CITY OF RIVIERA BEACH REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING July 1, 2020 6:00 p.m.

PRESENT AT MEETING1:

MAYOR RONNIE FELDER MAYOR FELDER

CHAIRPERSON JULIA A. BOTEL, DISTRICT 4 CHAIRPERSON BOTEL

CHAIR PRO TEM DOUGLAS A. LAWSON, DISTRICT 5 CHAIR PRO TEM LAWSON

COUNCILPERSON TRADRICK MCCOY, DISTRICT 1 COUNCILPERSON MCCOY

COUNCILPERSON SHIRLY D. LANIER, DISTRICT 3 COUNCILPERSON LANIER

COUNCILPERSON KASHAMBA MILLER-ANDERSON, DISTRICT 2

COUNCILPERSON MILLER-ANDERSON

CITY MANAGER JONATHAN EVANS CITY MANAGER EVANS

CITY CLERK CLAUDENE L. ANTHONY CITY CLERK ANTHONY

CITY ATTORNEY DAWN S. WYNN CITY ATTORNEY WYNN

TRANSCRIBED BY:

Legal Transcription On Call 6586 Hypoluxo Road #232 Lake Worth, FL 33467 (561) 429-3816 (561) 584-5044 (fax) ireitano@ltoncall.com

¹ List of City Employees, Public Speakers and Others on Page 274

1 2 3 4 5 6	CITY OF RIVIERA BEACH PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES HELD IN THE CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS JULY 1, 2020 @ 6:00 P.M.	
7 8	(The following <u>may</u> contai quality.)	n unintelligible or misunderstood words due to the recording
9	[Before meeting chatter]	
10	CALL TO ORDER	
11 12	Chairperson Botel: I'd like to call to order the Regular City Council meeting, July 1, 2020. The time is 6:01 p.m.	
13	ROLL CALL	
14	Chairperson Botel:	Madam Clerk, will you call the roll?
15	City Clerk Anthony:	Mayor Ronnie Felder?
16	Mayor Felder:	Here.
17	City Clerk Anthony:	Chairperson Julia Botel?
18	Chairperson Botel:	Here.
19	City Clerk Anthony:	Chair Pro Tem Douglas Lawson?
20	Chair Pro Tem Lawson:	Here.
21	City Clerk Anthony:	Councilperson Tradrick McCoy?
22	Councilperson McCoy:	Here.
23 24	City Clerk Anthony: KaShamba Miller-Anderso	Councilperson Shirley Lanier? [Pause]. Councilperson on?
25	Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Present.	
26	City Clerk Anthony:	City Manager Jonathan Evans?
27	City Manager Evans:	Present.
28 29	City Clerk Anthony: Wynn?	City Clerk Claudene Anthony is present. City Attorney Dawn
30	City Attorney Wynn:	Here.

- 31 **City Clerk Anthony:** You may proceed.
- 32 Chairperson Botel: Thank you.
- 33 **INVOCATION**
- 34 PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- 35 **Chairperson Botel:** I would like to have a moment of silence followed by the
- 36 Pledge of Allegiance, led by Councilwoman Miller-Anderson.
- 37 [Moment of silence]
- 38 [Pause]
- 39 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Guess I need my microphone on, huh? I was
- 40 halfway done.
- 41 [Chuckles]
- 42 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United
- 43 States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God.
- indivisible with liberty and justice for all.
- 45 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you.
- 46 Chairperson Botel: ANY MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC WISHING TO COMMENT
- 47 PUBLICLY ON ANY MATTER, INCLUDING ITEMS ON THE AGENDA, SHALL
- 48 SUBMIT THEIR COMMENTS BY EMAIL TO CITY CLERK CLAUDENE ANTHONY AT
- 49 PUBLICCOMMENTS@RIVIERABEACH.ORG. THE EMAIL SHALL CONTAIN THE
- 50 APPLICABLE AGENDA ITEM NUMBERS, ALONG WITH THE PRECISE WORDING
- 30 Al Floadel Action Homberto, Acono Will The Medical World Homberton
- 51 TO BE READ INTO THE RECORD. EXPLICIT LANGUAGE WILL NOT BE READ.
- 52 EMAILS WITHOUT APPLICABLE AGENDA ITEM NUMBERS WILL BE READ UNDER
- 53 THE GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT SECTION. BE ADVISED, PUBLIC COMMENTS
- 54 WILL BE ACCEPTED FOR EACH APPLICABLE ITEM AND THE GENERAL PUBLIC
- 55 COMMENT SECTION UNTIL CLOSURE IS ANNOUNCED BY THE CHAIRPERSON
- 56 OR THE CITY CLERK. ALL EMAIL ADDRESSES AND SUBMITTED COMMENTS
- 57 ARE AND WILL BE PUBLIC RECORD.
- 58 THE CITY CLERK OR DESIGNEE WILL READ PUBLIC COMMENTS INTO THE
- 59 RECORD AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME FOR NO MORE THAN THREE MINUTES,
- 60 UNLESS THE TIME LIMIT IS REDUCED BY A VOTE OF THE COUNCIL BASED ON
- 61 THE VOLUMINOUS NATURE OF THE NUMBER OF PUBLIC COMMENTS RECEIVED.
- 62 IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT THE CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
- 63 AT 561-845-4090 OR EMAIL CROBINSON@RIVIERABEACH.ORG.
- 64 AGENDA APPROVAL

65 ADDITIONS, DELETIONS, SUBSTITUTIONS

- 66 **Chairperson Botel:** Do we have any additions, deletions, or substitutions
- 67 **City Manager Evans:** Madam Chair, if I may? Two brief presentations from Staff.
- We would like to bring the Council up to date with regards to the cycling event. Chief
- 69 Curd, Chief Osgood and Ms. Aladia Franks will provide a brief presentation on the matter.
- And then, also underneath my comments, Councilman Doug Lawson and myself would
- 71 like to bring the Board up to speed with regards to the Grag Grad Bash and the deviations
- to the program. And wanted to get the Board's blessings to move forward.
- 73 **Chairperson Botel:** That's great. Where would you like to have that on the
- 74 Agenda? I guess, to the Consent Agenda?
- 75 **City Manager Evans:** We, we can do that at the conclusion of the meeting, close...
- 76 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 77 **City Manager Evans:** ...to the end.
- 78 **Chairperson Botel:** Great. Thank you. Any others?
- 79 **DISCLOSURES**
- 80 **Chairperson Botel:** Any disclosures by Council or the Mayor? [Pause]. Thank
- 81 you.
- 82 **ADOPTION OF AGENDA**
- 83 **Chairperson Botel:** Could we adopt the Agenda? Could someone please make...
- 84 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Madam Chair?
- 85 **Chairperson Botel:** ...a motion to adopt the Agenda? Yes.
- 86 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Madam Chair? Where are we putting the
- 87 presentation?
- 88 **Chairperson Botel:** At the end.
- 89 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** For the... And, and Mr. Evans...
- 90 **Chairperson Botel:** At the end.
- 91 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Both are at the end?
- 92 **Chairperson Botel:** At the end. Yes.
- 93 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Okay.

- 94 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you.
- 95 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** So moved.
- 96 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank.., was that a move'.., did you make a mo'.., did you
- 97 make a...
- 98 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Yes.
- 99 **Chairperson Botel:** ...motion? Thank you. May we have a second, please?
- 100 Councilperson McCoy: Second.
- 101 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Madam Clerk.
- 102 **City Clerk Anthony:** Madam Chair, before, before proceeding with the roll call vote,
- let me acknowledge that Councilperson Lanier is now present.
- 104 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you.
- 105 City Clerk Anthony: Councilperson McCoy?
- 106 Councilperson McCoy: Yes.
- 107 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson Lanier?
- 108 Councilperson Lanier: Yes.
- 109 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson Miller-Anderson?
- 110 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Yes.
- 111 **City Clerk Anthony:** Pro Tem Lawson?
- 112 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Yes.
- 113 City Clerk Anthony: Chair Botel?
- 114 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 115 **City Clerk Anthony:** Unanimous vote.
- 116 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you.
- 117 COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC ON CONSENT AGENDA (Three Minute Limitation)
- 118 **Chairperson Botel:** Are there any public comments on the Consent Agenda?

- 119 **City Clerk Anthony:** Madam Chair, there are no public comments on the Consent
- 120 Agenda. The acceptance of public comments on the Consent Agenda is now closed.
- 121 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you.
- 122 CONSENT AGENDA
- 123 Chairperson Botel: ALL MATTERS LISTED UNDER THIS ITEM ARE
- 124 CONSIDERED TO BE ROUTINE AND ACTION WILL BE TAKEN BY ONE MOTION.
- 125 THERE WILL BE NO SEPARATE DISCUSSION OF THESE ITEMS UNLESS A
- 126 COUNCILPERSON SO REQUESTS, IN WHICH EVENT, THE ITEM WILL BE
- 127 REMOVED FROM THE GENERAL ORDER OF BUSINESS AND CONSIDERED IN ITS
- 128 NORMAL SEQUENCE ON THE AGNEDA.
- 129 MINUTES
- 130 BOARD APPOINTMENTS
- 131 **RESOLUTIONS**
- 132 1. RESOLUTION NUMBER 55-20 A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF
- 133 THE CITY OF RIVIERA BEACH, PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA, ACCEPTING
- 134 FY20 GRANT FUNDS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$109,917 FROM THE BUREAU OF
- 135 JUSTICE ASSISTANCE CORONAVIRUS EMERGENCY SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDING
- 136 PROGRAM, BUDGET PERIOD JANUARY 20, 2020, JANUARY 31, 2022;
- 137 AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES TO
- 138 SET UP THE BUDGET FOR SAME; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.
- 139 NATHAN OSGOOD, CHIEF OF POLICE, (5610 845-4130
- 140 **Chairperson Botel**: Do we have a motion to accept the Consent Agenda?
- 141 **Councilperson McCoy:** So moved.
- 142 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Second.
- 143 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Madam Clerk.
- 144 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson McCoy?
- 145 Councilperson McCoy: Yes.
- 146 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson Miller-Anderson?
- 147 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Yes.
- 148 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson Lanier?
- 149 Councilperson Lanier: Yes.

150 **City Clerk Anthony:** Pro Tem Lawson?

151 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Yes.

152 **City Clerk Anthony:** Chair Botel?

153 Chairperson Botel: Yes.

154 **City Clerk Anthony:** Unanimous vote.

155 **END OF CONSENT AGENDA**

156 **2. Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Item No. 2.

- 157 City Clerk Anthony: RESOLUTION NUMBER 56-20 A RESOLUTION OF THE
- 158 CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RIVIERA BEACH, PALM BEACH COUNTY,
- 159 FLORIDA, APPROVING A CHANGE ORDER WITH ALAN JAY OF SEBRING,
- 160 FLORIDA FOR THE PAINTING OF POLICE VEHICLES IN THE AMOUNT OF
- \$29,600.00; AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATIVE
- 162 SERVICES TO MAKE PAYMENT FOR SAME FROM ACCOUNT NUMBER 10120101-
- 163 546000; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.
- 164 **City Clerk Anthony:** Madam Chair, we do not have any public comment cards on
- this Item. The acceptance of public comment cards on this Item is now closed.
- 166 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Would someone like to make a motion to accept
- 167 this Resolution?
- 168 Councilperson Lanier: So moved.
- 169 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Second.
- 170 **Chairperson Botel:** Mr. Evans?
- 171 **City Manager Evans:** Madam Chair and Members of the Board, if I can turn the
- presentation over to Riviera Beach Police Chief, Mr. Nathan Osgood.
- 173 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you.
- 174 **Police Chief Osgood:** Good evening, Madam Chair.
- On October 22, 2019, just a few months prior to my arriving as your Police Chief, the City Council approved Resolution No. 104-19 for the purchase of police vehicles. The vehicles have been all manufactured and they are currently waiting at Alan Jay's pending
- the installation of required emergency lights on the equipment needed.
- In March, shortly after I got here, I formed a Uniform Committee to take a look at all the police uniforms and the police vehicles. I did this so the police officers can have a
- voice and say so in how we look as a u'.., as a Police Department. The com'.., the

- committee did extensive research and they developed an updated scheme for the police vehicles. Dawn, if you don't mind, if you can show that scheme please for me. I cannot share my calendar here. My page is... But the scheme and graphic that was chosen by the Uniform Committee was all agreed upon by the Command Staff and me. The mockup,
- 186 I should get it attached shortly, if Dawn is on.
- So, what we're asking is to paint eight of the vehicles. Four of them already did come in black already, but the, the previous eight came in prior to my arrival, they came in white. We would like to paint them black in color. And the price we have for the painting is \$29,600 and we had a previous paint'.., we.., for that vehicle and it came up to be a little bit more than what Alan Jay... And, and furthermore, Alan Jay, that's where the vehicles go to, to be assembled together with the emergency equipment, in Sebring, Florida.
- Thank you.
- 195 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. I have a question. Mr. Evans, was this.., did this
- have to go out to bid or is it simply because we had Alan Jay and the, the vehicles were
- there? We, we have'.., we didn't have to go for three bids or anything?
- 198 **City Manager Evans:** I believe that this is off of the state contract, Chief?
- 199 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 200 **Police Chief Osgood:** That's correct, sir. We did not have to go out to bid. I spoke
- with our Procurement Director, Ms. Pemsel, and that's what she informed us.
- 202 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Thank you. Any other questions from Council?
- 203 [Pause]. Madam Clerk.
- 204 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson...
- 205 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Question.
- 206 City Clerk Anthony: ...McCov?
- 207 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Question?
- 208 **Chairperson Botel:** Oh sorry. Ms. Miller-Anderson.
- 209 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** For the.., with.., why are we only doing a few of
- the trucks? I mean, are we rolling this out where we're going to eventually have all of
- them black or is it a specific reason why some are black?
- 212 **Police Chief Osgood:** Yeah. These...
- 213 City Manager Evans: So...

- 214 **Police Chief Osgood:** ...we're gonna do them all black. This is their mockup right
- 215 here. They're all gonna come in black, it's just that the eight came in prior to my arrival,
- and they came in white. So, I tried to send them back to get them white but they wouldn't
- take them back so, I said okay, we'll just change the color. We'll paint them black.
- 218 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** So the, the inventory that we currently have,
- those are being done away with and all of the new ones are gonna be coming black and
- we're just gonna have few that are white, that we're having to paint? Is that what we're
- 221 doing?
- 222 **Police Chief Osgood:** Yes, ma'am.
- 223 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Okay.
- 224 **City Manager Evans:** Yes, Councilperson. We're going to incrementally, as we
- 225 modernize the fleet...
- 226 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Mum.
- 227 **City Manager Evans:** ...through the attrition process, replace the [stammer],
- because it is so cost prohibitive if we just went and painted all the vehicles, especially
- when you see the vehicle that it's mocked up as Ford Explorer with the pursuit package,
- and we're looking at the Dodge Charger and the Ford Explorer, so smaller vehicles. So,
- as we go through the acquisition of vehicles for Public Safety, we will look to eventually
- get to the point where all the fleet matches. But, it was cost prohibitive just to be able to
- 233 flip the switch and paint all the vehicles, in addition to when we're looking to move away
- from the traditional Suburban's that we have in. in our fleet.
- 235 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Okay. And just out of curiosity, why, why black?
- I mean, is there, is there any rhyme or reason as to why we're going with the
- 237 black?
- 238 **Police Chief Osgood:** No, ma'am. We wanted.., kinda the.., when the research that
- we were doing around the police departments, it's kinda the new color scheme that police
- 240 departments are kinda going to. And when we put together a Uniform Committee, they
- 241 all liked it, we liked it, I liked it. Then we had to come up with the color scheme of the
- tactical vehicles, which is what you're seeing right now, what we... That's called a ghost
- 243 out lettering.
- 244 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Mhmm.
- 245 **Police Chief Osgood:** That's what the Traffic Unit and the CIT Unit was using. When
- the light hit that thing, the, the police and Riviera Beach, it reflects and shows really bright.
- 247 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Mm.
- 248 **Police Chief Osgood:** And then with the blue writing for the regular patrol. You can
- see it in the white and blue. So, the black look on the police vehicle is something new.

- We're getting away from the white. As you look around the county, you'll see a lot of
- police departments have already gone into, like, a black vehicle, or a black and white.
- 252 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Okay. Thank you.
- 253 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you, Chief.
- 254 **Police Chief Osgood:** Thank you. You're welcome.
- 255 **Chairperson Botel:** Madam Clerk.
- 256 City Clerk Anthony: Councilperson McCoy? [Pause]. Councilperson Miller-
- 257 Anderson?
- 258 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Yes.
- 259 [Inaudible comment]
- 260 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson...
- 261 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Did you hear me? You heard me?
- 262 **Chairperson Botel:** I heard you, yes.
- 263 **City Clerk Anthony:** I heard you, Ms...
- 264 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Okay.
- 265 Chairperson Botel: McCoy.
- 266 **City Clerk Anthony:** ...Miller-Anderson. Councilperson McCoy?
- 267 Councilperson McCoy: Yes.
- 268 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson Lanier?
- 269 Councilperson Lanier: Yes.
- 270 **City Clerk Anthony:** Pro Tem Lawson?
- 271 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Yes.
- 272 **City Clerk Anthony:** Chair Botel?
- 273 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 274 **City Clerk Anthony:** Unanimous vote.
- 275 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you.

- 276 **3. Chairperson Botel:** Item No. 3.
- 277 **Police Chief Osgood:** Thank you.
- 278 **City Clerk Anthony:** Reso'... Resolution...
- 279 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you, Chief. By the way, Chief? We, we assembled
- lately. I don't know, I think Ms. Miller-Anderson was there. I know Mr. McCoy was there.
- We assembled lately at the JAYS Ministry parking lot for something. I think it was one of
- the graduation events we went to, and one of the cars was there and the officer driving it,
- was so proud of it and said, 'You know, this is great. We can sneak up on people.' So,
- citizens beware. [Chuckle]. That black color. [Chuckle]. He really liked it. And I think it
- was wonderful that you went to the officers to get their opinion about it. That's so
- important. So, great job.
- Thanks so much.
- 288 **Police Chief Osgood:** Thank you.
- 289 Chairperson Botel: Item No. 3.
- 290 City Clerk Anthony: RESOLUTION NUMBER 57-20 A RESOLUTION OF THE
- 291 CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RIVIERA BEACH, PALM BEACH COUNTY,
- 292 FLORIDA, ACCEPTING STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION RELATING TO REQUEST
- 293 FOR PROPOSALS NUMBER 992-20-2 FOR PROFESSIONAL AUDITING SERVICES;
- 294 TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF RIVIERA BEACH AND
- 295 GRAU & ASSOCIATES, INC.; AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY FINANCE DIRECTOR
- 296 TO MAKE SUBSEQUENT PAYMENTS AFTER WORK AUTHORIZATION HAS BEEN
- 297 ISSUED; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.
- 298 ALTHEA PEMSEL, PROCUREMENT DIRECTOR, (561) 845-4180
- 299 **City Clerk Anthony:** Madam Chair, we do not have any public comments on this
- 300 Item. The acceptance of public comments on this Item is now closed.
- 301 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Mr. Evans.
- 302 **City Manager Evans:** Madam Chair, if I can allow for the Procurement Director, Ms.
- 303 Althea Pemsel, to present this Item.
- 304 Councilperson McCoy: Madam Chair?
- 305 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Can we have a motion?
- 306 **City Clerk Anthony:** We need a motion.
- 307 Chairperson Botel: I'm sorry.
- 308 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: So moved.

309 Chairperson Botel: Thank you.

310 Councilperson McCoy: I actually indicated...

311 Unk.: Second.

312 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...I wanted to substitute the motion.

313 **Chairperson Botel:** Well, we.., we've had a motion on this Item. Do...

314 **Councilperson McCoy:** I've already said it, Madam Chair.

315 **Councilperson Lanier:** And a...

316 Councilperson McCoy: Clearly...

317 Councilperson Lanier: ...second.

318 **Chairperson Botel:** We have a motion and a second on this item. Mr. McCoy, can

319 you wait until we resolve this issue and then you can make another motion if you, if you...

320 Councilperson McCoy: I can not.

321 Chairperson Botel: [Stammer]... Actually, Ms. Wynn, could you give us some

322 guidance on this?

323 **Councilperson McCoy:** I offered a substitute motion.

324 **City Attorney Wynn:** Right. He has.., he, he can state the motion, and if it's gets a

second then it is proper. And if not, then that mo'.., his substitute motion would go away

and the original motion would stand.

327 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Go ahead, Mr. McCoy.

328 **Councilperson McCoy:** I wanted to offer a substitute motion that we select a firm other

329 than Grau.

330 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I'll second it for discussion.

331 Chairperson Botel: Okay.

332 **Councilperson McCoy:** Thank you.

333 Chairperson Botel: Mr. McCoy.

334 **Councilperson McCoy:** But I was hoping that we were gonna hear the presentation

but if, if I... if, if... I guess I'm being asked to lead the discussion, I certainly will. Is that

what we're doing or do we need to hear from...

- 337 **Chairperson Botel:** Well, it, it's your motion.
- 338 **Councilperson McCoy:** Well, okay. So, obviously, here's, here's what my concern is.
- And Mr. Evans, and [inaudible] I've had this conversation with [inaudible]. Can we have
- 340 [inaudible]? See, 'cause I've got some questions.
- 341 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I would like to hear a presentation.
- 342 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Let's...
- 343 **Unk.:** [Inaudible].

352

353

354

355356

357358

359

360361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

- 344 **Chairperson Botel:** ...yeah. Let, let's... Mr. Evans, we'll hear the presentation
- and then we'll, we'll see what Mr. McCoy has to say.
- 346 **City Manager Evans:** Ms. Pemsel, are you, are you on?
- Procurement Director Pemsel: I, I am on. Can you guys hear me?
- 348 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 349 **City Manager Evans:** Yes. But we can't see you.
- 350 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Okay. So my camera, for some reason it's green on
- my side. It initially showed up but it's not showing on the other side.

In regards to the presentation, on May... on April the 7th, we issued a solicitation for professional auditing services. Prior to that, the Audit Committee themselves developed the specifications. And it's a complete rewrite of the solicitation that was done in the past, and it was issued on April 7th. And, it was due back to the City on May 8th. The solicitation was sent to two hundred and fifty-six firms. Eight of the firms pulled down the solicitation and reviewed it. And actually, four firms responded. Those firms were Carr, Riggs, Grau & Associates, ATT Certified Public Consultants, and also S. Davis & Associates. We had a initial evaluation meeting on May 27th, where the proposals were And then, we had a subsequent meeting on June 1st, because some documents were, were issued and we wanted to have everybody on the same page. So, we convened on June 1 and reviewed all the scores and the forms. And then, the Committee decided to have presentations. Initially, there was three presentations scheduled for June 11th, at which time, Carr & Riggs withdrew, so that left two presentations for June 11th. And the subsequent last presentation was by S. Davis & Associations, which was scheduled on the 16th. Attempts to move the firm to the 11th was done but they had already had commitments and was unable to, so we had the second oral presentation then.

The solicitation outlined the mechanism by which we would do the written and oral presentations. The scores initially, was for short listing in order for us to determine who would come in the event that we had more than four firms that submitted. We would have had to, according to the statute, Chapter 218, whittled it down to the top three firms. So,

- in keeping with the statute, there wa'.., you can always have more than three firms
- 374 present, so they selected all four firms to present. In the end, there were only three. After
- that, the proposals.., the review the of the proposals, then there was the ranking, whereby
- the Committee was instructed to rank the firms one, two and three. And the firms were
- ranked one, two and three. Initially, the scores were, I, I believe, 4.42... You should have
- that sheet in front of you.

386

387

388 389

390

402

403

404

- 379 **Chairperson Botel:** We have it.
- 380 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** I think it's 4..., 2.35 for HCT, and then 4.18 for Grau,
- 3.92, I believe for S. Davis & Associates, and then Carr & Riggs came in at 3.76. So,
- those scores got us to the oral presentation part. And then, the firms were ranked, and
- that ranking turned out to be Grau & Associates, after oral presentations, was ranked
- number one, S. Davis & Associates was ranked number two, and third was HCT. The
- point spread between the top two companies initially was six points.
 - And I wanted to discuss the state statute itself, and the provisions that the Committee, the Auditing Committee, is to evaluate the proposals, select the top three firms and then recommend the top ranked firm for a contract. And if a contract can't be reached with the top ranked firm, then the statute requires us to go to the second ranked firm, and then the third ranked firm.
- 391 Councilperson McCoy: Madam Chair?
- 392 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes. Go ahead.
- 393 **Councilperson McCoy:** Ms. Pemsel, I.., if I can stop you right there. Members, that's
- 394 exactly why I wanted to offer a substitute motion because what the Resolution that was
- read, the title is facially defective because it says accept Staff's recommendation, when
- Ms. Pemsel just kind of touched on what the statute reads, that we're to accept the Audit
- 397 Committee's recommendation. So, what we don't wanna do is accept Staff's
- recommendation and make a motion on something that is not prescribed in the statute.
- 399 Also, the statute does not provide that we accept Grau & Associates, or any firm for that
- 400 matter. We accept the rankings of the Audit Selection Committee's rankings, as opposed
- 401 to one firm in particular.
 - So, Members, respectfully, if we would start this conversation, we would've started this in a position that would not have been consistent with state statute. So that's why I wanted to offer a substitute motion, because the title doesn't coincide with the statute.
- 405 And, I reserve for some other comments later.
- 406 Thank you.
- 407 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you, Mr. McCoy. Ms. Pemsel, would you reiterate what
- 408 the statute says? I wanna be clear. And thank you, Mr. McCoy, for pointing that out. We
- certainly should revise the language of the Resolution indicating that we're.., we would
- 410 be accepting the Committee's recommendation. But, could you please, Ms. Pemsel,
- refresh my memory. Is it that we, that we must accept the...

- 412 Procurement Director Pemsel: It...
- 413 **Chairperson Botel:** ...firm that the Committee recommends or is that we must
- 414 accept the Committee's ranking? I think that's your question, Mr. McCoy. Am I right?
- 415 Yes
- 416 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** It says in, in Section E to rank and recommend in order
- of preference, no fewer than three firms to be the most highly qualified to perform the
- required services after considering the factors established pursuant to that paragraph,
- which is A. And then it talks about if there are fewer than three firms, you can still go
- 420 ahead with the evaluation.
- 421 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes. But, no. What is the language about the, the role of the
- 422 Council in terms of accepting the recommendation from the Committee, from the Audit
- Committee? Is there, is there a legal [stammer], you know, underpinning for what we
- have to do? Do we have to accept? That's my question, basically. Do we have to accept
- the recommendation of the Audit Committee?
- 426 **Unk.:** No.
- 427 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** It says the governing body may select a firm
- 428 recommended by the Audit Committee and negotiate a contract with one of the
- 429 recommended firms, using an appropriate alter'..., alternative negotiation method for
- 430 which compensation is not the sole factor. In doing so...
- 431 Chairperson Botel: Okay. So'...
- 432 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** ...the methods used by the governing body to select a
- firm recommended by the Audit Committee, and negotiate a contract with such a firm that
- agreed upon compensation is reasonable to satisfy the requirements. And it says, if the
- 435 governing body is unable to negotiate a satisfactory contract with any of the
- 436 recommended firms, the Committee shall recommend additional firms and negotiate shall
- continue in accordance with this section until an agreement is reached.
- 438 **Councilperson McCoy:** Madam Chair?
- 439 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** And there's other [inaudible] other than that. I don't
- know if Ms. Wynn wants to weigh in further on that.
- 441 **Councilperson Lanier:** Madam Chair?
- 442 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes, Ms. Lanier.
- 443 Councilperson Lanier: Madam Chair, as the Chair of this Committee, Audit
- Committee, I wanted to say that initially, Mr. McCoy is correct, the Council can make their
- own decision about what firm to choose. The purpose of the Audit Committee was to
- ensure that there was no politics in play. The Audit Committee is a selection of individuals
- that each Councilperson has chosen, it was reviewed through this process, they reviewed

it by going over, you know, two, three, four days of review of these proposals. The recommendations that they made were based on numerous conversations with the firms, and with each other, in regards to who we should choose based on qualifications and based on their oral presentations.

Now, the rankings that were given in terms of what the Committee felt should go, who should, who should have the.., who should have the bid, is something that the Council can totally reject. It was the fact that we wanted to ensure and, because there has never been an Audit Committee, we wanted to ensure that the process was not political, that each Councilperson would have the opportunity to choose someone and that.., that that Committee would go through the process, publicly to be able to say, 'This is the reason why we chose this particular firm.'

So, Mr. McCoy is correct in the fact that we don't have to look at those choices or make those choices for the particular firm but it is considered to be the reason why the Audit Committee was established.

- **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Mr. McCoy, I know you wanted to say something but I think Ms. Wynn may be able to help us understand the, the legal basis for this decision if, if we decide to change from what the Committee recommends.
- 465 Ms. Wynn. [Inaudible].

City Attorney Wynn: Good evening. [Chuckle]. I mean, I'm really not sure where you all are going right now. I wasn't sure what provision Ms. Pemsel read regarding the selection of the firms, however, the statute says that if this Procurement is not based on compensation, which I understand that it was not one of the factors from..., according to Ms. Pemsel, that it was not one of the factors established, and used in the evaluation, that the governing body has to inquire of the firms, which is why..., one of the reasons why they're on the..., in, in the meeting now, on the line, as to the basis of compensation, and then, select one of the firms recommended by the Committee and negotiate a contract or delegate that contract, the negotiation of that contract.

If you are unable to negotiate a contract with the firm ranked first, then you move to the second and then to the third.

- **Chairperson Botel:** Okay.
- **City Attorney Wynn:** And that, again, that's assuming that compensation is not one of the factors. If compensation was one of the factors, then there's a diff'.., different
- 480 method of selection, according to the statute.
- **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Any other comments by Council? Mr. McCoy.
- 482 Councilperson McCoy: Thank you, Madam Chair. Again, my only concern was this
- Item should not have been presented as a Resolution in this fashion, to name one of the
- 484 firms. Ms. Pemsel kinda, sorta answered the question but when I look at the statute,
- she's referring to... I have it here. She's referring to a section that is further down but

- 486 the actual legislative intent, and it's stated in Section 3(e) of Florida statutes 218.391 says, rank and recommend in order of purpose, no fewer than three firms. If you just take that 487 on its face, we should've been presented with three firms as opposed to a Resolution 488 489 saying accept Staff's recommendation of Grau & Associates. That's kind of a little bit 490 misleading and I wanted us to have the conversation about how we further reduce those 491 three firms that are.., have been recommended.
- 492 My other question..., or, my other concern is, Ms. Pemsel, I, I was able to see that, 493 in these submittals from these firms, there was, from all, I believe all four firms, a indication 494 of the number of hours that will be required. Well actually, Councilwoman Lanier, since 495 you were the Chairperson. That... you guys didn't discuss the number of hours, correct? 496 That...
- 497 Councilperson Lanier: We looked, we looked at it as not so much as a big factor but we did look at the number of hours from each of the firms. 498
- 499 **Councilperson McCoy:** Okay. And that was a part of the submittal. So, correct? Or 500 was that done in, in the...
- 501 Councilperson Lanier: No. It.., I, I think that they had their.., they had their hours in 502 their proposal. I think that they did, yes.
- 503 **Councilperson McCoy:** So, I guess then, I mean, for all intents and purposes, there 504 was a discussion from your Board about the hours and pricing? And there was, you
- 505 know...
- 506 Councilperson Lanier: No. There was no discussion about pricing. There was only 507 discussion about the number of hours they would commit to providing the service.
- **Councilperson McCoy:** But wouldn't that correlate with the pricing or no? 508
- 509 Councilperson Lanier: No. Not so much, no. Uh-uh.
- 510 **Councilperson McCoy:** Okay. Alright. So, I, I just so happened to see that one, one
- firm was over a thousand hours in doing this, so that wouldn't impact the pricing, 511
- compared to another firm that choose six hundred? 512
- 513 Councilperson Lanier: We, we, we wouldn't know. We didn't get prices. We just got
- 514 the proposals. I, I mean, you would, you would think that it would but we don't know. We
- got the proposals, we got the number of hours and there were numerous [stammer] 515
- avenues, or numerous issues that we had to hit in, in lieu of pricing. We wanted to not 516
- 517 look at that, we wanted to look at the actual services that these agencies were going to
- provide to the City. We did look at time committed. We asked different agencies about 518
- the time that they committed or the time that pro'.., proposed to commit. Some of them 519
- 520 co'.., based on the, the number of people in their own firm, can do it sooner than others
- 521 because they had less people.

But that really wasn't a factor in terms of our overall looking at these firms. We looked at their staff, we looked at.., when, when they broke out the different, the different sections of the audit, in terms of how they would look at our, our, our IT, how they would look at other departments in the City. We asked them [stammer] specific questions, especially about how they would look at IT for the City, how they would... how that fits into the overall scope of how the City actually runs.

So, there were very, very miniscule things that we asked them in regards to what we already knew we had. So, we were looking for something different, we were looking for something that was innovative, we were looking for something that would bring to the table something that people who are laypeople.., 'cause my main concern was... You know, I look at these audit reports, I look at the audit reports that the City has and sometimes I'm not.., I can't make heads or tail of it. So, I wanted to be able to understand what it was that each of these firms were doing, the Committee wanted to understand what they were doing, to make sure that a layperson could understand what they are doing. So each of them, each of them interpreted or told us how they were going to do these audits and what they would focus on. We asked them, you know, there's.., it may not be in your backup, but there are questions, and if you look at the tape, I don't think it was written down or transcribed but if you look at the tape, there were very specific questions. We had two auditors on our... two CPA's on our Committee. So they were asking very specific questions about how they were going to do the audit. And each of those firms did very well. But, the Committee had to whittle down those responses, whittle down those CPA's to make sure that we came up with the top three. And then after the oral presentations and even more questions, then we came up with ranking the top three. So, this was not a very, you know, one day, you know, two day process. This happened over a span of a month and a half, that we were going back and forth with ourselves, with the firms to be able to have the right ranking, so to speak, based on qualifications.

And as I said at the top of this, this..., the Council can totally say they don't want any of the three that we recommended. But what we did was spend a lot of time to get to this point.

Councilperson McCoy: Follow up. 551

522

523

524

525

526 527

528

529

530

531 532

533 534

535

536

537 538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546 547

548

549

550

557

- 552 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes. Go ahead, Mr. McCoy.
- 553 Councilperson McCoy: Okay. And I appreciate that, and I gotta commend you and thank you for your service. I just couldn't do anymore board commitments, so thank you 554 555 for taking on that and representing the Board.

556 You did mention that you guys kinda asked some questions and one of the things I heard you mention was, you guys were looking for something different. Like, was this a.., something that you guys had.., like, how did that work? Like, can you explain that? 558 559 When you said you guys...

560 Councilperson Lanier: Well, I mean, when we say.., when I say different, there are methods and methodologies that different firms use to be able to calculate risk, to be able 561

- to calculate how departments are run, to calculate, you know, security. You know, they
- have different methodologies, and one of the firms, I can't think right now which one it
- was, but they had specific methodologies that, that they used to be able to look at the
- 565 whole IT process. There were, you know, very, you know, methodical ways, new,
- innovative ways that they were going to look at the whole IT process, that other firms
- 567 didn't have. Now, I'm not saying that firm got it because of that, but they brought
- something to the table that was different from other firms. And that was just one, one
- piece of it.
- 570 Councilperson McCoy: Okay. Alright.
- 571 **Councilperson Lanier:** And the thing...
- 572 Councilperson McCoy: That's...
- 573 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...about it is that we really, to tell you the truth, we really just,
- 574 you know, focused on quality assurance, you know, over hours. We wanted to make sure
- 575 that the quality of work.., if they took a thousand hours, if they took six hundred hours, the
- 576 quality of work was what we were looking for and how would they able to be innovative,
- 577 be detailed enough to be able to make it worth the City's while to go with that particular
- 578 firm.
- 579 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Ms. Miller-Anderson.
- 580 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Thank you. I just had a question regarding the
- oral evaluation. I see that... it just said that it was based on the written evaluation scorings
- above that, that you all would, pretty much, rank them. What were some of the criteria
- that you used in terms of the oral presentations scoring? Or ranking?
- Councilperson Lanier: Well, I mean, I don't know that we had anything.., I can't recall
- specific, but what we were looking for in oral presentations was, number one, basically to
- ask questions that we had in our minds during the written part of looking at the.., looking
- at the proposals. When looking at those proposals, those are when the kinda..., the
- questions came up. We could've sent the questions to them beforehand but we decided
- to wait until we had the firm in front of us, and then each individual on the Committee
- asked their questions about issues that they had with the proposal. So, most of it, after
- that conversation with each of those firms, it was just basically how well they answered
- those guestions and how well they were able to, you know, answer guestions from
- another CPA, or answer questions from another auditor. How well they...
- 594 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** [Inaudible]...
- 595 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...were able to do that.
- 596 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Within that oral presentation though, I noticed
- that the rankings was one, two and three, obviously. How did a person determine what
- a one was versus what a three would be?

- 599 **Councilperson Lanier:** Well, they actually asked us, there were three firms that
- 600 presented. We had to, each Council, each Committee member, had to say this is how I
- rank these three firms. Three, two and one, or one, three and two and they..., all, all...
- each of the Committees ranked the firms themselves, and then the average of that was
- 603 how we got to the three that we're submitting to you tonight.
- 604 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Okay. And that was separate and apart from the
- written scores where you had the HCT firm, that scored the highest for the written part
- but then when it came to the oral presentation... Within the.., I, I guess, looking at the
- RFP when it talks about the oral presentation, it did say it would rank it but I didn't
- understand that to be that you just totally throw out the written part. 'Cause it's almost
- 609 like the written part doesn't even matter. Because, at the end of the day, you..., it was
- decided based on the oral. Am I understanding...
- 611 Councilperson Lanier: It was...
- 612 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...that correctly?
- 613 **Councilperson Lanier:** It was... Well, you know, to be honest, it was, it was above
- and beyond... Well, I shouldn't say above and beyond but the written part, of course, that
- got us to where we were, in terms of the oral presentations. When we went through this
- whole exercise of two, three days I'm.., probably three days, of trying to come up with this
- written part, that is what got us to the oral part. It wasn't that we totally disregarded it, it
- 618 is what got us to the oral presentation. And once we knew that we were looking at, for
- lack of better terms, rankings at that point in my head, we got to the oral presentations
- part and then we just kinda looked at how they answered questions, the responses that
- they made and then we just kinda ranked them based on.., based on that.
- 622 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Okay.
- 623 **Chairperson Botel:** [Inaudible]...
- 624 **Councilperson Lanier:** So, [inaudible] writing, it's not that we threw it out, it was that
- it was a means to get us to the oral.
- 626 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I guess if, if we had more people that
- participated, that may have at least given the appearance that, that's how you got to the
- oral and the ranking part. But being that there were only.., there was four to begin with,
- one dropped out and then you were only left with the three, it just seems like the written
- part, those scores were not considered. I can see.., did that, like, the person who had the
- highest from the written part, did they gain a couple more points when it came to oral or
- everyone just started at zero when you got to...
- 633 Councilperson Lanier: No.
- 634 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...the [inaudible]?

- 635 Councilperson Lanier: No, no. It was, it was two.., it was a two part basis. So
- basically, when I came into this..., when I came into this and I don't..., I, I can't remember
- 637 my rankings. So, just say...
- 638 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: No.
- 639 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...you know, I went with the Miller-Anderson firm first in my
- 640 head.
- 641 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Mhmm.
- 642 **Councilperson Lanier:** So, when I got there, I'm thinking, as I'm asking questions of
- 643 Miller-Anderson, I'm thinking..., I'm still thinking about..., I still ranked this person the
- 644 highest. So, the question,.., if they answered those questions the way I'm looking at them
- answering the questions or I'm ex'.., my expectations of how they answered those
- 646 questions, then of course they're gonna stay number one in my ranking. But if they...
- 647 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Okay.
- 648 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...got to the meeting... Now, they're number one, they got to
- the meeting and they just faltered on the questions, and they couldn't answer them, then,
- maybe they drop to number two then in my, in my ranking.
- 651 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Okay. And there, there lies my issue, I believe,
- because there are a l'.., there's a lot of subjectivity, as you stated, you know, in your mind,
- that person may have been the top person. But in the other people that were rank'... were
- doing the rankings, that may not have factored in for them. And so, it just...
- 655 **Councilperson Lanier:** No. It factored...
- 656 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...just looking at...
- 657 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...in for everybody. We, we had the same conversation. If we
- go back and look at the last tape that we had, we had...
- 659 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Okay.
- 660 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...the same conversation about the ranking and about the oral
- and the written, was it together, was it apart? The same exact conversation we had. So
- 662 we all...
- 663 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Okay. Thank you.
- 664 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...came to the same conclusion, that that's, that's how it was
- going to be ranked.
- 666 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. I don't wanna cut you off but Mr. Evans has been
- trying to make a statement.

