interest of 6 expediency --7 COMMISSIONER McCOY: -- and I'm going to make 8 a point of order, and I'm going to ask, and as the rule 9 states, that supersedes anything on the floor. What 10 she's proposing --11 COMMISSIONER LANIER: No, it does not 12 supersede --13 COMMISSIONER McCOY: -- is not germane to the 14 So if I can have you --15 COMMISSIONER LANIER: -- has the right to 16 bring a motion, regardless of what it is. 17 CHAIR BOTEL: In the interest of --18 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Madam Chair, you've been 19 warned. I'm making a point of parliamentary inquiry, 20 and if you don't respect it, then we'll deal with it 21 later. 22 COMMISSIONER LANIER: -- Councilman McCoy, 23 remember three weeks ago I brought a motion to the 24 floor to make Mr. Evans --25 CHAIR BOTEL: Commissioner Lanier, we're

1 going to deal with it right now. 2 COMMISSIONER LANIER: -- permanent Director, 3 and that motion passed. 4 CHAIR BOTEL: It would behoove us to get 5 going on this --6 COMMISSIONER LANIER: -- right now that we 7 make Avenue E a priority --8 CHAIR BOTEL: -- so we can dispense with it 9 and then we can move on to the matter at hand. 10 all I'm saying. In the interest of expediency, can we 11 vote on this, get it -- it's a simple gesture. Really, 12 that's all it is. It's a gesture --13 COMMISSIONER McCOY: But it's about order, 14 Madam Chair. 15 COMMISSIONER LANIER: I can bring a motion --16 COMMISSIONER McCOY: I tell you what, do what 17 you got to do and I --18 CHAIR BOTEL: Can we please just do this --19 COMMISSIONER LANIER: I can bring whatever 20 motion I want. 21 CHAIR BOTEL: We're going to vote on it right 22 now and then we can move on. 23 COMMISSIONER McCOY: So my point of 24 parliamentary inquiry and my point of order is not 25 being recognized.

1	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Well, it
2	COMMISSIONER McCOY: I declared a point of
3	order.
4	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Now call the question.
5	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Madam Chair, pull out
6	your book. That supersedes anything that's being
7	stated on the floor. So if you're going to ignore
8	that, just know that there's going to be repercussions.
9	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Whatever, whatever.
10	CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. McCoy
11	COMMISSIONER McCOY: So are you going to
12	decide on my point of parliamentary inquiry? That's
13	not germane to what we're discussing.
14	CHAIR BOTEL: The issue
15	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Can we call the
16	question, Madam Chair?
17	CHAIR BOTEL: The issue of Avenue E came up
18	as part of the presentation. Therefore, in a sense
19	it's germane to what we're discussing. The issue of a
20	statue in the middle of a roundabout on Avenue E came
21	up as a part of the as a part of this item.
22	Therefore, the hope the whole concept of our support
23	of Avenue E became germane to it.
24	I'm going to allow do you want to say
25	anything else about this, because really, all we're

1 interested in is getting us out of here at a reasonable 2 hour, and in order to do that, if we have all of us 3 just vote yes, we have a --4 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Call the question, 5 Madam Chair. 6 CHAIR BOTEL: -- it moves us along. That's 7 all I'm interested in doing. 8 Yes, I agree. COMMISSIONER LANIER: 9 CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. McCov. 10 COMMISSIONER McCOY: You have the floor, and 11 I'm not in support. And I'm going to say on the record 12 if you don't recognize my point of order and if you 13 make a decision on it, I'm okay with it. 14 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Mr. McCoy, you're good 15 at suing. Just sue us. 16 CHAIR BOTEL: Commissioner Lanier, just a 17 minute. 18 Mr. McCoy, go ahead and make your point. 19 COMMISSIONER McCOY: So Madam Chair, I raised 20 a point of parliamentary inquiry and a point of order. 21 If you're going to adjudicate that, then please, go 22 right ahead and do it. But what I don't want to do is 23 keep going back and forth in a shouting match with 24 somebody that's not even here, that we can't even take

control or order of, and not to mention you have not

25

1	even given us an opportunity to speak on the original
2	motion.
3	CHAIR BOTEL: We're about to do that if we
4	can dispense with this
5	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Madam Chair, can I
6	okay, well, go ahead. Go ahead, Madam Chair.
7	CHAIR BOTEL: and we can move on. I'm
8	making a decision
9	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Let's vote.
10	CHAIR BOTEL: we're going to just make a
11	quick vote on this
12	COMMISSIONER McCOY: If you don't make the
13	just know you will be challenged.
14	CHAIR BOTEL: Yes, okay. Go ahead.
15	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Thank you.
16	THE CLERK: Commissioner McCoy.
17	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Abstention.
18	THE CLERK: Commissioner Miller-Anderson.
19	CHAIR BOTEL: You can't abstain, but
20	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Go for it.
21	CHAIR BOTEL: Commissioner Miller-Anderson.
22	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Just to be
23	clear, her motion is only to say that we're making it a
24	priority to bring it back to the
25	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes.

1	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: next
2	meeting only.
3	CHAIR BOTEL: Yes, to simply express our
4	support for moving forward on the Avenue E, yes.
5	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Bringing
6	information back to the meeting
7	CHAIR BOTEL: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: for us to
9	then make a decision.
10	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes.
11	CHAIR BOTEL: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Yes.
13	THE CLERK: Commissioner Lanier.
14	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes.
15	THE CLERK: Vice Chair Lawson.
16	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: No.
17	THE CLERK: Chair Botel.
18	CHAIR BOTEL: Yes.
19	THE CLERK: That motion carries, with Vice
20	Chair Lawson
21	CHAIR BOTEL: Thanks. Now we can get back to
22	the item on the agenda.
23	THE CLERK: dissenting.
24	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Thank you, Madam Chair.
25	CHAIR BOTEL: The item on the agenda has to

1	do with public art in public places, and we've had a
2	presentation. Now can we have questions on that topic?
3	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay, I want to talk
4	about the football game. Can I put a motion on the
5	floor about the football game, because what you just
6	allowed is for somebody to completely go completely
7	irrelevant to art
8	CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. McCoy, it was
9	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Madam Chair.
10	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Whatever you want to
11	put on
12	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Are you going to let me
13	talk or are you going to take the floor?
14	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Commissioner McCoy, you
15	are a Commissioner. Whatever you want to bring
16	forward, you can do it.
17	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Point of order, Madam
18	Chair.
19	COMMISSIONER McCOY: It doesn't matter what
20	I'm talking about. Mary had a little lamb. Let me
21	have my moment.
22	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: If we please can have
23	some control of this meeting, Madam Chair.
24	COMMISSIONER McCOY: She doesn't.
25	CHAIR BOTEL: Excuse me, Mr. McCoy. I'm

1	allowing you to speak.
2	COMMISSIONER McCOY: You're allowing me?
3	CHAIR BOTEL: I'm allowing. I have called on
4	you, I'm allowing you to speak. Make your point and
5	let's move on.
6	COMMISSIONER McCOY: But I would like to do
7	that uninterrupted.
8	CHAIR BOTEL: Go right ahead.
9	COMMISSIONER McCOY: No, I think I need to do
10	it uninterrupted, without having these outbursts from
11	somebody that we can't control.
12	CHAIR BOTEL: I have repeatedly said to
13	Commissioner Lanier
14	COMMISSIONER McCOY: So how do we control
15	that?
16	CHAIR BOTEL: that you have I have
17	repeatedly said to Commissioner Lanier
18	COMMISSIONER McCOY: So Madam Chair
19	CHAIR BOTEL: that you have the floor.
20	You have the floor.
21	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay.
22	CHAIR BOTEL: Please use it.
23	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay, so Madam Chair,
24	here's my thing, right? Everything that Commissioner
25	Miller-Anderson and also Commissioner Lanier suggested,

1 I don't have any problem with it. But I have a very 2 strong opposition to what's being proposed to us. 3 we completely -- let me read back to you the item, 4 since you don't know exactly --5 CHAIR BOTEL: I know what the item is, 6 Mr. McCoy. 7 COMMISSIONER McCOY: -- what germanity means. CHAIR BOTEL: I know what the item is. 8 9 COMMISSIONER LANIER: You don't get to --10 COMMISSIONER McCOY: So are you talking or am 11 I talking? So you go ahead and finish. 12 CHAIR BOTEL: Well, go ahead and finish. 13 You're accusing me of not knowing what the item is. I 14 know full well what the item is. 15 COMMISSIONER LANIER: And you know, we don't 16 need a history lesson --17 CHAIR BOTEL: Commissioner Lanier, 18 Mr. McCoy -- Commissioner Lanier, Commissioner McCoy 19 has the floor. 2.0 Please continue. 21 COMMISSIONER McCOY: I'm only going to start 22 when I can go uninterrupted, if that's all right. 23 CHAIR BOTEL: Please continue. 24 COMMISSIONER McCOY: So let's go ahead and 25 figure out when that's going to happen.

1 CHAIR BOTEL: Please continue. 2 COMMISSIONER LANIER: I am sorry, 3 Commissioner McCoy, I am sorry to interrupt you --4 COMMISSIONER McCOY: You see how 5 inappropriate and how --6 CHAIR BOTEL: Commissioner Lanier --7 COMMISSIONER McCOY: -- dysfunctional it 8 makes it when somebody can just start and start 9 talking? 10 CHAIR BOTEL: Commissioner Lanier, 11 Commissioner McCoy has the floor. 12 Please continue. 13 COMMISSIONER McCOY: So can we have the Clerk 14 tell us where we are in the agenda, because we just 15 spent a whole lot of time talking about something that 16 wasn't even an agenda item. And in my understanding, 17 the order that I'm trying to figure out is was that an 18 amended motion? Was that a substitute motion? 19 that something that was not being -- that doesn't even 20 pertain? I think it's very much important that we know 21 where we are. 22 CHAIR BOTEL: We are on item number nine, a 23 resolution of the Board of Commissioners of the Rivera 24 Beach Community Redevelopment Agency to authorize the 25 issuance of a Request for Proposals for RBCRA District

1	Art and Branding Project, round three, providing an
2	effective date.
3	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay, so
4	CHAIR BOTEL: And I believe we had a motion
5	and a second on that, and as a excuse me.
6	Please don't interrupt, Commissioner Lanier.
7	COMMISSIONER LANIER: I'm sorry.
8	CHAIR BOTEL: As a courtesy to Commissioner
9	Lanier, I allowed that vote because it expressed our
10	desire simply to support the notion that we would focus
11	on Avenue E going forward. It was a courtesy. We made
12	the motion, we had the vote, it's done. And in an
13	effort to move on, we're now going to discuss item
14	number nine. Do we have any questions or concerns with
15	item number nine?
16	THE CLERK: We have a public comment.
17	CHAIR BOTEL: Oh, excuse me, we have a public
18	comment. Let's hear the public comment. Thank you.
19	THE CLERK: Mary Brabham.
20	COMMISSIONER McCOY: So again, Madam Chair
21	CHAIR BOTEL: We are going to read the public
22	comment
23	COMMISSIONER McCOY: I simply asked you where
24	we were. You disregard my interest in speaking, and
25	now you go over to public comments. This is getting

1 really, really nice. 2 CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. McCoy, you asked what 3 agenda item we were on --4 COMMISSIONER McCOY: But at what point do you 5 allow us, as members of the Commission --6 CHAIR BOTEL: -- listen to the public 7 comments, do we not? 8 COMMISSIONER McCOY: So Madam Chair, you just 9 allowed Commissioner Miller-Anderson and Commissioner 10 Lanier to go on for at least a half an hour, and I was 11 waiting for the opportunity. So I guess you just --12 you just went past us and now we're in public comments. 13 You're the Chairperson and you make these decisions. 14 CHAIR BOTEL: I was just notified by the 15 Clerk that we had a public comment on this topic. 16 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay, so but still --17 CHAIR BOTEL: We always listen to public 18 comments first, do we not? 19 COMMISSIONER McCOY: But we were still in the 20 middle of having Board discussion. 21 CHAIR BOTEL: No, we weren't. I just read 22 you the agenda item, and the Clerk just alerted me that 23 we had a public comment. So we're going to hear this 24 public comment, and then --25 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Are we not on the same

1 item that we've been --2 CHAIR BOTEL: We are on the same item. 3 COMMISSIONER McCOY: So we stop all 4 discussion because somebody put in a comment? 5 CHAIR BOTEL: The public comment was in 6 there, but the Clerk just alerted me to the fact that 7 it was there. 8 THE CLERK: It's for this item. 9 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Madam Chair, I really 10 have some concerns with what is occurring at this 11 point, and I promise you if my name is Tradrick McCoy, 12 there will be repercussions, because what you've done 13 is violated a number of rules, and I --14 COMMISSIONER LANIER: And so have you. 15 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Can I talk? Are you 16 going to take order of this meeting or is it the point 17 that you allow me --18 CHAIR BOTEL: I (inaudible), Mr. McCoy. 19 COMMISSIONER McCOY: -- to say something and 20 I have to continue to go and be interrupted time and 21 time again. 22 CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. McCoy, we're taking public 23 comments now. 24 Thank you. COMMISSIONER McCOY: 25 CHAIR BOTEL: As soon as public comment is

over, we'll have discussion on this item.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay, thank you.

CHAIR BOTEL: Please don't interrupt public comments.

Good evening.

MS. BRABHAM: Good evening.

You know, we going to have to be professionals. We going to have to rein it in and tone it down and respect each other. Let's stop talking over one another. This has been this year, and this is serious business.

Now, E Avenue, we were on this here mural here; we were on this. But E Avenue got thrown in there. But it is some major, some major things that you all have to go -- going to have to take into consideration about E Avenue. That infrastructure there, when we were doing these roads and stuff over here as well to do this Marina here, it had to be some engineering work and stuff with the roads. E Avenue is no different over there.

So it is a major critical element that we have to make sure that E Avenue is not a piecemeal job, because right now it seems as if that we're piecemealing some things here that takes a little bit more reconciliation and finding out if we are to -- if

we do expect to do anything in this moment of time.

Too much has been lost already.

So where do we go to gain what we can gain in this atmosphere? So E Avenue has a lot of eventness there. If you instructed your CRA Director to do that, let him do that. We cannot propose anything at this particular moment in time here because we know how bad E Avenue over there is. Allow them to do that. That's good government. That's new leadership.

Now, about the mural here, it is -- you have some things in here -- I've almost forgot what I wanted to say about the mural. But you had a kit component in here. Let it be something where it would exemplify our kids, to make them rise to the occasion, to make them feel as if they can reach for the stars; if not the stars, the moon. So if those kids that splash there, I think it was two proposals in here over at this Village here on that wall, youth inspired and youth inspired murals, let us do that for the kids here. Don't piecemeal that.

You said the proposal plan is to publish requests for proposals seeking qualified -- and that's another thing. We don't want no piecemeal people. Get some qualified people to do this work here and bring them in. Thank you.

1 Thank you, Ms. Brabham. CHAIR BOTEL: 2 Any more public comment cards? 3 There's no more public comments. THE CLERK: 4 CHAIR BOTEL: No more, thank you. 5 Comments and questions from the Council --6 the Commission. 7 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Madam Chair. 8 CHAIR BOTEL: You're recognized, Commissioner 9 Lanier. 10 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Madam Chair, I just 11 wanted to say to the Commissioner from the First 12 District, Commissioner McCoy, no disrespect, sir, 13 (inaudible). 14 CHAIR BOTEL: I'm sorry. Walter, could we 15 please -- it's difficult to hear Commissioner Lanier 16 without seeing her. It's easier for me if I could see 17 her face. 18 COMMISSIONER LANIER: No, I'll put my camera 19 Here we are. on. 2.0 CHAIR BOTEL: I'm sorry? That's better, 21 thank you. 22 COMMISSIONER LANIER: I just wanted to say 23 this to Commissioner McCoy. Mr. McCoy, there is no 24 way, no how I am trying to block or I'm trying to say 25 anything that is against what you're saying, because

everything tonight that you said, Commissioner McCoy, everything you said tonight I agreed with.

All I did when I brought up Avenue E was one thing, just like Commissioner Miller-Anderson did. We have been talking about Avenue E for the longest. All we asked with that vote that passed, all we asked that the CRA Director bring back to us something for Avenue E so that he can make it a priority for how we're going to move forward with the CRA. And guess what, the CRA District, Avenue E is a huge part of it. Broadway is a huge part of it.

And please, Mr. Commissioner McCoy, do not take what I'm saying as a slight to you in no way, because everything you say, everything you say, I almost agree with everything you say because you're always on the money, you're always thinking about the money for the citizens. You always say that, guess what, it's the money. Everything from, what, that fire assessment, everything from that water assessment, the water bill, everything you have said, Commissioner McCoy, on the money.

CHAIR BOTEL: Okay, on the topic --

COMMISSIONER LANIER: (Inaudible) I said --

CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER LANIER: -- tonight was able to

move this thing forward, and thank you. 2 Thank you, Commissioner. CHAIR BOTEL: 3 Any questions about item number nine? 4 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Madam Chair, 5 no, it's not, but I just want to point out to everyone 6 that, you know, we've only done three items. 7 know how most of us are usually wanting to get the 8 items done so we can not be here all night, but -- and 9 I'm not trying to limit anybody's comments by no means. 10 But we're spending a lot of time on each item, and so 11 therefore, I'm just asking if we can make our comments. 12 I understand the gripes that we have; I get it. 13 we're not getting anywhere. We're moving very slow. 14 CHAIR BOTEL: Any other comments on item 15 number nine? 16 Madam Chair. VICE CHAIR LAWSON: 17 CHAIR BOTEL: Commissioner Lawson, you're 18 recognized. 19 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Thank you. 20 I'm in support of the item, with us moving 21 forward with it. I'm concerned with the statue going 22 on Avenue E, so I'd want to address that prior to us 23 actually doing some development on that project. 24 Mr. Evans, where are we on the -- and if you 25 can just say these, Mr. Scott Evans -- on the

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 engineering firm that we hired for Avenue E? I know that we had one in place, that we had discussed, that 2 3 we brought in. But if you can save those for the 4 comments later or ask our Executive Director, one of 5 the two can discuss the engineering firm that we hired 6 for Avenue E and where we stand with that. I think we 7 had put an RFP out a few months ago, so maybe during 8 the comments we can address that? 9 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Actually, before he

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Actually, before he starts, I want to go ahead and make a motion to adjourn the meeting, because that's exactly what you allow her to do. So can I make a motion to adjourn the meeting?

CHAIR BOTEL: No.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: See? But you see my point, right?

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: And a continuation, Madam Chair. This was actually, since we're just discussing certain points, this is one of the items that I'd like to see us address, the City Hall or City facilities on Avenue E, and also that Broadway corridor, whether it's on the new property that we have on the agenda or it's going to be a property that we currently own, because I think that would be a great location for us to spur kind of a development down there.

I completely agree with Councilman McCoy's

point of let's just keep the flow of the order of the 1 2 business on the agenda moving. And I respect 3 Councilwoman Lanier's motion, but we're never going to 4 get through these items if we continue to just throw 5 items on the agenda that aren't being addressed at the 6 current time. 7 So I respectfully did not get a second for my 8 motion to discuss the contract, and I understand the 9 pleasure of the Board. I want us to continue to move 10 in that facet. So I do believe Councilwoman Lanier 11 could have saved that motion for the end of the 12 meeting, but I respect the fact she made it and it 13 passed, but let's continue to just focus on the order 14 of the agenda. 15 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Can I be recognized? 16 Anything else on --CHAIR BOTEL: 17 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Madam Chair, Madam 18 Chair, I just want to --19 CHAIR BOTEL: Excuse me, Commissioner Lanier, 20 one minute. Anything else on item number nine? 21 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Yes. 22 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Just one thing, Madam 23 Chair. Councilman Lawson's comment here --24 COMMISSIONER McCOY: See, this is why it 25 doesn't work.

1 CHAIR BOTEL: Commissioner Lanier, 2 Commissioner Lanier, please let's follow protocol and 3 wait until I've recognized you. 4 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes, ma'am. 5 CHAIR BOTEL: I've recognized Commissioner 6 McCoy. 7 Commissioner McCoy. 8 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay, so can we go 9 back to the slides, because I'm still there from the 10 first part, and when we go off the rails, that's kind 11 of what happens. 12 MR. LEWIS: Which one? 13 COMMISSIONER McCOY: I'm sorry, so go back --14 forward, right, one slide forward with the sculptures. 15 MR. LEWIS: Oh, that --16 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Exactly, you know, 17 what's not clear is when you look at what's being 18 proposed, it only speaks of paintings. And I mean I 19 guess art is encompassed in it, but how do you imagine 20 putting out an RFP and then coming back within a budget 21 of \$165,000 to do all of those enumerated, I think 13 22 projects or 13 different locations or sites plus the 23 sculpture? 24 MR. LEWIS: Well, I can't speak to -- I can 25 speak to the fact that I know these are all the

proposed locations that we want to move forward. If it is the pleasure of the Board for us to -- if you green light all these projects, I anticipate we're going to have to come back to you at a later date. But we won't know until we have some proper responses from our RFPs that we can get an idea and a sense of what -- because in the RFP it asks to give us a projected cost as well as some projected designs, so we'll be able to have a more accurate picture of what we're looking at as far as proposed designs and dollar amount.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: Madam
Chair, if I may add onto the comments that were made,
the two elements that we're requesting from the Board
is is there an authorization to proceed forward with
the request for proposal and does the Board agree with
the sites that have been identified.

There is a way to, if the Board has a desire to say item number 13 is something that lends itself to more conversation because it's associated with Avenue E, that can be extracted and certain elements can go forward as part of the request for proposal.

The intent behind bringing this forward is we didn't want to go out and select these sites and the Board not be aware of that. So that's realistically what we're looking for, is is there support to move

1 forward in such a fashion and does the Board agree with 2 the sites that have been articulated here in the 3 presentation. 4 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Follow-up. 5 CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. McCoy, you're recognized. 6 Okay, thank you for COMMISSIONER McCOY: 7 that. 8 So before I get to the sites in particular, 9 why wouldn't this already be encompassed in the 10 existing, I guess the existing relationship that we 11 have with the artists that did the previous murals? 12 Because clearly, there's -- they're not doing anything 13 different than what is already proposed. Similar to 14 how we've kind of piggybacked on other contracts and 15 we've kind of continued other contracts, this wouldn't 16 necessarily require a new RFP. The scope is exactly 17 the same. 18 We're talking about art in public places. 19 We're not talking about doing underground utilities on 20 Avenue E. The nature of this item that's presented to 21 us is art in public places, and you propose the same 22 concept of us doing murals that we've already done 23 throughout the city. Why do we need a new RFP? 24 There's nothing significant changing about the scope. 25 MR. LEWIS: I can state from my personal

experience from the previous rounds, I have so many artists that have approached us about doing some sort of art or doing some sort of collaboration, but at the time we didn't have any rounds available. So I can tell you right now, we have more artists that are interested in participating, so we thought it would be fair to put out an RFP to get more -
COMMISSIONER McCOY: I don't know that I

COMMISSIONER McCOY: I don't know that I agree.