- 668 City Manager Evans: Madam Chair...
- 669 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Madam Chair? Before we jump in, Mr. Evans, I apologize. I
- just had a question before Mr. Evans jumped in. I just had a quick question. Maybe he
- can incorporate that into his, his response as well. So, if I'd be...
- 672 **Chairperson Botel:** Go ahead, Mr. Lawson.
- 673 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: ...inclined to ask a guick guestion. It's in line with what
- 674 Councilwoman Miller-Anderson, with the scoring, whether it's Ms. Pemsel or
- 675 Councilwoman Lanier, the scoring criteria for the oral presentation, I didn't see what was
- actually used. And, when I looked back at the 2014 RFP for the audit services, there was
- a hundred point metrics..., I'm sorry, a fifty point metrics used for the oral presentations,
- and then in the 2019 RFP that we originally put out, there was a hundred point metrics.
- So, I, I didn't want the oral.., or, to come back and look and just see that it was a arbitrary
- expectation of one, two, three, without possibly just having a point system in place,
- comparable to other RFP's that we've used in the past. And even in a comparable RFP
- which is Emergency Medical Services, which was put out in 2019, that had a scoring
- 683 metrics to the oral presentation as well. So, I was just kinda confused as to how we went
- 684 from just kinda selecting oral as a one, two, three selection, and then making a
- determination without even considering the written part, which kinda...
- 686 Councilperson Lanier: That...
- 687 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** ...flipped flopped. So...
- 688 Chairperson Botel: Okay. Mr. Evans...
- 689 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: ...possibly, possibly...
- 690 **Councilperson Lanier:** Yeah. But that...
- 691 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** I'm sorry, Councilwoman Botel...
- 692 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...but that, but that's not true...
- 693 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Let me just...
- 694 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...Mr. Lawson.
- 695 Chairperson Botel: Ms., Ms...
- 696 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: No. If I could just...
- 697 Chairperson Botel: ...Lanier,
- 698 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: ...[inaudible] point...
- 699 Chairperson Botel: Let', let'...

- 700 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: ...Ms. Lanier.
- 701 Chairperson Botel: let's let, let's let., Mr. Lawson, please, finish up his...
- 702 [Inaudible]. Mr. Evans...
- 703 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: So Cou'...
- 704 **Chairperson Botel:** ...has been waiting, so I just want Mr. Evans to be able...
- 705 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Council'....
- 706 **Chairperson Botel:** ...to answer Mr. Lawson's question...
- 707 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Councilwoman Botel, we, we definitely understand Mr. Evans
- is waiting but I would a'.., like to have my questions answered, and he...
- 709 Chairperson Botel: Right.
- 710 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: ...could possibly incorporate his responses for all of the
- 711 Commissioners, all the Councilpeople into his response. So, if you give us an opportunity
- to speak first, we can get our concerns addressed and then Councilman.., and then Mr.
- 713 Evans can jump in.
- 714 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes, thank you. I think I understood your question though.
- 715 Were you.., did you have something other to say? Other than [inaudible]?
- 716 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** No. Councilwoman Lanier had a response that I would like to
- of responded to. So, I'd like for us to finish our discussion, go ahead, and then allow for
- 718 Mr. Evans to jump in.
- 719 **Chairperson Botel:** Well, I'd like to hear from Mr. Evans first because he's been
- 720 waiting. Mr. Evans.
- 721 **Councilperson McCoy:** That, that, that wouldn't be correct.
- 722 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: I'm...
- 723 Councilperson McCoy: So, we...
- 724 **Chairperson Botel:** Mr. McCoy, I would like to hear from Mr. Evans because...
- 725 Councilperson McCoy: I would...
- 726 **Chairperson Botel:** ...he has been...
- 727 Councilperson McCoy: I would...
- 728 Chairperson Botel: ...[inaudible]...

- 729 **Councilperson McCoy:** I would [inaudible] Members because we're asking specifics
- as to what the Committee members understood, not what Mr. Evans understood. And I
- 731 would like to see if Councilwoman Lanier can share her perspective as a member and
- what their expectation and understanding was, as opposed to the perception and the
- vnderstanding of the Manager.
- 734 Chairperson Botel: Ms. Lanier...
- 735 Councilperson McCoy: So, I would...
- 736 **Chairperson Botel:** ...would you, would like to defer to the Manger or would you
- 737 like to answer that question?
- 738 **Councilperson Lanier:** No., I, I wanted to just answer Mr. Lawson's question. And
- 739 his...
- 740 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Yes, ma'am.
- 741 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...question... His question was, he felt like, 'cause this what
- Mr. Miller-Anderson said, they felt like the oral..., that, that the oral didn't..., the oral didn't
- have a ranking to it. That, because the oral didn't have a one through a hundred, or a
- one through fifty, that that was.., it wasn't.., because [stammer] before, it was something
- that was done. Now, let me say this, the Committee and the rankings and the metrics, is,
- is a part of the Florida statute. So it wasn't done wrong, it was just done different.
- 747 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Right.
- 748 **Councilperson Lanier:** Alright. Second of all, second of all, we did not throw out the
- oral part of it. I mean, we didn't, we didn't, we didn't just get to the oral part and say, 'Oh,
- all that stuff we did for those three or four days on the written part, that's just, that's just
- outta here.' We did not do that. We brought the oral pres'.., I mean, we brought the
- written part to the oral to make the decision. And that's how we made the ranking, based
- on both. Not just based on, when we got to oral, everything else was just done.
- 754 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Follow up, Madam Chair.
- 755 **Chairperson Botel:** Go ahead.
- 756 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Councilwoman Lanier, [stammer], I apologize. I'm not saying
- that it was done wrong, it's just.., I wanna see what criteria was used for the oral. That's
- it. I just wanna see what scores, what position, what ranking, what responses because I
- wouldn't want the orals to be given in comparison to each respondent to the RFP, I would
- like a scoring to see, okay, what would you rank this individual, individually? This firm
- individually versus saying, 'Okay, I like them better than the last one I listened to.' And,
- in addition to that, they were done on separate days. So, just to have an actual scoring
- metrics, or something to show that these are how we ranked this oral presentation, similar
- to how the RFP was written, that each firm was given a certain point ranking.

- So, that was my concern. I didn't.., I just didn't see anything like that. And that was my question. It wasn't saying that anything was done wrong, I just wanna understand it.
- 768 **Councilperson Lanier:** No. Of course. Of course.
- 769 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Thank you, Thank you, Madam. Thank you, Dr. Botel,
- 770 Madam...
- 771 **Chairperson Botel:** You're welcome.
- 772 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** ... Chair.
- 773 **Chairperson Botel:** Could we hear from Mr. Evans now? If there's nobody.., no
- other comment from Council? Mr. Evans.
- 775 **Councilperson McCoy:** I have comments, I just don't have...
- 776 **Chairperson Botel:** I'm sorry. Go, go ahead.
- 777 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...[inaudible] Councilwoman Lanier, but I wanted to hear the
- answer so I, I wanna hear from Mr. Evans first.
- 779 **City Manager Evans:** Madam Chair and Members of the Board, and Ms. Pemsel can provide a little bit more insight. But, the, the criteria that was utilized, where the points
- were assigned was to shortlist the firms. Once the firms were shortlisted to the top three
- or four firms, then they moved into the next phase of the process where the oral
- presentations occurred. The oral presentations did not have any ranking, or any points
- associated with it. At the end, all the individual Committee members were asked to rank the firms that they think are number one, number two, number three based on all the
- information that they've been able to glean through the process, and that's when the
- Committee came up with, and consistent with the statute, for them to rank. So, they each
- presented their individual rankings and then Ms. Pemsel assembled that, and that's how
- you have the rankings that are before you this evening. It's not that.., the, the point system was to shortlist the firms to move into the next phase of the process, and then the
- was to shortlist the firms to move into the next phase of the process, and then the Committee members individually, based on everything that they've been involved in as it
- 792 relates to the audit, came with what their recommendations were for the Board to
- 793 consider.
- Ms. Pemsel, if you're on the line, is there anything else that you want to add as well to that point?
- 796 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yes. The way the criteria was set up is just as Mr.
- 797 Evans stated. The points were assigned very clearly into each of the criteria areas and it
- said that Phase 2 would get us to the oral presentations. And, after the oral presentations,
- the firms would be ranked. So, we were very clear about how we were going to, to rank
- and shortlist the firms. So he's correct, and we used the composite scores to do the
- shortlisting and then everything was considered in totality, the questions that they asked,

- the review of the proposals and the detailed questions that was asked during the
- presentations. Because each firm approaches things differently. So they were basing
- their decisions based on what they heard cumulatively.
- 805 **Chairperson Botel:** Ms. Pemsel?
- 806 Procurement Director Pemsel: Yes.
- 807 **Chairperson Botel:** Is that standard practice, in terms of how, how you have done
- 808 these kinds of evaluations in the past?
- 809 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yes, ma'am. The CCNA was just a Competitive
- 810 Consultant Negotiation Act, which originally had the same process for auditors to be used.
- 811 It's where you qualify the firms first and then you can ask for oral presentations, and at
- oral presentations, you rank them one, two and three. And the reason why that's
- important, is so that from there you can negotiate a contract with the top ranked firm. If
- you don't reach a contract with the top ranked firm, you go to the second one. And so,
- it's the same process, the CCNA. They only thing they added differently was that it would
- be done by the Audit Committee.
- 817 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Thank you. And when is the audit due?
- 818 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** I'm sorry?
- 819 **Chairperson Botel:** When is the audit due to the state?
- Procurement Director Pemsel: It's due to the state in September 2020.
- 821 Finance and Administrative Services Director Sherman: No. Nope.
- 822 [Inaudible comments]
- 823 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Mr. Sherman will weigh in on that.
- 824 [Chuckles]
- 825 Finance and Administrative Services Director Sherman: Yeah. The, the audit was
- actually due to the state yesterday. We're supposed to provide it to the state within two
- hundred and seventy days. Yeah. The end of June.
- 828 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Thank you. Mr. McCoy.
- 829 Councilperson McCoy: Ms. Pemsel, you referenced the Competitive Con'.., the
- 830 Consultants Competitive Negotiation Act. Is that correct?
- 831 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yes, sir.

- 832 Councilperson McCoy: Okay. In reviewing that, that doesn't apply to auditing
- services, so how would that even be of relevance when that's not even one of the
- professional services called out in the statute?
- Procurement Director Pemsel: In, in the past, that's what was used to be able to obtain
- auditing services. I think that ceased when they changed in the legislature about three
- years ago.
- 838 **Councilperson McCoy:** Okay. So, it wouldn't've been in 2009 that they changed it?
- 839 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** I don't recall the exact date.
- 840 **Councilperson McCoy:** Okay...
- Procurement Director Pemsel: But there's...
- 842 **Councilperson McCoy:** I, I guess my concern that you're referencing and you're
- suggesting to the Chairperson, is that this is almost like the practice based on the
- Consultant's Competitive Negotiation Act, which is Florida sta'.., statute 287.055, when
- clearly, that applies to architectural services and landscaping and surveying and that sort
- of thing. So that.., I don't understand how that would even be relevant or to suggest that
- that process is someway similar to how we select a auditor.
- Procurement Director Pemsel: I understand, Mr. McCoy. The similarities are, you
- receive the proposals based on, and reviewed, based on the qualifications only. You,
- you.., and then, after that, you can invite for oral presentations, and then you get the
- pricings and negotiate one by one. Those are the similarities.
- 852 **Councilperson McCoy:** Okay. But nothing is prescribed that this is the way, or even
- best practices for that matter, being that there's no reference to a auditing, because I, I
- don't understand that and I, I guess I should asked that before but that..., it clearly is, in
- my opinion, not even relevant other than it's more or less concerned with the actual
- gualifications, but that doesn't apply in itself.
- 857 **Councilperson Lanier:** Madam Chair?
- 858 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes, Ms. Lanier.
- 859 **Councilperson Lanier:** No. I just wanted to say that it, it may not be, Councilman
- McCoy, it may not be a practice.., and, and I don't even know, you're looking at it, you're
- looking at it yourself but it is a best practice, which means that it is not something that is
- wrong to use if you were going to use something to be able to come to a Florida statute.
- Now, this process was deliberate. All of this was done based on Florida statute, and
- based on how this is supposed to be set up. We didn't, we did nothing wrong in the
- process.
- Now, because we ha'...

- 867 Councilperson McCoy: Nobody...
- 868 **Councilperson Lanier:** Because.., because we're going back and forth, if you wanna
- make a motion to throw out the whole thing, you can. And, you can also make the motion
- to get the firm that you want to get. That's [stammer] the, the point here is that, the
- process and the work that we did was to bring to you, so that you didn't have to do the
- work, sitting here, that we would bring to you the top three firms. We recommended a
- firm, based on the Committee's recommendation. If you want to throw all of that out, you
- can. If you want to pick the one you want, you can.
- 875 Councilperson McCoy: Okay. Well, I...
- 876 **Councilperson Lanier:** But don't say... But don't.., we, we can't keep going back and
- forth as if the, the process is wrong.
- 878 **Councilperson McCoy:** I never said the process was wrong but she's referencing a
- specific section in the chapter that doesn't even agree, or even compare with, with
- auditing services. And, and to that point...
- 881 **Councilperson Lanier:** She's validating... She just validated the selection process of
- written and oral.
- 883 **Councilperson McCoy:** Okay. I never said that there was anything wrong. And I think,
- perhaps you shouldn't be so defensive because I think you said the same thing to
- 885 Councilperson...
- 886 Councilperson Lanier: No. I'm not being defensive.
- 887 **Councilperson McCoy:** My question is very simple. Since you actually were a part of
- that Committee as a member, what drove you as a member, or drove the members to
- suggest a process where you don't actually score orals in the same fashion that it's been
- done in the past, or that is done in other municipalities, or even other organizations such
- as the School Board, or such as Special Taxing District or any other government agency?
- Why did you guys choose this method as opposed to what we've already seen? Because
- section of the Competitive Consultant Act doesn't apply.
- 894 **Councilperson Lanier:** I answered that question. We chose this process because it
- is a process. It is a legal process. It is a best practice. It's just a different one than the
- 896 ones that we did before.
- 897 Councilperson McCoy: Okay. But [inaudible]...
- 898 **Councilperson Lanier:** And, and we're not the only...
- 899 Councilperson McCoy: [Stammer]...
- 900 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...one.., we're not...

- 901 Councilperson McCoy: [Stammer]...
- 902 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...only... Let me finish, Mr. McCoy. And we're not the only
- committee or entity that has used that process before. So, the only difference here is that
- 904 it is different. It's not wrong, it's not illegal, it's not, not a part of the statute, it's just a
- 905 different process. And that is it.
- 906 **Chairperson Botel:** I'm looking around, I see no hands raised. Mr. McCoy, would
- 907 you like to restate your motion?
- 908 **Councilperson McCoy:** I just wanted to make sure... And, Madam Chair, I, you know,
- here, here again, Ms. Pemsel didn't recognize it but let me go ahead and bluntly state it,
- 910 what was printed on the Agenda is absolutely statutorily incorrect.
- 911 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 912 **Councilperson McCoy:** So, I just wanted to make sure that I put it out there that what
- we were being asked to make a motion on, and I was expecting the Chairperson of that
- Committee to already have known this, or at least be aware that you can't make a
- recommendation of one firm. You send the rankings. So, I, you know, I wanted to see..,
- and, obviously the, the discussion has kinda led to Ms. Pemsel saying that this was a, a,
- a Committee member and also Councilwoman Lanier saying that this wasn't a issue of
- 918 pricing.
- So, obviously, I don't agree. Like, some of the other things that I've heard, so I,
- 920 you know, it's not my desire to appoint Grau & Associates because this clearly doesn't
- coincide with the rankings that we've heard. Even though, you know, the...
- 922 Councilperson Lanier: It doesn't coincide...
- 923 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...[inaudible]...
- 924 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...with the rankings?
- 925 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...suggested that this was some kind of way cumulative, it's
- 926 impossible and impractical. Especially, without having any scoring mechanisms to
- 927 actually support that. And, I don't support moving Grau forward as the City's auditor. So
- 928 I don't have a motion for that but I do want to see if there's any other discussion.
- 929 **Councilperson Lanier:** Madam Chair? Can I just...
- 930 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 931 Councilperson Lanier: ...say one more thing?
- 932 Chairperson Botel: Sure.

- 933 **Councilperson Lanier:** So, how, how do you just pick a firm and you were not a part
- of the whole process? So, what would be your basis for selecting the other firm, and you
- have not gone through this whole process that this Committee did over a month and a
- half to get to this point, what process would you use to get to the firm tonight that you're
- 937 going to select?
- 938 Councilperson McCoy: Well, Councilwoman Lanier, I actually didn't come up with a
- process yet because I wanted to see what your reasoning was and how you came to
- something that we believe seems to be arbitrary. And, we...
- 941 Councilperson Lanier: We...
- 942 **Chairperson Botel:** Excuse me. Excuse me, Mr. McCoy, please use the singular
- pronoun in the subject of that sentence.
- 944 **Councilperson McCoy:** Okay. Well clearly, Councilwoman Miller-Anderson, and also
- Councilman Lawson, also brought up those same questions but, quite honestly, I think
- 946 it's incumbent upon you as the representative member of this body to maybe bring back
- 947 to us a presentation on how you guys arrived at selecting that.., something that was
- completely untraditional. And you indicated best practices and I'm simply asking best
- 949 practices from where? Because I'm not seeing this anywhere, and I actually made a
- 950 diligent effort in going to look.
- But, I mean, if, if you don't wanna defend that, that's fine but...
- 952 Councilperson Lanier: And...
- 953 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...in spite of it, I wanted to kinda have a conversation with Ms.
- 954 Pemsel about our options moving forward. [Pause]. Are you...
- 955 **Chairperson Botel:** Do you have a...
- 956 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...there?
- 957 **Chairperson Botel:** Do you have a question? Do you have a question for Ms.
- 958 Pemsel?
- 959 **Councilperson McCoy:** I, I wanna know in the alternative, what, what are our options,
- 960 Ms. Pemsel? Because I don't agree with selecting who the Board recommended or
- 961 ranked.
- Procurement Director Pemsel: I, I'm not sure what options to recommend to you at this
- 963 point. I would probably be open for some more interpretation of 218 from our City
- 964 Attorney.
- 965 **Chairperson Botel:** Ms.., is.., Ms. Miller-Anderson, are you raising your hand?
- Yes. Ms. Miller-Anderson, you're recognized.

- 967 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I know Ms. Pemsel [stammer] Pemsel is looking
- 968 for Ms. Wynn to state her position but I think I heard several times that we could make a
- motion to go with any of these particular agencies, correct?
- 970 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes. And I think would be a good way forward, if Mr. McCoy
- would like to state his motion, we could take a vote on it. At least we would come to some
- 972 conclusion. But go ahead, Mr. Miller-Anderson.
- 973 **Councilperson Lanier:** And, and...
- 974 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: So...
- 975 **Chairperson Botel:** Let, let's let her finish, Shirley.
- 976 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** So, I mean, I, I.., I heard Ms. Lanier in terms of
- how you came up with your, your ranking for your oral presentations but I am not able to
- 978 make a connection for, objectively make a connection, with the rankings. And having
- 979 them a part of a group that went through this several times trying to get a City Manager,
- 980 with rankings that were kinda baseless, in my, in my opinion, it, it's very difficult to point
- to something to say exactly why this person was one, why this person was two and why
- this person was three. I think it's clearly stated, or at least you had some kind of rubric to
- go by, or some kind of criteria to go by with the written part. The oral part, I don't see that
- so I don't..., for me, I don't see why a lot of weight was put onto the oral presentation part.
- And so, you know, if we're gonna do.., continue more conversation that's fine but
- 986 I, I would certainly be willing to make a motion to go with the written and the highest score.
- 987 But I'll wait to...
- 988 Chairperson Botel: Uh...
- 989 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ... see what everyone was doing here.
- 990 Chairperson Botel: Well...
- 991 Councilperson McCoy: Well...
- 992 **Chairperson Botel:** ...I, I, I would like to make a statement. I trust that we each
- 993 provided the name of someone... I'm having feedback, sorry... provided the name of
- 994 someone we trusted to act responsibly and with a high degree of expertise to be the
- person we chose to be on that Committee. The person I chose was the Vice President
- at Price Waterhouse, and did audits for the better part of, well, I don't wanna give away
- 997 his age and... but, probably thirty years. I would expect that you all chose people who
- would have been equally qualified and I would suggest that we take a look at what they
- 999 recommended based on the fact that they used the written. The, the, the rankings that
- we saw, that were from the written, were just a jumping off spot to do the oral. The oral
- was.., that was what vetted them to be permitted to have an oral evaluation. Once they
- came in and did the oral presentations, then three of the..., I have to look at this carefully,
- three of the evaluators... Let me see if I got this right. Hang on. I want, I want everyone

to be aware of this 'cause the public doesn't have this information necessarily. Three of the evaluators, evaluated Grau & Associates as either number one or number two. Three of the evaluators recommended HCT as number three. And there's was a mixture of three's, two's and one's for S. Davis & Associates. It seems to me that it's quite reasonable to say, 'Okay. I'm gonna take a look at what's been presented to me in a written form. I'm gonna say firms A, B and C, okay, we, we.., if you're pretty close, especially the first two top firms were, as you said earlier, Ms. Pemsel, I think, six points apart.

Now, we've seen what you have in writing. Now you're gonna come in and be subjected to a number of questions from us, as a group of people who know a lot about audits, we're gonna listen to what you have to say and then we're going to rank you. I don't have to have..., I've done this before in hiring principals, for example, where you get the best of the best and then you have them come in and you evaluate them based on their oral presentation, based on the responses to oral questions that you ask, and then you rank them one, two, and three for example, as was done here. I think it's a perfectly legitimate process to use. So I'm gonna have to disagree with my colleagues and say that I would prefer that we go with the recommendation from a carefully selected Audit Committee, where those people who have vast experience with audits, unlike most of us I would guess, unless, perhaps, Mr. McCoy and Mr. Lawson who are accountants by trade and they have done some in the past. I would prefer that we go with the recommendation and, change the language, and I thank Mr. McCoy for raising that issue, change the langue of the Resolution to say that we would be accepting the Audit Committee's recommendation to go with the, the firm that they recommended.

I also have to say this. Who was our, who was our auditor for the last ten years about? Mr. Evans, do you have a response to that? Or Mr. M'.., Mr. Sherman? Who was our auditor for the last ten years?

1030 City Manager Evans: I...

Chairperson Botel: Who can respond to that question?

City Manager Evans: I believe the, the firm was HCT.

Chairperson Botel: Okay. Thank you. That's what I thought. So, what.., how.., what kind of issues have we had for the last ten years, that you could name? Probably five or ten, big ones, off the top of your head, namely, four.., several million dollars worth of [stammer] insurance that was paid to people who no longer worked here. Is that something that an auditing firm might have picked up and let us know about? Okay? Certain other issues. I won't even go into the litany of them. Things have happened here. Now, it may not be the responsibility of an auditing firm to find every little single thing that went wrong in a, in a, in a Council. I almost said School District. [Chuckle]. In a, in a, in a, in a City, but I would think that a good firm would have identified some of these issues. And that's my objection to going with what Mr. McCoy, Mr. Lawson and I, I think probably Ms. Miller-Anderson are proposing that we do, that we go to the third ranked firm here?

- 1044 Who has been a.., the firm for the District for some.., almost a decade? A firm that has
- not picked up on some of the issues that we've experienced over this decade?
- So, that, that's my position on it and I, I think it's probably time that we take a vote.
- 1047 Mr. McCoy, would you like to restate your motion so we can move on with this issue?
- 1048 Councilperson McCoy: So, I'm...
- 1049 **City Attorney Wynn:** Madam Chair?
- 1050 **Chairperson Botel:** Who's speaking? Ms. Wynn. Sorry, [inaudible].
- 1051 **City Attorney Wynn:** Yes. Under the statute, you all are required to inquire of the
- firms as to the basis of compensation. You have to do that before you select a firm. You
- at least have to do that.
- 1054 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. So we have to inquire as to Grau's basis of
- compensa'.., compensation and...
- 1056 **City Attorney Wynn:** All of the.., all three firms.
- 1057 **Chairperson Botel:** All three firms.
- 1058 **City Attorney Wynn:** Right. As to the basis of compensation.
- 1059 Councilperson McCoy: So, I was gonna ask a...
- 1060 Chairperson Botel: So...
- 1061 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...guestion. I'll wait.
- 1062 **Chairperson Botel:** So, just one sec. So, post'.., we have to postpone even further
- our audit? I mean, this thing was due at the state, when did Mr. Sherman say?
- 1064 Yesterday?
- 1065 City Attorney Wynn: No. You...
- 1066 **Chairperson Botel:** So, you're saying that...
- 1067 **City Attorney Wynn:** ...have to...
- 1068 **Chairperson Botel:** ...now... We have to now ask these three firms what the...
- 1069 **City Attorney Wynn:** That's why ...
- 1070 **Chairperson Botel:**compensation...
- 1071 **City Attorney Wynn:**they're... That's why they're on the line. So that they can
- participate in that with you. The statute says that the governing body shall inquire of

qualified firms, as to the basis of compensation. So, all of them, whatever they put in their

bid documents, have to let you know what they base their compensation on.

1075 **Chairperson Botel:** And, and it's appropriate to do that at a public meeting like

1076 this?

1077 **City Attorney Wynn:** You have to. Absolutely.

1078 Chairperson Botel: Okay.

1079 **City Attorney Wynn:** That's what the statue says.

1080 Chairperson Botel: I guess...

1081 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Excuse me.

1082 **Chairperson Botel:** ...we have to hear... Who's speaking? Ms. Pemsel.

1083 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yes. Chair. In the, in the, the, the statute, it talks about

the top ranked firm, and you have to negotiate and try to enter into a contract one by one.

So, it was my understanding that we could discuss the compensation of the top ranked

firm. If you don't reach a contract, then you can go to the other ones.

1087 **City Attorney Wynn:** That's not correct.

1088 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Is that...

1089 **City Attorney Wynn:** No. Ms. Pemsel, the statute says, the governing body shall

inquire of qualified firms, plural, as to the basis of compensation, select one of the firms

recommended by the Auditor Selection Committee and negotiate a contract. And then it

tells you the methods for negotiating the contract.

1093 [Inaudible comment]

1094 **City Attorney Wynn:** So, the Audit Committee recommended three firms, the

1095 Council has to inquire of those firms as to the basis of compensation.

1096 **Chairperson Botel:** So, and you're saying that we have to do that...

1097 **City Attorney Wynn:** Tonight.

1098 **Chairperson Botel:** ...in this public... Tonight? Okay.

1099 City Attorney Wynn: Yes.

1100 **Chairperson Botel:** Does the statue speak about the method for... the stat'...

statute is mute, is m'.., mute on the issue of the method for determining the top firm? Is

that up to the Council? Is it up to the Audit Committee? Is it up to...

- 1103 City Attorney Wynn: The statute says that the Audit Committee is to rank and
- recommend no.., in order of preference, which they did, no fewer than three firms deemed
- to be the most highly qualified.
- 1106 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 1107 **City Attorney Wynn:** After that, the governing body shall inquire the qualified firms
- as to the basis of compensation, select one of those firms recommended, and negotiate
- a contract. And then it talks about how you go about negotiating the contract, based on
- whether or not compensation was one of the factors established pursuant to the
- evaluation of the firms. In this instance, Ms. Pemsel has informed us that compensation
- was not one of the factors considered, and Ms. Lanier verified that, that they did not
- 1113 consider compensation. And so, you.., after you inquire, then you go into the paragraph
- of the statute that talks about how you get to the negotiation of the firms based on
- compensation not being a factor. If compensation were a factor, then we would move to
- a different paragraph in the statute.
- 1117 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. So, Mr. Evans, I suppose you can let us know which
- firms are represented because I don't know the.., I th'.., I see Mr. Roderick Harvey. I think
- 1119 he's a representative?
- 1120 **City Manager Evans:** Ms. Pemsel, if.., if, if you can walk the Board through that
- 1121 process.
- 1122 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** We received, in order to expedite the process,
- Procurement received the pricing for three of the firms. They are still sealed because we
- did not consider pricing, so that was not shared with the Committee at all. The only pricing
- that was shared was the pricing with the top ranked firm. If you hold on just a second, I
- will get the pricing for the other firms.
- I do not have the pricing for HCT, only for S. Davis & Associates, Grau and...
- Those two firms.
- 1129 **Chairperson Botel:** So how, how can we consider.., it wouldn't be a fair process if
- we didn't consider all of the firms? I mean, how, how do we manage that, Ms. Wynn?
- 1131 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** We... Yeah. We did request it several times from HCT
- and they did not provide it so I don't have that available, but I do have Grau and.., I do
- have Grau available and also S. Davis & Associates. And they're on the line too so they
- 1134 can share as well.
- 1135 Councilperson McCoy: Madam Chair?
- 1136 Chairperson Botel: Mr. McCoy.
- 1137 **Councilperson McCoy:** Ms. Pemsel, are you saying they're still sealed at this point?

- 1138 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** They were not provided to the Audit Committee. That's
- 1139 correct. They were not provided to... Only the top ranked firm was provided to the Audit
- 1140 Committee.
- 1141 City Attorney Wynn: I, I...
- 1142 Councilperson Lanier: Madam Chair?
- 1143 **City Attorney Wynn:**think, Mr. McCoy, what she's also saying is that HTC never
- submitted their compensation. So, no'.., no one, I guess, on the City side knows what
- their basis of..., what their dollar amount is. They never submitted it, although requested.
- 1146 **Councilperson Lanier:** And, Madam Chair?
- 1147 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Stammer]...
- 1148 Chairperson Botel: Yes. Ms. Lanier.
- 1149 **Councilperson McCoy:** May I finish?
- 1150 Councilperson Lanier: Madam Chair, we... Sorry, Mr. McCoy. Madam Chair, if we
- [stammer], in doing the whole process of this, going through each of these firms and
- reviewing their information, we, meaning not we, but the Purchasing Department, Ms.
- Pemsel, kept asking, she would tell us every meeting, 'HCT has not submitted their
- 1154 funding piece.' Next meeting, 'HCT has not submitted their funding piece.' So, if we're
- at the meeting to make a decision about this and they still have not submitted their funding
- piece, then that disqualifies them.
- 1157 **Unk.:** Ms. Wynn?
- 1158 **Councilperson Lanier:** We don't have it to make a decision with.
- 1159 **Chairperson Botel:** Ms. Miller-Anderson, and then.., well, if Ms. Wynn can weigh
- in on that. Ms. Miller-Anderson.
- 1161 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** So, okay. So, my question is now, if that's the
- case, why are they being presented to us if they did not do everything that you're saying
- they were supposed to do? Ms., Ms. Pemsel?
- 1164 **Chairperson Botel:** I don't think they were... They weren't being presented to us.
- 1165 Grau was being presented to us.
- 1166 **Councilperson McCoy:** Which is still wrong, Madam Chair.
- 1167 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: No.
- 1168 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** That's wrong. Because it's not...
- 1169 Chairperson Botel: Okay.

- 1170 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: It sounds like...
- 1171 **Chairperson Botel:** I'm, I'm just saying...
- 1172 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...the whole process... It seems like the whole
- process may have started off with.., as, a flaw. If you're saying that you all did not take...
- 1174 Ms. Wynn, are you saying they needed to take the'.., into consideration the amounts?
- 1175 Bef'... When they started to do... No?
- 1176 **City Attorney Wynn:** No. They, they planned.., my understanding is that when
- they..., when this started, that they planned not to consider compensation. However, Ms.
- Pemsel and the Procurement Department asked for the compensation and she did not
- receive it from HTC. Ms. Pemsel, is that correct?
- 1180 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** But somebody said that they did not take that
- into... I think it was Ms. Lanier said...
- 1182 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yeah.
- 1183 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...they were not taking that into consideration
- when they were doing their...
- 1185 Councilperson Lanier: No. We took...
- 1186 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: ...discussions.
- 1187 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...we took none of.., we took none of the funding pieces from
- any of the agencies into consideration. We wanted to...
- 1189 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Okay.
- 1190 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...we wanted to rank them, and we wanted to review them
- based on qualifications and quality assurance, not money. So...
- 1192 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Okay.
- 1193 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...the money part comes in now. Where the Committee
- submits three firms, gives you a top firm and you decide, this Board decides where they
- wanna go with that based on now looking at the money part.
- 1196 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Okay. And if we didn't get the funding amount
- 1197 from the one firm...
- 1198 **Councilperson Lanier:** Then that's...
- 1199 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...why are they being presented to us if they
- 1200 don't qualify?

- 1201 **Councilperson Lanier:** They are, they are on the list. We're not presenting them to
- 1202 you. All we're saying is that, we have these top three firms, one of these firms did not
- present their money. You, this Board, decides to say if they still want to hear them. But
- all we did was present the top three to you. But we did ask for that information from this
- 1205 firm throughout the whole process.
- 1206 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Okay.
- 1207 Chairperson Botel: Alright. Mr. McCoy and I think Mr. Lawson, but he just
- 1208 stepped away. Mr. McCoy.
- 1209 **Councilperson McCoy:** I'm sorry. Did you just say you were asking throughout the
- process if.., if you weren't concerning yourself with it, why would you need to ask?
- 1211 **Councilperson Lanier:** No. That's what Ms. Pemsel was telling us, that she had been
- requesting it, but she had not received it. When we asked what agencies, what they were
- missing. What they had not sent in, that firm was the one that, that she said, 'I just have
- 1214 not gotten that piece from them yet.'
- 1215 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** If I may? And this was asked after all of the
- deliberations had concluded. After the ranking took place. I asked the firms earlier than
- that, but it was coming only to Procurement. It was never shared with the Committee.
- 1218 **Never**.
- 1219 Councilperson McCoy: Well...
- 1220 **Councilperson Lanier:** So that's basically three levels of review. This level of review
- that, this third level, is for the Council to do and they have to consider money. We never
- 1222 considered money.
- 1223 **Councilperson McCoy:** Even though you said that you guys requested it?
- 1224 **Councilperson Lanier:** I just.., I did not say I said we, I said Ms. Pemsel requested it,
- as a part of Procurement. I did not say that the Committee requested this. This was...
- 1226 Councilperson McCoy: And I'm ask'...
- 1227 **Councilperson Lanier:**something that she told us, this... No. We did not request
- it. I'm telling you. Ms. Pemsel requested it. The Purchasing Department requested it.
- 1229 **Councilperson McCoy:** I, I can't hear you. Did you say you requested it?
- 1230 **Councilperson Lanier:** You know what?
- 1231 Chairperson Botel: Ms. Pemsel?
- 1232 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yes, ma'am.
- 1233 Councilperson McCoy: Hello.

- 1234 **Chairperson Botel:** Mr. McCoy is asking a question, I think.
- 1235 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yes. I requested it.
- 1236 Chairperson Botel: [Chuckle].
- 1237 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Madam Chair?
- 1238 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes, Mr. Lawson.
- 1239 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Yes. I was just pulling up the RFP and... Hold on. 'Cause I
- remember reading in the RFP, it saying that it specifically requested not to provide pricing,
- as to not be disqualified. But I'm just trying to find it so I can give you a reference point.
- 1242 Give me one second.
- 1243 **Chairperson Botel:** Well, Ms. Pemsel, you issued the RFP, is that what it says?
- 1244 Just so that Mr. Lawson can.., you can help Mr. Lawson...
- 1245 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yes.
- 1246 Chairperson Botel: It did?
- 1247 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yes.
- 1248 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 1249 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Mhmm. Yes. But that was only in connection with
- them submitting their proposals. We wanted to make sure that since they were looking
- at only qualifications, that price wasn't submitted with the proposal. That was the...
- 1252 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: So...
- 1253 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** ...purpose of, of that.
- 1254 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** So, when was the proposal requested... I'm sorry, when was
- the price requested from the three firms?
- 1256 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** After...
- 1257 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Because it looks like...
- 1258 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Go ahead.
- 1259 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** So, when.., so, it was requested after the RFP process, after
- the review was completed?
- 1261 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yes, sir.
- 1262 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Got you. Okay.

- 1263 **Chairperson Botel:** Ms. Pemsel, would, would the firm who... I'm sorry, Mr.
- 1264 McCoy, go ahead.
- 1265 **Councilperson McCoy:** So, Pemsel, are you saying that there is something in the
- solicitation that says that they are not to submit it?
- 1267 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yes. That's correct. We wanted to...
- 1268 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Inaudible]...
- 1269 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** ...ensure that when they submitted their proposal, their
- 1270 qualifications, the Audit Committee, when they drafted the solicitation, they were very
- clear that their goal was to look at their qualifications.
- 1272 Councilperson McCoy: Okay...
- 1273 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** And not their..., and not their pricing. So, that's the
- statement that..., what was included in the solicitation as a result.
- 1275 **Chairperson Botel:** But you asked them to submit that information after the... At
- what point did you ask them? Before the oral presentations or after?
- 1277 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yes.
- 1278 **Chairperson Botel:** It doesn't matter but... But you've asked...
- 1279 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** [Inaudible].
- 1280 **Chairperson Botel:**them and they haven't...
- 1281 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yeah. Between the time the oral presentations was
- taking place so that at the end of the deliberations, I could provide it to them.
- 1283 Chairperson Botel: Okay. And...
- 1284 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** [Inaudible]...
- 1285 **Chairperson Botel:** ...they haven't...
- 1286 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** ...they were not shared with the Committee.
- 1287 **Chairperson Botel:** When, when.., how recently did you ask them to provide you
- 1288 with that information?
- 1289 Procurement Director Pemsel: Let's see. I think.., I have for S. Davis & Associates, I'd
- have to look at their exact email but I think it was around the end of May, like, May, May...
- No, I'm sorry, early June because we had scheduled the first presentations at the 11th.
- 1292 So, it was around that time. Either a week before...

- 1293 Unk.: [Inaudible].
- 1294 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay.
- 1295 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** ...that time that I requested that.
- 1296 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. So, they've had almost...
- 1297 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** [Inaudible]...
- 1298 **Chairperson Botel:** They had almost a month to provide you with that information?
- 1299 I'm getting feedback. Is that your... And they haven't. Would, would you typically
- disqualify a firm if they hadn't provided the information you requested?
- 1301 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Typically, yes. At this.., I probably wouldn't disqualify
- them at this point because of where they were in the rankings.
- 1303 **Chairperson Botel:** So you, you're.., you were assuming that they weren't.., they
- wouldn't be chosen anyway because they were third in the ranking?
- 1305 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Exactly.
- 1306 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 1307 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** And, even...
- 1308 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Ma'...
- 1309 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** ...after oral presentation, I requested them to send the
- pricing, you know, again.
- 1311 Chairperson Botel: Right. Okay.
- 1312 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Madam Chair?
- 1313 Chairperson Botel: Mr., Mr. Lawson.
- 1314 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Thank you. Just a follow up. Ms. Wynn, requirements in
- regards to RFP, wouldn't we have to resubmit or do an amendment to this RFP, or submit
- it in writing to all three firms, to give them the opportunity to provide their financials or
- their, their bid for this proposal? To make it legal?
- 1318 **City Attorney Wynn:** I can't answer that question, Mr. Lawson. I think only Ms.
- Pemsel can. I don't get involved, and never have, on the RFP side.
- 1320 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Okay. Because, from my understanding, it would have to be
- a legal process of issuing a written proposal to all responsive firms to provide financials
- since the RFP that was issued originally said do not submit your financials. So there

41

would have to be an addendum to the RFP submitted to all three firms.