MR. LEWIS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Because here's why. It seems to me that when we do this, we're letting the artist drive what our vision should be instead of us telling the artist this is what our expectation is, right? And I know that's a rhetorical question, there's nothing that I'm asking for a response for.

But, you know, even if you can go back to the start of the initial slides, you know, I just have some problems. Even there, I mean let's go, the Singer Island, that's our, I guess, the Ocean Mall. And even if you look at some of the other ones, I just don't know how we can be paying, financing for all of these different venues that aren't exactly in a public place, but we would turn around, use them for -- we were just here yesterday, and then we turn around and now

incorporate murals into million dollar construction costs that I don't really know drives a real public attraction or a benefit because a person would only have to come down to the Marina to see.

Plus the fact is this is something that we've already financed through the acquisition of some sort of instrument, some sort of debt instrument, right?

And then we go back and do this all over again. And it seems like we're just giving somebody an opportunity to take a paint brush and say here's 165,000, go at it, tell me what's your best suggestion.

I don't really think that if you go to Ocean Mall, that that is a place that you want to see a whole lot of murals. You know, I think at least the side of the building that you see when you cross over to Singer Island when the young kid is scuba diving, or snorkeling, I should say, I mean, okay, I get it.

But I mean literally we're now about to invite folks to go onto property that we don't even manage and allow them to paint murals, which is already a high attraction. I mean I just don't think that that's a good use. And when you're a tourist, right, you obviously want to go somewhere that's visually pleasing. But I don't know that this is, for me, something that I would tell somebody, all right, go

ahead and go out to the Marina and let's see what kind of murals we can come up with.

I just think that there's a better opportunity. Even at the corner of Blue Heron and Broadway -- I'm sorry -- yes, Blue Heron and Broadway, you know, I thought we just authorized -- did we not authorize a modified version for that corner as to construction costs? Like how do we incorporate what we've already authorized into what is being proposed now?

I mean we just spent tens of thousands of dollars just on the mural on the side of that wall. It just becomes like at what point is enough enough? Do we paint the whole city? I mean I think what we've seen, at least, and I've got a number of calls about it, is over on the West 10th Street area right near the Rivera Beach Community Garden that folks can't even determine where the intersection starts and ends because it's just paint everywhere. And we're creating a situation that if there's a wall, we're going to throw paint on it.

But, you know, in my opinion, less is more, and I just don't see why if we paint the mast of the, of those, I guess those wind skis or whatever you want to call them over at Ocean Mall, how that's really

appealing and what that does. And does it necessitate us spending \$165,000 when we have Broadway and Blue Heron shut down for at least three days? Like that's a problem. And I was going to get to the sinkhole issue, but I just can't see how we think that this is so important.

Like at what point do we stop? Do we set aside another quarter million dollars in the 2021-2022 budget for art and murals? Like at this point, everything is going to be painted in the city. And we still thought it was important to move the CRA executive offices from their location and try to find alternate places, but instead we'll do this with the money?

Members, I think we have to be a little more fiscally responsible, because I think this is too much. And I would not want to go to Singer Island specifically to see a mural wrapped around the post when I go into -- when I go to the beach, and I don't support it. I mean if we had some ideas to do some other things, but there are some critical, critical items that we need to get addressed in the city. I think just the paint is not getting us there.

And we haven't even exactly, nor is there a way that we could actually measure our return on

1 investment with this. Obviously, it looks good, but 2 what can we say it offered or brought to the city? Did 3 we win any awards, the most -- did Discover Palm 4 Beaches recognize us as the number one tourist spot, or 5 you know, it's like we're just painting. And I just 6 don't think that this is where we should be when we 7 have other needs that we need to address. 8 And obviously, we spent at least a couple 9 years' worth of budgets doing -- at least a couple 10 years' worth of our fiscal years discussing painting 11 water tanks and various things in and around the city. 12 And I don't support it, because after this year, guess 13 what, it will be another year where somebody's coming 14 back and they want to paint the telephone posts. 15 when is enough enough? And it doesn't really add that 16 much to the community. 17 CHAIR BOTEL: Commissioner Miller-Anderson? 18 Commissioner Lawson? 19 Commissioner Lanier? Nothing? 2.0 COMMISSIONER LANIER: No, I have nothing. 2.1 CHAIR BOTEL: Madam Chair -- Madam Clerk. 22 THE CLERK: Commissioner McCoy. 23 COMMISSIONER McCOY: 24 THE CLERK: Commissioner Miller-Anderson. 25 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON:

Yes.

1	THE CLERK: Commissioner Lanier.
2	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes.
3	THE CLERK: Vice Chair Lawson.
4	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Yes.
5	THE CLERK: Chair Botel.
6	CHAIR BOTEL: Yes.
7	THE CLERK: That motion carries, with
8	Commissioner McCoy dissenting.
9	Item number ten, a resolution of the Board of
10	Commissioners of the Riviera Beach Community
11	Redevelopment Agency approving the amendments to the
12	Property Improvement Incentive Grant Program evaluation
13	and scoring matrix, which will be utilized to evaluate
14	commercial grant applications from local businesses
15	seeking commercial grant dollars, providing an
16	effective date.
17	The acceptance of public comment cards is
18	closed.
19	COMMISSIONER LANIER: So moved.
20	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Second.
21	CHAIR BOTEL: Do we have a second? Do we
22	have a second? She made the motion?
23	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: No, Shirley made the
24	motion.
25	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Shirley made

1 the motion and I seconded it. 2. CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. 3 COMMISSIONER LANIER: I said so moved. 4 CHAIR BOTEL: Is there a presentation? 5 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: 6 Madam Chair. This item is consistent with the previous 7 discussion we had concerning the Commercial Grant 8 Incentive Program. Based on conversations with staff 9 and based on previous discussions the Board has had, 10 staff has come forward with some recommendations and 11 modifications to the Commercial Grant Incentive 12 Program. 13 At this particular moment, staff is 14 requesting direction from the Board and support as to 15 how we have developed the scoring matrix and to 16 memorialize that in the resolution so we can move 17 forward with rolling out the subsequent phases of the 18 In the program one of the biggest changes program. 19 that you will see is the involvement from a member of 20 this body who serves on the evaluation criteria, and 21 that will be a rolling appointment as part of 22 subsequent allocations. 23 At this time I would like Mr. Lewis to make 24 this presentation. 25 MR. LEWIS: Okay, thank you. Good evening

again, Commissioners.

So just to give you a little bit of background, back in February of 2020, the Board requested that the agency provide recommendations and revamp the evaluation tool. The Board also requested some items to be removed or and/or reworded. So we'll go through some of the Board recommended additions and deletions, along with some of the items that the staff worked together to rework for your approval.

So just to go through this list, the checklist section of the required documents was moved to a separate sheet. The Dun and Bradstreet number review was removed. The scoring section with -- which only offered two options, which is adverse versus not adverse, was amended to capture acceptance versus disqualification.

Operational history section of the score modified to offer a range of points based on years of operation. The elimination of blight section, scoring section was also modified. The TIF increase section was modified to offer a range of points based on percentages of personal investment from the business owner.

And the local preference section for the scoring was modified to not just award a large lump

sum, which in the previous scoring sheet a sum of points, but to offer a range of base -- a range based on the length of the lease terms and/or the years of property ownership.

The scoring section that awarded the number of employees has been modified. An additional -- an addition was made to the rates, the rating the contractors selected to see if they are certified minority businesses and the percentage of points being awarded or percentage of the project being awarded to that contractor. An addition was made to the rates.

The level of improvement to add safety perceptions and to improve the safety of the environment. Again, these items need your direction. The community impact section, as well as rating the impact of existing environmental conditions.

So we'll start with this one, and we want to get your feedback. So the first one is to complete a lien search and financial obligations to the City. So our -- the change to this was the applicant, if the applicant had a financial obligation to the City, would be disqualified unless the dollars from this program would remedy the external improvements. So if the applicant had some serious landscaping issues and they were cited several times, but dollars from this program

2.0

would remedy that, we would still consider them as a viable candidate.

Two, the police record search, evidence of previous or current criminal enterprise operating out of the business. If any evidence of previous or current criminal enterprises for the current owner, they would be automatically disqualified.

Three, years of business operation or domicile in Riviera Beach. You can see the options here. The longer length of time, the more points that would be awarded.

Four, rate the applicant's matching investment to the project. So if the applicant is investing 100 percent or meeting us at 100 percent or more, they would get the maximum of ten points; 99 to 50 percent, five points; or 49 to 25 percent, they would get three points.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)

MR. LEWIS: Oh, I'm sorry. Oh.

(Inaudible discussion.)

MR. LEWIS: Okay, let's go back to four, I'm sorry. So four is rate the scale of improvements and its overall future effects on the aesthetics and the beautification of the CRA District. So if it's outstanding, if there's significant improvements and

the evaluator deems it outstanding, they would get 20; commendable, 15; satisfactory, eight; and if it needs improvement, seven.

I went through this one already, so we talked about the investment, the matching investment to the project. Anything over 100 percent or meeting 100 percent will get a maximum of ten points.

Six, score the applicant's tenant lease term amount remaining or the applicant being the owner. So if it's a short-term lease of zero to three years, they would get five points. If they have a long-term lease, five to ten years, and/or the owner, they would get the maximum of ten points.

Okay, rate the community impact or preferred or new existing business entity. So in the previous scoring matrix, these business options were looked at as preferred businesses. And if you want us to continue with these lists, the list of businesses, we will proceed with these lists. If you want to add any other type businesses to this list, we will, or if you want to take away something that's there, maybe you think since the inception of the program we have an overabundance of these businesses and we might need to scale back. It's to your discretion.

So healthy food options, health care, retail,

1 education, the marine industry and green industries. 2 Any one of these businesses that file for commercial 3 grant dollars will get a satisfactory of ten points. 4 So are there any comments as it relates to 5 these businesses? Do you want to add, or do we feel 6 that this is a good list? 7 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: No. I'm fine. 8 I mean I know we had a long discussion on this when we 9 were going through it before, so we're -- the thing's 10 rectified some people had issues with, and that is 11 probably who needs to respond, because --12 CHAIR BOTEL: Go ahead. 13 MR. LEWIS: Okav. 14 COMMISSIONER McCOY: I have one question. 15 MR. LEWIS: Sure. 16 COMMISSIONER McCOY: So these suggestions 17 that you're making seems kind of -- we've just seen 18 this, in fact. I think at least a few of us are pretty 19 popular in the Palm Beach Post when it came to 20 evaluation committees and the subjectivity and making 21 these decisions. 22 So where is the reconsideration process at? 23 I mean is this something that can be brought to the 24 Board if there's some adverse or even subjective 25 I guess does the application for any kind of nature?

grant provide for any kind of reconsideration or appeal process?

MR. LEWIS: Yes. The short answer would be yes. But we also, we bring our recommendations to the Board, based on the scoring, to the Board for final approval. So we would give you a summation of the applicants that have been rated. Usually what I've seen in my experience, we have not gone over ten applicants to the point where we're seeing some sort of competition for dollars. Usually the applicants that we have, we have the matching dollars to meet them.

Now, if we're in a situation where we don't, we would bring that to the Board and give you our recommendation.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Surely it will happen. Everything that you would think hasn't occurred, it's inevitable here in Riviera Beach, especially when it comes to us giving out grants and moneys. It hasn't caught fire yet, but I imagine there's going to be somebody.

And I just don't like putting anything out there that leaves a lot of subjectivity. Then when we're going through and having a point to use on our selection committee who aren't -- I guess we're just human. I mean you don't have to have a particular

background. But I mean your definition of
beautification and mine is different. I mean I just
don't want us to make something in a vacuum that
creates a situation where time and time again somebody
now wants to come and bring it to a City or CRA
meeting, saying, hey, this was not done fairly, this
was not done properly.

I just would hope that there is some safeguards in there, because that whole criminal enterprise thing got me, because I see people all the time that appear to be doing something of criminal nature. I don't know what kind of business they run, but it doesn't seem on the up and up to me. But who's to determine that, and off of what standards, right?

MR. LEWIS: Well, what we do, I do the same -- Mr. Spence talked about the pulling of the criminal history of the site, and I do the same thing. If there's --

COMMISSIONER McCOY: What does that mean?

I've called the police four times this week, not associated with my business. But people -- I mean it's just like I don't want any of that subjectivity that it's a hit or miss or somebody just decides off a whim to say you're not qualified because of this, and now you've got this huge miscarriage of justice that has

occurred because somebody used their discretion. It's not based off of something that you can clearly say that they checked this box, does this apply, yes, no.

I don't want anybody making a decision unless there's another very clear, and you know, I would say independent person to review this, especially when it comes to things like -- clearly, if there's an application and if they met a requirement, that's an easy one. But if you have something that's up to committee members to give their perspective or point of view or opinion, I think you're really asking to open up for a problem. I yield.

MR. LEWIS: Okay. So evaluation eight, rate the impact of the project on the existing or future environmental conditions. So if there are any environmental conditions associated with the rehab of the project or whether it be -- it could be anything. If the applicant provides proof of that in their submission, we will award them five points. If not, zero points.

Business impact, the number of employees hired and/or existing. Five or more employees will get five points; three to four -- I mean three to four, five points; five or more, seven points; or one or two employees, they would get a score of three points.

1 Business impact, providing evidence that the 2 employees have salaries above a living wage. Okav, 3 75 percent will get a score of seven points. Anything 4 less than that will get zero points. 5 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Madam Chair. 6 You're recognized. CHAIR BOTEL: 7 COMMISSIONER McCOY: So Mr. Lewis, how are 8 these applications going to be issued, because it 9 appears that they're kind of on a rolling scale. 10 mean just when I looked at the CRA's website, the end 11 date for some of those applications I think says the 12 beginning part of 2020, right? 13 And perhaps I'm not interested in giving you 14 any proprietary or trade secret information about the 15 rate of pay that I pay my employees because just down 16 the street I have a competitor, right? I'm not 17 interested in doing that. How long do you hold my 18 application out or how long do I get zero points? 19 it just for an application window? Can I go back and 20 apply again or what? 21 Because I clearly see a number of reasons why 22

me, as a private business owner, I'm not interested in

the government's money. You know why? Because of the

business. So if that's the case, there are going to be

same kind of questions you're asking. It's nobody's

23

24

25

1 some folks that's just going to say, hey, I think I'll 2 sit this one out because I'll pay for what I want 3 because I don't think it's important to disclose 4 certain things. 5 How long does that last? Because again, I'm 6 looking at the applications that we had today, plus what's on your website. Is that just going to be for 7 8 that period or that selection of applications, or is 9 that going to be for every single time, because I would 10 go ahead and submit hypothetically if I knew I'm just 11 ready to give up, not getting any points on the 12 business impact section. 13 MR. LEWIS: Um-hmm. 14 COMMISSIONER McCOY: You know, like is that 15 just going to be for that window, application window? 16 MR. LEWIS: For the window. Well, it's for 17 you to give us an example or -- during that window of 18 time, yes, yes. 19 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay, so that wouldn't 20 preclude you from anything in the future? 21 MR. LEWIS: No, no. We wouldn't hold your 22 feet to the fire for the future, no. 23 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay. 24 MR. LEWIS: So evidence of utilization of 25 Palm Beach County job training incentive programs. Ιf

1 it's yes, we'll give you three points; no, zero points.

Number of employees residing in Riviera

Beach. Obviously five or more, seven points; one or

two will only get three points.

Percentage of work being awarded to Riviera
Beach contractors. So if 50 percent or more of the
work that is being proposed is given to a Riviera Beach
contractor, we will award you seven points, and it goes
down all the way, zero. At 20 percent would be three
points; 30 to 40 percent, five points:

Minority or business entity participating.

So if the small business or a woman business ownership exceeds 50 percent, we would give them 15 points. If the ownership meets or exceeds 25 percent -- 25 percent but is less than 50 percent, you get ten points.

And this speaks to the same thing, but the actual contractor. So if you select a minority, a woman business contractor and the amount of -- the percentage of the work is more than 50 percent, you get 14 points; 30 to 40 percent, eight points; 10 to 20, five points.

And the proposed improvements will add to the safety and the safety perception. At 25 percent, substantial safety elements, you would get seven points; 15 percent, some safety elements, five points;

and no safety elements associated would be zero points.

And I'll take any questions that you have at this time.

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Madam Chair.

CHAIR BOTEL: You're recognized, Mr. Lawson.

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Lewis, for the presentation.

The first question is the dollars to remedy the liens on number one, evaluation criteria. The dollars that they're receiving would be to remedy the issue with the lien. Are you going to require that the lien be paid in addition to the work be done, or are we just requiring that it addresses the actual lien that they've been cited for?

MR. LEWIS: Well, and this will take a little bit of coordination with the City and with the owner, and we've addressed something like this in the past. If the owner comes to us and says, hey, you know, I'm applying because I've been cited several times, you know, my business is operating at break even, I'm not able to, you know, address some of these issues, and you're able to -- we can coordinate with the City and say, hey, is there any way, if we addressed it through this grant -- you know, they were awarded the grant -- if we can address it through the grant, can the liens

or the citations be waived.

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: And that would have to go in front of the magistrate, so that's going to have to take a lot of coordination with the City --

MR. LEWIS: Yes.

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: -- to get that done. I think we need to vet that item a little bit more.

Number two, the criminal enterprise, I needed some more understanding of what you mean by a criminal enterprise being there, evidence of that. I mean I've had multiple businesses. I've been accused of running halfway houses and sober homes. And my concern or issue with that would be that's just what they would call a criminal enterprise versus a legal standing business.

So I want to have understanding of what you mean exactly by that and what outlines in regards to it being a deterrent for the applicant, because if somebody calls the police or if someone's called the police on that business, does that equate to a criminal enterprise?

MR. LEWIS: Well, I'll say -- and I'll ask
Mr. Evans to be a sounding board also for that. What
I've seen in my experience, if there's some elements
that come up during the initial search or the initial

1 search done through the police records, I'll delve a 2 little deeper. Were there any convictions by the 3 So if -- and all of that is public present owner? 4 information. 5 So if there was just, you know, a call made 6 to the site saying that something happened there, 7 that's different than someone actually being convicted 8 of running a criminal enterprise out of that business, 9 and it's the current owner, so --10 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: So you're saying if there 11 was --12 MR. HAYGOOD: It should be conviction. 13 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: So that's what I was 14 going to ask, is this going to be based upon a 15 conviction --16 MR. LEWIS: Yes. 17 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: -- of a business --18 Well, that's what --MR. LEWIS: 19 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: -- as an applicant for 20 this grant? 21 MR. LEWIS: Yes. 22 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: So if a convicted 23 individual applies for this grant --24 MR. LEWIS: Yes. 25 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: -- in relation to the

1 business, they won't qualify? 2 MR. LEWIS: They wouldn't qualify, yes. 3 So I just don't want to VICE CHAIR LAWSON: 4 take any rights away from a returning citizen that may 5 have been convicted for something, whether it's at this 6 location or a different location, that may be trying to 7 actually start over within our city. So I want us to 8 be very clear with what we're asking when it comes to a 9 criminal enterprise or a criminal record before 10 discouraging anyone from applying or obtaining. 11 MR. LEWIS: Okay. 12 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Number eight, type of 13 employees outlined. Are we going to -- what's this --14 no, it's not number eight. Type of employees. 15 number is the employees that are hired, they give 16 additional points for it? 17 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Number eight. 18 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Number eight, there we 19 There's two number eights, that's why, commercial 20 grant evaluation eight also is the --21 MR. LEWIS: Oh, okay. 22 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: -- future --23 MR. LEWIS: My apologies, yes. 24 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: All right. So the second 25 eight, the impact. Are you going to determine the type

1 of employees? Does that matter? And how are you going 2 to address the employees? How do we know this? The 3 employees, are they 1099, W-2? 4 And then also, the next item, or number 11 5 also says that the employees are residents of the city. 6 How do we validate that they're actual residents? 7 Well, what I've done in the past, MR. LEWIS: 8 the actual business owner gave me a copy of the 9 And it showed the addresses of the employees, payroll. 10 and I was able to verify that they're residents of the 11 City of Riviera Beach. 12 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: So once we do submit this 13 out and they respond, you're going to be asking for 14 payroll showing and outlining their staff, what they're 15 paying their staff and their current address and if 16 they're paid W-2 or 1099? 17 MR. LEWIS: Well, those are some of the items 18 that can be used. I've seen more creative things used 19 to verify this information. But yes, those documents 20 would be the easiest way to verify those. 21 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: Madam 22 Chair, if I may to Commissioner Lawson's point, I've 23 also seen where an affidavit is executed by the 24 business to affirm that the employees that are listed

in the attached document are, or even a situation where

25

1 they've requested for those employees to execute an 2 affidavit and say I do live in the City of Riviera 3 Beach, and it's notarized and it's part of the document 4 that's submitted. 5 So we can definitely look at those options to 6 ensure that, in fact, that they are getting those 7 points, that we can verify that those are, in fact, 8 Riviera Beach residents and not just an address or a 9 P.O. Box or what have you. 10 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Good. Thank you, 11 Mr. Evans. 12 Number 13, SBE. Are we going to require that 13 they're certified through the County, or is staff going 14 to do the legwork to figure out if they're MBE, SBE? 15 They usually provide us the MR. LEWIS: 16 certification, and it's usually through the County. So 17 yes, I ask for a copy of the certification. 18 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: And if they're not 19 certified through the County, they wouldn't qualify for 20 these additional points? 21 MR. LEWIS: Unless they're certified through 22 the State. 23 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Okay, perfect. Thank 24

Anyone else?

It appears that

you, Madam Chair.

CHAIR BOTEL:

25

we do have one public comment card on this topic, so we'll hear that.

THE CLERK: Ms. Mary Brabham.

MS. BRABHAM: Ms. Mary Brabham.

I have a question here. In some of your matrix here, on number ten where it says addresses adverse environmental condition, now, I know in your metrics here you have a total of what you said here, healthy foods option, health care — which we definitely need health care, and we do need top-notch health care, especially in this environment here, because this will be a prolonged thing with us. So that's a good thing.

Your retail and your education. We know that the atmosphere that we are in here now, a lot of our kids have fallen back and behind, so we need to step up to the plate. Now, we talked about the STEM program and other programs and stuff like that, which is very vital for our children in this city here. And we need to do everything that we can, with every component that we have in order to meet the needs of the educational components with our children.