- 1324 **Chairperson Botel:** Well, I think, Mr. Lawson, certainly a communication from the
- Purchasing Director to all three firms would, would suffice to have those firms submit their
- financials. I mean, you wouldn't have to have a new RFP. They've already replied to the
- 1327 RFP or RFQ, if we wanna call it that, and now the.., our Purchasing Director has asked
- them to provide financials and they've refused to do so.
- 1329 Councilperson McCoy: [Inaudible].
- 1330 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** I, I think there has to be... I'm...
- 1331 Councilperson McCoy: [Inaudible].
- 1332 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: ...I'm sorry. I, I don't, I don't think that's the process, Madam
- 1333 Chair. I think an addendum to the RFP has to be submitted...
- 1334 **Chairperson Botel:** Ms. Pemsel...
- 1335 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** ...because the original...
- 1336 Chairperson Botel: Ms. Pemsel, could...
- 1337 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Because the original...
- 1338 Chairperson Botel: ...you respond to that.
- 1339 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: ...RFP that was submitted specifically requested for no
- financials. So, from my understanding, legally, you would have to submit an addendum
- to the RFP to make it a, a legal required document to add to this RFP process. So, a, a
- text message, email, or phone call from our Director is not... doesn't suffice to provide
- financials for a RFP response.
- 1344 Chairperson Botel: Ms. Pemsel?
- 1345 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** The solicitation has language in there that we can ask
- any additional questions or anything that we need to make a decision about the solicitation
- itself. It is not unusual to.., for the Procurement Department to reach out and gather
- additional information to finalize that.
- 1349 And generally, addendums are issued while the solicitation is still open. It is not
- normally the practice to amend the solicitation. They knew that we wanted pricing, they...
- we just wanted to be clear to not submit it with the proposal.
- 1352 **Councilperson McCoy:** Well, I, I don't know that you were clear, and I've asked twice
- now, where exactly within the solicitation does it speak to not sending in or setting a price?
- 1354 Ms. Pemsel? Or anybody that would... Actually, Ms.., Councilwoman Lanier, they would
- have created it. Do you know where you guys would've put in anything about not
- 1356 submitting a price?

- 1357 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** I think...
- 1358 **Councilperson Lanier:** No. This was... Go ahead, Ms. Pemsel.
- 1359 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** I'm sorry. I think the page where we asked for the
- hours, it may be on that page.
- 1361 Councilperson McCoy: Okay.
- 1362 Councilperson Lanier: So look at that.
- 1363 **City Attorney Wynn:** I have a question.
- 1364 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** [Inaudible], Councilwoman Lanier.
- 1365 **City Attorney Wynn:** Because.., and, and maybe this could move this along.
- 1366 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 1367 **City Attorney Wynn:** Ms. Pemsel, if they haven't submitted their compensation, are
- they disqualified or are they still in the running, if you will?
- 1369 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** At this point, we don't have anything to make a decision
- with. We don't have anything for the Council to consider as.., in accordance with your,
- 1371 your comments on the statute, that they review the pricing. The only fee schedules we
- have available are from Grau & Associates and from S. Da'.., and, and S. Davis &
- 1373 Associates. So that's all we can consider. So, if it, if it's the will of the Board to disqualify
- them, then they can do so on that basis.
- 1375 Councilperson Lanier: Madam Chair?
- 1376 Chairperson Botel: [Inaudible]...
- 1377 **Councilperson Lanier:** I don't think, I don't think...
- 1378 **City Attorney Wynn:** But if it wasn't a requirement, how do they get to
- disqualification? That's what I'm trying to understand.
- 1380 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Well, that's why they.., we didn't.., the Audit Committee
- did not, and neither did I, recommend disqualification. But it seems that there's an issue
- of responsiveness at this point, because of where we are in the process.
- 1383 Councilperson McCoy: But, let me...
- 1384 **Chairperson Botel:** I'm trying to do this in order. Ms. Miller-Anderson had her
- hand up first, then Mr. McCoy and then Ms. Lanier. Miller-Anderson.
- 1386 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** So that, that's concerning to me. I agree with
- what Mr. Lawson is saying, you have to.., people need to know when they are bidding on

something, they need to know what all of the rules to the game are, you know, as they're.., before they put in. And, I definitely don't suggest that we disqualify them. I think that would be very unfair if you're saying now, tonight, well they didn't submit it unless you can point directly to the specific language in the RFP that says that it needed to.., the only way you could be considered is if that amount was presented. If that's not in there, I don't s'.., I don't see following that, that line of action.

The other thing, I, I wanna be very clear and make sure the public is understanding of the comments that Dr. Botel made in regards to HCT being our Auditor, being our CPA for the past ten years, and basically, placing the blame on them for the insurance issues and all of the other things that have occurred that may have been as a result of them not identifying it. And, I don't know if Mr. Sherman or Ms. Wynn can e'.., can kinda weigh in on that because, you know, we do not want to slander s'.., anyone. I, I think that would be... Unless that ha'.., if that had ever been an issue, that should've been brought, brought up many times ago. We could've gotten rid of them a long time ago if that was the issue, and to... And I'm not sure if the Audit Committee had that thought in their head when.., or, were aware of that or even considered that when they decided to do their oral rankings. I would, I would assume that would not be the case but I certainly need to make sure that we put on record, if that is the case, that they were poss'.., they possibly overlooked all of that and if so, how did they overlook it? What should they have caught? And if not, if they did, why are we just now bringing it up tonight? Because I don't think it's fair to just throw stuff out there. You need to have facts.

1409 Councilperson Lanier: Madam Chair?

1394

1395

1396 1397

1398

1399

1400

1401

1402

1403 1404

1405

1406

1407

1408

- 1410 **Chairperson Botel:** Mr. Sherman, did you wanna res'... I think [stammer] Ms.
- 1411 KaShamba Miller.., Ms. Miller-Anderson was asking Mr. Sherman...
- 1412 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Or Ms. Wynn.
- 1413 **Chairperson Botel:** ...a question, I think. Or Ms. Wynn. Mr. Sherman or...
- 1414 Finance and Administrative Services Director Sherman: Okay. The, the issue that
- we had with the insurance, I do not think would've been picked up through normal internal
- review. 'Cause the issue was, you're looking for the authority to pay, you're looking to
- make sure that it's a City expense and the process that was going along, someone from
- Human Resource was signing the invoices. So, if any auditor selected that invoice for
- review, they would've looked at it, would've said it's our health insurance, City expense
- and it's been approved by a department. So, I don't think that that would've necessarily
- have, have picked that up. The way that we picked it up in Finance is during the review
- process during an enrollment period, where the numbers, the counts that were being
- provided to us by the insurance company did not match the counts that were... of our
- employees. There were far more members in the insurance count than in the City count.
- And then digging a little deeper, that's where we found the discrepancies. And then,
- obviously, you go back and that's how we found, you know, that there were people on the
- insurance count that shouldn't've been on there.

- So, I don't think a normal internal control process, you know, I mean, Mr. Harvey
- and I have done them for the last five, seven years, however many years it's been. I, you
- know, it.., I don't think that would've...
- 1431 Councilperson Lanier: Madam...
- 1432 Finance and Administrative Services Director Sherman: ...been picked up during
- that process.
- 1434 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** And, and... Hold... Let me finish, please. Let
- me finish, please. That's what I wanted to make sure that we were very clear on that, just
- arbitrarily throwing that out there and if there were issues, I've been on the Council for
- 1437 five years, I have never heard of that, the, the allegations of the fact that he did not.., his
- organization did not pick up on something. So, I certainly don't want... I don't think it's fair
- to put that out there because we're talking about a business' reputation, and so, Dr. Botel,
- 1440 I really would, would...
- 1441 Chairperson Botel: I, I...
- 1442 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...like to hear you respond to that. Okay?
- 1443 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. I, I, I regret saying anything that might've hurt
- someone's reputation and I think that we can move on. I think Mr. McCoy is...
- 1445 Councilperson McCoy: Yes. 'Cause [inaudible] wanna ask that question that
- 1446 KaShamba Mill'.., Councilwoman Miller-Anderson asked, is that a consideration of the
- 1447 Committee?
- 1448 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Right.
- 1449 **Councilperson Lanier:** And let me.., and let me, Madam Chair, let me say...
- 1450 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 1451 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...that that was not a consideration of the Committee.
- 1452 Councilperson McCoy: Okay.
- 1453 **Councilperson Lanier:** The, the Committee wanted to have.., the, the conversation
- that the Committee had around this [stammer] the, the length of time that the, the firm
- was a part of the City.., the City's employee, was the fact that they wanted to look at fresh
- 1456 eyes, they wanted to get..., and it's typical for a government and nonprofit agencies, in five
- to seven years, to be able to, to change firms. They wanted to.., [stammer], let me put it
- this way, we were not talking about so much changing firms, as we were looking for
- 1459 something different.
- 1460 **Councilperson McCoy:** Okay. Well that...

- 1461 **Councilperson Lanier:** So, there was no, there was no, there was no co'.., there was
- no conversa'.., not, not so much conversation but there was no merit or a point to sign
- because an agency, or the firm that we have, did not pick up on something in the past.
- 1464 That was, that was, that wasn't used in terms of metrics.
- 1465 Councilperson McCoy: But...
- 1466 **Councilperson Lanier:** What we had a conversation about, if there was anything
- close to this, it was a conversation about the length of time that the firm had been at the
- 1468 City and how are we going to look at fresh new ideas, and that was one of the main
- reasons that we did have the oral piece to it because we wanted to see those fresh ideas.
- 1470 And it didn't matter who they came from.
- 1471 **Councilperson McCoy:** But, if I'm clear, you just said you guys were looking to change
- every five to seven years. But that wasn't the...
- 1473 **Councilperson Lanier:** I did not... No. We did not.., I did not say that, Mr. McCoy. I
- said nonprofits and governmental entities typically change audit firms every five to seven
- 1475 years.
- 1476 **Councilperson McCoy:** Okay. My mistake.
- 1477 **Councilperson Lanier:** And I'm not saying that we used that either, as a basis for our
- rankings. These.., this is all conversations that you can go back to the recordings, over
- those..., over that month and a half to show the conversations that we had. There was no
- disparaging remarks about a particular firm or not, there was just conversation about how
- we would want to look at, not what they, what they were going to charge us, but looking
- at the actual quality of work they were gonna bring to the table for the City. And that was
- 1483 it
- 1484 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Could I ask either Mr. Lawson or Ms. Miller-
- 1485 Anderson to come back on the screen so we have quorum. Oh wait, we do. I'm sorry.
- 1486 We, we have three. Never mind.
- 1487 Councilperson McCoy: Question. So...
- 1488 **Chairperson Botel:** Any other.., anything else? 'Cause we really... We've
- 1489 taken...
- 1490 Councilperson McCoy: I [inaudible]...
- 1491 **Chairperson Botel:** I know this is important but go ahead Mr. McCoy.
- 1492 Councilperson McCoy: So, it, it clearly says here, and I was able to locate it by
- searching the RFP, in bold and underlined, do not submit any hours or billing rates comma
- doing so will result in disqualification. So, so are we beyond that? Because I, I mean, I
- don't know if this is the reason or perhaps we should guery the firm that didn't submit but

- are we beyond the point of considering that [audio skipped] not submitting their rates was
- 1497 some kind of a...
- 1498 **Councilperson Lanier:** If they... I'm sorry, Mr. McCoy, I didn't mean to interrupt you.
- 1499 Go ahead.
- 1500 Councilperson McCoy: My question was, are we beyond that point, or is that still a
- issue of this body, that they didn't submit the numbers when I see something in the RFP
- that gives that direction not to? And...
- 1503 Chairperson Botel: Well...
- 1504 Councilperson McCoy: ...[inaudible]...
- 1505 Chairperson Botel: Go ahead.
- 1506 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...going through this, if you look at the statute, the statue kinda
- refers to this process as the Council, or the governing body, I, I believe it says, shall
- negotiate a contract with a firm. Is that.., you know, obviously, this must be something
- very new, relatively new, to negotiate with a firm but is that a reason not to submit your
- financials ahead of time? Ms. Pemsel or perhaps...
- 1511 **Chairperson Botel:** Mr... Mr. Evans looks like he wants to respond. Mr. Evans.
- 1512 **City Manager Evans:** If it.., as, as it relates to whether.., obviously, I think it's
- acutely, or it's, it's abundantly obvious that the top three firms are going to remain in the
- process for some type of selection by the Council. I would recommend to be able to bring
- this to some type of conclusion, that you set an amount not to exceed the amount that
- was proffered in the Agenda Item and then, obviously, if you..., those other firms are
- selected, then we negotiate within those parameters and then execute the contract
- accordingly. Because I think what we're having is a situation where one firm hasn't
- provided that number, the other firms have provide provided their numbers and it's, you
- know, adding some additional confusion to the process. So, if you, if you want to select
- whichever audit firm, as long as we know what that threshold is, then we can operate
- 1522 accordingly and, and execute a contract within the amount that's budgeted for
- professional services for auditing.
- 1524 **Councilperson Lanier**: Madam Chair?
- 1525 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes, Ms. Lanier.
- 1526 **Councilperson Lanier:** I, I just think that we should have the firms to submit the, the
- amount that they want to charge the City, have HCT go first. They had'.., they hadn't put
- their information in, have them go first and then have, have the next one go, and have
- 1529 the next one go and then we go from there. I think we're kinda getting bogged down
- 1530 and...
- 1531 **Chairperson Botel:** We are.

- 1532 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...you know, this kind of back and forth... So have HCT to
- provide their funding amounts, have the other firms to provide their funding amounts and
- then lets go from there.
- 1535 **Chairperson Botel:** Alright. Ms. Pemsel, do you have a suggestion about, I think
- it was..., who was the HCT representative?
- 1537 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** There were two. There's a Mr. Padman [sp], also Mr.
- 1538 Harvey.
- 1539 **Chairperson Botel:** And are, are the representatives from the other firms also in
- the meeting?
- 1541 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yes, they are, ma'am.
- 1542 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. So let's hear from Mr. Harvey with regard to his fees.
- 1543 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Madam Chair, quick question before we go to Mr. Harvey. Do
- we.., Ms. Pemsel, do we have a Cone of Silence on this proposal? This RFP?
- 1545 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yes. A...
- 1546 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** When does it expire?
- 1547 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** At the time of decision. [Chuckle].
- 1548 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** You mean, after tonight's decision?
- 1549 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yes. So, it's now in..., it's now sort of in a open forum.
- 1550 So...
- 1551 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Mhmm.
- 1552 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yeah. So, the Cone of Silence kind of ended once we
- 1553 hit the open forum, tonight.
- 1554 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Okay. Thank you, Ms. Pemsel. Thank you, Madam Chair.
- 1555 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Ms. Pemsel. You were calling on Mr. Harvey, I
- 1556 think. Mr. Harvey?
- 1557 **Mr. R. Harvey:** Yes. Can you hear me?
- 1558 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 1559 **Mr. R. Harvey:** Thank you so much.
- 1560 **Chairperson Botel:** No. You're getting terrible feedback. Yeah.

48

- 1561 Mr. R. Harvev: Hold on. [Pause]. Yes. Good afternoon. Thank you so much for the opportunity to speak to the Mayor and Council. We appreciate the opportunity. 1562
- 1563 One question we have as it relates to the pricing, or the question as posed by the 1564 Attorney, the basis for our compensation is based on the number of hours that we budget for the engagement. It is also based on the skill and talent of the auditors assigned, and 1565 also the CPA's that is assigned. One guick note, parenthetical note, is the RFP lists Mr. 1566 Ricky Little as the key contact. It was never identified to us there was anyone different 1567
- and because of Attachment 1, which clearly says disqualification, we were looking for, 1568
- and we did discuss with Mrs. Pemsel, about a formal letter or documentation that we 1569
- could stand on because we did not wanna be disqualified. At this time, I am not sure if 1570
- 1571 the Committee wants a flat number, which we can provide for our auditing services, or if
- 1572 they want a scale score based on the category. And either way, we are prepared to
- provide that information to the Council tonight. 1573
- 1574 Ms. Pemsel, for purposes of comparison, what information do Chairperson Botel:
- you have from the other firms who did submit their financials? Or their... 1575
- 1576 Procurement Director Pemsel: I. I do have their...
- 1577 **Chairperson Botel:** ...compensation?
- 1578 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** I do have their five year fee schedule.
- 1579 Chairperson Botel: Okay. So that's what we would want to see from HCT?
- 1580 Okay. Consistent with our other pricing, we would provide a five year Mr. R. Harvey:
- fee schedule, that will be flat, without any, any escalators. And, our fee for each 1581
- engagement year will be \$90,980. And that's based on the hours and based on the skill. 1582
- and CPA, of the CPA's assigned to the team. 1583
- 1584 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. And, Mr. Harvey, just so that I'm clear, you, you
- 1585 did not respond to Ms. Pemsel's request for this information because you didn't know that
- Mr. Little was no longer the director? 1586
- 1587 No. That is incorrect. We did provide to Mrs. Pemsel, via, via email, Mr. R. Harvey:
- we asked her to identify herself. We did not know who she was. We also stated to her 1588
- that Attachment 1 clearly says do not give any prices or budgets, and also, I believe, that 1589
- my assistant had a discussion with Mrs. Pemsel regarding it. So, we believe that upon 1590
- 1591 selection for this discussion, that we could provide our pricing. So, we' appreciate the
- opportunity to discuss these items with you now. 1592
- 1593 Thank you. Okay. Ms. Pemsel, who else is here? **Chairperson Botel:**
- 1594 Procurement Director Pemsel: We have Grau & Associates, and also, S. Davis &
- 1595 Associates.
- 1596 Okay. Let's hear from either one. I don't care, you pick. Chairperson Botel:

- 1597 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Grau & Associates, please.
- 1598 **Ms. R. McIntosh:** Good evening, Madam Chair, Members of the City Council. My name is Raquel McIntosh. And, I am an audit partner with the firm. I would like to thank you for allowing us to submit a proposal for the audit services for the City.

We have sent our proposed fees to Ms. Pemsel. We also base our fees on the number of hours, the quality of our staff. In our staffing plan, we have no staff auditors working on the audit, we only have managers and senior auditors, who are all CPA's. And so, the skill level of our auditors is a factor in our price. We also have a very detailed audit plan that we follow, that includes a detailed IT review. And so, based on the City's size and complexity and the number of hours we believe that it will take to perform the audit services, our fee for the total of five years would be \$460,000 or \$92,000 a year.

- 1608 Chairperson Botel: Thank you.
- 1609 **Councilperson Lanier:** I'm sorry. Have her repeat that.
- 1610 Chairperson Botel: \$92,000 a year.
- 1611 Councilperson Lanier: Okay.
- 1612 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. And, Ms. Pemsel, the last firm.
- 1613 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** The last firm is S. Davis & Associates. Madam Chair,
- if I may ask? I wanted to clarify, HCT, that their fees include CRA as well. Mr. Harvey,
- 1615 can you confirm that your fees include CRA as well, please?
- 1616 **Mr. R. Harvey:** Yes. Because the RFP includes the City and the CRA.
- 1617 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Thank you. S. Davis & Associates. I believe it's Ms.
- 1618 Tanya Davis.
- 1619 **Ms. T. Davis:** Yes. Thank you so much for the opportunity to, to present the basis
- 1620 for our, our fee.

As is often customary, Procurement or the selection committee can ask for 1621 1622 additional information after the..., after proposals and bids are submitted and upon request by the Procurement Director, we did answer and present our fee proposal, probably within 1623 twenty-four hours of that request, if not the same day. Our proposal, fee proposal as well, 1624 is, is based on the number of hours we anticipate the, the audit taking, it's based on the 1625 1626 skill level of the auditors that we expect to assign to the engagement. We do have auditors from partner level to staff level included in, in what we think the assignment we 1627 think will be assigned, however, I would like to point out that there's a [audio breaking up] 1628 1629 percentage of our anticipated hours that are attributed to senior management, the managers themselves and the partners. The [inaudible] Managing Partner, Shaun Davis 1630

is a quality review partner.

We also, just considering the, the structure of our firm and as our price proposal states, because we understand how the national, state and local declining economy'... economies have impacted governmental entities, we took what we thought would be our price based on hours, and standard hourly rates and then we provided a discount to provide to the City. When we applied that discount, we were very much in line, I believe with the pricing for the previous contract year. And so, with our discounts and the number of hours that we expect to, to put into the audit which we think would be somewhere around five hundred hours, that discount goes to a total all-inclusive maximum price for the five years of \$275,000, which again was very much in line with the previous audit contract.

- 1642 And I will entertain any other questions.
- **Chairperson Botel:** That's fifty-five... Go.., go ahead, Ms. Miller-Anderson.
- **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** What was the amount again?
- **Chairperson Botel:** Five hundred...
- **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** [Inaudible]...
- **Chairperson Botel:**and se'..., five hundred and seventy-five I think you said, Ms.
- 1648 Davis.
- **Ms. T. Davis:** No. No.
- 1650 Chairperson Botel: I mean...
- **Ms. T. Davis**: It was
- **Chairperson Botel:** ...I mean, Two hundred and seventy-five?
- **Ms. T. Davis:** Two hundred and seventy-five, including the discount that we
- 1654 applied.
- **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** That's per year or for the whole five years?
- **Ms. T. Davis:** For the five year period. And again, for that five.., that discount with
- the five year period was very...
- **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** So you want me...
- **Ms. T. Davis:** ...close to...
- **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I gotta do some math. Okay.
- **Chairperson Botel:** Fifty-five a year.

- 1662 **Ms. T. Davis:** Okay. Which was, which was in line with the previous contract
- period.
- 1664 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Okay. Thank you.
- 1665 **Councilperson McCoy:** Councilwoman from the 4th District, I would definitely hope that
- wasn't gonna be for a year.
- 1667 Chairperson Botel: [Chuckle].
- 1668 Councilperson McCoy: So.
- 1669 **Chairperson Botel:** There's a pretty big difference there between that and the
- other two but I guess that's part of the reasons why you didn't wanna consider pricing,
- 1671 you just wanted to look at qualifications. But...
- 1672 **Unk.:** Exactly.
- 1673 **Chairperson Botel:** ...nevertheless. Any comments, Ms. Pemsel?
- 1674 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Madam Chair, I did want to ensure that everyone
- understood that in the solicitation, on Page 3, Paragraph 5, it says the City reserves the
- right before awarding the contract to require respondent to submit additional evidence of
- its qualifications, as it may deem necessary. And so, that's where we could ask for any
- additional information from the proposers.
- 1679 Chairperson Botel: Right.
- 1680 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** No further comments.
- 1681 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Okay. Mr. McCoy, do you wanna... Obviously,
- the Resolution that we had in front of us is not something that's acceptable given that it,
- it calls for Staff recommendations, et. cetera. Did you want to state... restate your motion,
- 1684 your substitute motion?
- 1685 Councilperson McCoy: Well, I, I... Actually, no. I, I, I wanted to make sure that we
- started a conversation, but I thought we were at the direction of what Ms. Wynn said as
- to inquire. Was that the inquiry?
- 1688 Chairperson Botel: That was...
- 1689 Councilperson McCoy: Was that...
- 1690 **Chairperson Botel:** ...the inquiry.
- 1691 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...the prices.
- 1692 **Chairperson Botel:** That, that... Ms. Wynn, go ahead.

- 1693 **City Attorney Wynn:** Yes. Yes, I believe so. Ms. Pemsel?
- 1694 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yeah. Yes.
- 1695 **Councilperson McCoy:** Okay. So, I need some direction and understanding the other
- portion about the negotiation. Like, how do we do that?
- 1697 Councilperson Lanier: Madam Chair?
- 1698 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes. Ms..., well, I, I.., we might wanna have a response from
- either Ms... If you could wait a second, Ms. Lanier, we might wanna have a response
- 1700 from Ms. Wynn or Ms. Pemsel, because Mr. McCoy asked a question.
- 1701 **Councilperson McCoy:** Right. There's a [inaudible]...
- 1702 City Manager Evans: Madam Chair?
- 1703 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...that's prescribed for, so...
- 1704 **Chairperson Botel**: Who's speaking?
- 1705 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...how do we do that? Because, obviously...
- 1706 City Manager Evans: Madam...
- 1707 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...[inaudible] proposed a price and I, I don't know, I don't know
- anybody that buys a car at sticker price.
- 1709 **Chairperson Botel:** Ms. Wynn or Ms. Pemsel, do you wanna answer Mr. McCoy's
- 1710 question?
- 1711 **City Manager Evans:** Usually, Madam Chair, usually what occurs as a result of this
- is that Staff would be provided the direction to negotiate the terms and conditions of a
- 1713 contract and bring it back for ratification. So...
- 1714 **City Attorney Wynn:** However, Mr. Evans, again, this is a new statute, you all, and
- 1715 I don't, you know. I think it could've been written better, however, we have what we have.
- 1716 The statute requires that the governing body negotiate the contract, but it also says that
- 1717 you may designate someone to negotiate on your behalf. So that could be the Staff, Ms.
- 1718 Pemsel, Mr. Evans, myself.
- 1719 Councilperson McCoy: Not a Member?
- 1720 **City Attorney Wynn:** I beg your pardon?
- 1721 **Councilperson McCoy:** Why not a Member?
- 1722 **City Attorney Wynn:** It just says the governing body may allow a de'.., a designee.
- So, it could be a Member. It's up to the governing body, you all as a, as a body.

- 1724 **Councilperson McCoy:** I'm asking because I thought this statute specifically kept Staff
- from being a part of the selection and negotiation process.
- 1726 City Attorney Wynn: It kept Staff from being a part of the Audit Selection
- 1727 Committee. However, when you get into the section of the contract, after the Audit
- 1728 Selection Committee has done its work and recommended at least three proposers, then
- 1729 you get into the contract negotiation. And when you get there, the statute says the
- governing body shall negotiate a contract with the firm, with the firm ranked first. In
- negotiations, the co'... governing body may allow a designee to conduct negotiations on
- its behalf. And usually, as Mr. Evans said, that's Staff.
- 1733 Councilperson McCoy: Okay. I...
- 1734 **City Attorney Wynn:** But it's totally up to you all.
- 1735 **Councilperson McCoy:** So, let me ask you this. Ms. Pemsel, the proposed contract
- that's included in the back of the documentation, and.., does that coincide with the same
- amount that [audio break up] the \$92,000 a year? The contract that you have there?
- 1738 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** I'm, I'm sorry. There's a bit of a storm going on in the
- background. Would you mind to repeat the question, please?
- 1740 **Councilperson McCoy:** The contract that's attached... Oh, I, I think it says a not to
- 1741 exceed amount. Correct?
- 1742 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yes.
- 1743 **Councilperson McCoy:** Okay. So, that was negotiated by whom? Or is that their, is
- that their price or did that come through by negotiations or how did that come to be a part
- of the proposed contract that's in the backup?
- 1746 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** They submitted their pricing to us and then we asked
- is this their final pricing. And they were able to offer a discount. So, it includes the
- discount that they offered as well.
- 1749 **Councilperson McCoy:** Okay. So, what was the original price? I wanna know who,
- who actually did the negotiations and how that actually worked. That's what I'm trying to
- arrive at. And, at least, it might help if you can kind share some background as to how
- they got there in the beginning. And what was started off with.
- 1753 **City Manager Evans:** Madam, Madam Chair, if I may? Ms. Pemsel...
- 1754 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes.
- 1755 **City Manager Evans:**also, was the contact provided... I think the contract was
- provided as part of the RFP document. So, I think it was a matter of the firms filling in
- the, the blanks that ultimately... So, most of the nuances associated with the contract

- has been vetted so now it.., whatever direction the Board wants to go, I think it merely
- would be putting in the numbers to coincide with whichever firm is ultimately selected.
- 1760 Ms. Pemsel.
- 1761 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yes. That's correct.
- 1762 **Councilperson McCoy:** Okay. So my question is, what was the original amount, Ms.
- 1763 Pemsel?
- 1764 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** \$495,000. For the five years. Not per year.
- 1765 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Who was that for?
- 1766 **Chairperson Botel:** So that's.., that was ninety-nine?
- 1767 [Inaudible comment]
- 1768 **Chairperson Botel:** I'm, I'm just looking at the, the annual. Right? So...
- 1769 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Who is this we're talking about?
- 1770 **Chairperson Botel:** Davis. Is that right, Ms. Pemsel?
- 1771 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** It's Grau & Associates, ma'am.
- 1772 **Chairperson Botel:** Oh, I'm sorry, Grau. I thought you were talking about.., I
- thought Mr. McCoy wanted to, to know the original from the last person who spoke, Davis.
- 1774 Was that... what was your question?
- 1775 Councilperson McCoy: Yeah.
- 1776 **Chairperson Botel:** What was your question, Mr. McCoy?
- 1777 Councilperson McCoy: I was asking the contract amount, what was... We have a
- 1778 contract in the back'.., in the backup that says not to exceed, I believe it was four hundred
- 1779 and...
- 1780 Chairperson Botel: Oh, oh. Okay.
- 1781 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...sixty thousand. So...
- 1782 **Chairperson Botel:** [Inaudible].
- 1783 Councilperson McCoy: ...I wanted to know what the original amount was. But, does
- this process lend to us now, and, and I don't know how we would do it, but does this
- process..., I, I guess, I don't know how we begin to do it, if we have to now negotiate with
- the first ranked. And it appears that, in my opinion, that some kind of way, that it's been

- suggested that that's been done by Ms. Pemsel but, like, how do we establish this process
- across the board as far as pricing is concerned?
- 1789 **Councilperson Lanier:** Madam Chair?
- 1790 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes, Ms. Lanier. Unless Ms. Pemsel has a quick answer. Go
- 1791 ahead.
- 1792 **Councilperson Lanier:** I, I think that.., I, I think our first order of business is to choose
- a firm. Once we choose a firm, then we can have.., either we or the, the City
- Administration, can negotiate. But I think that our first order of business is just to choose
- who want to go with first. Then those people who are not chosen can, you know, get off
- the call and keep it moving but the thing about it is that, if we're gonna have any discussion
- about negotiation or anything, we need to pick the firm who we're gonna do all this with.
- 1798 Councilperson McCoy: Well, I, I don't know..., and I respect that that's what you're
- saying but that's not what's prescribed in the statue. I mean, it first says negotiate with
- the first firm. And my question is simply, how do we establish a process to negotiate with
- the firms? And I would like to know what those rules of the game are before we say we're
- 1802 going with a firm because, at this point, we're not to select a firm and the statute
- prescribes for a very specific method on how we go from this point and the first thing is
- 1804 negotiate with the first firm. And before we...
- 1805 **Unk.:** [Inaudible].
- 1806 Councilperson McCoy: ...do that, I'm just simply asking, can we establish what's
- gonna be the practice or the protocol of how we do this?
- 1808 Chairperson Botel: Ms. Wynn.
- 1809 **City Attorney Wynn:** Mr. McCoy... Thank you, Dr. Botel. Again, the same section
- of the statute that talks about where you have already inquired as to the basis of
- compensation, has three prongs. One, you've already done, you've inquired as to the
- basis of compensation. The second one is that you select one of the firms rec'...
- recommended by the Audit Selection Committee. And the third prong is to negotiate the
- 1814 contract. So, Ms. Lanier is correct, that you are now at the stage where you have to select
- one of the firms recommended by the Auditor Selection Committee.
- 1816 **Councilperson McCoy:** Provide that...
- 1817 **City Attorney Wynn:** Paragraph 4 f of the con'.., of the statute, Mr. McCoy.
- 1818 **Councilperson Lanier:** And, and, Mr. McCoy, I said that was because if we go with
- the first firm, and, and as I said before, you don't have to pick the first firm, but that was
- the purpose of the Audit Committee, was to bring forth recommendations, we go with the
- recommendations of the Committee, negotiate with the Grau firm. If it does not work out,
- we go to the second firm.

- 1823 **Chairperson Botel:** And if that doesn't work out, we go to the third.
- 1824 Councilperson Lanier: Go to the third firm.
- 1825 **Chairperson Botel:** I, I am, I am just surprised at the difference between... Make
- sure I've got my math right, you two accountants on this line now. Earn your keep here. 1826
- So, so Grau was at ninety-two, right? The se'.., HCT was at \$90,980, and this firm was 1827
- at fifty-five? Am I right about that? 1828
- 1829 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: That, that was my question, Madam Chair. I wanna see if, if
- a representative from S. Davis could come back and explain the discounts; and, what 1830
- 1831 was presented to Ms. Pemsel, the total amount and how they came to their discounted
- price? What was the original amounts submitted to our Procurement Director? And then, 1832
- 1833 this discounted price that was equal to about fifty-five a year. So, I just...
- 1834 **Chairperson Botel:** Right.
- 1835 Chair Pro Tem Lawson:...wanna see where these bids came from.
- 1836 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes.
- 1837 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Ms. Pemsel, in the proposal, what is the total amount of the
- contract that was submitted by S. Davis & Associates? 1838
- 1839 Procurement Director Pemsel: It was \$275,000.
- Chair Pro Tem Lawson: And, if a representative from S. Davis could come on to 1840
- explain what the discounts represent for the two seventy-five. I just wanna understand 1841
- that total contract. 'Cause there's a large variance between the top two firms and, and 1842
- this firm; and why is there such a difference between the two? And, I'm not trying to say 1843
- 1844 that we're gonna compare apples and oranges, I just wanna understand where, where
- the pricing, the hours...what total hours were calculated into this? 1845
- 1846 Ms. T. Davis: Okay. This is Tanya Davis again. We estimated that the audit would
- be about five hundred hours and. I don't think that that varies very much from any of the 1847
- other firms, or either of the other firms. We looked at that, we tried to determine a discount 1848
- 1849 so that we could get to our best price for the City. Of course, keeping in mind what the
- 1850 City has paid in the past for your audit services and I don't know that we actually went
- 1851 down that far but we did try to get close to what we thought that we could do, to perform
- a quality audit and an efficient audit with the discount that we gave the City. We gave the 1852
- City probably about a thirty-five to forty percent discount. I don't have all of my written 1853
- 1854 notes in front of me right now but probably that much of a discount.

1855 The discount in no way affects the quality of our audit. It in no way, affects the efficiency of our audit but we thought that it was fair to, to give that discount considering 1856

1857

what the City has paid in the past and we want the City's business, quite frankly; and we

will make up for it with fees on other, on other jobs; we can certainly afford to do this for 1858

the City at this time. 1859

- 1860 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Thank you, Ms. Davis. And also, this is for the City and CRA,
- 1861 correct?
- 1862 **Ms. T. Davis:** This is for the City, the CRA and I believe there was the Utility District
- 1863 as well. If I'm...
- 1864 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: [Inaudible].
- 1865 **Ms. T. Davis:** ...not mistaken.
- 1866 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Okay. Thank you so much, Ms. Davis. Ms... Follow up. I'm
- 1867 sorry, Madam Chair, follow for that.
- 1868 **Chairperson Botel:** Go ahead, Mr. Lawson.
- 1869 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Just two questions, and I'm just gonna pose one to Mr.
- 1870 Sherman first. If you could give us the total amounts of the previous contract. I believe
- 1871 HCT was our previous Auditor. What was the amount of the annual pricing for that
- previous contact with HCT?
- 1873 Finance and Administrative Services Director Sherman: Yeah. It, it started out in
- \$60,000 range, and the last year we paid \$72,000, I believe; but that was on the City side.
- The CRA, I believe, was in the ten to \$12,000 range.
- 1876 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Okay. So the City sixty to seventy-two. CRA, ten to twelve?
- 1877 Finance and Administrative Services Director Sherman: Yes.
- 1878 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Okay.
- 1879 Finance and Administrative Services Director Sherman: And then the total was
- three sixty for the five years if I remember correctly.
- 1881 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Got you. Thank you, Mr., thank you, Mr. Sherman and then,
- 1882 Ms. Pemsel, the total hours from each firm, was that a part of the RFP? I was just looking
- back to see if that was a part of our RFP, the total expected or calculated hours? I didn't
- see that, or the estimated hours from Grau & Associates and HCT, did they give total
- 1885 hours?
- 1886 Procurement Director Pemsel: Yes. Let me pull up their proposals. I believe Grau &
- 1887 Associates were.. it was about six hundred but let me confirm that real quick. [Pause].
- 1888 Just one second please.
- 1889 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Mhmm.
- 1890 **Chairperson Botel:** Take your time.
- 1891 [Pause]

- 1892 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** It looks like roughly around six hundred hours for Grau
- 1893 & Associates.
- 1894 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: And that's annual...
- 1895 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** [Inaudible].
- 1896 Chair Pro Tem Lawson:...hours? Six hundred hours? Six hundred hours annually,
- 1897 correct?
- 1898 Procurement Director Pemsel: Yes. Yes.
- 1899 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Okay.
- 1900 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Mhmm.
- 1901 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: So, the annual pricing per hour is Grau & Associates \$153 per
- hour for auditing services, S. Davis is charging us \$110 per hour, and the other firm, did
- 1903 you get a total hours for the other firm? I'm sorry.
- 1904 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** For S. Davis & Associates? Or HCT?
- 1905 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: No. I got S. Davis' hours. I was asking about, I'm sorry, H'...,
- 1906 HTC. HCT, I'm sorry.
- 1907 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** A second, please.
- 1908 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Yes, ma'am. [Pause]. [Inaudible].
- 1909 [Pause]
- 1910 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Okay. One moment please. A lot of pages. [Pause].
- The schedule [inaudible] hours looks like roughly a hundred, two thirty, [pause], three,
- 1912 four, roughly about five hundred hours. They're all separate. There's no actual total
- 1913 listed. But just a second.
- 1914 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Ninety-nine. And his price, his price from my recollection is
- nine.., \$90,980 divided by five hundred hours you said?
- 1916 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yeah. Let's see, one hundred, two thirty, three...
- 1917 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Mhmm.
- 1918 **Procurement Director Pemsel:...**four. Yeah. Roughly about four hundred and fifty to
- 1919 almost five hundred hours.
- 1920 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Okay. So, pricing, the hourly, we have a range of between a
- 1921 \$110 per hour, up to \$180 per hour. So, now, so circle back to the, to the written
- requirements and the oral. My only concern, Madam Chair and Council, is that I just didn't

- see any criteria for the oral. I know that we went in a different direction but I didn't see a point metrics comparable to any of the other RFP's that I reviewed from previous audit services, and also from comparable emergency medical RFP's. So, my recommendation would be to go into negotiations with the number one responsive to the RFP based upon points, and try to negotiate a price comparable to what I'm seeing because this range between \$110 from S. Davis up to \$181. It looks like we have some leverage to actually negotiate a better price for these services.
- So, I would actually recommend that we definitely take a look at the pricing and we go back into negotiations and discussions.
- 1932 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. That's your recommendation? Mr. McCoy, did you want to make your motion or change your motion? 'Cause we ha'.., I think we have a motion. Do we have a motion on the floor? It's been a couple of hours now?
- 1935 Councilperson McCoy: [Inaudible].
- 1936 Chairperson Botel: So...
- 1937 **City Clerk Anthony:** Yes, Madam Chair. You do have a motion on the floor.
- 1938 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Mr. McCoy, do you wanna restate your motion?
- 1939 Councilperson McCoy: Well, I.., you know, Madam Chair, I, I'm sorry but and this is
- no.., nothing to Grau & Associates but I only wanted to insert something so that we didn't
- 1941 have the defective Resolution on the...
- 1942 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay.
- 1943 **Councilperson McCoy:** And, there was no way for me to insert that. I was just trying
- 1944 to really preserve the opportunity. So, I, I really gotta say there's nothing that I don't
- 1945 particularly have anything.., I don't have anything against Grau & Associates. I just,
- literally, when I seen this at the start of the meeting, this popped in my head. So...
- 1947 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 1948 **Councilperson McCoy:...**had no intention on even making any action on that. I just
- wanted us to have that on the record before we started a whole lot of discussion. So, I
- 1950 can withdraw that motion.
- 1951 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 1952 Councilperson McCoy: [Inaudible].
- 1953 **Chairperson Botel:** Whoever seconded it, would you..., you'll withdraw your... The
- motion's been withdrawn. I guess the second is moot then.