The marine industry, which is good too, because we do live in an oceanfront city, so we need to have not just our kids, but our residents more engaged

1 in our marine industry.

And the green industry, now, I have a question also. In this environment, atmosphere, would that consist of a solar component as well?

And getting back to where it says the environment, if we have restaurants that are opening up or setting up, is that grease component addressed, because peoples have a tendency to throw out grease, and it can contaminate our soil, as well as anything else around, as well as those chemicals. Are those being addressed also in these components here?

It's easy to say environmental conditions here, but you need to point out the specific environmental things that need to be addressed so that we would not be on the back end of this.

And number 11 here, where it says contractors, rate the contractors selected are certified minorities, minorities you have always complained about, the jobs that are being put out or what businesses are or you're not getting. This is your time to step up to the plate and get your financial backing so your certifications are the (inaudible) that you may need in order to meet these requirements instead of piggybacking on someone else, because so many times we hear this in this city here.

1	So it is these things here that need to be
2	detrimental and outlined in here. You are the
3	policymakers here now, so you have a chance here to go
4	in here and put some sticking points in here in order
5	to meet these conditions here. If you included and
6	excluded, there's strength in these things here.
7	And in number 12 where it says safety and the
8	safety perception of the environment, this is critical
9	now, because COVID is an atmosphere thing here now. So
10	it is all around us, and it will be with us for days,
11	months and years to come. So if we put any components
12	that are in place here to meet this metric here, it has
13	to address what we're in now and how we would navigate
14	through what we're in now. Thank you.
15	CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you, Ms. Brabham.
16	Any other public comments?
17	THE CLERK: There's no more public comments,
18	Madam Chair.
19	CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.
20	Any other comments or questions by the
21	Commission?
22	Madam Clerk.
23	THE CLERK: Commissioner McCoy.
24	COMMISSIONER McCOY: No.
25	THE CLERK: Commissioner Lanier.

1	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes.
2	THE CLERK: Commissioner Miller-Anderson.
3	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Yes.
4	THE CLERK: Vice Chair Lawson.
5	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Yes.
6	THE CLERK: Chair Botel.
7	CHAIR BOTEL: Yes.
8	THE CLERK: That motion carries, with
9	Commissioner McCoy dissenting.
10	CHAIR BOTEL: Item number mine are
11	numbered differently.
12	THE CLERK: Item number 11, a resolution of
13	the Board of Commissioners of the Riviera Beach
14	Community Redevelopment Agency authorizing the
15	implementation of round four, fiscal year 2020-2021,
16	Commercial Property Improvement Grant Incentive Program
17	and the Commercial Beautification Program in accordance
18	with the approved budget of \$250,000, directing and
19	authorizing the Chairman and Executive Director to take
20	such actions as shall be necessary and consistent to
21	carry out the intent and desire of the agency,
22	providing an effective date.
23	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: So moved.
24	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Second.
25	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Second.

1 Do we have any public comment CHAIR BOTEL: 2 cards? 3 THE CLERK: We do, Madam Chair. 4 CHAIR BOTEL: We do, okay. Let's hear the 5 presentation and then the public comment. 6 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: 7 Chair, this item is to authorize staff to proceed 8 forward with the program, the Grant Incentive Program 9 with regards to commercial beautification in the amount 10 of \$250,000. Based on the previous action that the 11 Board took modifying the scoring matrix, that will be 12 the matrix and evaluation criteria that would be 13 utilized in this particular phase. And so staff is 14 requesting authorization to proceed, and Mr. Lewis has 15 a brief presentation concerning this item. 16 CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. 17 MR. LEWIS: So how we doing again, 18 Commissioners? 19 So a little bit of an update. So February 20 17th of 2020 we opened the opening of application 21 acceptance for the period of round three. It was --22 round three was opened up on February 17th of 2020. 23 Sorry. April 15th of 2020, the deadline for 24 submission, was the deadline for all submissions for 25 round three. July of 2020, Busch Canvas was approved

to be the number one ranked applicant. And fiscal year 2021, the commercial grant budget was approved in the amount of 250,000.

So this is a proposed implementation timeline. With the acceptance or approval from the Board, December 8th of this year the applicant acceptance period would be open. January 7th of 2020 will be our first technical assistance session.

January 21st will be deemed our second technical assistance session. And we try to stagger it. We try to have one, one session that will be offered in the evenings where to give opportunities for businesses, business owners that couldn't come during regular business hours to come, come by. And the second one is usually offered in midday sometime to give those other applicants an opportunity to come and get some technical assistance.

February 25th of 2021 will be our deadline for submissions, and March 2021 will be the proposed submission to the Board, asking you for approval for the applicants that we're bringing forward.

The proposed evaluation team, which was spoken about earlier, the CRA Board member recommendation, it would be an appointed representative, and we talked about it being a district

1 rotation. Myself, Andre Lewis, our Planning Director, 2 Scott Evans, and the Director of Neighborhood Services, 3 Annetta Jenkins, will be all on the evaluation team. 4 So we are asking -- staff is recommending 5 approval for the Commercial Grant Incentive Program for 6 round four, and at this time I'll take any questions. 7 Excuse me, Madam Chair. THE CLERK: 8 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Mr. Lewis. 9 MR. LEWIS: Yes. 10 COMMISSIONER McCOY: So I guess I'm trying to 11 figure out. This has been approved already by, the 12 \$250,000, by the adoption of the budget. You're asking 13 us to give you the go-ahead to start the process based 14 on those timelines? 15 MR. LEWIS: Yes. 16 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Okay, but we've never 17 done that before. Once we authorize the budget, you 18 guys go ahead and present it and you come back with the 19 completed applications for us to make the final 20 approval. So at what point do we get involved every 21 step of the way? Do we tell you here's the money, 22 we're going to make another resolution to start the 23 application process, and then wanting to come back and 24 then confirm those that were selected? 25 I believe usually that is the MR. LEWIS:

1 process --2 COMMISSIONER McCOY: That's not usually the 3 We didn't do that last year, sir. 4 MR. LEWIS: Okay, okay. 5 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Once we authorize it, you guys just go ahead. So please tell me it's the new 6 7 implementation of the Board members, but that's not 8 what's stated here in the resolution. But it seems 9 like somebody's making more bureaucracy out of this 10 than a little bit. 11 We just spent the last hour and a half 12 talking about the same exact thing, Commercial Grant 13 Incentive. So you're bringing us back a resolution to 14 say we're going to get started on what the Board 15 already approved, and then when we come up with those 16 list of applicants, you got to now back vote on it 17 I mean I don't know how much comments we have, again. 18 but I'm just like, you know, I don't understand the 19 So that's all I have. point. 20 CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. 21 Mr. Evans. 22 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: 23 Chair, in my conversations with the staff, this has 24 been the process that has, the CRA has followed, in

addition to it speaks to the previous conversation that

25

we had that in some cases, projects and initiatives such as this have been placed on hold. So before we would go ahead and authorize and move through the administrative aspects associated with this, we want to make sure that the Board is still in favor of moving in such a direction. So that's why this item is before you this evening.

CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.

I'd like us to hear that public comment, and then we'll continue our discussion.

THE CLERK: Ms. Mary Brabham.

MS. BRABHAM: Oh, gee, I'm in-house tonight.

Hi. Mary Brabham, Riviera Beach.

This incentive here, getting back to the \$250,000, this is exactly what I've said, the policymakers. And we have to move some things that are in this category here in order to finish the things that we need to do. Perhaps this will give you, as a directing Board, a better navigation with your new CRA, whichever direction that you all would deem necessary for this Board to go. I look at this as being a fresh new start for you all.

And even though it is cleanup work, because the Board that was there, the Board that was previous there and previous there, so you all are getting all of

this tail end stuff here that you're going to have to deal with it one way or the other. Perhaps it does, some of it doesn't make sense, but blame the people that held these seats before for not moving on the things that they should have been moving on.

But now you all have a chance to make that correction, do some clarity and to move things the way that they should be moved. Time out (inaudible) is the blame game. We're in this moment here, so let's navigate.

When we give this money to the commercial business industries, let's make sure too that the paint that they're going to use be that sustainable paint that hold up, that these buildings here would not chip, because sometimes these businesses get that money there, and they just buy something, because I know it's (inaudible) for painting and minor landscaping that does not require a matching grant fund.

So it has been said that this is our money, so we want the money used wisely. So if any business that receive anything, make sure that it's not piecemeal, because so many times we can ride all over this city here, and everything has been piecemeal, piecemeal, and we're constantly coming back to address a lot of things that should have been taken care of.

1 So now we have that chance, as well as the 2 opportunity, as residents, as a community, as a city 3 and as policymakers and as management, we have this 4 chance here. We blew a lot of chances, and those are 5 So what we have to work with now, we should work 6 with what is at hand now so that we can be those 7 achievers and do some of the things that we need to do, 8 not what we want to do, because that's what has gotten 9 us where we are now. Nothing from nothing leaves 10 nothing. Thank you. 11 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Madam Chair. 12 CHAIR BOTEL: You're recognized. 13 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Thank you. 14 Mr. Lewis, the district rotation, with adding 15 the representative, how many more phases of this 16 project are we planning on doing for the Commercial 17 Grant Incentive? 18 MR. LEWIS: Could you repeat that? Ι 19 apologize. 20 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: How many more phases are 21 there in the Commercial Grant Incentive Program? Have 22 we allocated any funds for additional phases? 23 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: Madam 24 Chair, if I may answer that question. 25 It is obviously staff's desire to move

forward with subsequent phases, but we do not have any additional phases planned out. That is a subject of the budget appropriation process that we annually go through. But staff will be requesting additional moneys to keep the program going.

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: So the representative from the Board is going to be on the inaugural phase of reviewing these applications, and then based upon what's built out now, will determine if the Council decides to keep building, keep growing it, okay.

And then are we looking for an appointment of that representative tonight or just an acceptance of the resolution, because I just want to be clear what we're voting on tonight.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: The desire for us tonight is to effectively move forward with the resolution to move forward with the program. But also, if the Board would be so inclined to have a member serve in the capacity so when we go through the selection process, we don't have to bring a subsequent item for you to take action to appoint that member.

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Okay. Colleagues, if I may, I would not mind sitting on the Board for the initial phase to assist with this program. So I'll volunteer myself, if that would be the pleasure of the

1	Board.
2	CHAIR BOTEL: Do we have to modify the motion
3	to incorporate that?
4	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)
5	CHAIR BOTEL: Okay, so let's vote. Are there
6	any other comments on this, on the original motion?
7	Madam Clerk.
8	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: What are we
9	voting on? Which part are we voting on? What are we
10	voting on?
11	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Resolution.
12	CHAIR BOTEL: The original.
13	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: The original?
14	CHAIR BOTEL: The original resolution.
15	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Okay.
16	THE CLERK: Commissioner McCoy.
17	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Yes.
18	THE CLERK: Commissioner Lanier.
19	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes.
20	THE CLERK: Commissioner Miller-Anderson.
21	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Yes.
22	THE CLERK: Vice Chair Lawson.
23	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Yes.
24	THE CLERK: Chair Botel.
25	CHAIR BOTEL: Yes.