1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965	I, I, my concern is that there's either a \$179,900 difference between S. Davis and HCT, and even \$185,000 over the course of the contract difference between S. Davis and Grau and I think if we're gonna be fiscally responsible, we need to take a look at this now, which is the second ranked, Davis is the second ranked according to the process that the Committee used, Davis is the second ranked. And I, I for one would think that we would wanna take a look at, at Davis because their pricing is so different. Now, I mean, HCT had an opportunity to provide us with pricing, they did so tonight and there's a huge difference. I, I'm not sure that negotiating with them is even fair given that they told us their price, [stammer], Davis gave us a price that's so much better, how can we responsibly even think about going with another firm, given that Davis was the second ranked?		
1966 1967	Councilperson Miller-Anderson: the		Davis was the third ranked, if you're looking at
1968	Chairperson Botel: No, n	Ο.	
1969	Councilperson Miller-Anderson:you're looking at the		
1970	Chairperson Botel: I'm lo	oking	No, no. I'm looking at the rankings
1971 1972	Councilperson Miller-Anderso talking	n:	Oh, you're talking about the oral. You're
1973	Chairperson Botel: I'm talking		
1974	Councilperson Miller-Anderson:		about the oral?
1975 1976	Chairperson Botel: about the proc', the gave Davis the second rank. The people		proc', the entire process. Culminating in the oral
1977	Councilperson Miller-Anderson:		Okay. You're talking about oral.
1978 1979 1980	Chairperson Botel: on the Committee took Yeah. The people on the Committee took into consideration the written, that's, the written is only what got them to the oral.		
1981	Councilperson Miller-Anderso	n:	I get it.
1982	Chairperson Botel: The v	vritten	
1983	Councilperson Miller-Anderson:		You don't have to
1984	Chairperson Botel: Yeah		

Chairperson Botel:

Councilperson Miller-Anderson:

Okay.

1985

1986

Yeah. I got that.

- 1987 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** You don't have to restate that.
- 1988 Chairperson Botel: Got that. Okay. So, so, when you look at the oral
- presentation, Davis was the second ranked. Davis gave us a price that is a \$185,000,
- over the course of the contract, less than the, the Grau and \$179,900 less than HCT.
- 1991 [Stammer], I, I, I.., it's almost like a no brainer. I mean, we, we, we almost have to say,
- 1992 'Hey, let's, let's enter into a contract with the person who's giving us the best price for, for
- the services that were counted as second on the p'.., part of the Committee.'
- 1994 Councilperson Lanier: Madam Chair?
- 1995 **Chairperson Botel:** Yeah. Ms. Lanier and then Mr. McCoy.
- 1996 **Councilperson Lanier:** I would just like to say that if we're going to that, then the other
- 1997 two firms should have an opportunity to respond in terms of negotiations. The first firm
- that we recommended was Grau and if the S. Davis price looks better, then we should
- 1999 give Grau or we should give HCT an opportunity to either beat that price or [pause]
- 2000 something.
- 2001 Chairperson Botel: Mr. McCoy.
- 2002 **Councilperson McCoy:** So, since we're deviating, and I don't know if I would call...
- well, I guess probably that's not the best word but, was the standard, if I'm understanding
- 2004 correctly, that we were not..., the Audit Selection Committee was not to consider price.
- So, but that doesn't.., it's obviously not the case with us. Am I correct, Ms. Pemsel, Ms.
- 2006 Wynn?
- 2007 Councilperson Lanier: Yes.
- 2008 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** The solic'...
- 2009 Councilperson McCoy: I mean, they...
- 2010 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yeah. The solicitation... Oh. Go ahead, I'm sorry, Mr.
- 2011 McCov.
- 2012 Councilperson McCoy: Well, I'm, I'm just curious, does, does the standards now
- change as to what we're using as the determining issues as to how we decide to choose
- who we want to select? Because, the pricing issue I thought existed with the Audit
- Selection Committee. Is that the same with us or do we have any other latitude or any
- 2016 other ability to consider outside factors?
- 2017 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** The spirit and intent of the solicitation was
- 2018 qualifications first, price second. And, I, I'm not sure if, in accordance with considering
- the pricings and...
- 2020 **Councilperson McCoy:** The who? The who are considering?

- 2021 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** In, in, in accordance with considering the pricing now,
- 2022 if now pricing becomes the primary intent...
- 2023 Councilperson McCoy: I'm sorry.
- 2024 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** ...in lieu of...
- 2025 Councilperson McCoy: Did you say courts?
- 2026 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** ...qualifications.
- 2027 **Councilperson McCoy:** I'm, I'm not sure that I hea'... Did you say courts? C-O-U-R-
- 2028 T-S? The courts...
- 2029 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Oh, no.
- 2030 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** She said in accordance.
- 2031 **Councilperson McCoy:** Oh, in accordance.
- 2032 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yeah.
- 2033 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: I think.
- 2034 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Thank you. Yeah.
- 2035 [Chuckles]
- 2036 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** So, the, the intent was to look at qualifications first and
- price second. So, at the will of the Commission, if you now change it to price, I don't know
- 2038 unless there's some other thing in the statute that precludes that. From happening, I, I
- 2039 don't know.
- 2040 **Councilperson Lanier:** I just... Madam Chair, I just wanna say that, that, as we're
- looking at prices, which is fine, I don't think that we should base picking an agency just
- 2042 on price alone.
- 2043 **Chairperson Botel:** Well, we're not.
- 2044 Councilperson McCoy: You...
- 2045 **Chairperson Botel:** We're looking at.., we're also looking at the ranking. Ms.
- 2046 Miller-Anderson.
- 2047 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Okay. I don't think we can continue to change
- the rules as we're going along here. If it was based on, we were to... We were not
- supposed to be looking at the price to determine that. I mean, we can take that into
- consideration but that shouldn't be the number one factor, as was stated earlier.

- And I'm, I'm ready to make a motion based on the written scores and knowing that
- we can go into negotiation with this price. So, my motion is going to be to go into
- 2053 negotiation with H'..., HTC or HCT. That's my motion. Based...
- 2054 **Chairperson Botel:** Does anyone care...
- 2055 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...on the written...
- 2056 **Chairperson Botel:** Does anyone wanna second that?
- 2057 **Councilperson McCoy:** Um... [Pause]. I wanna offer a substitute.
- 2058 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: I'm sorry, could, could Councilwoman Miller-
- 2059 Anderson repeat the motion?
- 2060 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I made a motion to go with..., go into negotiation
- with HTC,. I'm sorry if I'm saying it backwards. HCT. HCT, based on the written score,
- and then to go into negotiation with the price.
- 2063 **Chairperson Botel:** No second? Mr. McCoy, did you have as'.., you tried to have
- 2064 an...
- 2065 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: I'll...
- 2066 **Chairperson Botel:**...alternate...
- 2067 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: I'll second the motion. I did have a question in reference to
- that. I know we've had a lot of discussion and I know we're, we're beating this one up...
- 2069 **Unk.**: Yeah.
- 2070 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: ...but I really wanna just make sure we get it right. It's
- because the pricing is, is just kind of throwing a curve ball at the entire process.
- 2072 **Chairperson Botel:** Yeah.
- 2073 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: So...
- 2074 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Well, well, I have a question. So, so if we're...
- when we go into negotiations of the price, if they do not give us the price that we're looking
- for, can we go to the next group? We can go to someone else, right?
- 2077 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: And that...
- 2078 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Okay.
- 2079 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: And that was...
- 2080 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Yeah.

- 2081 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: ...my.., that was my question, Ms. Miller-Anderson,
- 2082 Councilwoman
- 2083 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Yeah.
- 2084 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: What, what is, what is gonna be our price because I believe
- 2085 that's what the Council's responsibility now that the Committee and Councilwoman Lanier
- 2086 came to the table with three recommendations for us, and we as the Board, now we
- 2087 choose which of the three we're gonna move forward into negotiations with. But, the
- 2088 proposal says that we negotiate now based upon pricing. And now that we've opened all
- of the envelopes to see pricing, what price are we comfortable at? Are, are we gonna tell
- HCT that they have to beat the.., they have to compete with the \$55,000 a year, from the
- third responsive individual or what, what is gonna be our... what is gonna be our stance?
- 2092 And I wanna make sure we're...
- 2093 Chairperson Botel: [Stammer]...
- 2094 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: ...clear with that before we move forward with our motion.
- 2095 Chairperson Botel: In, in my opinion...
- 2096 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Or do we just go straight...
- 2097 Chairperson Botel: ... I mean, I would like to...
- 2098 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: ...into...
- 2099 Chairperson Botel: I, I...
- 2100 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Or do we go straight with Davis & Associates who came with
- the lowest bid and was still one of the three recommended? So it's.., I wanna make sure
- we're crystal clear with how we're gonna move forward prior to..., we voting on this motion.
- 2103 **Chairperson Botel:** Right. I agree with you, Mr. Lawson. I think that, given that
- Davis & Associates gave us the, the price that, that they did and given that they were the
- second ranked by the, by the Committee, we should ask that the other firms that are
- competing for this business, give us the same price. Or better.
- 2107 Ms. Wynn, is there, you know, it's very strange to be negotiating in public like this
- 2108 but.
- 2109 **City Attorney Wynn:** Yeah.
- 2110 **Chairperson Botel:** [Chuckle]. But it seems...
- 2111 **City Attorney Wynn:** Yeah.
- 2112 **Chairperson Botel:** ...like this is the process that we have to comply with.

- 2113 **City Attorney Wynn:** Well, again, you could allow the Staff to.., you could designate
- 2114 the Staff to conduct the negotiations on your behalf and bring the contract back to you.
- But yes, you, you can.., we can ask the others. We can, you know, depends on.., I guess
- the motion, that was seconded, was to negotiate with HCT.
- 2117 **Chairperson Botel:** Right.
- 2118 **City Attorney Wynn:** And, you know, you can ask them now if, if they agree to that
- 2119 price, the fifty-five that S. Davis & Associates recommended, and if they say yes, then we
- 2120 can do it that way or we can go back and you...
- 2121 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 2122 **City Attorney Wynn:** ...can let Staff do it.
- 2123 **Chairperson Botel:** Well, let's do it.
- 2124 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** That's not what I'm...
- 2125 **City Attorney Wynn:** I would...
- 2126 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: ...suggesting. That's not...
- 2127 **City Attorney Wynn:** Okay.
- 2128 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: ...what I'm suggesting at all.
- 2129 **City Attorney Wynn:** That wasn't the motion?
- 2130 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** No. I'm saying I'm not suggesting that they go
- 2131 down to the fifty-five. I mean, we have to understand that S. Davis & Associates, they put
- in a fif'.., basically, a fifty percent discount. And, for whatever reason they did that, well,
- 2133 that's something that they were willing to... Basically, she said.., basically just to get the
- 2133 that's something that they were willing to... basically, she said..., basically just to get the
- job. They want the job bad enough, they were gonna discount it fifty percent. And I don't
- 2135 think that should be the expectations for everyone that is trying to get this [inaudible]
- 2136 actually contract...
- 2137 **City Attorney Wynn:** No, it... Can I ask for some clarification? Councilperson
- 2138 Miller-Anderson, is it your motion to negotiate with HT'.., HTC based on the price that they
- 2139 submitted, the \$90,980?
- 2140 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Well, I think Mr. Lawson may..., brought up a
- 2141 good point to identify what number we want to live with. And so, I think that we need to
- do that but I don't believe that that number should be what Davis said, which is the fifty-
- 2143 five. Maybe somewhere in between the fifty-five and the ninety-two.., the fifty-five and
- 2144 the ninety that he stated. But I'm certainly not expecting them to drop it down to fifty-five,
- but it..., I mean, that, that number is an outlier. Even if you looked at, at Grau, I mean,

- they did not go down. If you did an average, it's nowhere down to \$55,000. So, I would
- suggest that we just look somewhere between fifty-five and ninety.
- But, you know, I don't, I don't agree with that part.
- 2149 **Councilperson Lanier:** But...
- 2150 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 2151 Councilperson Lanier: ... Madam Chair?
- 2152 **Chairperson Botel:** Yeah. I.., let me just make a statement first, please, Ms.
- 2153 Lanier. My, my concern is that, given that this Davis firm is a firm that was highly
- recommended, in fact, recommended as number two, by the Committee...
- 2155 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Based on...
- 2156 Chairperson Botel: ...and given that they...
- 2157 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...what though?
- 2158 **Chairperson Botel:** Based on their evaluation.
- 2159 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: No.
- 2160 **Chairperson Botel:** It was a legit'...
- 2161 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Only the oral, which didn't have a criteria.
- 2162 **Chairperson Botel:** We, we.., we've already put this to rest I thought. The
- 2163 written...
- 2164 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** But that's where you're placing all of your, your
- 2165 emphasis, on the oral part. And...
- 2166 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes. Because...
- 2167 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Right. Yeah. I...
- 2168 **Chairperson Botel:** ...that, that was the process they used. Ms., Ms. Lanier has
- stated to us that they asked a lot of good questions at the oral part and, at the end of that,
- 2170 that's when that Committee, that we assigned, that we chose, made the decision that this
- 2171 Grau was first, the Davis was second and that HCT was third. [Inaudible]...
- 2172 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Well, let me just say this.
- 2173 **Chairperson Botel:** ...total package. Go ahead.

- 2174 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** So, you.., and, I'm just gonna go there with the
- schools and education for an example. When they're doing a writing assignment, usually
- there's a rubric. Correct, Dr. Botel? I know you know...
- 2177 **Chairperson Botel:** Yeah. Absolutely.
- 2178 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...about rubrics and criterias. Okay. So, that is
- in place to help you with trying to be somewhat objective instead of being able to just look
- at it and say, 'Oh, well, they wrote five paragraphs, let's give them a ten,' versus...
- 2181 Chairperson Botel: Right.
- 2182 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...you know... So, for the oral part, and that's
- where I'm coming from and not putting a whole lot of emphasis on the oral part because
- 2184 no one has stated tonight how a person was ranked one, two or three. I, I heard what
- 2185 Ms. Lanier said but what I'm talking about having criteria or a rubric. It was clearly defined
- in the written part, so I can live with that because there's some connection to what ten
- points is for, what is a five points. But the oral, I just, I, me, I don't feel that putting all of
- 2188 the emphasis on the oral part should weigh as heavily, because there is no criteria. And
- 2189 I guess, we have explained this, we've killed it ten times over but when you're stating now
- 2190 that Davis is second in ranking, that is true based on what it says but we can't forget what
- 2191 the issue was with the oral presentation scoring to begin with. And I guess I have a really
- 2192 strong opinion about that because I was on the Council when we had to rank the City
- 2193 Managers and Mr. Evans can attest to how that played out. So.., and it was very
- subjective at that time. And so, I have a..., I, have a issue with placing all of the emphasis
- on oral presentations because I know how that can go. I've seen it, I've been a part of it.
- 2196 And so, with the written part, I think is more clearly defined as to how the person got...
- 2197 how you got to that point.
- So, for me, I'm not placing any emphasis on the oral because it, it just.., it's not
- clearly defined. And that's why I said, you know, looking at it.., looking at which ones to
- 2200 go into negotiations with, that, that's why I suggested that.
- So, I heard what...
- 2202 **Chairperson Botel:** [Inaudible].
- 2203 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...you're saying. I hear your point, Dr. Botel
- 2204 but...
- 2205 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 2206 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...[inaudible].
- 2207 **Councilperson Lanier:** Madam Chair.
- 2208 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Mr. McCoy. No. Ms., Ms. Lanier had hers up...

- 2209 **Unk.**: Yeah.
- 2210 **Chairperson Botel:** ...first I think and then...
- 2211 **Unk.:** Yeah.
- 2212 Chairperson Botel: ...Mr. McCoy.
- 2213 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Inaudible] to get in in since she offered the motion.
- 2214 **Chairperson Botel:** I'm sorry, Mr...
- 2215 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Inaudible] one simple question but I'll wait.
- 2216 **Chairperson Botel:** Go ahead. Ms. Lanier.
- 2217 **Councilperson Lanier:** First of all, this is, this is setting up the City for an appeal for
- 2218 this. That's the first thing. The second thing is that, according to Florida statute, we were
- supposed to put together a committee by the choosing of the Council, to bring together
- individuals that you have chosen to review this.., these audit reports. I mean, yeah, audit
- proposals. We get to the point where we shortlist the people we want to go to the next
- 2222 phase, which is the oral. We shortlist those people based on those numbers that we have
- 2223 on that scoring sheet. Once we have shortlisted them, then we get to the next phase
- which is oral. Once they go through the oral presentation, question and answer, then we
- 2225 rank them. Our ranking was based on a combination of all of that. So, when the
- 2226 Committee recommends that Grau was first and second was Davis and third was HCT,
- 2227 that is only our recommendation, of course. But, what we are doing now is that, we are
- 2228 totally disregarding what the Committee is suggesting to the Council to do. You start with
- 2229 Grau, if they can't beat the \$55,000, then you go to the next firm and that's how you do it.
- But, if you come in and you pick the lowest person ranked to start negotiations with, then
- 2231 what do we need the Committee for?
- 2232 **Chairperson Botel:** Yeah.
- 2233 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** For the written, the written was great. I think
- there was criteria for that, and the oral was not...
- 2235 **Councilperson Lanier:** [Stammer]...
- 2236 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** [Inaudible] get anywhere with that, 'cause that,
- that point is still there and I heard everything that you said.
- 2238 **Councilperson Lanier:** Yeah. And, I, I just think [inaudible]...
- 2239 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** We don't have any black and white...
- 2240 **Councilperson Lanier:** I, I just think it's a...
- 2241 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...to look at. [Inaudible].

- 2242 Councilperson Lanier: ...disregard to the process, to be able to go and nominate
- 2243 another firm that was the lowest ranked. And if that were the case, this month and a half
- 2244 that I spent on this, it, it wasn't really necessary then.
- 2245 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I appreciate...
- 2246 **Councilperson Lanier:** So, if you're going to...
- 2247 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...all of the work that...
- 2248 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...look at the process...
- 2249 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...you all put in.
- 2250 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...itself, then we should've said that the process should've...
- we should've..., we should redo the process. But to go in and disregard the whole process,
- and pick the...
- 2253 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: No.
- 2254 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...lowest person that was ranked, and say we're gonna start
- negotiations with that particular entity, when all this information has been presented to
- 2256 you, then exactly, the Committee, the Council has a right to do exactly what it is that they
- want to do. I'm just making a statement that I felt, and I feel, like this was a total disregard
- 2258 to the work that this Committee did over two months.
- 2259 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Well, I wanna be very clear. That's not the case.
- The written part, I wholeheartedly suggest that we look at that because it is, it's concrete.
- The oral part, that's the only part I have an issue with. And, of course, we, we all put one
- person on the Committee, but that doesn't, you know, that doesn't account for the other
- four people, but I'm ju'..., that's just my point. And nothing's gonna change that, you know,
- in terms of the oral end of it.
- 2265 **Chairperson Botel:** Alright. Ms. Wynn suggested that we ask Mr. Harvey to give
- us a price and then we'll ask [stammer] what's the other one? We'll ask... I'm sorry.
- 2267 Grau to give us a price. So, Mr. Harvey, would you tell us what your bottom line is for
- 2268 this...
- 2269 Councilperson McCoy: So...
- 2270 **Chairperson Botel:** ...five year contract?
- 2271 **Councilperson McCoy:** In fact, I'm gonna go ahead and jump in here because I don't

70

- know what it's gonna take for me to.., perhaps wave a flag but...
- 2273 **Chairperson Botel:** I'm sorry.

- 2274 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Inaudible] ask some questions ever since she put the motion
- on the floor, and I even wanted to offer a substitute.
- 2276 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Go ahead.
- 2277 **Councilperson McCoy:** I wanna find out, and we can go to HCT, so that there's, to
- 2278 kind of clear up the outlier thing, Carr, Riggs & Ingram [stammer] submitted prices,
- 2279 correct?
- 2280 **Chairperson Botel:** I'm sorry. Who is that?
- 2281 **Councilperson McCoy:** The firm that choose to withdraw after the writtens.
- 2282 **Chairperson Botel:** Oh, oh.
- 2283 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Inaudible] the written.
- 2284 **Chairperson Botel:** Oh, Carr, Riggs & Ingram. Okay.
- 2285 **Councilperson McCoy:** Did they submit a number of hours?
- 2286 **Chairperson Botel:** Ms. Pemsel, did Carr, Riggs & Ingram submit a number of
- 2287 hours?
- 2288 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** Yes. I'll check their proposal.
- 2289 **Councilperson McCoy:** Okay. And while she's doing that, so, Councilwoman Lanier
- suggested that they.., there was a combination. But, my question, and I'm still kinda
- 2291 unsure of in looking at the tabulation sheet for both the written and the oral, which it shows
- 2292 it's written and the oral, what was the combination? Like, was it mostly weighed on the
- fact of the written? Or, was it mostly weighed on the fact of the oral presentation for fifteen
- 2294 minutes? So, I kinda wanna...
- 2295 Chairperson Botel: [Chuckle].
- 2296 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...[inaudible] that combination means.
- 2297 **Councilperson Lanier:** As I've said, the third time tonight, that when we got to the oral
- 2298 presentations for each of these firms, the conversations that we had with each of these
- firms, the guestions that we asked them, all of that, in that two day session of asking them
- back and forth about questions that two CPA's asked, as well as the other parts of the
- 2301 Committee, that was taken into consideration in addition to, even though we used the first
- part as a shortlist, of course we went back to the written and looked at what we wrote and
- 2303 looked at some of the issues that we discussed at that time. Of course we did. This...
- 2304 Councilperson McCoy: So...
- 2305 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...this, this was a, this was a month and a half process, so of
- course when we're.., when we get to the oral that we're not totally.., we're not going into

- 2307 the oral like we've never seen these people before in our lives. We went to the oral based
- on all this written work we did over the past month to say, okay, we got to our shortlist.
- Now, we remember all these things we've written down for them, now let's ask [stammer]
- as them these questions in regards to what we need to know about their operations. So
- yes, it was a combination. Yes, we used the first part of the shortlist, but of course we
- 2312 didn't forget everything we wrote down and then got to the oral and said, 'No, none of that
- 2313 matters anymore.' Of course we don't do that. You get to the oral part of it and you rank
- them based on all of this whole two months of work.
- 2315 Chairperson Botel: Mr. McCoy.
- 2316 Councilperson McCoy: Okay. And I appreciate that. But I was going to actually ask
- 2317 the Members to substitute that we make it about the entire Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the
- evaluation, as opposed to [stammer] limiting, Councilwoman Miller-Anderson, to just the
- written. Your motion.
- 2320 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** What was...
- 2321 Chairperson Botel: Ms. Miller...
- 2322 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: ...that again?
- 2323 **Chairperson Botel:** Mr. McCoy, could you repeat that for Ms. Miller-Anderson?
- 2324 **Councilperson McCoy:** I forgot. You took so long, I mean.
- 2325 **Chairperson Botel:** [Chuckle]. I think what he's saying is that he would prefer that
- 2326 your motion not specify that your motion is based on the written but rather that it would
- be based on a total. Is that what you said, Mr. McCoy?
- 2328 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 2329 **Councilperson McCoy:** Thank you, Madam Chair.
- 2330 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Based on a total of what?
- 2331 **Councilperson McCoy:** You're actually able to, to...
- 2332 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: [Inaudible].
- 2333 **Councilperson McCoy:** I think it's probably the first time.
- 2334 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Accurately.
- 2335 Chairperson Botel: [Chuckle].
- 2336 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** There you go. [Chuckle]. First agreement in fourteen months.
- 2337 I'm proud of you guys.

- 2338 [Chuckles]
- 2339 **Chairperson Botel:** Ms. Miller-Anderson, do you wanna comment on that?
- 2340 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** What was the last part? You said in combination
- 2341 of what?
- 2342 **Councilperson McCoy:** Both Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the evaluation. So that would've
- been the written and the oral and.., I mean, the entire evaluation. Not just solely one.
- 2344 Both parts.
- 2345 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Sure. That's fine.
- 2346 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** I, I had a question in reference to that, Councilman McCoy.
- The.., to take into consideration both aspects, and Councilwoman Lanier the numbers
- ranking one, two, three, is that ranking that I'm looking at, is that just exclusively based
- on the oral? Is that your ranking based on your oral combined with the total scores they
- 2350 received...
- 2351 Chairperson Botel: Now, now, no.
- 2352 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: [Inaudible]...
- 2353 Chairperson Botel: Mr. Lawson...
- 2354 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: I think...
- 2355 **Chairperson Botel:** ...[inaudible] is gonna say this is the fourth time she's said it.
- 2356 [Chuckle].
- 2357 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Yes. But, but, but as...
- 2358 **Chairperson Botel:** [Chuckles]. I can predict that.
- 2359 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** ...I guess that's, that's the confu'.., that's where the confusion
- is. Because, I, I just don't know where the, the oral.., what, what weight or level the
- oral played. 'Cause when I, when I just review other RFP's, I just wanna know what
- weight it weighed into the total score. 'Cause, there's a total score with the RFP and then
- 2363 I wanna know how they looked at the oral and then factored that when they combined the
- 2364 two to select their options of one, two, three. And that's just my understanding because,
- 2365 if it's just taking the oral, and then, what number, did they add points? Did they have a
- rubric that they were looking at? That's my concern with the oral because right now, to
- look at the total points, and then to look at the oral presentations, I don't have any notation
- or documentation or any, any criteria from. I don't, I don't know what happened in the
- oral presentation that took an or'..., a firm... And I have no preference, I have no dog in
- 2370 this race but I just wanna know why this firm went from four hundred and twenty-three
- points, highest score, to ranking last. And, based upon this ranking of one, two and three,
- 2372 and I see that there's individuals that just have letters representing who they are, and the

2373 only person I wr'.., I think know is Councilwoman Shirley Lanier, who ranked them at three, three and then two other people ranked them at one and one. And that's 2374 with HCT. And then I'm looking at the other one. I just, I just wanna understand how did 2375 2376 that oral come about so that I can know what factors they used to give the oral, in combination with the written, and to choose an overall. Because that's my only concern 2377 with kind of combining the two with the oral.., and I don't wanna... And, Councilwoman 2378 2379 Lanier, I'm not discrediting the two months of work. I think you guys did an amazing job 2380 based on the cri'.., criteria that you have for the written. I see scores, I see a breakdown, I see where... we can even throw out the highest and lowest and it still represents the 2381 2382 exact amount. 'Cause even if I throw out the highest and lowest score in the written, it still comes out where it would be scored based upon what you guys ranked. But then 2383 2384 when we get to the next page, I don't have that same criteria. And that's where I have 2385 some pause.

So that's my only hesitation.

2386

2387

2388

2389

2390

2391

23922393

2394

23952396

2397

23982399

2400

2401

2402

2403

2404

2405

2406

24072408

2409

2410

2411

24122413

2414

Chairperson Botel: Ms. Lanier, can we impose upon you one more time, please to...

Councilperson Lanier: No. I'm not. I'm not. What, what it is, is this, is that... this is, this... First of all, we're opening ourselves up to a, a protest or appeal. Second of all, you know, this was a deliberate... this was a decision made by a deliberative body, you know, in concert with experts in the field. This was [stammer], if you have an issue with the process itself, then, then that's what we need to fix. But what is, what is.., what, what, what we're talking about is that you're disregarding the work that we did to make your own decision, which, guess what? You can do it. All I'm saving is that we're opening ourselves up to an appeal. And second of all, if the decision is going to be made [stammer] with this body in terms of the decision to go with the lowest ranked firm, then so be it. I'm not gonna belabor this. I'm not, I'm not gonna keep explaining myself and explaining the Committee's decision because the Committee was made up of experts and they made that decision over a couple of months, and that's where it is. Now, if there's a problem with the process, if there's a problem with how we did the oral or the written, then that's what you need to address. And if that's what you say is a problem for you, then that is fine too. All I'm saying is that, I want to be clear, that this is not... The, the, the Committee did their job based on what we considered to be written evaluative measures and ranking evaluative measures.

So, if you don't understand them, if you don't like them, then that's cool. But, I'm not going to keep trying to defend the, the work of experts because you just don't like the way that the oral was done. And, if you don't, that's cool too.

Chairperson Botel: I'm, I'm gonna go to Ms. Miller-Anderson in a second, I just wanna say, I'll, I'll use another School District analogy, if I may. When I used to hire principals, I would look at their resume and I throw them all on the floor and, and make, make them in piles of the top resume and all the way down to number ten. But then when it got to the interview, the oral interview, and I had a chance to ask specific questions and listen to their explanations, I could see that this one was so much higher and I would rank

- them one, two and three. I mean, it seems to make sense to me that you, you, you vet somebody based on something that's written and that changes over time after you have an interview with someone.
- Now, Ms. Miller-Anderson, go ahead.
- Councilperson Miller-Anderson: I will agree with you on that. However, you do have to some kind of point to..., and, for you in your mind, you do have a criteria. Alright?
- 2421 **Chairperson Botel:** As did the Committee. Right. As did the Committee.
- 2422 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** But, I mean, they're not the one that's voting on
- 2423 it. So...
- 2424 **Councilperson Lanier:** But then the, the City is...
- 2425 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: ...we...
- 2426 **Councilperson Lanier:** The City should ask the Committee to come forward to explain
- 2427 it then.
- 2428 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Okay. I, I mean, I think.., my point hasn't
- changed but what I wanted to mentioned was about the, the motions. So, the one that
- 2430 Mr. McCoy wanted me to change was to combine the written and the oral and that, you
- want that to be because of what, Mr. McCoy? I know I kind of agreed with you but I'm
- 2432 kinda thinking about this again...
- 2433 Councilperson McCoy: Well...
- 2434 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...now.
- 2435 **Councilperson McCoy:** You know, all because I didn't want to discount what the Chair
- of that Committee was saving, that it was a combination, as opposed to where I could
- 2437 kinda hear us trying to suggest that we wanted to exclude something. But, you know,
- [sigh], fundamentally, I literally served on the P&Z Board and I sent a lot of stuff that I
- 2439 thought was gonna be a clear adoption of the recommendation of the Board but that's not
- 2440 the case in, in most cases but I didn't wanna appear to [inaudible] that we're piecemealing
- anything that they said and, you know, obviously we, more than anything, appreciate their
- service because they're volunteers but [inaudible] actually encompass everything so that
- we don't commit ourselves to seeming to suggest that we [audio skipped] anything other
- than what was laid out in the RFP.
- 2445 **Councilperson Lanier:** I'd like to put...
- 2446 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Okay.
- 2447 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...forward a motion that the, that the Audit Committee present
- 2448 to the City Council. On their process and, and what the.., what they did because the thing

- about it is that I'm feeling as if there is no confidence in this Committee in terms of the
- 2450 decision that they made and the process that they used. So I would rather for just me,
- out of five or six people there, I would rather for the other members to come to the Board
- 2452 and for them to explain their position because, even though I am the Chair, there are five
- other members, four other members who were present at that meeting, during the whole
- 2454 two months and they would.., they definitely will be able to defend their position. But, you
- 2455 know, I stand by the position.., the, the decision that they made but I think that the
- 2456 Council needs to hear them.
- 2457 **Chairperson Botel:** Well, we have a motion... We have a motion on the floor that
- is..., looks like it might be amended. I think we have to deal with that first. Am I right, Ms.
- 2459 Wynn? Or [inaudible], me. Right?
- 2460 **Councilperson Lanier:** And, and...
- 2461 Chairperson Botel: [Inaudible]...
- 2462 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...let me say... I'm sorry, Madam Chair, just let me say this.
- 2463 I just wanna say that, doing this, it is going to be very difficult to get other volunteers and
- 2464 people in the community to come and do this kind of work, over two months, and for the,
- 2465 the work that they've done to be guestioned. Not so much guestioned, that to, that to be
- 2466 made to feel that there is less confidence in their abilities and to be basically thrown out.
- 2467 So...
- 2468 Chairperson Botel: I agree.
- 2469 **Councilperson Lanier:** The, the people who are on that Committee are going to feel
- that the work that they did and put in was not something that the Com'.., [stammer], that
- the Council has confidence in.
- 2472 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson**: Madam Chair?
- 2473 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes. Go ahead.
- 2474 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I, you know, I don't think that would be the.., that
- should not be the takeaway. We have a legitimate concern, at least I have a legitimate
- concern that I feel that the criteria... You didn't, you all didn't write the RFP, so it.., you
- 2477 all should not take defense.., be defensive about it because that is not the point that's
- being made. The point that's being made is that the criteria for oral was not laid out as
- 2479 the written part was. So that's not discrediting anyone that was on the Committee. All I
- 2480 am stating is that there is nothing to demonstrate how the selection of the rankings were
- done. That does not minimize the.., their credentials, that does not minimize their thought
- 2482 process that was put behind it, I'm simply stating that fact only. And so, I'm, I'm done with
- 2483 it. You know? But I do want to make sure Ms. Lanier understands I appreciate you all

76

- 2484 working on it, I just do not understand what the criteria was for the oral.
- And in regards to your, your motion, so we're handling her motion and then...

- 2486 **Chairperson Botel:** No. You had a motion on the floor first, but Mr. Evans would
- like to speak before we do that.
- 2488 **City Manager Evans:** Madam Chair, I would have to ask the question of Legal and
- 2489 Procurement, but I think I have some concerns with regards to adding the oral scores in
- 2490 now because that's a distinct deviation from the RFP, and I think that leaves us exposed
- from, you have the written scores that were utilized to shortlist and then the, the Board
- ranked the, the firms. If you look to consolidate, and now you give value to the oral
- 2493 presentation, that's separate and apart from what was requested as part of the Request
- for Proposal. And I would have to, you know, ask Legal or, or Procurement what their
- 2495 perspective is with regards to doing that.
- 2496 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** So, Madam Chair, that's my exactly.., so, that's
- 2497 my concern. Again. So, Mr. Evans, you're saying that the oral should not be taken in
- 2498 consideration when we're doing the selection?
- 2499 **City Manager Evans:** I'm, I'm saying when you, when you were talking to.., when
- you put the motion on the table to enter into negotiations with 'x' firm, I think that's a
- cleaner motion than making an amended motion to add the written and the oral scores
- together, and then that would be, in essence, the justification to enter into negotiations
- with HCT. I think it just is a cleaner process versus combining those when it's been
- communicated in all documentation that's been provided to the submitting firms that orals
- 2505 presentations would not have a numeric value to it, and that they would rank the, the firms
- as part of the process.
- 2507 **Chairperson Botel:** I wanna understand that cl'... I'm not sure I understand what
- 2508 you're saying, Mr. Evans. [Stammer].., who wrote the RFP? The Committee or the
- 2509 Procurement Director?
- 2510 **City Manager Evans:** The, the Committee actually wrote the RFP. The Committee
- started off on a draft and then Staff was tasked with finding probably about half a dozen
- 2512 RFP's and the Committee went section by section and added and modified, and the
- 2513 Internal Auditor, as well as the Procurement Director helped scribe the, the RFP for
- 2514 submission. Is that...
- 2515 Chairperson Botel: And, and...
- 2516 **City Manager Evans:** ...correct, Councilperson Lanier?
- 2517 Councilperson Lanier: Yes. That is correct. The Committee first met with a RFP
- 2518 from the City. We asked, we asked the Staff to bring us back four or five different RFP's
- 2519 from different municipalities. We cut all of those up, put together a RFP to be placed on...
- 2520 to be placed out for bid and that's where we started with the, with this whole process, with
- doing the RFP itself.
- 2522 **Chairperson Botel:** So was the oral portion communicated to the respondents?
- 2523 Councilperson Lanier: Yes.

- 2524 **Chairperson Botel:** [Stammer].., okay. So, so they knew there would be a written
- part, that would... Was it explained to them that the written part would be the basis for 2525
- 2526 inviting them to an oral presentation?
- 2527 Councilperson Lanier: I'm sure that it was. I'm sure that there was.., they, they
- were..., they understood there was a written and there was a oral. 2528
- Okay. Okay. So they knew.., and they knew that the oral 2529 **Chairperson Botel:**
- would be counted as part of their score, of their ranking? 2530
- 2531 **Councilperson Lanier:** Yes.
- 2532 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Good.
- 2533 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** But I thought Mr. Evans just said no.
- Mr. Evans, did you just... 2534 **Chairperson Botel:**
- 2535 City Manager Evans: Their, their..., the written part of the selection process was to
- shortlist to the top ranked firms that would go to the next phase, which was the oral 2536
- 2537 presentations where the Board was able to select a series of questions and they asked
- the questions and then they ranked. So, the shortlisting of the process is, is similar to 2538
- you accepting resumes and obviously moving a couple folks onto the face to face 2539
- interview process. And so, as part of that, the Board did ask a series of questions, and I 2540
- believe the same questions to all the firms, and then after the interviews, then they ranked 2541
- who they thought were the first, second and third ranked for'... firms, individually and then 2542
- 2543 Ms. Pemsel reconciled that and then provided the Board with the list to be considered this
- 2544 evening.
- 2545 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. So they, so they knew that the oral was definitely a part
- of the process, and they knew that the written was to elevate them if their, if their written 2546
- 2547 came, you know, met the criteria, then they could be elevated to the oral part. It's just
- 2548 like, as I said earlier, when you do.., when you're hiring somebody, you get the.., you get
- their paperwork but you don't hire them based on their paperwork, you hire them based 2549
- on the interview. And, and you certainly.., although you may not have.., you may not 2550
- 2551 have criteria that you're sharing. You know, we don't know what the guestions were, for
- example, but we know that this group of people that we selected to be in this Committee, 2552
- I think all of them are professionals. At least we've heard that two of them are CPA's, 2553
- decided that the, that the first firm was Grau, the second firm was Davis and the third firm 2554
- 2555 was HCT. That's...
- And, and, Madam Chair, if I may? I, I'm not a hundred percent 2556 City Manager Evans:
- sure that those firms knew explicitly that that's how the process would go because, I would 2557
- say this, that if they've submitted for other RFP's and other entities, there is situations 2558
- 2559 where oral presentations have a scoring rubric and, and that's a... So that would be a
- question that I... I think would be better suited posed to the firms that submitted to the 2560
- RFP. Because it's done differently in different municipalities so, you know, I can't say 2561
- with one hundred percent assurity that they knew that the oral presentation was just to 2562

- ask the questions and then there would be a ranking from the individual Board members
- as to who the first, second and third ranked firms would be.
- 2565 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. [Sigh]. So, where are we? Ms. Miller-Anderson has a,
- a [stammer] a motion on the floor, and the motion that she posed, quite [chuckle] some
- 2567 time ago, included that she wanted us to consider only the written portion of the
- 2568 Committee's work. Do you want to revise your motion to eliminate any reason and just
- say that your motion says I want us to negotiate with HCT? Period.
- 2570 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Mr. Evans, that's, that's what you're
- 2571 suggesting? Right, Mr. Evans?
- 2572 **City Manager Evans:** Yes. That, that's what I'm suggesting.
- 2573 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Yes. That's my motion.
- 2574 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Who seconded it?
- 2575 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Then, Mr. McCoy, McCoy?
- 2576 **Chairperson Botel:** Mr., I think Lawson said second.
- 2577 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** No. I second it for this...
- 2578 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Oh. Well, so McCoy, his.., so, his suggestion of
- an amendment to do the combination is... has been disposed of, Ms. Anthony?
- 2580 **City Clerk Anthony:** That is correct.
- 2581 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes. The only other thing we had under consideration,
- 2582 potentially, was Ms. Lanier's request but that came after your motion was made and
- seconded. So I guess we have to dispose of that first. Yes, Madam Clerk?
- 2584 **Councilperson Lanier:** Right. So, so what's the motion? Give me the motion again.
- 2585 **Chairperson Botel:** The motion, as I believe it is...
- 2586 **City Clerk Anthony:** [Stammer] okay.
- 2587 **Chairperson Botel:** ...is to enter into...
- 2588 City Clerk Anthony: Madam Chair?
- 2589 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 2590 **City Clerk Anthony:** Madam Chair? Let me see if I can help you out here.
- 2591 Councilperson Lanier did put forth a motion to have the Audit Committee present to the
- 2592 Board. However, a second was not given. Okay?