1	THE CLERK: That motion carries.
2	CHAIR BOTEL: And now we need a motion to
3	appoint Commissioner Lawson as the representative. Do
4	you want to make that motion?
5	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: A motion to act as the
6	representative for the Commercial Grant Program for the
7	City Council or for the City Commission the
8	CRA
9	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Second.
10	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Commission.
11	CHAIR BOTEL: Do we have a second?
12	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Second.
13	CHAIR BOTEL: Any other discussion?
14	Madam Clerk.
15	THE CLERK: Commissioner McCoy.
16	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Yes.
17	THE CLERK: Commissioner Lanier.
18	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes.
19	THE CLERK: Commissioner Miller-Anderson.
20	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Yes.
21	THE CLERK: Vice Chair Lawson.
22	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Yes.
23	THE CLERK: Chair Botel.
24	CHAIR BOTEL: Yes.
25	THE CLERK: That motion carries.

1	CHAIR BOTEL: Item number 12.
2	THE CLERK: Item number 12, a resolution of
3	the Board of Directors of the Rivera Beach Community
4	Redevelopment Agency approving modifications to the
5	adopted Neighborhood Incentives Procedures Manual,
6	providing an effective date.
7	CHAIR BOTEL: Do we have any public comment
8	cards?
9	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: So moved.
10	THE CLERK: We have no public comment cards,
11	Madam Chair.
12	CHAIR BOTEL: Do we have a second?
13	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Second.
14	CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.
15	Do we have a presentation?
16	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: Madam
17	Chair, members of the Board, if I can have the Director
18	of Neighborhood Services, Ms. Annetta Jenkins, make
19	this presentation.
20	CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.
21	MS. JENKINS: Good evening, Chair Botel and
22	Commissioners.
23	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Can't hear
24	her.
25	CHAIR BOTEL: Could we get a little more

1 volume on that? Could we get a little more volume, 2 Walter, on Ms. Jenkins? It's really hard to hear. 3 MS. JENKINS: Is this better? 4 CHAIR BOTEL: Not really. 5 MS. JENKINS: I'm sorry. Let me turn it up a 6 little bit more. Is this better? 7 CHAIR BOTEL: I can hear you, but I'm not 8 sure anyone listening from home can hear you. 9 MS. JENKINS: Okay. I'm on the computer. 10 you can --11 CHAIR BOTEL: That's better, that's better. 12 MS. JENKINS: Okay, Walter did something, 13 okav. Thank you. 14 Staff is here asking --15 CHAIR BOTEL: You seem to be breaking up, 16 Annetta. 17 MS. JENKINS: I'm not sure what's happening 18 with the audio. There is feedback. Can you hear me? 19 MR. STEPHENS: She may be watching on TV --20 CHAIR BOTEL: Are you watching on TV at the 21 same time that you're speaking, and if so, could you 22 turn your volume down or off on the TV? Try again, 23 Annetta. 24 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Yes, Madam Chair, but is 25 somebody else able to make this --

```
1
              CHAIR BOTEL: Well, let's just see if she's
2
    able to try again.
3
              COMMISSIONER McCOY: We spend valuable
4
    meeting time trying to resolve technical difficulties.
5
    My question is --
6
              CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. McCoy, give it three
7
    seconds, please.
8
              COMMISSIONER McCOY: -- presentation.
9
              CHAIR BOTEL: Annetta, would you please try
10
    again.
11
              MS. JENKINS: Commissioners, I am going to
12
    call in.
              I apologize. If you just give me one minute,
13
    I'm just going to try and call in.
14
              COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: It's fine.
15
              CHAIR BOTEL:
                            We can hear you now just fine.
16
              MS. JENKINS:
                           Okay.
17
              CHAIR BOTEL: Annetta, go ahead.
18
              MS. JENKINS: Well, I'll --
19
              CHAIR BOTEL: Go ahead, proceed.
20
              MS. JENKINS: I'm here asking for -- staff is
21
    here requesting approval to modify our existing
22
    Neighborhood Incentives Program. Pursuant to our CRA
23
    Plan, as modified, that plan suggests strategies for
24
    neighborhood infill and reclamation of neighborhoods to
25
    assist with revitalizing our CRA neighborhoods.
```

In 2013 the Board passed a resolution, adopting a set of neighborhood initiatives procedures in a manual that was subsequently updated in February 2015 and most lately, February of 2017. Those incentives included programs for residential rehabilitation, residential acquisition, ad valorem tax subsidy, et cetera, that will help us in creating incentive programs.

Our existing neighborhood incentives tool kit includes our Single Family Housing Beautification

Program, and you may know it formally as the House of Distinction Program. Our Properties of Distinction

Program, our Neighborhood Safety Initiatives, our Demolition/Replacement Housing Program, our Major Rehabilitation/Restoration Program, our Acquisition/

Reconstruction Program and our Emergency Repair Program.

And tonight we are asking for your approval to modify those programs and add one new initiative so that we might move ahead with strategies that you suggested during our budget presentation program.

In your backup you'll see that we've made some modifications to our Single Family Housing

Beautification Program that we are asking that it now be known as the Neighborhood Reclaim Program. We are

also adding changes to our Acquisition and
Reconstruction Program that will be known as the
Riviera Beach Renaissance Program. We're adding a
section for special initiatives, and the other programs
will remain as is. So I'd like to go over the major
changes, and then see if there are any comments on
that.

Under our Neighborhood Reclaim Program, this will provide funding through our CRA to eligible single family, and that means one unit homeowners, to make exterior improvements to their property. Eligible improvements include fence replacement, painting, irrigation, landscaping, weatherization, which would be windows and doors, roof repair or replacement, driveway repair and other minor exterior repairs to improve CRA identified key homes within our area. As always, we will give priority to improvements that are necessary to correct health, safety and code violations.

The other program undergoing some changes would be our Riviera Beach Renaissance Program. The Rivera Beach Renaissance Program will provide down payment and housing rehabilitation assistance to first time home buyers purchasing single family homes within the community redevelopment area. As part of the program, home buyers can make exterior and interior

violations.

improvements to their homes. Eligible improvements
include painting, kitchens, bathrooms, plumbing,
landscaping, weatherization, air-conditioning or
heating, roof repair/replacement and other repairs
necessary to correct health, safety and code

Applicants will be selected on a first come, first ready, first serve basis. As part of the Riviera Beach Renaissance Program, the CRA will also acquire derelict properties and vacant land for demolition and reconstruction, for development of new construction homes for purchase by first time home buyers.

Properties and vacant land must be located within the CRA. If a buyer is not a first time home buyer, the buyer must live in the house as their primary residence.

One section of these initiatives that we'd like to propose is something we call special initiatives. And under this category, it will give us a little flexibility to create some very specific iterations of our housing program delivery. The CRA will acquire vacant, derelict, donated properties to be developed in partnership with joint venture partners like the Riviera Beach CDC and others pursuant to a negotiated development agreement that meets the goals

of the CRA.

Additionally, the CRA may contribute project and subsidy funds to be used for development and purchase subsidy. All attempts will be made to leverage these funds. So properties, as all the others, must be in the CRA, and if a buyer is not a first time home buyer, the buyer must live in the house as their primary residence.

In the backup we've included detail about the application process, the requirements for contractors, for inspections, about the income requirements depending upon the particular program. And I'd like to turn your attention to some of the underwriting guidelines.

If you have your book in front of you, if you turn to page 32, you'll see that there's a further description of the Neighborhood Reclaim Program where income is not a requirement. However, owner occupancy is a requirement of this assistance. The unit type must be a single family home, and we show that it's still a five year forgivable loan, reduced by 20 percent each year for that program. And in our budget process, \$300,000 was approved during our budget allocation process. We predict that we'll be able to impact 10 to 12 properties.

approval in this set of incentives is to allow us to increase the maximum funding up to \$35,000 per property. For the life of this program, the maximum has been \$20,000 per home, and we're finding that with today's construction costs, and most of our homes having code violations or requiring roofs or windows, driveway repairs, it exceeds the amount of the grant. And we'd like to be able to (inaudible), only where needed, some of the most code impacted properties that are affecting the amenities within our communities to be able to bring them up to code and resolve code violations.

Then the other change that (audio disruption). I'm sorry? Was that -- okay.

The other change under the Riviera Beach
Renaissance Program, we're thinking that we'll impact
up to 15 to 20 households. And in the budget process,
\$400,000 was approved. This would allow maximum
funding up to 35,000 per property based on a sliding
scale. And we will be using an area median income
scale for down payment, closing costs and
rehabilitation assistance.

The income requirements would allow us to go up to 120 percent of AMI, and for a family of four,

that is approximately 85, \$90,000. Again, it will be owner occupied. Priority will be given to homes with documented health, safety and code violations. And we would propose to place a 25 year mortgage on the property, with a restrictive covenant for 25 years, forgivable at a rate of five percent a year after the first five years. And then there are other underwriting criteria that are included in your book on page 39 and 40.

The last change would be for the Special Initiatives Program, which in the budget process, \$925,000 was set aside, and we think we'll be able to impact 10 to 15 households. As explained in our budget presentation, this Special Initiatives Program would be coupled with our 11th Street Townhome Project where income categories would allow us to go up to 150 percent AMI.

The maximum funding per unit would average out at 100,000 per unit for development and home buyer subsidy. It would be owner occupied. The unit type would be mixed use, mixed type housing, with ownership as the goal. And again, the funding terms would be a 25 year forgivable mortgage at a rate of five percent a year after the first five years, with a 25 year restrictive covenant. And other underwriting criteria

1 | are included.

And with that, we ask for your support and your approval for us to adopt these changes in the Neighborhood Incentives Program. And I'll stop and take any questions.

CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. Any questions?
Mr. McCoy, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Thank you, Madam Chair.

So Ms. Jenkins, where exactly are you referring to this 25 year restrictive covenant, because I'm going through the manual, and I didn't see that.

And I'm under the belief that what you're suggesting is a new provision. And this is a 44 page document. Can you point to where exactly --

MS. JENKINS: In one instance, if you look at page 41, and I'm hoping that yours printed out exactly as mine did, but on page 41 of the document, under Funding Terms, where it says the mortgage, restrictive covenant, the interest rate, down payment, et cetera, and it's there.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: I see it, thank you.

But I guess I don't know if any member on this body has seen this before. But the first thing that came to mind is I thought, Ms. Jenkins, Reclaim was a program of the CDC. Is that now being brought under the

1 | umbrella of the CRA?

MS. JENKINS: No. The Neighborhood Reclaim is on a different page. It doesn't have a --

COMMISSIONER McCOY: Just generally speaking, the application that I've had individuals to complete for the Reclaim was submitted to the CDC.

MS. JENKINS: Yes, sir, the Reclaim Program is a partnership between the City, the CRA and the CDC, and the Reentry Program is part of that partnership.

The houses we did last year all came to the CDC because --

COMMISSIONER McCOY: I reclaim my time; I reclaim my time. I just wanted to ask a question.

MS. JENKINS: I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER McCOY: So here's what I don't understand. You know, I'm looking at this two days ago for the first time, and when I got through it, I thought this was a very small change. Members, if I can get your attention to this proposed procedures manual, I literally only believed that we had new language which was contained underlined. Now you're referencing new provisions that's in line on page 41? The only changes that I seen that were underlined, which would indicate new language, is those that's in the initial beginning.

I mean I don't understand how you would have expected or suggested that we should have known this 25 year covenant was new. Even the memo didn't even speak to that. So basically, I got a halfway edited document, and you're citing page 41. There's no underlined language on page 41. I thought that was already existing.

And I'm not exactly sure that I want anybody to be able to get any grant funding from the organization and now have their deed tied up for the next 25 years. That's completely unreasonable.