- 2593 **Chairperson Botel:** I think that's because I stopped her practically midsentence
- because we had a motion on the floor already. If, if a, if a second had been given, I
- 2595 mean...
- 2596 City Clerk Anthony: Okay. So, am I...
- 2597 Chairperson Botel: ...[inaudible]...
- 2598 **City Clerk Anthony:** ...to understand, correctly for the clarification for the.., of the
- record that there's no motion from Councilperson Lanier?
- 2600 Chairperson Botel: Not yet.
- 2601 **Councilperson Lanier:** No. There's a motion from me to have the Committee to come
- because of.., I want to clear up the confusion for this Committee, and for them to be able
- to defend themselves in terms of the way they ranked, the way they used the evaluative
- 2604 measures. So yes, I wanted the Committee to come forward.
- 2605 City Clerk Anthony: Okay. Councilper'.., Chair Botel, Councilperson Lanier has
- stated that she's putting a motion on the floor, she had put a motion on the floor. So, I
- believe it, it is protocol that we need to ask for a second on that. Once you ask for a
- second on that, if it is seconded, then we need to dispose of that motion one way or the
- 2609 other...
- 2610 Chairperson Botel: Are we not required to dispose of Councilperson Miller-
- 2611 Anderson's motion first?
- 2612 City Clerk Anthony: Understand, Council... Chair Botel, in parliamentary
- procedures, as many motions as wanted can be put on the floor. We...
- 2614 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 2615 **City Clerk Anthony:** ... just have to [stammer] take them in the order, you know...
- 2616 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 2617 City Clerk Anthony: ...[inaudible] and go backwards. Okay?
- 2618 Chairperson Botel: Alright.
- 2619 City Clerk Anthony: So...
- 2620 [Inaudible comment]
- 2621 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you.
- 2622 **City Clerk Anthony:** Hold on. Before we proceed, am I to understand, after we
- 2623 dispose of what.., well, if it's determined whether or not we have a motion from.., a second
- to the motion made by Councilperson Lanier, Councilperson Miller-Anderson's motion

- has been cleaned up to state that she's.., to negotiate with HCT, period. No other stuff
- is.., was it that made it.., makes it cleaner, based upon the recommendation from Mr.
- 2627 Evans. Am I correct about that? Could somebody...
- 2628 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** [Inaudible] stated, Mr. Evans, that's, that's the
- way you're saying go into negotiations with HCT, period? Correct? Yes.
- 2630 **City Clerk Anthony:** Okay. And am I to understand that Councilperson Lawson,
- your second still stands according to.., for that motion?
- 2632 [Pause]
- 2633 **Chairperson Botel:** Your, your sound is not on.
- 2634 **City Clerk Anthony:** You're muted, so we can't hear you.
- 2635 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Sorry. Thank you. And, is the motion encompassed that
- we're basing it upon the written portion...
- 2637 Chairperson Botel: No.
- 2638 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: ...of the...
- 2639 City Clerk Anthony: No.
- 2640 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** [Inaudible]...
- 2641 **City Clerk Anthony:** No, Councilperson...
- 2642 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** ...going into negotiations with HCT?
- 2643 **Chairperson Botel:** Right.
- 2644 **City Clerk Anthony:** Correct. It's going into negotiations.
- 2645 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Yeah. My second still stands.
- 2646 **City Clerk Anthony:** Okay.
- 2647 **Councilperson Lanier:** Okay. And, the motion...
- 2648 City Clerk Anthony: So, Madam...
- 2649 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...that I make.., the motion that I made to bring the, the Audit
- 2650 Committee to provide information and clarity to their recommendations, I'm asking the
- 2651 Chair to pass the gavel to make a second for that motion.
- 2652 **Councilperson McCoy:** Well, I, I got a question and I don't have a problem with making
- a second, but I wanted to ask a question. And, you [inaudible]...

- 2654 **City Clerk Anthony:** Okay.
- 2655 Councilperson McCoy: ...gavel...
- 2656 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson McCoy, can we get a second to her... Is your
- 2657 question pertaining to the, the motion by Ms. Lanier?
- 2658 **Councilperson McCoy:** My question is gonna be regarding her motion because it's
- 2659 procedural in nature.
- 2660 City Clerk Anthony: Okay. Can we get a second to that so you can ask your
- 2661 question?
- 2662 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Inaudible] ask the question because it's procedural.
- 2663 **City Clerk Anthony:** Okay.
- 2664 **Councilperson McCoy:** If we adopt the motion that Councilwoman Lanier is
- proposing, does that then dispose of the original motion? Because, well far as I'm
- concerned, if we accept that motion to bring the Committee, they can come, they can
- come and tell us after the fact but that shouldn't have any bearing on the first thing. Now,
- 2668 if she's offering to for some reason stop what Councilwoman Miller-Anderson has
- 2669 proposed, then she should vote against it. But, nothing in her mo'.., motion kinda
- suggests that that dis'.., disposes of the original motion.
- 2671 **City Clerk Anthony:** Okay. So if I understand your question correctly,
- 2672 Councilperson McCoy, you're asking if Councilperson Lanier's question... motion trumps
- 2673 Councilperson Miller-Anderson. Am I correct?
- 2674 **Councilperson McCoy:** No. I'm not asking that. If we adopt what Councilwoman
- Lanier is proposing, to allow the Committee to come forward, she said it in just the plain
- terms of them coming forward. She never suggested what affect that has on the original
- motion. So they can come forward at any moment, but what I'm saying is, how does that
- pertain to the motion that Councilwoman [stammer] Miller-Anderson has already offered?
- 2679 Chairperson Botel: I, I think I can clarify what Mr. McCoy is asking 'cause I think I
- 2680 have the same question. Councilwoman Lanier's motion makes moot Councilwoman
- 2681 Miller-Anderson's motion...
- 2682 Councilperson McCoy: [Inaudible]...
- 2683 Chairperson Botel: ...because...
- 2684 Councilperson McCoy: [Inaudible].
- 2685 **Chairperson Botel:** Wait, wait, wait. Let me finish, let me finish.
- 2686 Councilperson McCoy: Don't, don't that.

- 2687 **Chairperson Botel:** [Inaudible].
- 2688 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...[inaudible] her motion. Don't you do that.
- 2689 **Chairperson Botel:** No, no, no. Wait, wait. What I'm saying is [chuckle]... Wait,
- wait, wait. I'm not trying to do that. What I'm saying is...
- 2691 **Councilperson McCoy:** I'm gonna turn of my camera.
- 2692 **Chairperson Botel:**Councilwoman's [stammer] Lanier's motion, how can I say
- this, it doesn't make... [Stammer] if we were to vote on Councilwoman Miller-Anderson's
- 2694 motion, and it passes, there's no point in having the Committee come. Is that what, what
- you were trying to say, Mr. McCoy?
- 2696 **Councilperson McCoy:** But that's not what she was trying to suggest.
- 2697 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: No.
- 2698 **Chairperson Botel:** I know. I know but what you were trying to say is that if we
- were to vote on Ms. Miller-Anderson's motion, it, it makes it unnecessary for Ms. Lanier's
- 2700 motion to even be considered because we will have made a decision that obviates the
- 2701 need to have the Committee come before us.
- 2702 **Councilperson McCoy:** No. That's not correct.
- 2703 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. I'm wrong.
- 2704 **Councilperson McCoy:** I want them to come forward. Bu that didn't have any bearing
- on the existing motion and if it does, I wanna get clarification. I would love to hear from
- the Committee. What I'm saying to you is, I don't necessarily think that we should be
- 2707 hearing from them before we take action on this Item. Is that what Councilwoman Lanier's
- 2708 intention is?
- 2709 **Councilperson Lanier:** My intention.., and I thought that I had made my motion prior
- to this, that I want the Committee to come forward because, Ms. Anderson you, you guys
- 2711 changed your motion. But I had made my motion to bring the Committee forward. I did
- 2712 not get a second. I requested that the Council... that the Chair pass her gavel to give me
- a second on this motion. You can vote it up or down. That's how it goes.
- 2714 Councilperson McCoy: So, simply. I, I mean, I don't know any other way to put this, I
- wanna support your motion, Councilwoman Lanier, but my question is this, are we saying
- once we approve your motion that they're gonna come forward and there's not a need to
- 2717 have this Item anymore? Is that what you're saying? That we're gonna deliberate...
- 2718 **Councilperson Lanier:** I want... The motion.., she can.., Ms. Anderson can make a
- 2719 motion... Councilwoman Anderson can make a motion for whatever she wants. There's
- 2720 nothing that I can do about that. All I'm saying is that the motion that I'm making is to give
- the respect to this Committee, that spent two months working..., a month and a half,

- working on this [stammer], these recommendations to.., because there is.., the reason
- 2723 why we're going with another motion is because there is some.., there's no clarity here
- about what it is that you think that the Committee did. So, bringing...
- 2725 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Inaudible].
- 2726 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...this Committee to you to be able to tell you, answer your
- 2727 questions about their..., how they made their decision, what they made their decision on,
- what they based it on. Let them tell you this. Not me.
- 2729 **Councilperson McCoy:** Okay. But, do we want them to come forward next week? Or
- 2730 maybe in the month of October? That's what I'm asking you. Does this now, does this
- 2731 now set aside the actual audit...
- 2732 **Councilperson Lanier:** We can, we can have them come forward, I can make an
- amended motion for them to come forward at the next meeting.
- 2734 Councilperson McCoy: Okay. Alright.
- 2735 **Chairperson Botel:** Can I just say something? There's no.., unless Ms. Miller-
- 2736 Anderson.., Councilwoman Miller-Anderson withdraws her motion, what is the point of, of
- voting? I mean, I too... I would like to hear from the Committee. I think it...
- 2738 Councilperson Lanier: I want...
- 2739 **Chairperson Botel:** ...would be healthy...
- 2740 **Councilperson Lanier:** I want...
- 2741 **Chairperson Botel:** ...for us.
- 2742 **Councilperson Lanier:** I want it on the record that this Committee.., this Council does
- 2743 not want to see the...
- 2744 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. I, I want to see the Committee. But, unless Ms. Miller-
- 2745 Anderson withdraws her motion, your motion...
- 2746 Councilperson McCoy: No.
- 2747 **Chairperson Botel:** ...to see...
- 2748 **Councilperson McCoy:** That's not...
- 2749 Chairperson Botel: ...the Committee... What, what... Well, tell me then 'cause
- 2750 I'm not understanding it then because...
- 2751 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Stammer]...

- 2752 **Chairperson Botel:** ...if, if, if Ms. Miller-Anderson's motion is accepted, then
- 2753 what is.., then, then what we have said essentially is we reject the opinion of the
- 2754 Committee, we're gonna go with the third ranked [stammer] we're gonna go with
- 2755 negotiating with the third ranked firm and, and proceed that way. Why would the
- 2756 Committee even care to entertain us with appearing before us?
- 2757 **Councilperson Lanier:** And why would anyone wanna be on a Committee?
- 2758 **Chairperson Botel:** Why, why would, you know, what, what's the point? There's
- 2759 no point. We'd, we'd essentially said, 'Committee, thanks very much, we're ignoring you.'
- So, that, that's why I'm asking you...
- 2761 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Uh-uh. I wanna be very clear, that is not the
- 2762 case, so please do not put words in my mouth. That is...
- 2763 **Chairperson Botel:** Alright.
- 2764 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...not what I said.
- 2765 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay.
- 2766 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I told you all, about five times, why I said what I
- 2767 said.
- 2768 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 2769 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** And that has not changed. I would love to hear
- from the Committee, but them coming, they're only gonna verbally tell me what they were
- thinking, in their head. And my point is, I don't see anything in writing in terms of what
- the criteria is. It has nothing to do with who was on it, what they thought about, there's
- iust no concrete information on the oral presentation. I'm done with that part. But don't
- 2774 put words in my mouth as to me not wanting to see them...
- 2775 **Chairperson Botel:** Right.
- 2776 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...not wanting to hear from them. That's not the
- case. It's not about individuals, it's not about what people feel and whether they wanna
- do it again, I'm talking about facts right now. And I'm talking about what's in black and
- white. I don't see it there for oral.
- 2780 **Councilperson Lanier:** And, and...
- 2781 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: That's it.
- 2782 **Councilperson Lanier:** ... Madam Chair, what, what we can do, Madam Chair, if the,
- if the, if the Committee comes forward, they can bring.., and all this is a part of public
- 2784 record as well, they bring the notes that they made during oral, oral presentations, they
- 2785 can bring the questions that they asked during oral presentations because if there needs

- 2786 to be a basis for ranking, the notes that they made, questions that they asked, answers
- 2787 that the, the.., that the, the firms gave can be basis for their ranking. And ranking itself,
- 2788 ranking is an evaluative measure within itself. It's just that maybe people don't like the
- fact that it's ranking, but don't say that ranking is not an evaluative tool, because it is.
- 2790 **Chairperson Botel:** I agree with you, Ms. Lanier.., Councilwoman Lanier.
- 2791 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** But no one said that.
- 2792 **Chairperson Botel:** Wait. Excuse me. The problem I'm having is, that if we vote,
- if we.., if Ms. Miller-Anderson's motion is approved, then we've gone forward with this
- 2794 process without having, [stammer].., what is the point of having the Committee come and
- 2795 explain to us because we've already entered into negotiations...
- 2796 **Councilperson McCoy:** Can I give you...
- 2797 **Chairperson Botel:** ...with HCT?
- 2798 **Councilperson McCoy:** Can I give you the point, 'cause it's statutorily...
- 2799 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 2800 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...required. And all we need is them to recommend. And it
- doesn't bind us to a recommendation.
- 2802 Councilperson Lanier: Right.
- 2803 Councilperson McCoy: So I appreciate their service and I appreciate their
- recommendation. But, why we have to do it is because it's required. And, I mean...
- 2805 Chairperson Botel: I'm not...
- 2806 Councilperson McCoy: ...[inaudible] but I definitely wanna hear from the Committee
- but I don't wanna hear from the Committee about this, that it's gonna change our, our
- response. Because, guite honestly, it was never about their justifications. I mean, thank
- you for your, your recommendations and move on. But if they wanna come in, you know,
- saying Mary had a little lamb, I mean, whatever. But, I mean...
- 2811 Councilperson Lanier: No. No. That...
- 2812 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...don't make it about being something against them because.
- because I don't literally... It said the same thing that I served on the P&Z for probably
- eight years, for seven or eight years and there was several times I was a little disappointed
- but, you know, it begins and ends right there and there was never any ill feelings or hard
- feelings and, you know, the audio recording and the video is always there for the Members
- 2817 to review. Right? So, I mean, to suggest that, for some reason that there's some other
- motive, I mean..., we, we are in receipt of your recommendation. It's been well taken.

- Then we can just move on and make a decision.
- 2820 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. I.., did you second Ms.., Councilwoman Lanier's
- 2821 motion...
- 2822 **Councilperson McCoy:** Far as...
- 2823 Chairperson Botel: ...for us to call...
- 2824 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...the Committee to come forward?
- 2825 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 2826 **Councilperson McCoy:** Well, I.., clearly, it apparently seems that nobody wants to
- 2827 clarify what that means. I'm asking you are we going to basically table the, the
- 2828 recommendation that Councilwoman Miller-Anderson has, if this approves? So that's
- either yes or no. It's not all of that other stuff because, if not, then, I mean...
- 2830 Councilperson Lanier: Well, let me, let me restate my motion. I want to make a
- motion that the Committee.., before we make a decision about who to negotiate with, that
- the, the Committee.., the Council hears the Committee and the process, and what they
- 2833 did to get to their rankings. If that is.., and once that is done, once the Committee is
- heard, because I'm s'.., I, I.., regardless of what Ms. Anderson says, I still feel like the
- sentiment and the tone here is that the Committee..., there was some..., that, that there
- was something that was not so much kosher or not so much.., there was just really, you
- 2837 just don't understand...
- 2838 Councilperson McCoy: Hold on. Hold on. [Inaudible].
- 2839 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...really what was going on with them. So, I really want the
- 2840 Committee to be able to say to the Council, 'This is what we did, this is how we did it,'
- 2841 and...
- 2842 **Unk.:** [Inaudible].
- 2843 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...[inaudible] process taken care of, then we can move on to
- 2844 making that decision.
- 2845 Councilperson McCoy: Okay. [Stammer]...
- 2846 **Chairperson Botel:** Mr. McCoy, go ahead. Sorry.
- 2847 **Councilperson McCoy:** Okay. I'm asking, what was her motion because she said a
- 2848 whole lot. So...
- 2849 Councilperson Lanier: [Chuckle].
- 2850 **Chairperson Botel:** She was making a motion...

- 2851 Councilperson McCoy: [Inaudible].
- 2852 **Chairperson Botel:** Re'.., re'.., restate it Ms.., Councilwoman Lanier.
- 2853 **Councilperson Lanier:** I was making a motion that before we move any further with
- 2854 choosing a selection, or choosing a firm, that we hear from the Audit Committee to give
- their position on the decisions that they made. And that can be done at the next Council
- meeting, and after that, the Council can make a decision after that presentation about
- what they want to do and who they want to negotiate with.
- 2858 Chairperson Botel: Mr. McCoy? [Pause]. Mr. McCoy, did you wanna second
- 2859 that?
- 2860 Councilperson McCoy: No, ma'am.
- 2861 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Well how long... We don't normally wait that
- long to, to call whether or not there was a second.
- 2863 **Chairperson Botel:** No, no. I [stammer], he said that he wanted to ask her a
- question before he seconded. So, that's why I'm asking if he wanted to. My problem,
- 2865 Councilwoman Lanier, is this. If, and [stammer] without.., I don't wanna put words in the
- mouth of any of my colleagues so please forgive me if it sounds like I'm doing that, I don't
- mean to do that. What I wanna clarify is, we have a motion on the floor that is asking us
- 2868 to enter into negotiations with one of the firms. Your motion is asking us not to enter into
- negotiations until we hear from the Committee. So, there is no...
- 2870 **Councilperson Lanier:** You would be...
- 2871 Chairperson Botel: ...point...
- 2872 Councilperson Lanier: ...correct.
- 2873 **Chairperson Botel:** Right. So, I don't think that procedurally we can do that. I
- 2874 mean, I, I think that... Go ahead Ms. Lanier.
- 2875 **Councilperson Lanier:** There's a motion on the floor, then you get a second and then
- we... we take a vote and then that's it.
- 2877 **Chairperson Botel:** But the, the first motion was made by Councilwoman Miller-
- 2878 Anderson.
- 2879 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** But, Madam...
- 2880 **Councilperson Lanier:** [Inaudible]...
- 2881 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: ... Madam Chair?
- 2882 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...first motion was...

- 2883 **Chairperson Botel:** Who was talking?
- 2884 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** She made a motion, she didn't get a second.
- Now we need to move on. I mean, why are we still explaining...
- 2886 **Chairperson Botel:** Because I would second it if no one else would. I would pass
- 2887 the gavel. But...
- 2888 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Okay. Well, that's what we to probably do then.
- 2889 **Chairperson Botel:** Yeah. But then what's the point? Because, if your motion is,
- is taken first then it obviates the need for her motion, in my mind.
- 2891 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** But listen. But, the thing is, we vote on it. If the
- whole Cou'..., if the majority feels that way, then they vote yes. If they don't, they vote no.
- 2893 And then they come back to mine.
- 2894 **Chairperson Botel:** Alright. I'm gonna..., I will pass the gavel to Chair Pro Tem
- Lawson and make a second on Ms. Lanier's so that we can move forward. So, I second
- 2896 Ms. Lanier's motion. Now, can we please take a vote on Ms. Miller-Anderson's motion
- 2897 before I lose my mind.
- 2898 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** No. We have the vote on Ms. Lanier's.
- 2899 **Chairperson Botel:** Madam Clerk, can we do that?
- 2900 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** We have to do that.
- 2901 **City Clerk Anthony:** We have to vote on Ms. Lanier's motion first.
- 2902 **Councilperson McCoy:** You need a second first.
- 2903 Chairperson Botel: Okay. Okay. Okay.
- 2904 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson...
- 2905 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Madam Clerk.
- 2906 **City Clerk Anthony:** ...McCoy, Chair Botel passed the gavel to Cou'..., Pro Tem
- 2907 Lawson so that she could second the motion.
- 2908 **Councilperson McCoy:** Oh, Ms. Anthony, you said what? She passed the gavel?
- 2909 What rule is that?
- 2910 **Chairperson Botel:** Did you have to remind him? You had to.., you had to wake
- 2911 him up over there and remind him? [Chuckle].
- 2912 City Clerk Anthony: Say that again. Okay. You're going in and out so I can't
- 2913 really...

- 2914 Councilperson McCoy: I want you to...
- 2915 **Unk.:** [Inaudible].
- 2916 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...[inaudible] the rule where you're permitted to pass the
- 2917 gavel. So we can do that later this week perhaps. Mr. Evans,...
- 2918 Chairperson Botel: Okay. Good.
- 2919 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...[inaudible] provide for that?
- 2920 **City Clerk Anthony:** Are we ready for the vote?
- 2921 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes, Madam Clerk. Please, we're voting...
- 2922 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Madam Clerk.
- 2923 **Chairperson Botel:** ...on Ms. Lanier's [stammer], we're voting on Ms. Lanier's...
- 2924 **City Clerk Anthony:** Madam Chair, you're not the Chair right now. Mr...
- 2925 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: [Inaudible].
- 2926 City Clerk Anthony: ...Lawson's...
- 2927 **Chairperson Botel:** Oh. [Chuckle].
- 2928 **City Clerk Anthony:** ...the Chair.
- 2929 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Ma'..., Ma'..., Madam Clerk, if you could go forward with the
- 2930 vote. I always waited for this. [Chuckle].
- 2931 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you, Chair Pro Tem. [Chuckle].
- 2932 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: [Chuckle].
- 2933 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson McCoy?
- 2934 Councilperson McCoy: No.
- 2935 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson Miller-Anderson?
- 2936 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: No.
- 2937 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson Lanier?
- 2938 Councilperson Lanier: Yes.
- 2939 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson Botel?

- 2940 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 2941 **City Clerk Anthony:** Chair Lawson?
- 2942 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: No.
- 2943 **Councilperson McCoy:** And Councilman... But...
- 2944 **City Clerk Anthony:** That person... That motion fails with Councilpersons McCoy,
- 2945 Miller-Anderson and Lawson dissenting.
- 2946 Councilperson McCoy: Chair...
- 2947 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Thank you, Ms. Anthony.
- 2948 Councilperson McCoy: ...Lawson...
- 2949 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: ...and...
- 2950 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...keep the gavel.
- 2951 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** I'm, I'm gonna hold on to this gavel for a while then.
- 2952 **Chairperson Botel:** Give me that back.
- 2953 [Chuckles]
- 2954 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** I'm keeping this gavel, Madam Chair.
- 2955 **Chairperson Botel:** I'm reaching in my screen. [Chuckle]. Don't get comfortable.
- 2956 Yet. [Chuckle].
- 2957 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Alright.
- 2958 Chairperson Botel: Alright. Now...
- 2959 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: I, I'm gonna pass the gavel back to our Chair.
- 2960 **Chairperson Botel:** Alright. Now we can take Councilwoman Miller-Anderson's
- motion. No, did we... Okay. And, we... and then we'll ask for a price from HCT and from
- 2962 Grau, we can put a... So, Madam Clerk.
- 2963 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Wait. Hold on. What now?
- 2964 **City Clerk Anthony:** Okay. Madam Chair, we're authorizing negotiations with
- 2965 HCT.
- 2966 Chairperson Botel: Right.

2967	City Clerk Anthony:	[Stammer]		
2968	Chairperson Botel:	Oh, that's right.		
2969	City Clerk Anthony:	[inaudible] if I'm correct.		
2970	Chairperson Botel:	You're right. Just HCT then. Sorry.		
2971	City Clerk Anthony:	Okay. Okay.		
2972	Chairperson Botel:	Go ahead. I'm sorry. I take that back.		
2973	City Clerk Anthony:	Alright.		
2974	Chairperson Botel:	Madam Clerk, please call the motion.		
2975	City Clerk Anthony:	[Chuckle].		
2976	Chairperson Botel:	Please.		
2977	City Clerk Anthony:	Councilperson McCoy?		
2978	Councilperson McCoy:	Yes.		
2979	City Clerk Anthony:	Councilperson Miller-Anderson?		
2980	Councilperson Miller-An	derson: Yes.		
2981	City Clerk Anthony:	Councilperson Lanier?		
2982	Councilperson Lanier:	No.		
2983	City Clerk Anthony:	Pro Tem Lawson?		
2984	Chair Pro Tem Lawson:	Yes.		
2985	City Clerk Anthony:	Chair Botel?		
2986	Chairperson Botel:	No.		
2987 2988	City Clerk Anthony: dissenting.	That motion is approved with Councilpersons Lanier and Botel		
2989 2990	Chairperson Botel: Now, if we could hear from Mr, where'd he go, Ander', Mr. Harvey. Mr. Harvey, are you prepared to give us the price for your work on this project?			

Madam Chair?

Councilperson Miller-Anderson:

Chairperson Botel: Yes, Ms. Miller-Anderson?

2991

2992

2993	Councilperson Miller-Anderson:	Are we going into negotiations
------	--------------------------------	--------------------------------

2994 **Chairperson Botel:** That's what...

2995 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: ...now?

2996 **Chairperson Botel:** Ms. Wynn suggested we do that earlier, so.

2997 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Ms. Wynn?

2998 Chairperson Botel: Yes.

2999 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Ms. Wynn, can you...

3000 **City Attorney Wynn:** No. I, I told you that you had a choice. You can negotiate or

you can designate someone to do it, to negotiate.

3002 Chairperson Botel: So.

3003 **City Attorney Wynn:** So, it's totally up to you. If you negotiate now, and we come

to an agreement, you vote, we'll bring.., we'll have the, the contract available for signature.

3005 If you designate, then we can go back and negotiate with Mr. Harvey...

3006 Chairperson Botel: And remind...

3007 **City Attorney Wynn:** ...and his firm and bring a contract back.

3008 **Chairperson Botel:** And remind me when the, the audit was due to the state?

3009 Yesterday? I think we better...

3010 **City Attorney Wynn:** Yesterday.

3011 **Chairperson Botel:** ...get this done.

3012 Councilperson Lanier: Madam Chair?

3013 **City Attorney Wynn:** It's, it's the Council's decision.

3014 Councilperson Lanier: I...

3015 Chairperson Botel: Do we have to vote on it?

3016 Councilperson Lanier: Madam Chair?

3017 Chairperson Botel: Yes.

3018 **Councilperson Lanier:** I think that we.., I mean, we spent three hours on this. I think

we.., we need to go ahead and, and work this out tonight. We can go.., we can talk with

the, the people that are here. Let's negotiate with them now and let's go ahead...

- 3021 **Chairperson Botel:** We have to get it done.
- 3022 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...and put this to bed.
- 3023 **Chairperson Botel:** Mr. Harvey? [Pause]. Mr. Harvey?
- 3024 **Mr. R. Harvey:** Yes. Hello. Can you hear me?
- 3025 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes. We'd like to hear your price for this work.
- 3026 **Mr. R. Harvey:** Thank you so much again. Thank you, Mayor and Commissioners.
- We are excited to be the CPA firm of choice. We are willing to offer a five to seven percent
- discount on the work. I will also lay at your feet that there are basically two audits that
- need to be done pretty quickly here. Obviously, the 2019 audit needs to be done. I am.,
- and we have people that we can get out and to the City in the month of July to start. And
- then, by the time we conclude that audit, we will be ready to move right into the 2020
- audit to get the City ready to get back on track, which would put that submission...
- normally, Mr. Sherman likes the March slash April period, and so, we would like to get
- back. And so, due to the nature of getting the City back on track and having boots on the
- ground in a short time, we will offer a, a seven percent... Let's just say seven percent... I
- give a hard number, discount on our standard price we gave earlier.
- 3037 **Chairperson Botel:** So, could you please just give us the number? I wanna do the
- math to see what the difference is between the Davis price and yours, just to have it.
- 3039 Mr. R. Harvey: Oh okay. So...
- 3040 **Chairperson Botel:** So, we can have it on the record.
- 3041 **Mr. R. Harvey:** Yes, ma'am. The now reflected price starting at the \$90,980 with a
- seven percent discount, now gives a annual fee of \$84,611.40.
- 3043 **Chairperson Botel:** And so.., okay. \$84,611, I'm looking through my notes here.
- 3044 \$84,611 minus \$55,000. So, \$29,000 times five. A hundred and forty-eight..., \$148,057.
- Okay. So, the difference in price that we got from Davis and yours is \$147,051. Just so
- it's clear if we vote to accept that price from you, that's the cost to the taxpayers, a hundred
- and forty-seven dollars, \$147,051. Have I got that right Mr. McCoy and Mr. Lawson? I
- 3048 count on you to do the math.
- 3049 **Councilperson McCoy:** I'm sorry. I, I didn't even.., I kinda got off track here. So he's
- 3050 giving seven percent of what amount? The...
- 3051 **Chairperson Botel:** He's giving us a price of... Let me go back. I'll let you repeat
- 3052 it, Mr. Harvey.
- 3053 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: And, that is right. That is right, Madam Chair.
- 3054 Chairperson Botel: Okay.

- 3055 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: That number is...
- 3056 **Chairperson Botel:** So, the difference is...
- 3057 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** ...a hundred forty-seven...
- 3058 **Chairperson Botel:** ...\$147,000.
- 3059 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: \$148,000.
- 3060 **Chairperson Botel:** Let's say \$148,000. So, the difference that we would be
- voting on if we go with you is \$148,000.
- 3062 **Mr. R. Harvey:** And that is over a five year period.
- 3063 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 3064 **Mr. R. Harvey:** I would also note, that's a five year period. That price, with the
- discount is very consistent in years past, as Mr. Sherman has said. One of our prior fees
- was eighty-one, \$82,000. Also, consider that price includes the CRA. As your prior
- auditor, we have, in years past, charged the City, I think it was seventy-eight.., seventy-
- 3068 nine. The CRA audit was closer to fifteen or sixteen thousand. So, that puts us in a very
- consimmerate' place. And so, we believe the aggregate pricing we are giving you today,
- which includes the City and the CRA, the CRA is a separately issued report, that that is
- very fair and very consistent with the prices that we have done historically when you look
- at both five year contracts with the CRA, been about fifteen, sixteen thousand, and the
- 3073 City being in the high eights, high sevens.
- 3074 **Chairperson Botel:** Ms. Lanier, just a question for you, please. Both.., all the
- prices we got [stammer] combined the City and the CRA. Am I right?
- 3076 Councilperson Lanier: Yes.
- 3077 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. And, Mr. McCoy, do you have a question?
- 3078 Councilperson McCoy: Okay.
- 3079 **Councilperson Lanier:** I had a question though. I wanted to follow up...
- 3080 **Chairperson Botel:** Ms. Lanier.
- 3081 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...with what you just asked. The question I wanted to ask is
- this, we have the second ranked firm with \$55,000, which is, over a course of five years,
- 3083 a \$148,000 difference.
- 3084 Chairperson Botel: Right.
- 3085 **Councilperson Lanier:** Between this firm and the S. Davis firm, and I think that we
- may can get a, a better discount from, from HCT, given the fact that we do have, on the

- table, a price that is significantly lower than even what they are trying to discount at seven
- 3088 percent.
- 3089 Chairperson Botel: lagree.
- 3090 **Mr. R. Harvey:** I would like to add one thing. Well, let me just state this very clearly,
- is as a partner of HCT, we price our work based on our firm. We have more experience
- doing municipal audits, especially than the firm you mentioned. And so, our experience
- should be considered. I can name several cities that we do. We do anywhere from eight
- 3094 to ten municipal clients throughout the South Florida area. I think we have more
- 3095 experience, if you look at our...
- 3096 [Audio stopped]
- 3097 Councilperson McCoy: [Inaudible].
- 3098 **Chairperson Botel:** Did we, did we lose him? Mr. Harvey? I think we lost you.
- 3099 [Pause]. I think we lost Mr. Harvey. It's kinda hard to negotiate when the other party is
- missing in action. Mr. Harvey, can you try to get back in the meeting? [Chuckle].
- 3101 **Chairperson Botel:** Mr. McCoy, did you wanna make a comment while we're
- 3102 waiting? [Chuckle].
- 3103 Councilperson McCoy: I'm, I'm sorry. I came in late on the matter. He offered
- seventy.., seven percent off of the annual amount? Is that correct?
- 3105 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes. The, the difference between Davis and HCT is \$148,000
- 3106 over the life of the contract.
- 3107 **Councilperson McCoy:** I thought I heard something like one forty. Where did
- 3108 that come from?
- 3109 Councilperson Lanier: He...
- 3110 Chairperson Botel: No, no.
- 3111 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...he offered...
- 3112 **Chairperson Botel:** One forty-s'.., \$147,051. Mr. Lawson, can you confirm that,
- 3113 please?
- 3114 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Yeah. That's the amount of life of the contract difference
- 3115 between Davis and HTC.
- 3116 Chairperson Botel: Right.
- 3117 Councilperson Lanier: It's a hun'... It's, it's \$148,057.
- 3118 Chairperson Botel: Oh. Okay.

- 3119 Councilperson McCoy: Well, I'm sorry. I, I started doing the math with some.., a
- 3120 different way.
- 3121 Councilperson Lanier: That's almost \$150,000.
- 3122 **Chairperson Botel:** Right. [Pause]. Mr. McCoy, did you wanna say something?
- 3123 Councilperson McCoy: Well, I guess I was kind of approaching it a different way. I
- was looking at what that annual [audio skipped].
- 3125 **Mr. R. Harvey:** Hello, hello.
- 3126 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 3127 **Councilperson Lanier:** Even with the annual, Mr. McCoy, that's almost a \$35,000
- 3128 difference.
- 3129 **Councilperson McCoy:** Right. But here's the other thing that I ask, Mr. Edelman, can
- 3130 you mute him for a moment, please?
- 3131 **Mr. R. Harvey:** Hello, hello?
- 3132 **Chairperson Botel:** We hear you, Mr. Harvey. I think that's you we hear.
- 3133 **Mr. R. Harvey:** Okay. Yeah. I'm sorry. Yes. I mean, we're willing to work on our
- price. We would just ask the City to consider the two years that needs to be done.
- 3135 **Chairperson Botel:** Well, everybody who would respond to the RFP would be
- 3136 doing the same work. Am I correct? Same...
- 3137 **Councilperson Lanier:** No. You're correct.
- 3138 Chairperson Botel: ...two years.
- 3139 **Councilperson Lanier:** They would be doing two years as well.
- 3140 **Mr. R. Harvey:** Hello, hello?
- 3141 **Chairperson Botel:** Yeah. We can hear you, Mr. Harvey.
- 3142 **Mr. R. Harvey:** Can you hear me?
- 3143 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 3144 Councilperson Lanier: Uhuh.
- 3145 **Mr. R. Harvey:** I was saying that..., sorry for the technical difficulties. I was saying
- that we can offer a additional price discount for years three, four and five. We really
- believe that the fees for year one and two should be considered because of the guick

- nature of those two audits that need to be done. So, we are willing to discount further
- those additional years as a, a favor..., as, as a, you know, a way to connect with the City.
- 3150 Councilperson Lanier: Favor?
- 3151 **Mr. R. Harvey:** Well, I take that back, not as a favor, as a way to connect with the
- 3152 City.
- 3153 **Chairperson Botel:** And what would those prices be for years three, four and five,
- 3154 Mr. Harvey?
- 3155 **Mr. R. Harvey:** Okay. I mean [pause]. So, we are willing to offer...
- 3156 **Chairperson Botel:** And if you could give me the total five year so that I can make
- a comparison with the H'..., with Davis...
- 3158 **Mr. R. Harvey**: You want just a total five year?
- 3159 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes, please.
- 3160 **Councilperson Lanier:** And the percentage as well.
- 3161 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 3162 **Mr. R. Harvey:** Say that again, Ms. Lanier, Councilperson...
- 3163 **Councilperson Lanier:** And the...
- 3164 **Mr. R. Harvey:** ...Lanier?
- 3165 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...percentage as well.
- 3166 **Mr. R. Harvey:** Well, I was gonna give a...
- 3167 Councilperson Lanier: [Inaudible].
- 3168 **Mr. R. Harvey:** ...[inaudible] number instead of a percentage. So, I was gonna say
- for year one and two, \$84,000. And then, go down in year three, four and five to seventy-
- eight. Which is m'..., which is consimmerate with the fees we charged in our last contract,
- as per Mr. Sherman, so that would give no fee increased based on what we've charged
- as our firm over our last contract with the great City of Riviera Beach.
- 3173 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** And Mr. Harvey, real guick. Is that \$84,000 flat or is that
- \$174 \$84,611, which is the seven percent discount from the price you originally gave?
- 3175 **Mr. R. Harvey:** Yes, sir. I'm making it flat. I'm rounding it down so it's just a easy
- move number to calculate. So, I have \$84,000 year one, \$84,000 year two, \$78,000 for
- year three, \$78,000 for year four and \$78,000 for year five.

- 3178 **Chairperson Botel:** So now we're down to \$127,000 difference. Am I right, Mr.
- 3179 Lawson?
- 3180 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Yeah.
- 3181 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Ms. Miller-Anderson.
- 3182 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Madam .., Madam Chair?
- 3183 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 3184 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** So, okay. So when we have RFP's and, you
- know, various proposals that are submitted, it's typically known if we're going to go by the
- price, we're determining the price on that. And so, is that what we're doing right now?
- We're going.., we're trying to select someone based on the.., what the other person
- 3188 submitted?
- 3189 Chairperson Botel: I think...
- 3190 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Because if that's the case, we should a just did
- a, a., just went by the lowest bidder because right now, that's what it sounds like we're
- trying to do, is go with the lowest bidder. And if that was the case, we should a just sent
- 3193 the RFP that way..., where...
- 3194 **Chairperson Botel:** I actually...
- 3195 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...you just had a hard bid.
- 3196 **Chairperson Botel:** I think we're just trying to get the best, best deal for the City of
- Riviera Beach, while still getting the best product. And I think we've already discount'...
- 3198 Well. I can't...
- 3199 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Well, I, I.., and I, I agree. I understand that, that
- 3200 certainly should be the case, we need to see about getting the best... But we.., I think
- we're almost comparing apples to oranges in terms of their prices because he just... you
- know, I don't know what her situation is, I don't know.., and right now I don't have it all in
- front of me, as to how long they been opened. I mean, there's a lotta factors, I guess, to
- 3204 consider and, and just from Davis suggesting that they gave that fifty percent
- discount, that was based on the fact, they just wanted to get the business. I don't think
- 3206 the two firms are in the same position, unless we're now saying, 'Well, that's what's
- important to us, is,' you know, we're not looking at the quality, we're not looking at, you
- 3208 know...
- 3209 **Chairperson Botel:** Oh [stammer] no.
- 3210 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...for the experience...
- 3211 **Chairperson Botel:** I, I think we are...

- 3212 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: ...we're not looking at all that.
- 3213 Chairperson Botel: We are, we are looking at the quality. We, we had a
- 3214 Committee take a look at all these...
- 3215 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** No, no. I'm talking about right now, just the
- 3216 amount...
- 3217 Chairperson Botel: Alright.
- 3218 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: ...right now.
- 3219 Chairperson Botel: Okay. Alright.
- 3220 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** That's all I'm talking about.
- 3221 **Chairperson Botel:** I have to...
- 3222 **Councilperson Lanier:** [Stammer]...
- 3223 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I'm talking about the amount.
- 3224 **Chairperson Botel:** The quality was evident from the...
- 3225 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Well, we already fi'... Yeah. We went.., we've
- 3226 already crossed that bridge...
- 3227 **Chairperson Botel:** Don't wanna go back.
- 3228 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...that [inaudible] there. No, we're...
- 3229 Councilperson Lanier: So, yes.
- 3230 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...[inaudible].
- 3231 **Councilperson Lanier:** So, Madam, Madam Chair, I think that what we're doing now
- is actually negotiations. We are asking for.., if we.., if they ask.., we ask them for a price.
- 3233 They say they can do this. We can ask if they wanna go lower. We can ask would you
- 3234 go lower...
- 3235 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Right. But...
- 3236 **Councilperson Lanier:** We can... This is what they call negotiations. Let me finish
- 3237 talking.
- 3238 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: But I think we're comparing...
- 3239 Councilperson Lanier: Can I finish...