Perhaps if this was their first mortgage, maybe. But just for the purpose of getting a neighborhood grant, you're going to tie up someone's property for 25 years?

I know the County does something similar, but this doesn't sound like something I -- first of all, it's not proper in its form. When you're going to bring us an update, let us know what the old language is compared to the new language. That in itself, as lawmakers, we should be requiring, because we don't have the ability to go through hundreds of pages to find out what's new and what's different.

And quite honestly, I didn't even know this manual existed before this meeting started. And if you're telling me page 41 contains new language, I need

1 to know where we were before, because that -- I'm not 2 even satisfied and I'm more confused as to where we are 3 and where we're going, because this doesn't provide any 4 more clarity in this half-baked document, if you ask 5 me. 6 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Madam Chair. 7 CHAIR BOTEL: You're recognized, Commissioner 8 Lanier. 9 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes, I just have one 10 question too. I did go through the document that was 11 submitted, but the question I have is that why 12 forgivable loans for people or (inaudible) of income? 13 I think that the forgivable loan should be based on 14 need and not because of anything else. Everything 15 that -- if we're talking about a forgivable loan, it 16 should be based on need. That's it. 17 CHAIR BOTEL: Any other questions? 18 Do you want to answer that, Commissioner --19 She doesn't answer mine? COMMISSIONER McCOY: 2.0 CHAIR BOTEL: -- Ms. Jenkins? 21 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Will she get a chance to 22 respond to my question? 23 CHAIR BOTEL: Could you answer both, 24 Ms. Jenkins? 25 The document is not a MS. JENKINS: Okay.

black line document. In the memo it just points to some of the title changes and to be able to allow you to be able to follow it, if, you know, if you were familiar with the former document. We only changed titles. And it does say that we've added or modified several programs in the explanation in the memo.

One of the programs, the page that you're referring to is a totally new section, which that's pointed out in the cover memo. So we were not attempting to hide anything, but it's laid out there. All we've given you, we've given you the guidelines. I included the application because we get a lot of questions about the application process. And we also included the underwriting guidelines for each program for you to see there.

To answer the second set of questions about the requirements for the beautification program, which is the program that people are familiar with because we give up to \$20,000, a forgivable loan is a term where there is no interest rate. There is a restrictive covenant, and it's forgivable as long as the people stay in the house, use it as their primary residence. So there's no payment.

In order to protect the fiscal investment by local government, these types of tools are used all the

1 time, because otherwise, you will provide money and 2 there is no quarantee that you would receive the 3 benefit. And one of the primary benefits is to not 4 only correct code violations, but improve the overall 5 housing stock and property appearance in our community. 6 COMMISSIONER LANIER: And I agree with that, 7 I do agree with that, Ms. Jenkins, but I think that 25 8 is too long. I think that most municipalities and most 9 counties do ten. So all I'm trying to make sure of is 10 that we -- and I'm going to piggyback off of 11 Commissioner McCoy -- is that 25 is just too long. And 12 we can look at ten years, which is what most 13 municipalities and what most counties do, is ten years. 14 And I also want to say again is that, you know, if 15 we're doing forgivable loans, it should be based on 16 need alone. 17 MS. JENKINS: I appreciate that, 18 Commissioner. The programs are on a sliding scale 19 based on the amount of money. For instance, the 20 beautification program is only five years. We're 21 proposing the 25 years. And the County, for federal 22 programs, are 30 years. There are a few that are still 23 around at 20 years, and we split the difference at 24 25 years. For the amount of money that would be 25 invested in the property and the unit, not only for

development of infrastructure, the land, but also the vertical improvements, we felt, staff felt that that term was necessary to get the benefit for our community. Ten years --

COMMISSIONER LANIER: And you know what, I like that, you know, the fact that we're trying to make sure that our money is secure. But this is a lot. I mean when I'm going through this document, this is like 40 pages.

And if I may in terms of my colleagues, if we could put this off to the next meeting, to be able to go through this document, to be able to look at the forgivable loans piece of it, to be able to look at the 25, the 10, the 20 year piece of it, to look at all of this, I would rather be able to -- and to be honest now, I looked at this a day or two ago, and I went through most of it.

But it's a lot to go through, because I understand Ms. Jenkins' position. Her position is that we're going to put money out there, and we need to be able to (audio disruption) and to be able to -- just anybody who wanted to come and take advantage of what is on the table here.

But given that, and (audio disruption) especially talk to Ms. Jenkins, you know, and the CRA

1	Director and to make sure that this is what we want for				
2	the CRA District and for the City, because this is a				
3	lot of information. This is almost 40 pages.				
4	CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you, Commissioner.				
5	COMMISSIONER LANIER: And I just want to be				
6	able to make sure that this is something that, number				
7	one, we could secure our money, and number two, that we				
8	can be able to make sure that we don't tie people up				
9	because they want to buy a house.				
10	CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.				
11	Commissioner Miller-Anderson, you're				
12	recognized.				
13	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: I mean I agree				
14	with Commissioner Lanier, and I do think that with all				
15	of these questions and with this really getting so				
16	involved, this is something that we probably need to				
17	put for our next meeting. But before that happens,				
18	we're talking about 25 years. When does the CRA				
19	expire?				
20	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Thirty-nine; 39 years.				
21	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: 2039?				
22	COMMISSIONER McCOY: No, no, 39 years.				
23	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: Nineteen				
24	years.				
25	MR. HAYGOOD: 2039.				

1 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: 2039. That's 2 19 years from now, right? 3 COMMISSIONER McCOY: 4 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: So we're 5 talking about giving forgivable loans for 25 years? 6 just, you know, I just think that, first of all, I 7 think we need to impact more homes. You know, instead 8 of 10 to 12 homes, we have many more that need some 9 sort of improvement. So, you know, when we're doing 10 this, I would like to see more people being impacted, 11 number one. 12 Number two, one of these items, it talked 13 about five years, and I think for that amount of money, 14 it should be at least ten years. I know the County, 15 when they did theirs, for 25,000 you get tied up for 16 ten years. 17 I just, you know, I really would like to have 18 a little more conversation. I mean it's 10:11, and I 19 don't think we're going to finish this up any time soon 20 because we have a lot of questions and a lot of 21 concerns that need to be addressed. 22 I certainly support all of the programs, but 23 I think there's a lot -- we're talking about a lot of 24 money right now, and we really need to have time to get 25

into the meat of this and discuss all of these programs

1 at length and the amount of time, I mean the amount of 2 years we're talking about applying to these forgivable 3 So, you know, I'm certainly in favor of making a motion to postpone this to the next meeting. 4 5 CHAIR BOTEL: I'm hearing that we -- I'm 6 hearing consensus around wanting to have more time to 7 ask more questions about this, and I think we should 8 entertain a motion to postpone to the next meeting. 9 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Yes, but when 10 we do that though, to make sure we don't have a whole 11 lot of items to go along with it, because this, I mean 12 this is really very, very important. And perhaps if we 13 can get with, you know, individually meet with --14 CHAIR BOTEL: Have individual meetings 15 with --16 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: -- Mr. Evans 17 to kind of get our ideas in, maybe that may help as 18 well. 19 Mr. McCoy, you're recognized. CHAIR BOTEL: 20 COMMISSIONER McCOY: That's fine, and I'm 21 okay with that, but I would like to see, before we even 22 talk about those, I don't understand what is new 23 proposed language. Page three and four starts off with 24 underlined language, which would suggest or indicate

that that's newly proposed language.

25

But I'm down to page 37 and 38, and I just don't even want to believe that I'm looking at something that provides for us to give some sort of grant incentive and then the payment's going to a balloon payment after 15 years. You know what, I have no idea what this even came from, but we're not about to vote on something that, clearly, we don't even have any understanding of what we were before and what's being proposed, and even still a balloon payment?

You know, this is Riviera Beach. The whole purpose of us doing this is because we're helping residents and we're trying to revitalize our area. I'm not trying to turn into loan sharks here, you know, or be -- and it almost seems predatory to -- if you say the word balloon payment, that's exactly what that's associated with. And I'm almost embarrassed to have even seen that inside of a document, and I can't even tell whether or not that was already existing or is that something new.

But I would like to see where we were before, because I didn't even know until two days ago that this manual existed. So please give me the existing manual, and then show me what's the new proposed changes. But in any event, I'm not in support of it.

CHAIR BOTEL: It sounds to me, Mr. Evans, if

1	I could recommend that Ms. Jenkins perhaps schedule			
2	meetings with individual Commissioners because there			
3	are so many questions. And rather than have another			
4	evening where we belabor this, it might behoove us to			
5	have some of these questions answered in advance of the			
6	next meeting so			
7	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: That's my			
8	whole thing			
9	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes, so moved. I			
10	agree.			
11	CHAIR BOTEL: That might be a good solution.			
12	Do we need to withdraw the motion? Could we who			
13	made I forget who made it.			
14	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Move to temporarily			
15	postpone.			
16	CHAIR BOTEL: Okay.			
17	THE CLERK: Commissioner Miller-Anderson.			
18	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: I second. I			
19	mean I made the motion, so			
20	CHAIR BOTEL: Okay. Mr. McCoy made a motion			
21	to temporarily postpone. Did you second?			
22	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: I made the			
23	motion a few minutes ago, but yes.			
24	CHAIR BOTEL: Okay, so we have to we're			
25	going to vote on postponing.			

1 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: 2 Chair, if I may, is the postponement to a date certain, 3 or is it --4 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: I initially 5 said the next meeting. I mean --6 CHAIR BOTEL: Yes, I think we can -- if we 7 can get some clarification on the changes that 8 Mr. McCoy would like to see happen, and if we can have 9 some, even if they're virtual meetings with Annetta 10 Jenkins so that we're all clear on what the changes are 11 and we can all have our say about what we think should 12 happen, I think that can be accomplished. 13 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Well, he's 14 talking about when to bring it to the agenda. 15 next, very next meeting is December 9th we said, right? 16 Yes, December 9th. CHAIR BOTEL: 17 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: The December 18 9th one, Mr. McCoy? 19 COMMISSIONER McCOY: I was saying temporarily 20 postpone. I was hoping that we weren't going to even 21 take it back up this year because --22 COMMISSIONER LANIER: No, and I agree with 23 McCoy. We just temporarily postpone it. 24 CHAIR BOTEL: Commissioner Lanier, could you 25 please ask to be recognized before you speak?

1 COMMISSIONER LANIER: I'm sorry, I'm sorry; 2 yes, ma'am. 3 CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. McCoy, could you finish 4 your thought. 5 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Just indefinitely, 6 because I don't want to make a time because, you know, 7 we don't know what's going to happen at the next 8 meeting, and then I'm understanding there may 9 potentially -- and, you know, I don't want to -- first 10 of all, I'm not -- I would hope there's not more than 11 one meeting in the month of December for the CRA, 12 right? 13 CHAIR BOTEL: I think --14 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: Madam 15 Chair, your meeting on the 9th is -- the first part of 16 the meeting is a joint meeting with the Town of Lake 17 Park, and then the second half of that meeting is 18 the --19 CHAIR BOTEL: So we can't take this up on the 20 9th then. 21 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: So, yes, 22 it would be something that we would look to do at your 23 \_\_\_ 24 COMMISSIONER McCOY: I think we could 25 probably have more time after the new year. But to try

1 to have two meetings in December would be kind of rough if --3 So we're postponing it till --CHAIR BOTEL: 4 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Just indefinitely. 5 CHAIR BOTEL: Indefinitely. And we'll figure 6 out when to take it up. Is there any urgency about 7 this? EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: I would 8 9 just like, when we postpone it indefinitely, there's 10 staff work associated with it, so if it gets pulled off 11 the table, we need to know exactly when the lead 12 time -- if it's going to be out there in limbo for a 13 significant amount of time, then, you know, certainly 14 we want to make sure that we accomplish and answer the 15 questions the Board may have as opposed to something 16 getting pulled off the table and then we're not 17 prepared to be able to answer those questions. So 18 that's the only --19 CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. McCoy, would you entertain 20 postponing it until January? 21 COMMISSIONER McCOY: Yes. 22 CHAIR BOTEL: Okay. The motion is to 23 postpone until January. Madam Clerk. 24 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Second. 25 THE CLERK: Commissioner McCoy.