3240	Councilperson Miller-Anders	son:	to Davis
3241	Councilperson Lanier:t	talking nov	v?
3242	Councilperson Miller-Anders	son:	and saying
3243	Councilperson Lanier: Ca	an I finish t	alking?
3244	Councilperson Miller-Anders	son:	I'm gonna
3245	Councilperson Lanier: I no	eed to	
3246	Councilperson Miller-Anders	son:	make my point.
3247	Councilperson Lanier:f	finish talkir	ng.
3248	Councilperson Miller-Anders	son:	The \$55,000
3249	Councilperson Lanier: No	o. I was ta	lking first.
3250	Councilperson Miller-Anders	son:	shouldn't be referenced
3251	Councilperson Lanier: I, I	was talkir	ng first and
3252	Chairperson Botel: Wa	ait, okay.	
3253 3254 3255 3256 3257 3258	Councilperson Lanier:I'm saying that when we're looking at these firms, we had listed out the firms negoti', I mean, rankings from one to, from one to three and we had S. D. Davis as number two, based on, not even any money involved. Based on just the qualifications of that firm. Now, we have went outside of that [stammer] ranking, which we very well can. Well, I didn't, and you come back and you said that we're gonna negotiate with HCT. This is exactly what we're doing right now. We're negotiating		
3259	Councilperson Miller-Anderson: We're		
3260	Councilperson Lanier:	with HCT.	
3261 3262 3263 3264	Councilperson Miller-Anderson: And my point is again, the reference for the other company, I don't think that we should throw that in there and saying, you know, well, they did this. They have their reason for doing their pricing, so does the other one. And I just want		
3265	Councilperson Lanier: [St	tammer]	
3266	Councilperson Miller-Anders	son:	to put out
3267	Councilperson Lanier:i	it's, it's, it's	S

3268

Councilperson Miller-Anderson:[inaudible]....

- 3269 Councilperson Lanier: ...called.., it's called comparison shopping...
- 3270 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** [Inaudible].
- 3271 Councilperson Lanier: ... I mean, if I go to Target and it's more money, and then if I
- go to Walmart it's less money, then that's how you comparison shop...
- 3273 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Ms. Miller-Anderson...
- 3274 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** One of the other things...
- 3275 **Councilperson Lanier:** [Inaudible].
- 3276 Chairperson Botel: Ms. Lanier...
- 3277 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** One of the...
- 3278 **Chairperson Botel:** ...let Ms. Miller-Anderson finish her statement and then we
- 3279 can... Go ahead, Ms. Miller-Anderson.
- 3280 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** One of the other things I want to point out is that
- 3281 why I know it's important for us to try to get through this tonight and, and make this
- decision with the negotiations, but as you can see, there's five of us on here, plus the
- Mayor. We could be here for all nightlong with this. Typically, I've never been a part of
- 3284 a Council when we did the negotiations, all five of us. Typically, we would have they
- Attorney go and do it or you would have one of the Councilpeople go. Just like we did
- with the City Manager, the City Attorney. That's because it is less, less involvement. It's
- 3287 still gonna come back to us for us to decide which way we wanna go but we could be
- here, literally, all night because we have these six individuals that would be providing
- input. Typically, we do not do negotiating like this.
- 3290 Chairperson Botel: I know...
- 3291 **Councilperson Lanier:** Madam Chair...
- 3292 Chairperson Botel: ...but typically we don't...
- 3293 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...this is [inaudible]...
- 3294 Chairperson Botel: ...have the audit...
- 3295 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...[inaudible]...
- 3296 **Chairperson Botel:** Ms., Ms... The Mayor... Wait. Time out. The Mayor would
- like to say something and he's been silent all night. We have to give him an opportunity
- 3298 to weigh in. [Chuckle]. Mayor, Mayor Felder, please.
- 3299 **Mayor Felder:** [Inaudible] been going on for three and a half hours. Madam Chair
- and Board, would ya'll consider letting Staff go into negotiations, coming back to the next

- meeting with a price, so we don't have to spend another three hours trying to negotiate
- with the firms that's, that's on line right now. Would ya'll consider that?
- 3303 Councilperson Lanier: No. I'm sorry. No. I wanna make the negotiations now.
- That's what neo'.., that's what the, that's what the law says, that the, that the Board has
- 3305 to negotiate it publicly.
- 3306 Mayor Felder: But...
- 3307 **Councilperson Lanier:** Or, they can make a designee. I choose to say, we're sitting
- here now, we're already three hours in, we've taken up all this time with this particular
- 3309 issue anyway. So now why stop now because you want somebody else to do the
- 3310 negotiations? I think that...
- 3311 Mayor Felder: [Stammer]...
- 3312 **Councilperson Lanier:**I have a right to be able to sit here and ask the person who's
- gonna get this contract, whether or not they can go lower on their cost.
- 3314 **Mayor Felder:** You have every right, Councilman. [Inaudible].
- 3315 **Councilperson Lanier:** Okay. I'm, I'm saying ya'll spent, ya'll spent.., ya'll spending
- one evening here. I've been doing this for two months. So, all I'm saying is that, give me
- the opportunity to negotiate because this is only the first time you guys are hearing this.
- 3318 I've been doing this for two months.
- 3319 **Mayor Felder:** I'm asking...
- 3320 **Chairperson Botel:** And I'm fine...
- 3321 **Mayor Felder:** ...you, [inaudible] as a Board, and [inaudible], would you consider
- 3322 letting Staff handle this negotiation?
- 3323 **Chairperson Botel:** I think, Mayor Felder, the problem is that there's a time
- urgency here. We are.., our audit was due and I think that we really have to.., we almost
- have to get it done. Unless we.., unless we commit to doing it...
- 3326 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Can we get an extension?
- 3327 **Chairperson Botel:**and asking Staff to do it... I... Mr. Sherman, can we get an
- 3328 extension? [Pause]. Mr. Sherman, are you there?
- 3329 Finance and Administrative Services Director Sherman: Yeah. I actually placed a
- call to the state last week and.., to inform them that we would not [chuckle] meet the
- deadline. His only words of wisdom to me was, 'Get it done as guickly as you can,'
- because he does need to report out to, I think, I believe he said the Governor. He may
- have to report to someone else, by September. And [inaudible], that puts you on the list
- and then you're subject to, you know, cuts from the state and not getting funding and, you

- know, other issues. So, so they're.., the state is aware of it, that it's obviously gonna be late in reporting. Word was get it done as quickly as we can.
- 3337 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 3338 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Madam Chair, I just wanna ask Mr. Sherman, is
- this the first time we've had to get an extension for this?
- Finance and Administrative Services Director Sherman: It's the first time since I've
- worked for the City. I wanna say in 2011, yeah, I think it was the 2011 audit, I believe
- they filed it in September.
- 3343 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Thank you.
- 3344 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 3345 Councilperson McCoy: [Inaudible].
- 3346 **Chairperson Botel:** Who's speaking? Mr. McCoy.
- 3347 **Councilperson McCoy:** Mr. Sherman, are you referring to the Auditor General? That
- 3348 [inaudible].
- 3349 Finance and Administrative Services Director Sherman: Yes. Yeah. That's who I
- 3350 spoke to. That office, yes.
- 3351 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Madam Chair, I'd like to make a motion.
- 3352 **Councilperson Lanier:** I wanted to.., let me get my question out. I wanted to ask the..,
- ask the firm, would they just do a ten percent across the board for the five years for this
- 3354 contract? A ten percent reduction across the board.
- 3355 Chairperson Botel: Which would...
- 3356 Councilperson Lanier: I think...
- 3357 Chairperson Botel: ...be what?
- 3358 Councilperson Lanier: ...that's fair
- 3359 Chairperson Botel: Let me think. What was their original? Ninety...
- 3360 Councilperson Lanier: [Inaudible].
- 3361 **Chairperson Botel:** \$95,980.
- 3362 Councilperson McCoy: [Inaudible], question?
- 3363 Chairperson Botel: Go ahead...

- 3364 Councilperson McCoy: We need to...
- 3365 **Chairperson Botel:** Nine thousand...
- 3366 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...clarify that because ten percent across the board, we're
- talking about nine thousand dollars over five years, that's....
- 3368 **Councilperson Lanier:** No. When I say ten percent, that means for each year.
- 3369 Councilperson McCoy: Right.
- 3370 **Councilperson Lanier:** They're, they're wanted to do seven percent, seven percent
- for the first two years. And then, I'm not sure what the next amount.., the amount is but
- what they can do is, if they can't match the number by the lowest firm, if they can't match
- that number, then we're asking for ten percent, per year, for five years.
- 3374 **Councilperson McCoy:** Ms. Lanier, do you know how much that is equated to?
- 3375 Because I think they probably already exceeded that amount.
- 3376 **Councilperson Lanier:** I would like to make a motion that we request that the firm that
- we are entertaining for negotiations give us a savings of ten percent over each of the five
- years? Even that amount would not equate to the amount that was the lowest bid. Ten
- percent over each amount, for each year, for this firm which in turn is still not the lowest
- 3380 bid.
- 3381 Councilperson McCoy: Ms. La'...
- 3382 Chairperson Botel: Well, I...
- 3383 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** [Stammer], Ms. Lanier, that's, that's actually..., that's actually
- more than they just offered.
- 3385 **Councilperson McCoy:** That's more than what...
- 3386 **Chairperson Botel:** I, I was just gonna say, that's... Shirley, that's not good math.
- I don't mean to impugn your math skills but... [Chuckle]. I would.., this is why I count on
- 3388 Lawson and McCoy, [inaudible] know number.
- 3389 **Councilperson Lanier:** So, if we do ten'.., if we do ten percent...
- 3390 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Ten percent is forty-five thousand... Councilwoman Lanier,
- 3391 ten percent is \$45,490.
- 3392 **Councilperson Lanier:** So, if we go ninety...
- 3393 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: ...of the...
- 3394 Councilperson Lanier: ...eight ninety...

- 3395 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: ...[inaudible]. Mr. Harvey...
- 3396 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Inaudible].
- 3397 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: ...has offered \$52,900...
- 3398 Councilperson Lanier: No, no.
- 3399 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: ...in discounts over the...
- 3400 **Councilperson Lanier:** Hold on, hold on. Let.., somebody's trying to talk. What's
- 3401 going on here.
- 3402 **Mr. R. Harvey:** We will be willing to accept the ten percent discount off of our first
- 3403 [inaudible] for five years.
- 3404 **Chairperson Botel:** No, no, no, no, no.
- 3405 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Inaudible], Lanier. Yeah. I'm going... I gotta take a break.
- 3406 **Councilperson Lanier:** Well, I'll tell you what. Just, just match the lowest firm.
- Just, just match the lowest number by the firm that we, we had at number two. Just match
- that lowest cost. I mean, the, the firm did \$55,000, just match that. Then we'll be...
- 3409 **Chairperson Botel:** There you go.
- 3410 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...done with it.
- 3411 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Madam Chair?
- 3412 **Councilperson McCoy:** Thank you...
- 3413 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 3414 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...for your service on the...
- 3415 **Chairperson Botel:** Ms. Miller-Anderson.
- 3416 **Councilperson McCoy:** ... Audit Committee, Councilwoman Lanier.
- 3417 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Madam Chair?
- 3418 **Chairperson Botel:** Madam...
- 3419 Councilperson McCoy: [Inaudible].
- 3420 **Chairperson Botel:** Ms. Miller-Anderson has the floor please.

3421 3422	Councilperson Miller-Anderson: So, in the Resolution, what was, was there a suggested amount, that we were being recommended to go with or start off with?		
3423	Chairperson Botel:	No.	
3424	Councilperson Lanier:	No.	
3425	Councilperson Miller-An	derson:	There was no amount in there?
3426	Chairperson Botel:	In the origina	al Resolution?
3427	Councilperson Miller-An	derson:	With Grau.
3428	Chairperson Botel:	No, I don't th	nink so. Mr. Evans?
3429	Chair Pro Tem Lawson:	\$92,000 ann	ually is what Grau presented.
3430	Chairperson Botel:	Right.	
3431	Councilperson Miller-An	derson:	Right.
3432	Chair Pro Tem Lawson:	\$92,000 ann	ually.
3433	Chairperson Botel:	That was, th	at was not
3434	Councilperson Miller-An	derson:	Right.
3435	Chairperson Botel:	Oh, okay. B	ut that wasn't
3436	Councilperson Miller-An	derson:	Yes.
3437	Chairperson Botel:	in the Res	olution. It's what the Grau's price.
3438	Councilperson Miller-An	derson:	But that's what they had?
3439	Chairperson Botel:	Yes. Yes. Y	∕eah, right.
3440 3441	Councilperson Miller-An of the fifty-five.	derson:	Right, right. And so, now we're making the basis

- 3442 **Chairperson Botel:** Right.
- 3443 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** And the...
- 3444 Councilperson Lanier: Well, I...
- 3445 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...ninety-nine, I'm sorry, ninety-two...

- 3446 **Councilperson Lanier:** Well, Madam Chair, they're, they're rankings on the
- qualifications were lowest so they can just meet the lowest number.
- 3448 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I don't agree with that.
- 3449 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. I, I think that, to be fiscally responsible, it's something
- that we should ask them. So, I will ask that of Mr. Harvey. Mr. Harvey, can you match
- the price that we give'.., that we've been given by Davis?
- 3452 **Mr. R. Harvey:** No, ma'am. We will not match \$55,000.
- 3453 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Thank you. Let's see. So, the bottom line from you
- right now, we're still.., let's do the math again. I had two thirty-four plus, hang on. I had..,
- correct me if I'm wrong, you guys, two thirty-four plus one sixty-eight. Two thirty-four...
- Oops. Two thirty-four plus one sixty-eight was... You were at \$402,000, am I right?
- 3457 **Mr. R. Harvey:** Yes, ma'am. That is consistent with my numbers.
- 3458 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. And they were at \$275,000. Let's see. Here we go.,
- 3459 \$402,000 minus \$275,000. So, we're still \$127,000. We're still \$127,000...
- 3460 **Mr. R. Harvey:** I, I would ask that, that you divide that by five. That is a five year
- difference. I would also ask you to consider talking about shopping the quality of the firm,
- the level of experience with municipal governments and the fact that we act as a prime
- auditor more than any other firm in this listing. So we know what needs to be done,
- particularly for the City. We can get it done. And so, we act as the Neiman Marcus. And
- so, price comparison between Neiman Marcus and Walmart, I think is hard. And so, our
- price is very consistent with what we've charged the City before.
- 3467 **Councilperson Lanier:** Oh, okay. Okay.
- 3468 **Chairperson Botel:** However, we had a Committee that ranked you as third. So,
- what I'm saying is, if we don't, if we don't look at the price, if we were to only look at the
- Committee's recommendations, we have you as third. So, putting that aside, I think the
- only thing we can look at is price, because you were clearly not ranked first. The firm that
- only thing we derived at the price; because you were dearly the
- was ranked second, is the firm that gave us the price of \$275,000, which is \$127,000,
- 3473 admittedly, over the course of the contract, \$127,000 difference. I think that that's
- something that we would be fiscally irresponsible if we didn't consider.
- 3475 **Councilperson Lanier:** And, and I agree with that. I agree with the fact that..., I mean,
- we have to be clear here. This firm was ranked the lowest. We decided to go with this
- firm with the Comm'..., the Council decided to go with this firm, so I think it is in our best
- interest, fiscally, to say that we have a low'... we have... the second firm that we had was
- 3479 the lowest bid. So, if we can't even get close to that, then what was the purpose of us
- 3480 choosing this firm?
- 3481 **Mr. R. Harvey:** Can I offer one.... can I offer...

- 3482 Councilperson Lanier: No. Sir...
- 3483 **Mr. R. Harvey:** ...one...
- 3484 Councilperson Lanier: No. This is... No. Sir. No. We, we.., this is the Council.
- We're trying to..., we're trying to work this out. We are trying to understand how..., that we
- have recommendations, from a Committee, that we have some.., the Committee.., the
- Council has chosen to go with this firm and we are in the process now of negotiating with
- 3488 this firm. We have to come to an understanding, among the Council Members, of how
- we want to fiscally be responsible in bringing this firm onboard, given that we have a firm
- that was ranked higher with less money.
- 3491 Councilperson McCoy: I think...
- 3492 **Councilperson Lanier:** So we have to justify that.
- 3493 Councilperson McCoy: [Inaudible].
- 3494 **Councilperson Lanier:** And, and we made it based on qualifications, and not on
- money. So I just think that it would do us well as a Council to just come to an agreement
- about what do we think is reasonable, given that we have a highest cost, we have a lowest
- 3497 cost. And somewhere in between of that cost. But, we don't have to, [stammer], it
- 3498 appears that the, the gentleman is not going to agree to the second highest firm's rate,
- but we certainly need to be able to be responsible enough to know that if, if, if it can't be
- 3500 that, then it has to be somewhere close to that.
- 3501 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Ms. Miller-Anderson.
- 3502 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Okay. So, my experiences with RFP's, typically
- have, like, a house or inhouse bid where the Council would know, or somebody would
- know what the going rate is, for example. Ms. Pemsel, do we have any idea what a going
- rate would be? Because, if we had another firm that said they could do it for \$10,000, I
- mean, we don't..., we wouldn't just go with them because they said they could do it for
- \$10,000 for five years. We have to have some sort of understanding as to.., that's, that's
- 3508 not it Ms. Botel.
- 3509 Chairperson Botel: Well, but...
- 3510 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** The inhouse...
- 3511 Chairperson Botel: ...[inaudible] was...
- 3512 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** No. No. I'm talking about.., I'm talking about an
- amount. If we're now trying to determine what is a going rate, do we have some sort of
- inhouse bid, number, that would tell us what is a going rate? So, a reasonable rate. You,
- you can't set the bar at.., just because somebody said that they're gonna charge...
- 3516 Somebody could say they'll do it for free. I mean, are we going to go with them just 'cause
- 3517 they said can do it for free or are we going to take..., think about other things?

- 3518 **Chairperson Botel:** [Inaudible]... 3519 [Inaudible comment] 3520 **Chairperson Botel:** ...think about other things because we did think about other 3521 things, we thought about... 3522 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Right. 3523 Chairperson Botel: ...the quality. 3524 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Right. And now.., by the written, and that's how I came up with HCT. 3525 But the written was not the whole evaluation. 3526 Chairperson Botel: 3527 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** We've already been done this road. 3528 Chairperson Botel: I know. We don't wanna go back there. **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** 3529 We're not. 3530 **Chairperson Botel:** We don't wanna go back there. But, my point in telling..., in 3531 saying that is, we would.., we are not looking at any firms other than the three that submitted a response to the RFP. Of the three that submitted a response to the RFP, the 3532 one that gave.., that was ranked number two, they gave us a price of \$275,000, which is 3533 a \$127,000 less, over the course of the contract, than the firm that was ranked number 3534 three. I cannot, in my good conscious, do anything else but recommend that we go with 3535 3536 the firm that gives us the best evaluation by the Committee we chose, and the best price. 3537 To do anything else, would smack [stammer] of, of something... I don't wanna say. I 3538 mean, it, it just isn't..., it wouldn't be right for us to do that. [Stammer] I, I can't understand why this is such a difficult decision. We have the firm that was ranked second, by a 3539 3540 Committee that we chose, giving us the best price by \$127,000 over the course of the contract, why would we not choose them? I, I just need... 3541 3542 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I think I've already very clear...
- 3543 **Chairperson Botel:** [Inaudible].
- 3544 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: ...on where... I said what I said.
- 3545 Councilperson McCoy: [Inaudible].
- 3546 **Chairperson Botel:** Mr. McCoy.
- 3547 **Councilperson McCoy:** Yeah. So, here's the main reason. Actually, you know what?
- Yeah. I think it... Ms. Wynn. I don't even know that you can actually ask that question
- 3549 at this point. Am I correct, Ms. Wynn? Because...

- 3550 **City Attorney Wynn:** Because there's a motion.., the motion has passed already and
- you all have decided as a body to go with HCT.
- 3552 **Chairperson Botel:** Right. No, we decided to negotiate. We've decided to
- 3553 negotiate.
- 3554 **City Attorney Wynn:** To negotiate.
- 3555 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you.
- 3556 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Inaudible]...
- 3557 **City Attorney Wynn:** Yes.
- 3558 **Councilperson Lanier:** The price. So we are.., and so we're negotiating.
- 3559 **Chairperson Botel:** Right.
- 3560 **Councilperson McCoy:** But... But, Ms. Wynn, my question is, would it be permissible
- to have a conversation about justification of prices?
- 3562 **City Attorney Wynn:** If that's part of the negotiation, yes.
- 3563 **Councilperson McCoy:** But we're already beyond the port'.., portion of the reasoning for
- 3564 selection.
- 3565 **City Attorney Wynn:** You, you are beyond that. You already selected.
- 3566 **Councilperson McCoy:** Okay. So...
- 3567 City Attorney Wynn: The only thing left is price. Everything else in the contract is
- standard. You have the four years with the one year renewal, nothing.., there's nothing
- 3569 else other than price.
- 3570 **Councilperson McCoy:** Here it is. Because we're probably six months behind already,
- 3571 right? And we...
- 3572 **Chairperson Botel:** Probably what? I'm sorry. Probably what?
- 3573 **Councilperson McCoy:** The reason why I would suggest that the justification is okay, is
- because we're probably close to six months behind, [audio skipped] and submitted to the
- 3575 City and I just [inaudible] have a crew come in [inaudible] behind the 8-ball, who's only
- suggestion is adding Staff time of additional, I think the marginal amount was about a
- 3577 hundred hours. And to get us up to date, including the [inaudible] understand this
- organization. So, while it looks good on paper from a practical and a audit approach
- standpoint, literally, I just don't think that we need to sit here and discuss this when we
- have somebody that can get started in a expeditious fashion as opposed to someone that
- literally has to come in and first get a understanding of the organization which, if you're
- not familiar with, it's gonna take additional time.

- 3583 **Chairperson Botel:** Mr. Sherman...
- 3584 Councilperson Lanier: Uh...
- 3585 Chairperson Botel: ...could you..., could you opine on that? Is it...
- 3586 **Councilperson McCoy:** So you're, you're asking him if I'm telling you the truth?
- 3587 **Chairperson Botel:** No. I'm not asking him if you're telling me the truth. I'm...
- 3588 Councilperson McCoy: [Inaudible].
- 3589 **Chairperson Botel:** ...asking him as the Financial... as the Financial Director for the
- 3590 City of Riviera Beach, I'm asking him his opinion on whether or not a firm can..., a, a new
- firm can come in and get up to quickly. That's all I'm asking. Mr. Sherman?
- 3592 Finance and Administrative Services Director Sherman: I think our permanent
- records are in excellent shape and can easily be transferred, and I think that because a
- lot of the audits are now, you know, computer based, they're gonna take our [inaudible],
- 3595 they're gonna drop it into their system, I think that, no matter who comes in, I think you're...
- would be able to get an audit out in ninety days. I, I don't think it's going to, to matter.
- 3597 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Thank you.
- 3598 Councilperson Lanier: And, Madam Chair, and, and that was..., and that's, and that's
- my point. We are here to negotiate. So people, we, we need to negotiate. We have...
- we, we don't.., there's not.., we're not gonna sit here and say that we're just going to give
- this firm the amount that they requested, and they were the l'.., the low'.., the lowest
- ranked [stammer] firm. What we're saying is that you have.., the, the Council has decided
- that this is the firm they want to go with. We have offers that we've had from firms for...
- three or four other firms, the, the prevailing prevalent bid here is, we have a \$90,000
- ceiling, or a \$92,000 ceiling, and a \$55,000 from the bottom. And, they're asking for
- eighty-four and I think it should be eighty-four, eighty-four, seventy-eight, seventy-eight,
- seventy-eight and I think it should be less. I think it should be less based on the fact
- 3608 that...
- 3609 **Councilperson McCoy:** They're offering...
- 3610 **Councilperson Lanier:** No. You, you can't, you can't tell me how to negotiate. All I'm
- saying is that, this is what I'm requesting.
- 3612 Councilperson McCoy: Yeah.
- 3613 Chairperson Botel: Mr. McCoy...
- 3614 Councilperson Lanier: No. [Inaudible]...
- 3615 Chairperson Botel: Mr. McCoy.

- 3616 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...you don't have to agree to it.
- 3617 **Chairperson Botel:** Hang on.
- 3618 **Councilperson Lanier:** You don't have to agree.
- 3619 Councilperson McCoy: And, and...
- 3620 **Chairperson Botel:** Ms. Miller-Anderson has asked to be recognized and I'm
- recognizing Ms. Miller-Anderson, Mr. McCoy.
- 3622 Councilperson McCoy: I said...
- 3623 **Chairperson Botel:** Mr. McCoy, just one minute. Ms. Miller-Anderson has asked to
- 3624 be recognized. Go ahead.
- 3625 Councilperson McCoy: Well, I.., if I can just insert real quick. In fact, Councilwoman
- Lanier, you was giving more money than they asked for. So literally, this is multiplication
- 3627 and addition.
- 3628 Chairperson Botel: Alright.
- 3629 **Councilperson McCoy:** So, don't sit here and insult me because...
- 3630 **Councilperson Lanier:** I'm not insulting you. I'm telling you that...
- 3631 Councilperson McCoy: ...[inaudible].
- Councilperson Lanier: ... I can negotiate if I want to., And you're saying that I can't.
- 3633 Chairperson Botel: Okay. Ms., Ms. Miller...
- 3634 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Inaudible].
- 3635 Chairperson Botel: Mr. McCoy.
- 3636 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...[inaudible].
- 3637 **Chairperson Botel:** Mr. McCoy, Ms. Miller-Anderson asked to be recognized. Ms.
- 3638 Miller-Anderson, go ahead.
- 3639 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: So, I just have a couple more questions. I, I
- think I asked a question but it kinda got lost in all of the other discussion. Ms. Pemsel, is
- there an inhouse bid amount...
- 3642 **Chairperson Botel:** And you did ask, yes you did.
- 3643 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:**for this? Is she still on?

- 3644 Chairperson Botel: Yes. She is.
- Procurement Director Pemsel: Mhmm. Yes, I'm still here. No, ma'am, I do not have 3645
- 3646 any market research or a, a suggested bid amount.
- 3647 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Okay.
- 3648 **Procurement Director Pemsel:** So...
- 3649 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Okay. And then, my second thing would be the mo'... I mentioned I wanted to put a motion out there and what I wanted to put a motion 3650 out there for, was to identify either Ms. Wynn or the Mayor, I mean, someone to.., that's 3651 3652 outside of us right now, to do negotiations with the firm. I would prefer Ms. Wynn. And... 3653 because, like I said, this is where.., I have never been a part of a Board where we 3654 negotiate like this because it is almost impossible to co'.., I mean, you know how, how much it..., how much energy it took to get Mr. Evans contract done, but a lot of that was 3655 3656 done in the background,. But, it was brought to the Board. But right now, we're starting from ground zero, right here. And we're all at a crossroad where we are not budging on 3657 3658 which way we wanna go. And so, at least with.., we designate someone to go and do 3659 that, they can come back, we can vote yes or no and, and they can meet with you 3660 individually, Ms. Wynn, can talk with you individually and, and try to identify, you know, some give and take. I mean, she would know what someone's low number is, what 3661 3662 someone's high number is and she kinda meets somewhere in the middle with it.
- 3663 But tonight, doing it the way that we're doing it, I've never been.., I've never seen that before. I'm not saying that it's not the right way, it's not a way that we can, it, it 3664 obviously is something we can do. But are we truly getting anywhere right now? We're 3665 3666 not. And I, I just..., I, I really believe that that would be the best thing to do right now.
- Councilperson Lanier: [Stammer]... 3667
- 3668 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** And, Mr. Sherman has already put in for the 3669 extension. And I knew he had to because if it was due yesterday, we wouldn't be sitting 3670 here talking about it tonight. So, the extension does cover us for him to.., for us to go ahead and have that designee do the negotiating, they come back to us, come back to 3671 us individually and, and work it that way so that we're not sitting here for the next five 3672 3673 hours saying the same thing.
- 3674 That's my motion to...
- 3675 **Councilperson Lanier:** Ma'...
- Councilperson Miller-Anderson: ...designate Ms. Wynn to do the... 3676
- 3677 Chairperson Botel: I would like to second it if you would change the designated negotiator to Mr. Evans as the City Manager. Ms. Miller-Anderson. 3678

- 3679 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Typically... Typically, from my experience, Mr.
- Evans, if you want to weigh in, it's usually the Attorney. I mean, it can be whoever we 3680
- 3681 want but that's, that's who I said, the Attorney.
- 3682 **Chairperson Botel:** Alright. I, I... Mr. Evans, do you have a suggestion about...
- 3683 City Manager Evans: I, I think, I think the Attorney is certainly...
- 3684 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay.
- 3685 City Manager Evans: ...more than capable of doing this. Yes.
- **Chairperson Botel:** 3686 Oh, okay. So, I secon'... Well, I'll pass the gavel to...
- Ma'... 3687 Chair Pro Tem Lawson:
- 3688 Mr... Do I have to pass it or... **Chairperson Botel:**
- 3689 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Well...
- 3690 **Chairperson Botel:** ...does somebody else wanna second that?
- 3691 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Can we... We.., I'm, I'm gonna second.., I'm gonna second
- 3692 Councilwoman Miller-Anderson.
- 3693 Chairperson Botel: Thank you.
- 3694 I was, I was gonna ask for Mr. Harvey, so we can just get Chair Pro Tem Lawson:
- this done, with lowest and best offer. But if we wanna go ahead and just go into 3695
- negotiations, or have someone else... I, I, I truly wanna just kinda get the lowest and best 3696
- 3697 offer and just get done with this. 'Cause we spent three hours doing it, and I know,
- 3698 Councilwoman Miller-Anderson, that we haven't... we have not done this before but just
- with the new Committee, and, and I wanna be clear, as we've stated before, that I'm very 3699
- 3700 thankful of the Committee's work that they put into this audit.., this RFP and we're
- 3701 definitely going with the recommendation based upon the written documentation that's
- presented before us. And what I would wanna see for the future, when we do another 3702
- RFP, is that there's actually a scoring curriculum for the oral, so that we can have 3703
- something to stand on. That would just be my recommendation for future RFP's that are 3704
- 3705 created, so that we don't have this discussion moving forward. 'Cause that's my only
- 3706 hesitation.
- 3707 But I would [inaudible] second that motion, but I would ask if we could just get a
- lowest and best ar'.., offer from Mr. Harvey and see if the Board would consider going 3708
- 3709 forward with that.
- 3710 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Madam Chair?
- 3711 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes.

- 3712 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: If it.., I would like to know... Well, this is what I
- would like. I don't.., if no offense [inaudible] anyone else, is if we can get Ms. Pemsel
- [stammer].., I don't know, I keep having such a hard time with your name, to get an
- inhouse bid, some market research on, on, on the amount so that we have something to
- go by. I think that's only fair. And, and if you don't wanna use it, that's fine but I do.., I, I
- do think that's needed. Because I don't think we're all experts to know what, what is the
- going rate. And if you want that to factor into your decisions, fine. If you don't, that's fine
- too, but I would like to see that. So Ms. Pemsel, if you could get some market research
- and get a inhouse bid on this type of service.
- 3721 Councilperson Lanier: Madam Chair?
- 3722 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes. Ms. Lanier.
- 3723 **Councilperson Lanier:** I, I, I don't think that really makes a difference at this point. You
- 3724 have...
- 3725 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** But, I ask for me.
- 3726 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...four people...
- 3727 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I ask for me.
- 3728 **Councilperson Lanier:** We have four, we have four bids that have been submitted and
- based on the information that was given to the Committee in terms of previous costs for
- audits, in terms of..., when I say previous costs...
- 3731 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** That's my, that's my request though.
- 3732 **Councilperson Lanier:** Let, let me...
- 3733 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** That's my request.
- 3734 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...finish. Previous requests for audits that we are looking at a
- 3735 situation where the Council has totally disregarded the Committee, which means that in
- the, in the spirit of transparency, it should been negotiated publicly.
- 3737 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Can we call...
- 3738 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...[inaudible]...
- 3739 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...the question?
- 3740 Councilperson Lanier: Be'...
- 3741 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Madam Chair?
- 3742 **Councilperson Lanier:** Because we have been in this situation where the Committee
- has totally been disregarded. So, my position is that the...

- 3744 **Chairperson Botel:** Yeah.
- 3745 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...the firm that you.., that the Council chosen can at least beat
- 3746 the cost of the second highest bidder.
- 3747 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Well, we have been...
- 3748 **Councilperson Lanier:** Thank you.
- 3749 **Chairperson Botel:** ...asked to call the question. We need a second. We need a
- second and then we need to vote on calling the question. Do we have a second to the
- 3751 request to call the question?
- 3752 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Are we seconding Councilwoman Miller-Anderson? Is
- 3753 that...
- 3754 Chairperson Botel: We're...
- 3755 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: ...what we're asking...
- 3756 **Chairperson Botel:** ...we're seconding Councilwoman...
- 3757 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I called...
- 3758 **Chairperson Botel:** ...Miller-Anderson's request to call the question.
- 3759 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** On the, on the motion...
- 3760 **Councilperson Lanier:** I think we...
- 3761 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...that I made.
- 3762 **Councilperson Lanier:** We have to vote on..., and we have to vote on calling the
- 3763 question.
- 3764 Chairperson Botel: That's...
- 3765 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** That's what we're doing.
- 3766 **Chairperson Botel:** We do. Now, do you wanna restate your motion first and then
- we'll ca'.., we'll vote on calling the question, and then we'll vote on the motion.
- 3768 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Ms. Wynn...
- 3769 **Chairperson Botel:** [Inaudible] motion.
- 3770 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...why are you shaking your head?
- 3771 **Chairperson Botel:** Somebody second...

- 3772 **City Attorney Wynn:** You just vote. If you get a second to calling the question, you
- vote on that and then you go back to the original motion.
- 3774 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Did, did the original motion have a second?
- 3775 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Yes.
- 3776 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Yes. Mr. Lawson.
- 3777 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: I seconded that.
- 3778 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. So, we're voting on calling the question.
- 3779 **Councilperson McCoy:** What are we calling the question on? I, I...just have a...
- 3780 **Chairperson Botel:** That's, that's why I asked..., it's the only reason I asked. I didn't
- 3781 remember.
- 3782 **City Attorney Wynn:** Sorry.
- 3783 **Chairperson Botel:** [Chuckle]. So, we're voting on...
- 3784 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Ms... Ms...
- 3785 **Chairperson Botel:** Go ahead.
- 3786 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Can Ms. Anthony restate the motion? She does
- have to take notes of what we're saving, the motions are. So if Ms. Anthony can restate...
- 3788 **City Clerk Anthony:** Currently the motion is to call the question on the motion that
- was made by Councilperson Miller-Anderson, and seconded by Pro Tem Lawson, to
- appoint Ms. Wynn as the point person to negotiate with HTC...
- 3791 Chairperson Botel: C-T.
- 3792 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Yes. H...
- 3793 **Chairperson Botel:** Yeah.
- 3794 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: ...HCT.
- 3795 **City Clerk Anthony:** HCT. Okay. We've been messing that name up all night.
- 3796 [Chuckle].
- 3797 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Yeah. I know.
- 3798 **City Clerk Anthony:** So, the motion that we're pre'..., preparing to vote on is to call
- the question to move forward with the vote on appointing.., designating Ms. Wynn as the
- point person for the City to des', [inaudible] HCT.

3801	Chairperson Botel:	Call the roll. Thank you.	
3802 3803	Councilperson McCoy question.	: Okay. I, I know you wanna call the roll but you know I have a	
3804 3805	Chairperson Botel: the question.	You can't ask a question because we're, we're voting on calling	
3806	City Attorney Wynn:	And we need a second. You need a second first.	
3807	City Clerk Anthony:	Council', Councilperson Miller-Anderson	
3808	Chairperson Botel:	[Inaudible]	
3809 3810	City Clerk Anthony: seconded to call the que	made the motion to call the question. Councilperson Lawson estion.	
3811	Chairperson Botel:	And now we have to vote on that. Ms	
3812	Councilperson McCoy: [Inaudible].		
3813	Chairperson Botel:	Madam Clerk.	
3814	City Clerk Anthony:	That is correct.	
3815	Chairperson Botel:	Madam Clerk, that's correct. Madam Clerk.	
3816	City Clerk Anthony:	Councilperson McCoy?	
3817	[Pause]		
3818	Chairperson Botel:	Councilperson McCoy, we you	
3819	Councilperson McCoy: [Inaudible]		
3820	Chairperson Botel:	vote, please.	
3821	Councilperson McCoy	:ask a question. You don't wanna [inaudible]	
3822 3823	City Attorney Wynn: on the motion to call the	Councilperson McCoy, there's no debate on, there's no debate question.	
3824 3825 3826	-	Well, fine. Do what you gotta do then, but I literally asked you ation. If you don't think it's important that I get clarification, I won't	

- 3827 **Chairperson Botel:** Madam Clerk.
- 3828 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson Miller-Anderson?

3826

answer.

3829	Councilperson Miller-Anderson:	Yes.
------	--------------------------------	------

- 3830 City Clerk Anthony: Councilperson Lanier?
- 3831 Councilperson Lanier: No.
- 3832 **City Clerk Anthony:** Pro Tem Lawson?
- 3833 [Pause]
- 3834 **Chairperson Botel:** He said yes. I can...
- 3835 **City Clerk Anthony:** You're muted, Mr. Lawson.
- 3836 Chairperson Botel: As a...
- 3837 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Yes.
- 3838 **Chairperson Botel:** As a thirty year long teacher...
- 3839 **City Clerk Anthony:** Chair...
- 3840 **Chairperson Botel:** ...[inaudible]I can...
- 3841 **City Clerk Anthony:** Chair Botel?
- 3842 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 3843 **City Clerk Anthony:** That motion is approved with Councilperson Lanier dissenting
- 3844 and Councilperson McCoy not voting either way.
- 3845 **Chairperson Botel:** That was to call the question. So now we've called...
- 3846 **City Clerk Anthony:** That is correct.
- 3847 **Chairperson Botel:** ...[stammer]. Now we will take the vote on the original motion.
- 3848 **City Clerk Anthony:** The motion...
- 3849 Councilperson Lanier: Madam...
- 3850 **City Clerk Anthony:** ...that you're about to vote on is the motion made by
- 3851 Councilperson Miller-Anderson.
- 3852 **Councilperson McCoy:** Let, let, let me ask you something. I have no clue what the
- original motion is. I, I told you, Members, I had a delivery at my door. Extend the courtesy
- of reciting what we have in front of us. We're, we're taking a meeting from home.
- 3855 Literally...

- 3856 **Unk.:** We...
- 3857 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...I've been at this all day long. So if I ask you to please clarify
- something, don't take it in disrespect. I think you owe me that much. We're working on
- a technical platform, that nobody's familiar with. And I really am a little disappointed that
- why we take things so personally. I mean, anything [inaudible]...
- 3861 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: McCoy, she...
- Councilperson McCoy: ...don't agree, we settle the Item. But don't make me seem as
- 3863 if I'm some sort of outsider here. And now you're putting it on the record because statutes
- require that you actually vote on every Item that you're there for. And if the Members of
- this Board don't even think it's important to tell me, their colleague, what I'm voting for...,
- to, in fact, this is a part of Florida statute that you vote on every Item that you're present
- for. Is that too much to ask? And that, that's, that's a shame on the Chairperson and any
- other person that refused to even answer a procedural question.
- 3869 **Chairperson Botel:** Ms. Miller-Anderson.
- 3870 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Madam Chair?
- 3871 **Chairperson Botel:** And then I'm gonna ask Ms. Wynn for clarification. Ms. Miller-
- 3872 Anderson.
- 3873 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Well, Mr. McCoy, the, the motion Ms. Anthony
- 3874 restated was to designate Ms. Wynn... My motion was to designate Ms. Wynn as the
- person to go and negotiate with Mr. Harvey. That's what the motion is. And...
- 3876 **Chairperson Botel:** And you were here when it was read into [inaudible].
- 3877 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** And you were here when she said that part.
- That's all.., that's what the motion was.
- 3879 **Councilperson McCoy:** Okay. I don't know what had been discussed. So, I wanna
- 3880 literally ask can you please share with me those details? Because, you know, a lot can
- transpire between a matter of forty-five seconds to a minute and a half.
- 3882 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Okay. So, I think the part that you may have
- missed, was when Ms., Ms. Lanier started talking after we had made the motion to
- designate Ms. Wynn. And then, from there, Ms. Lanier...
- 3885 Councilperson McCoy: Well...
- 3886 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I a'.., I actually asked for some documentation.
- And so, Ms. Lanier then, you know, she gave her explanation of why she didn't think it
- should be provided, or whatever the case may be, and then that's when I said, 'Call the
- question.' Then, we got to the point where we were voting on whether or not we were
- 3890 gonna call the question.

- So, you didn't miss.., the only thing you missed was Ms. Lanier was kinda talking about not needing the information. Then we kinda went over the same thing we've been
- 3893 over a few times.
- 3894 **Councilperson McCoy:** Here's the other reason...
- 3895 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** So, not a new motion came on.
- 3896 Councilperson McCoy: Members, you know, I just had a, a citizen that sent me a
- message that says, 'Please answer. You can't abstain. Tradrick, there is a time lapse.
- That's part of the problem.' So, you know, come on, Members.
- 3899 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I, I, I disagree with that. You were here...
- 3900 Chairperson Botel: I, I disagree...
- 3901 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...here... You were here...
- 3902 **Chairperson Botel:** You were here Tradrick...
- 3903 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: ...though.
- 3904 Chairperson Botel: ...when Mr...
- 3905 **Councilperson McCoy:** But if I ask you for clarification, there's some point that I didn't
- 3906 get everything. But if...
- 3907 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. But, you were here...
- 3908 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...you wanna vote on...
- 3909 Chairperson Botel: Ms. Wynn. Ms. Wynn, ...
- 3910 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Inaudible] to the question then...
- 3911 Chairperson Botel: ...can...
- 3912 **Councilperson McCoy:** I have an affirmative defense, and I will assert it when that time
- comes if a complaint is ever raised that I, I, I knowingly abstained from a vote. That's not
- 3914 fair. Members.
- 3915 **Councilperson Lanier:** No. Mr. McCoy, you abstained from the vote, which means that,
- that, that renders that, that motion invalid. If you abstained from that vote, then that, that
- means that is.., that motion is invalid now.
- 3918 **Councilperson McCoy:** You see how easy it would've been to explain it though?
- 3919 [Inaudible]...

- 3920 **Chairperson Botel:** Because you were.., you were he'... Mr. McCoy, you were here
- when it was explained. And Ms. Wynn can...
- 3922 **Councilperson McCoy:** Let me tell you something. Even if I was here, if I ask you for
- 3923 clarification, you don't think I'm afforded that much?
- 3924 **Chairperson Botel:** No. Be'.., you know why? Because we run these meetings by
- Robert's Rules of Order and when the motion to call the question is made, the next step
- has to be that it is seconded. When it is seconded, the next step is to obtain a super
- majority of the people who are voting. We obtained...
- 3928 **Councilperson McCoy:** Super majority?
- 3929 **Chairperson Botel:** A super majority, yes. 4-1.
- 3930 **Councilperson McCoy:** A super majority?
- 3931 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes. Ms. Wynn, do you wanna explain that, please?
- 3932 **City Attorney Wynn:** It's, it's...
- 3933 **Chairperson Botel:** And then...
- 3934 **City Attorney Wynn:** ...a two-thirds vote but other than that, yes, Dr. Botel, you're
- 3935 correct, but it's a two-thirds vote.
- 3936 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Ms. Miller-Anderson.
- 3937 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Are we able to go back so that Mr. McCoy can
- 3938 provide a vote for the calling of the question? Is that acceptable?
- 3939 Chairperson Botel: Ms. Wynn?
- 3940 **City Attorney Wynn:** If that's the pleasure of the body, you can.
- 3941 **Chairperson Botel:** Go ahead. Call, call.., do it over again...
- 3942 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Mr. McCoy...
- 3943 **Chairperson Botel:** ... So Mr. McCoy doesn't feel left out. [Pause]. Ms.., Madam
- Clerk, would you just do it again so, so that we can put it to rest? Please.
- 3945 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** And this is just to..., whether or not to call the
- 3946 question, correct?
- 3947 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes. Right. Correct. I think that's what Mr. McCoy missed. Is
- 3948 that right, Mr. McCov?

- 3949 Councilperson McCoy: Well, I... Madam Chair, I don't even recall. That's why I was
- asking. I literally had a whole lot going on when I literally left the computer.
- 3951 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 3952 **Councilperson McCoy:** But, you know.
- 3953 **Chairperson Botel:** Well, we'll, we'll take the vote again and this is the vote that we
- made to call the question. So, Madam Clerk, would you do that again, please?
- 3955 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson McCoy?
- 3956 Councilperson McCoy: Yes.
- 3957 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson Miller-Anderson?
- 3958 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Yes.
- 3959 City Clerk Anthony: Councilperson Lanier?
- 3960 Councilperson Lanier: No.
- 3961 **City Clerk Anthony:** Pro Tem Lawson?
- 3962 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Yes.
- 3963 **City Clerk Anthony:** Chair Botel?
- 3964 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 3965 **City Clerk Anthony:** That motion is approved with Councilperson Lanier dissenting.
- 3966 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Now...
- 3967 **Councilperson Lanier:** Before we...
- 3968 Chairperson Botel: ...if I, if I...
- 3969 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...take this vote, Madam Chair, I just wanted to say one thing.
- 3970 The vote that is coming up, that Councilwoman Miller-Anderson made to move forward
- with the negotiation outside of this Council to City Administration, I want to preface it with
- 3972 I'm going to vote no. I want to be above reproach in this, I want to be able to know that
- the process that we did was transparent and to take this, as I said before, you took this
- out of the hands of the Committee, made your own decision, which is..., which you can
- 3975 do. But to, to now give this to another party to, to negotiate... I'm going to vote no on
- this because I think that it needs to be transparent about what we're doing in this whole
- process. And that's what's been happening from the beginning. We've been transparent.
- All of the meetings have been advertised, all the meetings have been recorded and made
- available to the public but to go now, at the end of this, and disregard the Committee's

- decision and, and then go ahead and send this out to somebody else to negotiate,
- [stammer].., I don't think that it's transparent and it is not beyond reproach. And I'm say'..,
- and I say that and I'm done and you, you can go ahead on...
- 3983 Chairperson Botel: Okay. Let's...
- 3984 Councilperson Lanier: ...and, and...
- 3985 **Chairperson Botel:** Let, let's get this over with.
- 3986 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...call the question to do your vote.
- 3987 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank.., thank you.
- 3988 Councilperson Lanier: Yes.
- 3989 Chairperson Botel: Madam Clerk.
- 3990 City Clerk Anthony: Councilperson McCoy?
- 3991 Councilperson McCoy: No.
- 3992 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson Miller-Anderson?
- 3993 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Yes.
- 3994 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson Lanier?
- 3995 Councilperson Lanier: No.
- 3996 **City Clerk Anthony:** Pro Tem Lawson?
- 3997 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Yes.
- 3998 **City Clerk Anthony:** Chair Botel?
- 3999 **Chairperson Botel:** [Pause]. What time is it? [Pause]. No.
- 4000 **City Clerk Anthony:** That motion failed with...
- 4001 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 4002 **City Clerk Anthony:**Councilpersons McCoy, Lanier and Botel dissenting.
- 4003 Chairperson Botel: I, I, I really just wanted to go on record agreeing with
- 4004 Councilwoman Lanier, that we established a Committee, we established a process. As I
- understand it, we were to negotiate with, in public, because that's the way it was explained
- at the beginning by our Attorney and I think that, even though it's 9:55 in the evening and
- we beat this thing to death, we, we oughta try to get the best possible price for the City.

- And, and in my mind, the best possible price is not some number that we could get from
- 4009 Ms. Pemsel but a number that.., a real number that we've gotten from a real firm. And
- so, in my mind, the best possible price would be two seventy-five.
- So, I'm gonna ask Mr. Harvey again, can you match the price that we've been
- given by the other firm, by Davis? Mr., Mr. Harvey? [Pause]. We can't hear you, Mr.
- 4013 Harvey.
- 4014 **Councilperson Lanier:** And it's a yes or no question.
- 4015 **Mr. R. Harvey:** Good day everybody? Yes, we will not meet \$55,000. We will not
- 4016 match that price.
- 4017 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Thank you. So.., what's the procedure then, Ms. Wynn,
- 4018 if we have not gotten Mr. Harvey to agree...
- 4019 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Stammer]...
- 4020 **Chairperson Botel:** Do we... I'm asking...
- 4021 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...[inaudible] as a body.
- 4022 **Chairperson Botel:** I'm asking a question. Ms. Wynn, is the procedure that we go
- 4023 to the next firm?
- 4024 **Councilperson McCoy:** No. It is not.
- 4025 **Chairperson Botel:** Or is the procedure that we miss... I'm not asking you, Mr.
- 4026 McCoy.
- 4027 **Councilperson McCoy:** We vote on that.
- 4028 **Chairperson Botel:** Ms. Wynn?
- 4029 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Inaudible] because, clearly... Madam Chair. If we're gonna...
- 4030 Chairperson Botel: Ms. Wynn.
- 4031 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...try to sit here and negotiate as a body, let's negotiate as a
- 4032 body. Don't allow one person to throw out a number and allow me... I gave you my
- 4033 justification. If you want a number, you put it on the floor and call a motion. If not, we
- 4034 move on to the next Member.
- 4035 **Chairperson Botel:** Ms. Wynn.
- 4036 **Councilperson McCoy:** But don't, don't sit here and try to disregard...
- 4037 **Chairperson Botel:** I'm not disregarding anyone, Mr. McCoy. I'm asking our
- 4038 Attorney a question. Can I get an answer from our Attorney?

- 4039 **Councilperson McCoy:** But we're not at a stalemate.
- 4040 **Chairperson Botel:** We're not any'.., we're not anywhere after how many hours. I'm
- 4041 asking a question. Ms. Wynn?
- 4042 **City Attorney Wynn:** You have to formally terminate negotiations with the firm, HTC...
- 4043 HCT before you can move to the next firm.
- 4044 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay.
- 4045 **City Attorney Wynn:** But you have to vote to terminate, as a body, before you can
- 4046 move to the next. Someone else may want to negotiate a different number or ask Mr.
- Harvey about a different number.
- 4048 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 4049 **City Attorney Wynn:** Until you all believe, or vote, that negotiations with Mr. Harvey
- are futile, then you move on to the next.
- 4051 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Ms. Lanier, did you wanna make a motion to terminate
- 4052 negotiations?
- 4053 **Councilperson Lanier:** Well, I, I think that [stammer] where we are now is that we're still
- 4054 negotiating. And let me say this, when we.., I think this was brought up twice tonight, that
- when we went through Mr. Evans' contract, we were there 'til 12:30 that night. So, don't
- let it be said that we cannot take the time that we need to be able to take care of the
- 4057 business that we need to take care of.
- 4058 **Councilperson McCoy:** Madam Chair, move to extend indefinitely.
- 4059 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Second.
- 4060 **Councilperson Lanier:** Second.
- 4061 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Madam Clerk.
- 4062 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson McCoy?
- 4063 Councilperson McCoy: Yes.
- 4064 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson Miller-Anderson? [Pause]. Councilperson
- 4065 Lanier?
- 4066 Councilperson Lanier: Yes.
- 4067 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson Miller-Anderson?
- 4068 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Yes.

- **City Clerk Anthony:** Pro Tem Lawson?
- 4070 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Yes.
- **City Clerk Anthony:** Chair Botel?
- **Chairperson Botel:** Yes.
- **City Clerk Anthony:** Unanimous vote.
- **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Madam Chair?
- **Chairperson Botel:** Yes.
- **City Clerk Anthony:** Madam Chair, the Attorney...
- **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Madam Chair?
- **City Clerk Anthony:** ...would like to be recognized too.
- **Chairperson Botel:** I'm sorry. Ms. Wynn, I'm sorry. Mr. Lawson, could I ask Ms.
- 4080 Wynn to reply first and then I'll...
- **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Thank you.
- **Chairperson Botel:** ...call on you?
- **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Yes, Madam Chair.
- **City Attorney Wynn:** I need a five minute break, if possible, please.
- **Chairperson Botel:** [Chuckle]. Okay. We'll, we'll...
- **City Attorney Wynn:** Thank you.
- **Councilperson Lanier:** Yeah. We all do.
- **City Attorney Wynn:** I, I don't, I don't wanna miss the motion, if a motion is made...
- **Chairperson Botel:** Yeah. Sure.
- **City Attorney Wynn:** ...and you all need assistance but I need a break.
- **Chairperson Botel:** I'm sure at this point we could all use a break. So, it is 9:59.
- 4092 Let's come back at 10:05. Is that enough time?
- **City Attorney Wynn:** Yes. Thank you.
- **Unk.**: Yes.

- 4095 **Councilperson McCoy:** Hey, Mr. Edelman, this is Tradrick McCoy, can you please mute
- 4096 all Members of the...
- 4097 [Audio muted for five minutes City of Riviera Beach Seal]
- 4098 **Chairperson Botel:** Alright. Do we have a quorum. We do. [Pause]. Walter?
- 4099 [Pause]. I'm gonna guess that Wal'... Oh good. Okay. We're back in session. Where
- 4100 were we? [Chuckle]. I, I think... Who had the floor last? Ms. Lanier?
- 4101 **City Attorney Wynn:** Madam Chair?
- 4102 **Chairperson Botel:** Who's speaking? Oh, Ms. Wynn.
- 4103 **City Attorney Wynn:** I don't think that... I'm being told that we're not back live yet.
- 4104 Chairperson Botel: I...
- 4105 **Councilperson McCoy:** We're on live.
- 4106 **City Attorney Wynn:** You see it?
- 4107 **Chairperson Botel:** Yeah. I see.
- 4108 **City Attorney Wynn:** There, there is a delay that people have been complaining
- 4109 about.
- 4110 Chairperson Botel: Yes, yes, yes.
- 4111 **City Attorney Wynn:** Okay.
- 4112 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Is Mr. Harvey still with us? Yes. Good. Okay.
- 4113 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Can'...
- 4114 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes, Mr. Lawson.
- 4115 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Madam Chair? If we could just ask Mr. Harvey for him to
- 4116 give us his lowest and best offer.
- 4117 **Chairperson Botel:** Well, before we do that...
- 4118 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Yes. ma'am.
- 4119 **Chairperson Botel:** ...as I stated earlier... And I, I'm gonna get there, Mr. Lawson
- and thank you. I think we were at a difference of \$127,000. I'm willing to say, if Mr.
- Harvey would split the difference, which would be 63.5, and take it down from the
- \$402,000 that he's at now, take that, take that split difference of \$63,500, and make it
- \$338,500, I would, I would..., I would even go so far as to say that we could give him the

- 4124 fifth year, which is negotiable, as it stands now. The contract is four years and we could
- give him the fifth year, if he will come down to the \$338,500.
- 4126 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** My follow up, Madam Chair, before Mr. Harvey comes in is
- that, number one, we, we don't know what the market rate is on the, the hourly basis for
- 4128 this service. Ms. Pemsel doesn't.., hasn't given us a, a sample number and we're basing
- it upon three bids that we received. We don't know if that's an accurate number and the
- 4130 hours that are being charged. I wouldn't want Mr. Harvey to be competing against the,
- 4131 the offer from Mr. Davis being that he's.., was ranked number one in points from our
- 4132 Committee, number one.
- 4133 **Chairperson Botel:** He's ranked number three in points. He was ranked number
- three by the Committee.
- 4135 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** In.., the points I'm looking at right now, the points that he
- 4136 had was four hundred and twenty-three points...
- 4137 **Chairperson Botel:** No, no. That was on...
- 4138 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: ...by the RFP.
- 4139 **Chairperson Botel:** ...the written. That was on the written portion, which was only
- 4140 [inaudible]...
- 4141 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Yeah. That's, that's what I'm talking...
- 4142 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. That's just [inaudible]...
- 4143 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** That's the only, that's the only cri'... Oh, absolutely. And
- 4144 that's the criteria... that's the only criteria I have to go off of, I have the four...
- 4145 **Chairperson Botel:** Well, I have...
- 4146 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** ...the four hundred and twenty-three points.
- 4147 **Chairperson Botel:** ...[inaudible]. Okay. I have...
- 4148 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** And then, I ha'...
- 4149 Chairperson Botel: ...to go...
- 4150 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** I'm, I'm sorry, Madam Chair. If I could finish...
- 4151 **Chairperson Botel:** Go ahead.
- 4152 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** ...my point, and then you can jump...
- 4153 **Chairperson Botel:** Go ahead.

- 4154 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** ...in when I'm finished.
- 4155 **Chairperson Botel:** Go ahead.
- 4156 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Thank you, Madam Chair. So, based upon the written
- 4157 points that I have received, I'm looking at it, he came at number one with the written
- 4158 points. I see that orally, or overall, I'm not even really sure what this criteria stands for,
- 4159 the one, two, three, I see that certain individuals show some one and three, and then that
- equated to a, a metrics that ranked him as number three but I, I don't know what that was
- based upon. So, based upon his experience with the firm, with the, the City, based upon
- the point structure of the written and based upon asking for a price, I'm just gonna ask
- 4163 Mr. Harvey to give us his lowest and best offer so we can move forward, since we, as a
- 4164 Council, have already voted to move forward with him and to have a bid from him.
- So, if he can give us the lowest best price and then we can determine if we're
- 4166 gonna move forward. And if not, then we can go on to the next, the next person.
- 4167 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. And I would say that the.., my recommendation would be
- that he give us a price of \$338,500, which would be splitting the difference between the
- second ranked firm and the third ranked firm, which is Mr. Harvey. So, Mr. Harvey, are
- 4170 you able to go down to \$338,500?
- 4171 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Do we... Madam Chair?
- 4172 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes.
- 4173 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson**: Do we need to vote on each of your
- 4174 suggestions? I mean... Ms. Wynn?
- 4175 **Councilperson McCoy:** I think that's...
- 4176 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** [Inaudible]. Mr...
- 4177 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...appropriate. I don't agree with your just throwing our
- randomly. I.., we have to agree as a Board before we cross the table.
- 4179 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay.
- 4180 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Yeah.
- 4181 **Chairperson Botel:** Alright.
- 4182 **Councilperson Lanier:** But...
- 4183 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: So...
- 4184 **Chairperson Botel:** So let's take, let's take Mr. Lawson's recommendation first then.
- 4185 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** That's... I'll second that.

- 4186 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. So let's vote on Mr. Lawson's recommendation...
- 4187 **Councilperson Lanier:** Okay. We're having a discussion, right? Don't we have a...
- 4188 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 4189 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...a discussion after a person second?
- 4190 **Chairperson Botel:** You're right. I'm sorry.
- 4191 **Councilperson Lanier:** Alright. I, I just wanted to say that if Mr. Lawson is basing it only
- on the written part, then we are opening ourselves up for an IG review because if the'... if
- it's only dealing with the written part first where the Committee expressedly stated that it
- was not just the written part that they made their decision on.
- 4195 Councilperson McCoy: Okay.
- 4196 Chairperson Botel: Right.
- 4197 **Councilperson Lanier:** So that means that there may be room for people to protest this,
- given the fact that we cannot base our decision just on the written part alone, when there
- 4199 was an oral competition involved.
- 4200 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Madam Chair?
- 4201 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes. Go ahead.
- 4202 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** But we.., I think when we accepted, when we
- decided to..., when the motion... Remember, we cleaned up that motion for..., in order to
- 4204 go with HCT, into negotiation, it was not based on written or oral. I mean, it was...
- 4205 **Chairperson Botel:** That's right.
- 4206 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** We didn't say that, okay?
- 4207 **Chairperson Botel:** Right. That's right.
- 4208 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: So, Mr. Lawson I think...
- 4209 **Councilperson Lanier:** Mr. Lawson is saying, for him.
- 4210 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...is just saying for him, for him, that's why he's
- saving that. That's not what the Board [stammer] that approved the negot'.., to go into
- 4212 negotiations based upon. That was.., that's what he was basing it on.
- 4213 **Chairperson Botel:** That's correct.
- 4214 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** So, so right now, we're just voting on.... I mean,
- right now, the motion is just about taking the lowest best offer. And he, he came up in his

- 4216 mind with whatever amount, based on what he said, and just like Dr. Botel came up with
- 4217 a number from [inaudible]...
- 4218 **Chairperson Botel:** So, so we're gonna vote on Mr. Lawson's request. Do you
- 4219 wanna restate that, Mr. Lawson? Please.
- 4220 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Does Ms. Anthony have it?
- 4221 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Yes. I'd like to move forward with requesting from HTC...
- 4222 Chairperson Botel: HCT.
- 4223 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** ...to provide his lowest and... HCT, to provide his...
- 4224 **Chairperson Botel:** Right.
- 4225 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** ...lowest and best offer to the Board.
- 4226 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay.
- 4227 **Councilperson Lanier:** Tonight? Next meeting?
- 4228 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Right now.
- 4229 **Chairperson Botel:** Tonight. Now. We gotta get this done.
- 4230 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** I'd like for Mr. Harvey to provide his lowest and best offer to
- 4231 the Board and we make a decision if we're going to move forward or move on to the next
- 4232 firm.
- 4233 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 4234 City Clerk Anthony: And that motion was seconded by Councilperson Miller-
- 4235 Anderson.
- 4236 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes. Discussion? Mr.., Ms. Lanier had a comment but I think
- she made it. Anybody else have a comment? [Pause]. So, I think I have just a question.
- 4238 If we get this lowest and best price and it doesn't... well, and then are we going to vote to
- 4239 see if we accept that price? Even though...
- 4240 Councilperson Lanier: Yes.
- 4241 **Chairperson Botel:** ...it might be... Okay.
- 4242 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: That...
- 4243 **Chairperson Botel:** That's fine.
- 4244 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** That was my...

- 4245 **Chairperson Botel:** Alright. So...
- 4246 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** That was my motion.
- 4247 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Good. So, it.., so the motion includes then voting to...
- 4248 Fine. I understand. Madam Clerk, unless there's anyone else who wants to make a
- 4249 comment. Madam Clerk.
- 4250 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson McCoy?
- 4251 Councilperson McCoy: Yes.
- 4252 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson Miller-Anderson?
- 4253 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Yes.
- 4254 City Clerk Anthony: Councilperson Lanier?
- 4255 Councilperson Lanier: No.
- 4256 **City Clerk Anthony:** Pro Tem Lawson?
- 4257 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Yes.
- 4258 **City Clerk Anthony:** Chair Botel?
- 4259 Chairperson Botel: No.,
- 4260 **City Clerk Anthony:** That motion is approved with Councilpersons Lanier and Botel
- 4261 dissenting.
- 4262 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. So now, Mr. Harvey, could you give us your lowest and
- 4263 best price?
- 4264 **Mr. R. Harvey:** Yes. Good day. Lowest and best price for year one would be \$82,00,
- 4265 year two, eighty'...
- 4266 **Councilperson Lanier:** I, I'm sorry. I didn't hear you, Mr. Harvey.
- 4267 **Chairperson Botel:** Eighty...
- 4268 Mr. R. Harvey: Okay. Can you...
- 4269 **Chairperson Botel:** ...two.
- 4270 **Mr. R. Harvey:** Can you hear me a little better?
- 4271 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay.

- 4272 **Mr. R. Harvey:** Year one, \$82,000; year two, \$82,000; yeah three, \$75,000; year
- three, \$75,00 and year four..., year five with the extension, \$75,000.
- 4274 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:**Can he just restate that 'cause I think he said three
- 4275 twice. Just for the record.
- 4276 **Mr. R. Harvey:** I think so. I think so. Year one, \$82,000; year two, \$82,000 even.
- 4277 All of these are rounded numbers. Year three, \$75,000 even; year four \$75,000 even;
- and year five, the extension year, \$75,000 even.
- 4279 **Chairperson Botel:** So, am I right that that's three eighty-nine?
- 4280 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Colleagues, that's a total of \$389,000, total pricing. Three
- eighty-nine for the life of this contract, a five year contract.
- 4282 **Chairperson Botel:** And, we're looking...
- 4283 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** And these first two years, Mr. Harvey, if you can explain,
- 4284 you priced them a little bit higher, is it because this has to be completed and expedited
- 4285 now and 2021?
- 4286 **Mr. R. Harvey:** That's correct. We're willing to have boots on the ground in July to
- start moving forward, and to get the 2019 audit out. Mr. Sherman has already said his
- expectation is three months. We wanna meet that for him. And then, to be ready to move
- right into 2020 to get the City back on track with issuing in the March slash April.., usually
- 4290 it's the first meeting in April, that we bring the capper to Council. And so, that's why the
- 4291 elevated rates in the first two years.
- 4292 **Councilperson Lanier:** And, I would like to say, Madam Chair, that anybody who got
- 4293 this bid would've been doing the same thing.
- 4294 **Chairperson Botel:** Right. Yes. Okay. Of course. So, as I calculated that's
- \$117,000 difference from the rate we got from Davis. Am I right? Anybody wanna check
- 4296 that?
- 4297 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** That is the difference based upon the bid we got from Davis.
- 4298 A hundred...
- 4299 **Chairperson Botel:** Right. Okay. So we're looking at a difference of \$114,000. And
- 4300 I guess we can make.., we can accept a motion to accept that price and go into co'..,
- enter into a contract with HCT or reject it and take into consideration that we might wanna
- 4302 negotiate with the second term.., second [stammer] firm.
- 4303 Councilperson Lanier: I'd like to add, Madam Chair, before we make the motion, I'd like
- 4304 to add the fact that I, I still, you know, even though Mr. McCoy said that my math was off,
- 4305 Mr. McCoy [chuckle], I still say that I would want the ten percent, per year, over five years,
- each year, as the amount for my vote.

- **Chairperson Botel:** Well, okay. Does somebody wanna make a motion to... Mr.
- 4308 McCoy?
- **Councilperson McCoy:** Are we gonna have a chance to discuss this? I wanted see if
- 4310 Councilwoman...
- 4311 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- **Councilperson McCoy:** ...[inaudible]...
- 4313 Chairperson Botel: Sure.
- **Councilperson McCoy:** ...give him more money or something this time around.
- **Chairperson Botel:** You wanted to see what? Oh, stop it. Mr. McCoy, now.
- 4316 [Chuckles]
- **Chairperson Botel:** Behave yourself. Did somebody wanna make a motion...
- 4318 [Inaudible] here. Did somebody wanna make a motion to enter into contact with HCT
- based on the price of, what was the.., \$389,00?
- **Councilperson Lanier:** I'd like to make an amendment to the motion. I'd...
- 4321 Chairperson Botel: Nobody's......
- **Councilperson Lanier:** ...like to make it a motion...
- **Chairperson Botel:** Ms. Lanier, nobody's made a motion yet.
- **Councilperson Lanier:** Oh. They haven't made it yet? Okay.
- **Chairperson Botel:** Temporarily. Does somebody..., does anybody wanna make
- 4326 that motion?
- **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I'm making a motion that we go with the amount that
- 4328 was [stammer] stated.
- **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Does anyone wanna second that motion?
- **Councilperson McCoy:** Second.
- **Councilperson Lanier:** I'd like to make an amendment to that motion.
- **Chairperson Botel:** Well, you can ask the motion maker if...
- **Councilperson Lanier:** [Chuckle].
- **Chairperson Botel:** ...she would make an amendment to it.

- 4335 **Councilperson Lanier:** I'd like to add an amendment to that motion that, that the last
- two years be a ten percent discount. The amount that was given, which is \$389,000, over
- five years, the last two years we be given a ten percent discount for the City.
- 4338 **Chairperson Botel:** If you make that amendment... Well, let's see if it's accepted.
- 4339 Madam Miller-Anderson.., I think I almost called you Madam Chair. [Chuckle]. Something
- 4340 in me wants to give this role up all of a sudden. [Chuckle]. Councilwoman Miller-
- 4341 Anderson, do you accept that amendment?
- 4342 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** No. I'm sticking with what I said.
- 4343 Councilperson McCoy: Well...
- 4344 Chairperson Botel: Uh...
- 4345 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...[inaudible].
- 4346 **Chairperson Botel:** ...we can have discussion.
- 4347 **Councilperson McCoy:** Yeah. I had [inaudible]...
- 4348 **City Clerk Anthony:** Can we get a second? For that.., for the motion made by
- 4349 Councilperson...
- 4350 **Chairperson Botel:** We did.
- 4351 **City Clerk Anthony:** ...Lanier?
- 4352 **Chairperson Botel:** I believe, I believe Mr. McCoy seconded it. Am I right? No, No.
- 4353 Ms., Ms. Lanier didn't make a motion, she asked if...
- 4354 **Councilperson Lanier:** No. I, I... No, I did make a motion.
- 4355 **City Clerk Anthony:** She stated it as a motion, Madam Chair.
- 4356 **Chairperson Botel:** Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Do we have a second?
- 4357 **Councilperson Lanier:** I wanna...
- 4358 Councilperson McCoy: Yes.
- 4359 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...make a motion, and the motion that I had... Let me restate
- my motion. The motion that I had was the firm gave \$82,00 for year on, \$82,000 for year
- two and \$75,000 for year three, four and five. My amendment to that motion is for year
- four and five, to have a ten percent discount given to the City. [Pause]. That is my motion.
- 4363 **Chairperson Botel:** Is anyone willing to second...
- 4364 **Councilperson McCoy:** Ms. Lanier, that's gonna take \$374,000 over five years.

- **Councilperson Lanier:** No, no. I said just years three and four a ten percent discount.
- 4366 I didn't say over the five years.
- **Councilperson McCoy:** And then over the total, it's gonna be not to exceed \$374,000.
- That's what the math comes up to.
- **Councilperson Lanier:** \$374,000? Okay. That's fine.
- **Councilperson McCoy:** I'll second that.
- **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Okay.
- **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:**That's.., Madam Chair, for clarity? Ms. Lanier, you
- 4373 said years three and four or four and five?
- **Councilperson Lanier:** Years four and five. I'm sorry. Years four and five.
- 4375 Councilperson Miller-Anderson:

Okay.

- 4376 Councilperson Lanier: I think that's what I made the initial motion on. Four and five, I
- want an additional ten percent discount on those two.., last two years.
- **Unk.:** Okay.
- **Chairperson Botel:** Do we have discussion on that...
- **Councilperson McCoy:** Madam Chair? The two years [inaudible] \$67,500.
- 4381 Councilperson Lanier: Okay.
- **Councilperson McCoy:** Instead of seventy-five.
- 4383 Councilperson Lanier: Okay.
- **Councilperson McCoy:** Do we need time to.., so, so Members can do their math?
- **Chairperson Botel:** No, [stammer]. I trust you.
- **Councilperson McCoy:** You don't. Not all the time.
- 4387 [Chuckles]
- **Chairperson Botel:** I really do. I really do. You know that.
- **Councilperson McCoy:** Yeah. [Inaudible] perfect.
- **City Attorney Wynn:** Madam Chair?
- **Chairperson Botel:** I'm losing track. Madam Clerk. Madam Attorney, yes.

- 4392 **City Attorney Wynn:** As part of this discussion, do you want to now ask Mr. Harvey if
- that's acceptable before you vote or you do wanna vote and [chuckle] then see?
- 4394 **Chairperson Botel:** I think it wouldn't make sense to, to not ask Mr. Harvey. So, Mr.
- 4395 Harvey, are you willing to accept that [stammer]...
- 4396 **Councilperson McCoy:** Madam Chair? If, if I can say this. I really only wanted to extend
- 4397 the courtesy to Councilwoman Lanier, but I really didn't like the fact that we was asking
- somebody to take \$15,000, and I think the Manager and the Attorney would know why.
- 4399 Because, I literally found where we, in some cases, have kinda understated revenue that
- was due to the City by going to estimate further out in the future. And I certainly wanna
- be fair about it, but I only wanted to extend the motion so that we can continue to have
- the vote and discussion but I don't agree that we should get somebody to commit to
- something four and five years from now. I just wanted to have the discussion, 'cause
- 4404 COVID-19 really is the person that makes all the decisions around here.
- 4405 **Chairperson Botel:** [Chuckle]. Well, aren't we...
- 4406 **Councilperson Lanier:** And, and, and...
- 4407 **Chairperson Botel:** ...committing ourselves to something four or fives years out?
- 4408 **Councilperson McCoy:** I beg your pardon?
- 4409 **Councilperson Lanier:** Yeah. Wait...
- 4410 **Chairperson Botel:** Are, are we not committing ourselves to something four or five
- 4411 years out?
- 4412 **Councilperson McCoy:** Well, you know. You know, you may run for Congress, who
- 4413 knows.
- 4414 **Chairperson Botel:** [Chuckle]. Don't count on that. So...
- 4415 **Councilperson Lanier:** Well, we're looking at a five year contract, so if it's a five year
- contract, we're just asking for a ten percent discount in year four and five because it is a
- five year contract. It's not that we're gonna do a contract every year.
- 4418 **Chairperson Botel:** Yeah. What, what if we said.., what if we unanimously... Now,
- that would be something to see, what if we unanimously said, yeah. Let's tell him we
- want him to go down to three seventy-four. And, he says, 'No way.' Why, why don't we
- just ask him first before we take the trouble to...
- 4422 **Councilperson Lanier:** Yeah. Let's... Let's ask, let's ask.
- 4423 **Councilperson McCoy:** This the problem though. So, and, and let me tell you
- 4424 something. As much as I love my City, right? As a, a true finance and accounting
- professional, the, the problem you deal with is trying to estimate future revenues. And I

- 4426 don't wanna commit somebody to something like that, when the market really drives much
- of this. If we got into a point where he can agree comfortably where he'll accept even 4427
- knowing the uncertainty of four years from now, I don't feel comfortable with now trying 4428
- 4429 to really dictate this. I really wanna have the discussion but, literally, who can ex'...
- [Stammer], here's a better one. Can you ask estimate the amount of what gas is gonna 4430
- be per gallon next week, next month? Try doing that in four years from now. So, I mean 4431
- 4432 literally, that's what we're asking this guy to do on the fly. So, I wanna kinda be reasonable
- 4433 and sit down and have... But, just knowing how the whole market drives pricing for every
- single industry in the world, based on supply and demand, I, I just don't believe that that's 4434
- 4435 something that would be prudent, or even fair for anybody. Even us for that matter.
- 4436 **Chairperson Botel:** But, but, but, we're... we've asked everyone who's responded
- 4437 to this RFP to make a projection five years out about what the cost will be.
- 4438 Councilperson McCoy: And, and I totally...
- 4439 Chairperson Botel: So, we're not, we're not... What?
- 4440 Councilperson McCoy: And, and I totally understand that. But what I'm saying to you is
- 4441 this, now that we've kinda reduced down that this is.., I mean, you're literally asking
- somebody to take something that... I mean, what if they don't perform? The most that 4442
- the City has, is to be able to get, I guess we can get consequential damages but what 4443
- we actually agree to pay for the losses that we incurred but I don't see that we would... 4444
- I, I don't feel comfortable with doing that. Cause I'm [inaudible]... 4445
- **Councilperson Lanier:** Madam Chair? 4446
- 4447 Councilperson McCoy: ...my business...
- 4448 Chairperson Botel: Yes. Ms. Lanier.
- 4449 **Councilperson Lanier:** I just wanted to say that the ten percent discount that I requested
- 4450 for the last two years of the contract are just that. We have a [stammer].., we signed a..,
- we'll sign a fi'.., four year, one year option, contract with this firm, and that will.., that's.., 4451
- that will be with any firm that we came.., that we agreed to negotiate with. We're 4452
- 4453 negotiating based on the fact that, yes we do know that COVID runs the show, and we
- do not know that in year 2021, 2022, how our revenues are going to be. Meaning that we 4454
- already know, we already know this. That there's going to be a significant fallout in
- 4455
- revenues from the City, of course statewide, because of COVID. So, I'm asking for that 4456
- discount based on that knowledge, that in, in three, and four and five years we're gonna 4457
- be looking at the cost of, what it cost to do business right now and we're gonna see that 4458
- 4459 turn up in 2021, 2022. And that's why I'm asking for this dis'.., this discount.
- 4460 I'm saying that it is reasonable to ask, given that we do not know how we are going to fare in COVID-19 and in the recession that is going... that is sure to follow in the next 4461
- three to four years, that we are asking for that ten percent discount up front because we 4462
- know how it's going to play..., going to play out. And I think that that is reasonable to ask. 4463

- 4464 **Chairperson Botel:** Mr. McCoy, would you have a serious objection to us just asking
- 4465 Mr. Harvey if he would...
- 4466 **Councilperson McCoy:** I don't. I don't.
- 4467 **Chairperson Botel**: You don't?
- 4468 **Councilperson McCoy:** We can ask him. I just wanted to...
- 4469 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Mr. Harvey, if the Board voted to give you this contract
- at the rate of \$374,00, would you accept that?
- 4471 Mr. R. Harvey: Thank you so much. I'm a little embarrassed about this but,
- 4472 Councilperson Lawson asked us to give our very best and we stand by our prices at
- eighty-two, eighty-two, seventy-five, seventy-five and seventy-five. We believe...
- 4474 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Thank you.
- 4475 **Mr. R. Harvey:** ...where we started out at \$90,000...
- 4476 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you.
- 4477 **Mr. R. Harvey:** ...and where we're at now, those, those are best prices.
- 4478 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Thank you. So, Ms. Lanier, there's almost no point in
- 4479 discussing your motion, although I applaud you for trying to make it because Mr. Harvey
- 4480 will not accept that...
- 4481 **Councilperson Lanier:** And, and the motion... we gotta, we gotta run with my motion
- and, and get a vote on it. You have to vote it down because if we vote this motion up and
- he does not agree, then we go to the next person. So this motion needs to go forward,
- 4484 whether it gets voted up or down.
- 4485 **Councilperson McCoy:** No. We haven't... We extended him...
- 4486 **City Attorney Wynn:** [Inaudible].
- 4487 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...an offer [inaudible] accept it. Right?
- 4488 **Chairperson Botel:** Oh, I better ask Ms. Wynn...
- 4489 **Councilperson Lanier:** No. Well.., there's a, there's a.., we don't.., it's not about him.
- Our motion. It is.., we, we, we run the show here. If I have a motion...
- 4491 City Attorney Wynn: If...
- 4492 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...on the floor and a second, we just say.., you can just vote it
- down, say yes or no and then that's the end of that.

- 4494 **City Attorney Wynn:** If Ms. Lanier's motion passes and Mr. Harvey does not accept,
- someone else can make a new motion, or we can go back to Ms. Miller-Anderson's first
- 4496 motion, I believe... The \$389,000, who made that motion?
- 4497 **Chairperson Botel:** I think it was Ms...
- 4498 **City Attorney Wynn:** Yes. Ms...
- 4499 **Chairperson Botel:** ...Miller-Anderson.
- 4500 City Attorney Wynn: ... Miller-Anderson, seconded by Mr. McCoy. We can go back
- 4501 to that. You.., you don't move on until to the next, to the next person until you all make a
- 4502 motion and vote to terminate negotiations with Mr. Harvey.
- 4503 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. We got it. So, we do have to take Ms. Lanier's motion
- 4504 into consideration. Madam Clerk.
- 4505 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson McCoy?
- 4506 Councilperson McCoy: No.
- 4507 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson Mill-Anderson?
- 4508 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: No.
- 4509 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson Lanier?
- 4510 Councilperson Lanier: Yes.
- 4511 **City Clerk Anthony:** Pro Tem Lawson?
- 4512 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: No.
- 4513 **City Clerk Anthony:** Chair Botel?
- 4514 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 4515 **City Clerk Anthony:** That motion fails with Councilperson McCoy, Miller-Anderson
- 4516 and Lawson dissenting.
- 4517 **Chairperson Botel:** Now, do we go back to Ms. Miller-Anderson's...
- 4518 **City Attorney Wynn:** Back to the...
- 4519 Chairperson Botel: ...motion?
- 4520 City Clerk Anthony: To the motion [stammer] made by Councilperson Miller-
- 4521 Anderson, seconded by Councilperson McCoy to accept the price of \$389,000 as
- 4522 presented...