1	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Yes.		
2	THE CLERK: Commissioner Miller-Anderson.		
3	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Yes.		
4	THE CLERK: Commissioner Lanier.		
5	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes.		
6	THE CLERK: Vice Chair Lawson.		
7	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Yes.		
8	THE CLERK: Chair Botel.		
9	CHAIR BOTEL: Yes.		
10	THE CLERK: That motion carries.		
11	CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. Do we have any		
12	we don't have any presentations. Report of the		
13	Executive Director.		
14	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: Yes,		
15	Madam Chair, very briefly. There will be information		
16	that will be coming out at the first part of next week		
17	with regards to our Reimagine Riviera Beach		
18	Neighborhood Cleanup.		
19	We will be going through each of the		
20	districts throughout the city and deploying roughly		
21	about 100 people, staff, personnel from both the City		
22	and CRA and volunteers to go into the neighborhoods and		
23	clean up trash, waste, debris, address code compliance		
24	related issues, pressure wash sidewalks, sweep streets,		
25	assist residents in getting items that may be in their		

backyard to the front yard for the purposes of discarding those items through Waste Management.

So information will be coming out on that particular program shortly. We will be starting in primarily the Park Manor neighborhood and the CRA District first, and then we will move forward in other districts throughout the city until we address all the city. We're looking to do this roughly about every month, our initial program to do the initial cleanup.

And then we'll be bringing forward some items for the Board to consider, or actually for the City to consider, a beta test program for a rollout of an Ambassador Light program. We call it Ambassador Light. So we're going to be sharing that information with the City Council and having similar services that the Ambassadors are providing.

So just stay tuned for the community for that information. And we're going to place information out in the community the first part of Monday, because I believe the cleanup activities take place Thursday and Friday, if I'm not mistaken. But we will be putting that information out, and then subsequent cleanups, and we'll be working with the district Councilperson/
Commissioner/Board member with regards to the cleanup activities and the dates and times in which those

1	activities will occur.			
2	And that concludes my comments, Madam Chair.			
3	CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.			
4	Report from General Counsel.			
5	MR. HAYGOOD: I have no comments.			
6	CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.			
7	Reports from discussion by the Board,			
8	starting with Mr. McCoy.			
9	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Nothing.			
10	CHAIR BOTEL: Mr. Lawson.			
11	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Mr. Evans or Mr. Evans,			
12	the item in reference to the engineering RFP that was			
13	put out for Avenue E, is that something that we did at			
14	one time? Did we approve that? What was the status of			
15	that?			
16	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: The CRA			
17	did have a consultant, KCI, that did a series of			
18	community charette meetings and discussions with			
19	regards to the future planning for Avenue E.			
20	And Mr. Evans, when do we anticipate the			
21	report being able to come back before this Board for			
22	updating the Board on the impact and the conversations			
23	that ensued as a result of that?			
24	MR. SCOTT EVANS: It can be ready in January.			
25	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: So that report is going			

to tell us what come January?

MR. SCOTT EVANS: That is a finalization of the plan for Avenue E, all the streetscapes, the proposed property redevelopment initiatives. There's also built into it is the public comment that was received and how it's been incorporated into the plans for Avenue E, as well as identifying some of the future other improvements that can be made in the roadway, from decorative lighting, to sidewalks, bike lanes and the infrastructure underneath the road.

VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Now, colleagues, as I remember, the motion that was made earlier by

Councilwoman Lanier was to address Avenue E. But based upon this engineering contract, I believe that we would want to wait till this comes back in January to address the concerns and the development and the direction of Avenue E, where it is a priority, but we've already put things in place. So that was my dissenting vote, because I know that we had a contract that we already put out and we were going to move forward with. So that was my feedback from waiting till January or whenever this proposal came back before the table from KCI.

CHAIR BOTEL: Yes, I don't believe that Commissioner Lanier's motion was to take it up

1 necessarily immediately to do something. 2 recognizes that we have something coming before us in 3 January, and I think her intention was just to say to 4 the community this is something that we intend to focus 5 on, we are not going to let this fall through the 6 And so I agree we're going to, we're certainly 7 going to --8 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Do agree. 9 CHAIR BOTEL: We're certainly going to move 10 forward as soon as we have the information from the 11 engineers that we've engaged to do this work. 12 Is there anything else, Mr. Lawson? 13 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Yes, Madam Chair. 14 And from my understanding, the motion that 15 was made was to bring a budget to the next meeting. Τf 16 I understand correctly, that's what the motion was made 17 by Councilwoman Lanier. So instead of wasting our 18 hours at the next meeting addressing Avenue E, I would 19 recommend we address that motion or --20 CHAIR BOTEL: Yes, thank you. I don't think 21 there was going to be anything premature. Anything 22 else, Mr. Lawson? 23 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Absolutely, Madam Chair, 24 thank you. 25 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JONATHAN EVANS: Madam

Madam Chair, I

1 Chair, if I may, in order to ensure that we accomplish 2 what was articulated by Commissioner Lanier, under the 3 City Manager -- or the CRA Director's report I will 4 just have a memorandum attached, and I won't present on 5 You'll just have it for the official record as far 6 as what the plan is and that we're going to bring a 7 more robust and the anticipated cost for the roadway. 8 CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you. Anything else, 9 Mr. Lawson? 10 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes. 11 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Yes, Madam Chair. 12 Previously requested for discussion and 13 deliberation of contract and salary with our Executive 14 Director. Mr. Evans has been our Interim Director for, 15 I believe, two months now and our Interim since our 16 last CRA meeting. Are we going to have on the agenda, 17 the next agenda, which seems like a lengthy agenda, a 18 discussion item about this, or are we going to continue 19 to have Mr. Evans working in this position without 20 having finalized or formulated his position? 21 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Madam Chair. 22 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: I think that was more so 23 to the colleagues as to how do we want to approach with 24 our Executive Director. 25

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON:

1 think Ms. Lanier said that she wanted to see -- she was 2 going to make a motion? I thought I heard something 3 like that she mentioned when she spoke. She said 4 something about the next meeting when we had the 5 conversation about the next meeting. So I was --6 COMMISSIONER LANIER: You are correct. 7 COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: -- and during 8 my comments, if no one had made any motion to do that, 9 I was going to suggest we put that on there. 10 we're here at 10:24, so that is one I think that we all 11 know needs to be a priority. So I certainly am in 12 support of making sure that it is on the next agenda. 13 CHAIR BOTEL: Yes, I think --14 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes, that's correct. CHAIR BOTEL: -- I referred to Mr. Evans to 15 16 alert him to the fact that we wanted it to be on the 17 next agenda. That was my intention, because I heard 18 that there was consensus around that. 19 Thank you. Appreciate it. So Mr. Evans? 2.0 Anything else Mr. Lawson? 21 VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Thank you, Madam Chair. 22 CHAIR BOTEL: Commissioner Lanier, any 23 comments? 24 COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes, I have just a 25 couple of things. The first thing is that it's exactly

what you stated earlier and exactly what KaShamba

Miller -- Commissioner Miller-Anderson stated earlier,
that the issue of compensation for our permanent CRA

Director, which is Mr. Jonathan Evans, that we need to
discuss the issue of compensation, whether it be -whatever it is, that it will be on the next agenda,
that we would at least have some conversation about it.
That's the first thing.

The second thing is that I want to make sure that everybody understands that Avenue E is -- we took a vote on this -- is a priority. Not saying that we're going to do anything with it, but we're not going to move forward anything -- as Councilman Lawson said, we're talking about how we're going to move forward with Avenue E, but it is understood, based on a vote that was taken, that Avenue E now is a priority for the CRA.

And I want to say for the third thing, I'd like to thank all of you for bearing with me as I am here in virtual and coming to you through the virtual thing, but I really appreciate the fact that you guys are working with me. And the next CRA meeting, I will be there in person. And I thank you so much.

CHAIR BOTEL: We look forward to seeing you here.

Commissioner Miller-Anderson.

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: I don't have any further comment.

CHAIR BOTEL: My only comment is that we're having the regular quarterly Singer Island Town Hall meeting on Thursday, the 19th. We'll be discussing a number of the issues, including capital improvement projects, and very importantly, the managed mooring field update by Mr. John Sprague. So anyone who lives on the water, on the Lake Worth lagoon side, please be sure you tune in to that.

I will be posting the link to join the meeting. It will be virtual. I'll be posting a link, the Go To Webinar link on my Facebook page, as well as on Next Door. And so I look forward to having as many people as possible hear about the capital improvement projects and the managed mooring field on the 12th -- excuse me -- on the 19th at 6:00.

COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Madam Chair, just one thing, I guess. Yesterday we had the Veterans Day ceremony on yesterday, the dedication, and I just want to say thank you to everyone that participated in that. It was a very well attended ceremony, and I think the families were very appreciative of being invited and participating. So I just want to say thank

1	you to everyone that made that happen. And that's it,				
2	Madam Chair.				
3	CHAIR BOTEL: Thank you.				
4	Would someone care to make a motion to				
5	adjourn?				
6	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Motion to				
7	adjourn.				
8	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Second.				
9	CHAIR BOTEL: Madam Clerk.				
10	THE CLERK: Commissioner McCoy.				
11	COMMISSIONER McCOY: Yes.				
12	THE CLERK: Commissioner Lanier.				
13	COMMISSIONER LANIER: Yes.				
14	THE CLERK: Commissioner Miller-Anderson.				
15	COMMISSIONER MILLER-ANDERSON: Yes.				
16	THE CLERK: Vice Chair Lawson.				
17	VICE CHAIR LAWSON: Yes.				
18	THE CLERK: Chair Botel.				
19	CHAIR BOTEL: Yes.				
20	THE CLERK: That motion carries.				
21	CHAIR BOTEL: We're adjourned.				
22	(Whereupon, at 10:30 p.m., the proceedings				
23	were concluded.)				
24					
25					

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 4 THE STATE OF FLORIDA ) 5 COUNTY OF PALM BEACH ) 6 7 8 I, Susan S. Kruger, do hereby certify that 9 I was authorized to and did report the foregoing 10 proceedings at the time herein stated, and that the 11 foregoing pages comprise a true and correct 12 transcription of my stenotype notes taken during the 13 proceedings. 14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my 15 hand this 3rd day of December, 2020. 16 17 18 19 Susan S. Kruger 20 21 Susan S. Kruger 22 23 24

25

## **ADJOURNMENT**

The CRA Regular Board Meeting was adjourned at 1	0:30 P.M. The m	inutes were
approved by the Board of Commissioners on		
Julia Botel, Chairperson		
Jonathan Evans, Executive Director		
/cw Florida Court Reporting		