- 4523 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Was it Lawson? I thought Lawson's motion, the lowest
- 4524 best offer was the last one.
- 4525 **Chairperson Botel:** Right.
- 4526 **City Clerk Anthony:** [Inaudible] Lawson was the lowest best offer but once they
- 4527 offered it...
- 4528 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:**We haven't voted on that. We haven't voted on that
- eighty-two, eighty-two, seventy-five, seventy-five, and seventy-five because Ms. Lanier
- 4530 put in her amended motion.
- 4531 **City Clerk Anthony:** You are correct, but we did vote on the motion where Mr.
- Lawson asked for the lowest. That was done and dissolved of. And then, HCT came
- back with their numbers and you made the motion to accept their numbers as presented,
- 4534 Ms. Miller-Anderson.
- 4535 Councilperson Miller-Anderson:

- Oh, okay. So, this is done?
- 4536 **City Clerk Anthony:** So this is the motion that we're on, the motion that was made to
- accept the numbers presented by HCT, which made it with a grand total of \$389,000. It's
- 4538 \$82,000 for year one, \$82,000 for year two, \$75,000 for year three, \$75,000 for year four,
- and \$75,000 for year five. And that motion has been made and seconded. And that's
- what we're about to vote on.
- 4541 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. And just to be clear, that's \$114,000 difference. I have
- 4542 to... I just have to make sure that we all understand that. It's a hundred and fourteen...
- 4543 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:**And we all need to know that we don't know if that is
- the actual inhouse number. So, that, that's where...
- 4545 **Chairperson Botel:** [Inaudible].
- 4546 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...we need to make sure we say that too.
- 4547 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes.
- 4548 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** 'Cause that number..., that's just what was provided,
- 4549 that doesn't mean that's the golden number.
- 4550 **Chairperson Botel:** Well, no. That's means...
- 4551 **Councilperson Lanier:** That was the number that was provided by other firms.
- 4552 **Chairperson Botel:** It's a number that was provided by other firms.
- 4553 **Councilperson Lanier:** Which was the firm who was before that firm.
- 4554 **Chairperson Botel:** Yeah. The firm that was [inaudible].

- 4555 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:**I understand. But, we... Again, that's not a golden
- 4556 number. We don't know that.
- 4557 **Chairperson Botel:** [Inaudible]. The firm that was voted second by the, by the
- 4558 Committee. Okay. So, let's... Madam... And if there's no more discussion, we'll call
- 4559 this question.
- 4560 **Councilperson McCoy:** Yeah. I got one point that I wanna make.
- 4561 **Chairperson Botel:** Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- 4562 **Councilperson McCoy:** Members, let me just share something with you. I really wished
- 4563 you guys would've negotiated with all of our working employees of the City of Riviera
- Beach, the way we're doing about a contract for an auditor. If I can remind you, I offered
- 4565 the same thing when it came to the pandemic pay policy for our working class people of
- 4566 the City. We spent all of, uh, probably four and a half.., fi'.., four and a half hours on this
- 4567 already. That's all I.., that's all I got. I yield back.
- 4568 **Chairperson Botel:** [Chuckle].
- 4569 **Councilperson Lanier:** And I want to say also, Madam Chair, that, you know, I'm just
- very disappointed that the, the auditor did not try to make this a unanimous vote.
- 4571 **Chairperson Botel:** Say that again.
- 4572 **Councilperson McCoy:** That's not fair.
- 4573 **Councilperson Lanier:** Meaning that the, that the auditor themselves, when asked
- 4574 would they take a ten percent of the year number four and number five...
- 4575 **Chairperson Botel:** Oh.
- 4576 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...to make this a unanimous vote, then they did not do that so,
- of course, we're not gonna have a unanimous vote on this Item.
- 4578 Councilperson McCoy: Didn't I offer ten percent on the \$90,980 and he said he
- 4579 accepted?
- 4580 Councilperson Lanier: No. No, no.
- 4581 **Councilperson McCoy:** You said you wanted ten percent...
- 4582 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Let's [stammer], the hour is...
- 4583 **Councilperson Lanier:** There's a motion and a second on the floor.
- 4584 **Chairperson Botel:** We have a motion and a second.
- 4585 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Inaudible].

- **Councilperson Lanier:** And it's not gonna be unanimous. And I offered ten percent in
- year four and five and they.., he did not take that. So, that's that.
- **Councilperson McCoy:** You offered a \$90,980...
- **Councilperson Lanier:** I offered that. You rejected that.
- **Councilperson McCoy:** Which is ten percent...
- **Councilperson Lanier:** Council rejected that. I can back with year number four and
- 4592 number five. And you still rejected that.
- **Councilperson McCoy:** But he would have had a unanimous vote.
- **Councilperson Lanier:** No, he wouldn't have. No.
- **Councilperson McCoy:** But you made the offer.
- **Councilperson Lanier:** I made the offer and you rejected it.
- **Councilperson McCoy:** But, but, but, Ms. Lanier, I, I'm done.
- **Chairperson Botel:** Yeah. Please. We've, we've had enough. I, I think we've said
- all we're gonna say. I think we know how this is gonna turn out. Madam Clerk.
- **Unk.:** Yeah.
- **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson McCoy?
- 4602 Councilperson McCoy: Yes.
- **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson Miller-Anderson?
- 4604 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Yes.
- **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson Lanier?
- 4606 Councilperson Lanier: No.
- **City Clerk Anthony:** Pro Tem Lawson?
- 4608 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Yes.
- **City Clerk Anthony:** Chair Botel?
- 4610 Chairperson Botel: No.
- **City Clerk Anthony:** That motion is approved with Councilperson Lanier and Botel
- 4612 dissenting.

- 4613 Chairperson Botel: Okay. Mr. Evans, the next Item...
- 4614 City Clerk Anthony: Madam Chair...
- Madam Chair? 4615 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:**
- 4616 Chairperson Botel: Yes. I'm sorry.
- 4617 City Clerk Anthony: Councilperson Miller-Anderson...
- 4618 <u>COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC - 7:30 P.M. - NON-AGENDA ITEM SPEAKERS</u>
- 4619 (Three Minute Limitation
- 4620 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Did we have general public comments?
- 4621 Chairperson Botel: [Chuckle]. No. Did we have any, Ms.., Madam Clerk? You're
- on mute. It's on mute. 4622
- 4623 City Clerk Anthony: We do have one public comment. The acceptance of public
- 4624 comments at this time is closed.
- 4625 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Thank you. Ms. Miller-Anderson, for reminding us
- 4626 of our duty to hear the public. [Chuckle]. We just got so caught up. Alright. Let's hear
- it, Ms. Anthony. 4627
- 4628 City Clerk Anthony: Deborah, are you...
- 4629 Chairperson Botel: Madam Clerk.
- 4630 City Clerk Anthony: Okay. We can't hear you. Can you... Let me pull it up. [Pause].
- Our public comment comes from John Clayton. The email address is 4631
- 4632 john m clayton@hotmail.com.

4633 Good evening everyone. My name is John Clayton and my house is located on 4634 Avenue E. Yesterday, I received a letter from the Code Compliance Department and it 4635 stated I had to repair my wooden privacy fence, trim overgrowth in my backyard and resod areas in my front yard. The only problem is, these issues were already addressed back 4636 4637 in April and I have both the new sections of the fence, as well as a bill from my landscaper, 4638 MPM Landscaping, to prove it. The only issue I will not be addressing is the sod in my 4639 front yard. There is a convenient store next door to me and it makes growing a really 4640 good lawn impossible. I have attempted to plant various plants out from..., out front to 4641 beautify my yard and I have personally seen the patrons from that store pour poison on my plants. If the City is willing to shut down and demolish that store, then I will be willing 4642 4643 to upgrade my front yard but I will not throw good money after bad. I spoke to Inspect

- 4644 John Johnson yesterday and I asked him if he would not mind coming out to quickly look
- at my property and his tone became very rude. My house is homestead property, 4645
- 4646 protected under the Florida Homestead law and I'm well aware of my rights under this

- 4647 law. And any resident that has homesteaded property needs to be aware of this law, and the protections it provided.., provides as well. 4648
- 4649 Also, since my house was built in 1947 and has been in my family since 1951, it is grandfathered into the current Code laws. I would encourage my fellow residents that if 4650 they have received similar letters, to please make a public comment concerning them. 4651
- The more of us that know about this, the better. 4652
- 4653 Thank you for your time and attention. Respectfully submitted, John Clayton.
- 4654 Madam Chair, that concludes public comments.
- 4655 Thank you. Okay. Mr. Evans, we have one more Item on the **Chairperson Botel:**
- Agenda but I think I'm gonna request that we either have a special meeting. Is there an 4656
- urgency for us... I, I would like to see us do something about our Agenda on..., and so 4657
- [inaudible], per your, per your memo, but I'm wondering if we can either have a special 4658
- meeting or postpone this. 4659
- 4660 City Manager Evans: We can.., Madam Chair, we can certainly postpone it. I did have
- a two and a half hour PowerPoint presentation to go through... 4661
- 4662 **Chairperson Botel:** [Stammer].
- ...for the s'..., the, the hour that it is this evening, I can put it onto 4663 City Manager Evans:
- 4664 another Agenda.
- 4665 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you very much.
- Councilperson McCoy: I wanted... 4666
- Councilperson Lanier: Thank you. Mr. Evans. Madam Chair, can I ask one question, 4667
- please? I don't wanna belabor this point. Let me go back to our mo'.., our, our vote that 4668
- we just had. Now, do we have to make a motion to terminate negotiations with this, with 4669
- this agency? So that there won't be anymore negotiations with them? I just wanna be 4670
- clear on that because I, I don't want it to go back to the City Attorney or the City
- 4671
- Administration and there's additional negotiations. Do we have to make a motion to ne'..., 4672
- just, just decide that there are no more negotiations with this firm? 4673
- Councilperson McCoy: I just thought about something. Isn't she conflicted in any way? 4674
- Why would she even... How is it that she can act on the Committee and then as a 4675
- Member? Wouldn't she be conflicted? 4676
- 4677 **City Attorney Wynn:** The statue...
- Councilperson Lanier: [Inaudible]. 4678
- 4679 City Attorney Wynn: ...allowed that. The statute...

- 4680 **Councilperson Lanier:** The statute allowed that.
- 4681 City Attorney Wynn: ...mandated the appointment for a Board.., from a Council
- 4682 Member.
- 4683 **Councilperson McCoy:** Right. But, but, I mean, it's almost like doing office holding.
- When you make a recommendation and then validate it on the back end. I mean,
- 4685 [inaudible]?
- 4686 **City Attorney Wynn:** The statue allows it.
- 4687 **Councilperson Lanier:** And that is the reason why I asked the Committee to come to
- 4688 talk to the Council. But, with all that being said, I just wanna know...
- 4689 Councilperson McCoy: Ms. Wynn?
- 4690 **Councilperson Lanier:** I just wanna understand that it.., that, that.., do we have to make
- a formal motion to terminate negotiations with this, with this firm?
- 4692 City Manager Evans: No. You.., Madam Chair, or, Madam Councilwoman, you
- wouldn't have to make any motions. By the firm agreeing to the terms and conditions that
- were enumerated in the contract that was part of the RFP and the price and the years,
- that's the only modifications that would be made, and we already have the legislative
- action by the Board to facilitate that. So, from our perspective, the contract is solidified
- and now it's just a matter of the City Council signing the agreement and the auditing firm.
- and there would not be any subsequent negotiations that would ensue after this meeting.
- 4699 **Councilperson Lanier:** Okay. I just wanted to make sure. To the City Attorney, is that...
- 4700 I'm not saying that you're not correct, Mr. Evans, but I just don't..., I just wanna make sure
- 4701 that legally we don't have to entertain a motion to actually decease negotiations with this
- 4702 firm. Is that correct, Ms. Wynn?
- 4703 **City Attorney Wynn:** That's correct. If, for some reason, the firm came back with
- something else they wanted us to do... Let's say they wanted us to reduce the insurance
- 4705 requirement, we would bring that back to you. We.., because you all are the negotiating
- 4706 body.
- 4707 Councilperson Lanier: Okay.
- 4708 City Attorney Wynn: So.
- 4709 **Councilperson Lanier:** Okay. Well, I just wanted to make sure because I know that this
- 4710 may be an issue for either, the Internal Auditor or, or Internal General.., Inspector General,
- 4711 or for an appeal, and I just wanted to make sure that we cut off all negotiations at this
- 4712 point...
- 4713 **Councilperson McCoy:** What about the...

- 4714 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...with that firm. So that's it's, it's been stated on the record that
- we, that there's no, no more negotiations with them.
- 4716 **Councilperson McCoy:** Would the Commission on Ethics be concerned with this, Ms.
- 4717 Wynn?
- 4718 **City Attorney Wynn:** For what reason?
- 4719 **Councilperson McCoy:** For the conflict.
- 4720 **City Attorney Wynn:** What conflict?
- 4721 **Councilperson McCoy**: That you can act as a recommending member and then turn
- around and accept the recommendation, so that...
- 4723 **City Attorney Wynn:** The statute...
- 4724 **Councilperson McCoy:** So what... Here, here's what you do. Me personally, I'm gonna
- request offline, personal written request from the Commission on Ethics, from your office.
- 4726 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. So, where are we? We're with...
- 4727 [Pause]
- 4728 **Councilperson Lanier:** You know, to be, to be real clear here, I just wanna make
- a motion to [stammer] to terminate negotiations with HCT, that, that our business with
- them is done tonight. Make a motion to ne'.., just to.., those, those negotiations are done
- 4731 tonight. I just wanna...
- 4732 City Attorney Wynn: Um...
- 4733 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...make a motion to terminate those, those...
- 4734 **City Attorney Wynn:** Can I make a suggestion then, instead...
- 4735 Councilperson Lanier: Yes.
- 4736 **City Attorney Wynn:** ...of you using the word terminate, that you say to close
- negotiation or finalize negotiations? 'Cause I don't want it.., that motion to appear as if
- 4738 you, you all have changed your minds and decided not to negotiate.
- 4739 **Councilperson Lanier:** Okay. Well, to, for lack of a better terms, to close the process
- of negotiation with this firm. Tonight. That's the motion I...
- 4741 **Chairperson Botel:** Can I just...
- 4742 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...wanted to make.

- Chairperson Botel: Thank you. But I have a question. How, how would Ms. Wynn
- deal with issues around the contract and, I mean, if, if negotiations are terminated, doesn't
- 4745 that tie the hands of...
- 4746 **Councilperson Lanier:** No, she... We, we just, we just changed it. It's to close
- 4747 negotiations.
- 4748 City Clerk Anthony: Ma'.., Madam Chair, I need...
- 4749 **City Attorney Wynn:** There should be...
- 4750 **City Clerk Anthony:** ...get a second to that...
- 4751 **City Attorney Wynn:** I wanna make sure...
- 4752 **City Clerk Anthony:** ...[inaudible]?
- 4753 **City Attorney Wynn:** I'm sorry.
- 4754 **Chairperson Botel:** Ms. Wynn. Oh...
- 4755 **City Clerk Anthony:** Madam Chair...
- 4756 [Inaudible comments]
- 4757 **City Clerk Anthony:** Can we get a second before we consi'.., continue...
- 4758 **Chairperson Botel:** Oh, sorry.
- 4759 **City Clerk Anthony:** ...discussion on that?
- 4760 **Chairperson Botel:** Can somebody second... Would [stammer]... Does anybody
- want to make a se'... to second that motion? [Pause]. I'll pass the gavel and second it,
- so we can... Ma'... I'll pass the gavel and second it, to Mr. McCoy's objection I'm sure.
- 4763 So that we can at least have a conversation about it.
- 4764 **Councilperson McCoy:** Can you remind me? She wants to terminate negotiations?
- 4765 **Councilperson Lanier:** No. I want to close negotiations with them. That means tonight,
- after we've, we've gone back and forth negotiating with them, I want to formally close
- those negotiations with them tonight.
- 4768 **Councilperson McCoy:** Is there a reason why you...
- 4769 **Chairperson Botel:** And the purpo'... And the purpose would be?
- 4770 **Councilperson Lanier:** The purpose would be to ensure that no other firm comes back
- 4771 to say that we did not formally close these negotiations.

- 4772 **Councilperson McCoy:** Well, that... I, I don't know...
- 4773 **Councilperson Lanier:** No. I'm asking. I got a second. You don't wanna vote for it,
- 4774 you don't have to. Let's go ahead and make this quick.
- 4775 **Chairperson Botel:** And I'm only asking, Ms. Wynn, does that tie the hands of the
- 4776 City in any way?
- 4777 **Councilperson Lanier:** No. That's the reason...
- 4778 **City Attorney Wynn:** I mean, if...
- 4779 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...why she suggested it...
- 4780 **City Attorney Wynn:** If Mr. Harvey or this firm were to say that they didn't agree with...
- My plan is that we would take the current contract, change the name from the Grau to
- 4782 HCT, change the dollar amount, correct the addresses and get them signed. If there's
- anything over and above that, we would have to come back to you all and tell you that
- 4784 those negotiations fell through.
- 4785 **Chairperson Botel:** Right. And I'm fine with that.
- 4786 **Councilperson Lanier:** And, and I just wanted to make sure that this is..., this process is
- 4787 officially closed.
- 4788 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. So, we have a motion and a second. Any other
- 4789 discussion?
- 4790 City Clerk Anthony: Madam Chair, you are not the Chair. That would be Mr.
- 4791 Lawson, please.
- 4792 **Chairperson Botel:** [Chuckle]. Thank you.
- 4793 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Any other discussion from the Council?
- 4794 Councilperson McCoy: Report on what it means to pass the gavel and what rule
- 4795 provides that you can do that. Mr. Evans.
- 4796 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** That, that, that, Councilman McCoy, that is, that is your
- 4797 second request, sir. I think...
- 4798 **Councilperson McCoy:** That, that's a Mr. Evans question because the City Clerk's office
- would fall under his, his supervision. So clearly, I ain't never seen nobody pass the gavel.
- 4800 And it just so happens, [inaudible] parliamentarian on the Black Elected Officials
- 4801 Organization of Palm Beach County. [Inaudible] seen that before.
- 4802 **City Manager Evans:** I, I think that, that actually..., I think the Attorney is supposed to
- 4803 be your parliamentarian per the Charter. So...

- 4804 **Councilperson McCoy:** You're pretty savvy though.
- 4805 City Manager Evans: [Chuckle]. We'll, we'll find that and we'll get back to you.
- Councilman. 4806

4812

- 4807 Councilperson McCoy: Good enough. Thank you.
- 4808 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Thank you. Ms. Anthony. Madam Clerk.
- 4809 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson McCoy?
- 4810 Councilperson McCov: Yes.
- 4811 City Clerk Anthony: Councilperson Miller-Anderson?
- **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:**
- 4813 City Clerk Anthony: Councilperson Lanier?
- 4814 Councilperson Lanier: Yes.
- 4815 City Clerk Anthony: Councilperson Botel?
- 4816 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes.
- 4817 City Clerk Anthony: Councilperson... Chairperson...
- 4818 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Yes.
- 4819 City Clerk Anthony: That motion is approved with Councilperson Miller-Anderson
- 4820 dissenting.
- 4821 Councilperson McCoy: Now you got what you wanted, Councilwoman Lanier. I hope
- whatever subsequent action that you think is gonna happen after this, go right ahead 4822
- 4823 because I'm...
- 4824 Councilperson Lanier: No., I, I... It's not me, It's not me, sir. We had, we had., we
- 4825 got a full audience tonight. I just wanna make sure that the City is covered in this process,
- 4826 sir.
- 4827 **Councilperson McCoy:** Are, are you a', you a agent for someone?
- 4828 **Chairperson Botel:** [Chuckle].
- 4829 **Councilperson McCoy:** I withdraw that question.
- 4830 Councilperson Lanier: Thank you.
- 4831 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Mr. Lawson?

No.

- 4832 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: I'm gonna...
- 4833 **City Clerk Anthony:** Mr. Lawson...
- 4834 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Ms. Miller-Anderson.
- 4835 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I just wanted to put on the record why I stated no was
- because typically when you, you know, make that motion and you vote on doing that, that,
- 4837 that pretty much closes it. Unless something changes, I mean, it comes back to us but
- 4838 that.., we, we went for the lowest best offer and that's what we got. So, I didn't see the
- point in having to do that.
- 4840 But, thank you.
- 4841 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Thank you, Ms. Miller-Anderson. I'm gonna pass the gavel
- 4842 back to Councilwoman Botel.
- 4843 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. So, we are not going to... We had our public
- comments. Mr. Evans has agreed that we will postpone the other Item.
- 4845 **DISCUSSION BY CITY MANAGER**
- 4846 **Chairperson Botel:** Do we have a discussion by City Manager? Oh yes. We had
- 4847 two...
- 4848 City Manager Evans: Yes.
- 4849 **Chairperson Botel:** We had two...
- 4850 City Manager Evans: Two...
- 4851 **Chairperson Botel:** ...two short Items.
- 4852 **City Manager Evans:** ...two really guick Items. First, we'll go into the Grad Bash. And
- 4853 I'll let Councilman Lawson kinda just briefly explain where we started off and where we
- are and then I'll provide some additional insight with respect to the funding request.
- 4855 **Councilperson McCoy:** I would like for you to do it. I don't wanna be another half hour.
- 4856 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: I'll make, I'll, I'll make this quick. Thank you, Mr. Evans and
- 4857 Madam Chair. The event that we planned for our seniors here in the community was
- 4858 gonna be a Grad Bash here in Riviera Beach. We, we reached out to the Rapids to
- partner, to host a, a grad night for all of our graduating seniors. Due to the numbers and
- the spike within the community, and us just trying to play cautious and safe, we made the
- determination that we're going to do a, a toned down event, where we're gonna do more
- of a Grad Bag type event, where we're gonna be providing trinkets, we're gonna be
- providing gift cards, we're gonna be providing momentums to our graduating seniors, at
- an event that we plan on hosting here in the City. It's gonna be a drive thru for all of our

graduating seniors to celebrate the seniors, to congratulate them and to honor them. So, our goal is to provide different things that we've been talking to as a, as a committee, to these seniors that are gonna be impactful for them and show them that we truly care and, and we honor their, their commitment. Our goal is also to keep the partnership and discussions with the Rapids to possibly move forward with hosting a grad night here in the community as time permits within the future, when this COVID crisis/pandemic starts to tone down. So, possibly next year, making this a main attraction and taking some of the seniors that go to Orlando and bringing them back here to the City to celebrate grad night here in the community.

So, we're gonna be working on that aggressively next year and possibly bringing that before the Board during budget discussions. So, as of right now, we're looking at July 28th as the date to... I'm sorry, July 25th, as a host date for Grad Bash.., or Grad Bag giveaway. And, our goal is to giveaway a hundred.., four hundred and fifty three.., I believe fifty-nine bags, is our graduating senior number that we can give to the graduating seniors.

And Mr. Evans, if you wanna expand upon that a little bit.

City Manager Evans: Yes. Certainly. So, we've identified, based on the information that's been provided to us, that's there's approximately a little over four hundred and fifty seniors. The bags will be filled with trinkets and little things that they would need, whether they're going off to college or whether mom and dad tells them it's time for them to spread their wings. So, some toiletries, some maybe some good reading. And what we have identified as approximately forty to \$45,000 that is available in the recreation budget as a result of some savings that we've experienced, and wanted to earmark that money to assist in providing those bags. Also, we are going to go out to the business community and see if there's an opportunity to match the City's contribution with in-kind support so we can really fill those bags up and then, obviously, the registration from the students to ensure that we can have a smooth flowing event and everybody gets the same bag, whether they're going off to college or whether they're going off to trade school, or whether they're going off to live with some of their friends 'cause mom and dad said, you know, it's that time.

So, we wanted to get the City Council support to look to appropriate those monies to move forward in that direction and then, obviously, work with the Council for the promotional pieces and make sure that you're invited to participate in the event.

- **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Short and sweet, Councilman McCoy.
- **Councilperson McCoy:** I beg your pardon?
- **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Short and sweet, just for you, Councilman McCoy.
- **Councilperson McCoy:** What else you got [inaudible]?
- **Councilperson Lanier:** I thought there were two presentations.

- 4903 **City Manager Evans:** That was ...
- 4904 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** That was, that was just the Grad Bag.
- 4905 [Inaudible comments]
- 4906 **Councilperson Lanier:** Oh, oh, okay.
- 4907 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** We have another one. The...
- 4908 Councilperson Lanier: Okay.
- 4909 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: ...bicycle event that's, that Mr. Evans and the City's
- 4910 hosting. But, that was just the Grab Bash even initiative that we're looking to bring for
- 4911 July 28th.., July 20th.
- 4912 Councilperson Lanier: Okay.
- 4913 Councilperson McCoy: I'm sure...
- 4914 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** 25th. I'm sorry, July 25h.
- 4915 **Councilperson Lanier:** Do you have flyers made already, Mr.., Councilman Lawson?
- 4916 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Not yet. We wanted to get the support of the Council and
- 4917 make sure this is an initiative that, that we can kind of have everyone get behind, and
- 4918 then we would put out a flyer and get registrations completed for all the graduating
- 4919 seniors. 'Cause I know you actually went and presented to a lot of the seniors so you
- 4920 have contact with them already. I see.., I think Mr.., Mayor Felder did do his initiative
- where he has the.., I noticed that the yard sticks are in front of City Hall right now with all
- of our graduating seniors. So, we wanna continue to honor them and support them. So
- we're gonna put together a flyer and hopefully have it finished by the end of this week.
- 4924 **Councilperson Lanier:** Okay. And, and I also would like to add, Councilman Lawson,
- 4925 if you can add, you know, as, as I am, to add a Public Health component to this to
- 4926 encourage people to wear their masks and to stay home as much as possible. So, within
- 4927 that bag, to have something that encourages social distancing and encourages, you
- 4928 know, staying put and encourages wearing a mask.
- 4929 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Yes. Absolutely. We actually reached out to the universities
- 4930 already and I'm trying to get some of the school masks from the different colleges
- 4931 throughout the State of Florida to provide mask for them, just to kinda honor the different
- schools and to represent the schools that they may be going to or that.., may have interest
- 4933 in them.
- So, we're definitely gonna be talking about the health aspect of it as well.

- 4935 **City Manager Evans:** And, and also, if, if there is a disproportionate share of masks
- 4936 from UCF, Councilman Lawson and I had nothing to do with it.
- 4937 Chairperson Botel: [Chuckle].
- 4938 **Councilperson Lanier:** Now, there's gonna be too.., there's gonna be so many masks
- 4939 from FAMU, you don't even know what to do with them.
- 4940 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** I, I have everything to do with it.
- 4941 [Chuckles]
- 4942 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Yeah. So, I'm telling you guys now.
- 4943 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Inaudible].
- 4944 **Chairperson Botel:** Mr., Mr. Lawson, I applaud, I applaud this and I will give you
- my complete support if you, in turn, give me your support for the project that I'm working
- on with Dan Calloway to provide, you know, we just learned that the kids will not be going
- back to school, real, real world school. They'll be still doing distance learning. And, we
- know, and I think KaShamba will attest to this as well, it's really difficult for some of our
- kids to succeed if all they're gonna get is distance learning, and so I wanna try to do some
- 4950 tutoring, especially for the JFK Middle school kids. So, I'll be coming to you about that. I
- 4951 gotta finalize the use of the school, that's something we're working on.
- But in any case, yeah. You have my support. Do you.., did you make a make a motion and... Did you make a motion or would Ms. Miller-Anderson...
- 4954 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Well, I wanted to hear from the rest.
- 4955 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Well, I can certainly support it as long as we
- keep in mind that there are some other projects that we are [inaudible].., we're looking at
- 4957 doing, such as a civilian oversight for the Public Safety Committee. And, as I mentioned
- 4958 to you on last meeting, it is going to cost money to do that, and it will be above and beyond
- that \$25,00. And there are a few other things that, that the Committee is working on. So
- 4960 please be as generous towards that initiative as we were for the small business loan, and
- for this Grad Bash. As long as we still have some money to provide for those types ac'...
- 4962 type of activities, then I can certainly support doing this.
- My only question about it, the four hundred and fifty-three, are those confirmed
- 4964 kids that are graduating? Or, are we just looking at kids...
- 4965 **City Manager Evans:** [Stammer]...
- 4966 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...that are in twelfth grad or what?
- 4967 **City Manager Evans:** That, that's an estimate based on the kids that have
- 4968 graduated. And so...

- 4969 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Okay.
- 4970 **City Manager Evans:** ...we would refine that and make sure that we, in fact, have
- documentation or proof that in fact they, they have in fact graduated.
- 4972 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Okay. And then, as far as a drive-up.., you're..,
- so they would stay in the car and everybody just drive up and you just throw the stuff in
- 4974 the car for them?
- 4975 **City Manager Evans:** We... Right.
- 4976 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** [Inaudible].
- 4977 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** You're not talking about having any out'...
- 4978 outdoor gathering type things?
- 4979 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: No. We're.., we'll, we'll have some music playing, it'll be
- 4980 congratulatory music. We're gonna just try to get some of the City leaders to come out
- 4981 and to just hand the bags out with masks. I don't wanna have too many people.
- Volunteers, they're giving the masks out but I've reached out to some of the.., some of
- 4983 the athletes that have come from our community, I'm asking the Council if you guys can
- 4984 all be there to just give out the bags and just to literally take a picture and let them keep
- 4985 going. Staying in the car, social distancing.
- 4986 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** And these funds, Mr. Evans, you said they were
- 4987 earmarked for Parks & Rec.
- 4988 **City Manager Evans:** They were some monies that were left over from some of the
- 4989 activities and the programs that we're not doing, i.e., the, the monies that we would set
- 4990 aside for 4th of July. Since we're doing the front porch fireworks and not doing the
- 4991 entertainment, there's some savings associated with there, and then there's some other
- savings associated with us not expending the money to do summer camp and some of
- the other activities, we actually have monies available in that Department.
- 4994 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Alright. And so, if you could provide me, and
- maybe the Council as well, any funds such as that, that are available. You know, maybe
- 4996 that's a discussion that we might wanna have to say what we can.., you know, maybe
- 4997 move money around.
- 4998 **City Manager Evans:** Yeah.
- 4999 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I also know that we wanna think about, you
- know, holding on to some, as much as possible.
- 5001 **City Manager Evans:** Yeah.
- 5002 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** So. Alright. That's it for me. Thank you. Thank
- 5003 you, Mr. Lawson.

- 5004 Chairperson Botel: Anybody else?
- 5005 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Thank you, ma'am.
- 5006 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Madam Clerk.
- 5007 **Councilperson McCoy:** You know, one...
- 5008 Chairperson Botel: Did we get...
- 5009 Councilperson McCoy: ...of the...
- 5010 Chairperson Botel: ...a sec'... Did we get a second? Did we [inaudible]...
- 5011 Councilperson McCoy: No.
- 5012 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** We never made it formal. Madam Chair.
- 5013 Councilperson McCoy: I guess the question is, Mr. Evans, are you looking for
- 5014 legislative action regarding about appropriating or moving those dollars from Parks &
- 5015 Rec?
- 5016 **City Manager Evans:** Yes, sir. Yes, sir. I would, I would be requesting that the
- Board allow for us to.., the expenditure amount of, you know, not to exceed \$45,000,
- whatever... and whatever legally available funds that we do have.
- 5019 Chairperson Botel: Mr. McCoy.
- 5020 **Councilperson McCoy:** Yes. I'm afraid, I won't be able to support Councilman
- 5021 Lawson. The kids I'll support, but not Councilman...
- 5022 **Chairperson Botel:** Stop. Stop.
- 5023 **Councilperson McCoy:** I'm gonna [inaudible]...
- 5024 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** On that note, I'm gonna..., on that note, I'm gonna make the
- 5025 motion that we move forward with the Grab Bag Giveaway for our graduating seniors here
- in the City, with a budget not to exceed \$45,000 as allocated by Mr. Evans.
- 5027 Councilperson McCoy: Second.
- 5028 **Chairperson Botel:** Does... I knew you were gonna do that. Madam Clerk.
- 5029 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson McCoy?
- 5030 Councilperson McCoy: Yes.
- 5031 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson Miller-Anderson?

5032 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Ye	5032	Yes.
--	------	------

- 5033 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson Lanier?
- 5034 Councilperson Lanier: Yes.
- 5035 **City Clerk Anthony:** Pro Tem Lawson?
- 5036 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Yes.
- 5037 **City Clerk Anthony:** Chair Botel?
- 5038 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 5039 **City Clerk Anthony:** Unanimous vote.
- 5040 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Mr. Evans, your second presentation.
- 5041 **City Manager Evans:** And, and if the Board will indulge me, there's just one
- 5042 housekeeping item before we get to the last one. The Emergency Declaration was
- actually expired as part of the meeting, and so we weren't able to execute it prior to the
- 5044 expiration, so I would need authorization from the Board to [stammer].., to get the
- authority to execute another Local State of Emergency to continue our COVID related
- activities and responsibilities and duties. And that would be extended every seven days
- until we come out of a Local State of Emergency.
- 5048 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** So moved.
- 5049 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Would someone like to make a second?
- 5050 **Councilperson Lanier:** Second.
- 5051 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Any discussion? Madam Clerk. [Pause]. Oh.
- 5052 Mr. McCoy.
- 5053 **Councilperson McCoy:** Thank you. Because I.., I was offline.., off of mute... I mean,
- 5054 I was on mute. So, what is different, and what does that provide for now, Mr. Evans?
- 5055 What's different now?
- 5056 **City Manager Evans:** It's exactly the same thing that we have consistently been
- doing, but I have to sign it by 6:00 p.m. every Wednesday, and we missed the dea'.., the
- 5058 time by, like, seventeen, eighteen minutes, so I have to ask for authorization from the
- Board to continue to do. It's the same exact thing that we have been..., been doing since
- we went into the activation back March 20th.
- 5061 **Councilperson McCoy:** So what authorities would you particularly have that wasn't
- already delineated before?

5063	City Manager Evans:	It, it's, it's the same authorities.	. It's just that it was expired

- because we didn't execute it. Today, if I would've executed it at six..., or 5:59, or what
- have you, it would've been a moot point, there would've no.., been no issue. But, by the
- 5066 time I end up getting the document to sign it, it was past the expiration time. So I need
- authorization from the Board to proceed forward with the continued stay.
- 5068 **Councilperson McCoy:** So does this authority also provide you the ability to exercise
- 5069 pandemic pay?
- 5070 **City Manager Evans:** This.., yes. This has everything, yes.
- 5071 Councilperson McCoy: Okay.
- 5072 **Chairperson Botel:** Anything else? Madam Clerk.
- 5073 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson McCoy?
- 5074 Councilperson McCoy: No.
- 5075 **City Clerk Anthony:** Did you say no, Mr. McCoy?
- 5076 Councilperson McCoy: No.
- 5077 **City Clerk Anthony:** Thank you. Councilperson Miller-Anderson?
- 5078 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Yes.
- 5079 **City Clerk Anthony:** Councilperson Lanier?
- 5080 Councilperson Lanier: Yes.
- 5081 **City Clerk Anthony:** Pro Tem Lawson?
- 5082 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Yes.
- 5083 **City Clerk Anthony:** Chair Botel?
- 5084 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 5085 **City Clerk Anthony:** That motion is approved with Councilperson McCoy
- 5086 dissenting.
- 5087 **Councilperson McCoy:** Follow up question. So, if I'm correct, you just said this
- authorizes you to implement the pandemic pay. Is that correct, Mr. Evans?
- 5089 **City Manager Evans:** It's, it's already implemented, yes.
- 5090 **Councilperson McCoy:** So, had we not extended the State of Emergency, would you
- still been able to have implemented this pay, irrespective of us continuing the Local State

- 5092 of Emergency? Because I wanna know if there's a nexus. So, if you wanna come back 5093 and decide on that question in written, then I would love that 'cause I don't want you to
- commit unless we absolutely know. So, I... 5094
- 5095 **City Manager Evans:** Okay.
- 5096 Councilperson McCoy: ... I actually withdraw that question and ask, can you give us
- 5097 some written.
- 5098 **City Manager Evans:** Okay. I, I can do that.
- 5099 **Chairperson Botel:** And now for the second presentation.
- Okay. Madam Chair, Members of the Board, if I can have 5100 City Manager Evans:
- 5101 Chief Osgood, and I believe Chief Curd is on the line as well, and I think maybe even Ms.
- Franks. We've had the opportunity to work with Abe Elam, the Elam Foundation and 5102
- Jacoby Brissett about a community event that they're looking to do in a social.., socially 5103
- 5104 responsible manner. And so, I'm gonna allow for Chief Osgood to explain some of the
- nuances of the event, and then Chief Curd can talk about the Public Safety and Public 5105
- Health aspect to ensure that we can make sure we do this safe and do not put anyone in 5106
- 5107 harm's way. And then ultimately, we just want the blessing from the Board to proceed
- forward as, as communicated. 5108
- 5109 Chief Osgood.
- Thank you, Mr. Manager. Good evening again, Council 5110 Police Chief Osgood: Members and Mayor. 5111

5112 I met with Abe Elam of Team Elam Foundation and Jacoby Brissett about two weeks ago and the first thing Jacoby said, 'Imma.., I came up through all the programs in 5113 the City of Riviera Beach,' and looking at the civil distress going on around the country, 5114 they wanted the young kids to know that when they were young, the police officers were 5115 5116 their friends. Their biggest role models they said were Dan Calloway and, and other police officers right here in the City of Riviera Beach. So, Abe and Jacoby said we would 5117 like to do something for the kids, and we wanna know can get you and your team, the 5118 police officers, to volunteer. So with that, they came up with 'Start the Cycle'. They 5119 purchased a few hundred bicycles to give out to the youth in our community of Riviera 5120 Beach, and they.., the date is gonna be July 10th. That's Friday coming.., not this Friday, 5121 the following Friday, they're gonna give them out starting at 7:30 in the morning, they're 5122 gonna give out the bicycles from 7:30 to 2:00 o'clock. We're gonna have the bicycles 5123 registered to each of the kids. They're gonna do preregistrations to receive the bicycles. 5124 In other words, we're gonna have it timed so that we're not getting everybody all together. 5125 We're gonna encourage them to have masks. Masks.., matter of fact, masks are gonna 5126 be mandatory. We might have a few walkups, so as we go through this event, we.., and 5127 if Aladia is on, I'll have her to talk about the Park & Recreation but the parents or the 5128 guardians, they would drive up in their cars and put their driver's license on the dash, 5129 we're gonna request them to stay in the car and we'll roll the bicycles out to the parents 5130 5131 and get them registered and they can get the bicycles. We're gonna have monitors

spaced throughout the, the ride along, and throughout the park for them. They're gonna give us different directions. The would be strategically throughout the whole City while they're doing the ride along.

If the weather.., if we have any inclement weather, we're gonna have a tent out so they can.., our youth can go under the tent. It's gonna be about a thirty by forty foot tent. And then maybe we can put the tent out anyway because it may just be hot. We're gonna encourage social distancing. Again, we're gonna have masks mandatory and we're gonna also talk to them, this is no horse playing. We don't g'.., want anybody to get hurt along the ride along. So that's gonna be on Ju'.., July 10th, to give out the bicycles. The following day is the ride long, Sat'.., Saturday, will be July 11th.

- Thank you. And, and again, this is all Abe Elam and Jacoby Brissett.
- 5143 **Councilperson Lanier:** Right. Madam Chair?
- 5144 Chairperson Botel: Yes.

5135

5136

5137

5138

5139

51405141

- Councilperson Lanier: I just wanted, I just wanted to ask, and I, and I'm sure, Chief, especially me knowing your work that, you know, this is gonna be a great event and you're
- gonna try and, and promote as much safety as possible, but wouldn't, wouldn't it be
- 5148 prudent, you know, given the high number of cases that we got, that people preregister
- and have people staggered to come and get the bicycles? Instead of having everybody
- 5150 come at one time. It'll kinda, kinda be a little chaotic but if you can have people to
- 5151 preregister and then have them staggered to come and pick up what they need to pick
- 5152 up. Because I, I really don't wanna have people just to come all the way up and then,
- you know, you have this whole crowd of people. And if you're giving away bicycles, you're
- 5154 gonna have a crowd regardless. So, if they preregister...
- 5155 [Inaudible comment]
- 5156 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...and you stagger the time, maybe it'll be better for the social
- 5157 distancing and not being able to... And especially your, with your Staff. We don't want
- 5158 to put them in a position where it's a bunch of people around them and they're trying to
- kinda keep the peace, you know, because you're giving away something free.
- Police Chief Osgood: Yes, ma'am. You're, you're a hundred percent right, Council
- woman. They, they.., all of our registered are gonna preregister and we're gonna give
- them a time. We're gonna.., so, we're gonna call it Block A, they're gonna be [audio
- skipped] registered come in at a certain time to get those bicycles. Then wait, and then
- we're gonna have another block to come in, [inaudible] of the registered... We're gonna
- give them a time. As a matter act, as of two days ago, we had a hundred and thirty kids
- 5166 registered for bicycles.
- 5167 **Unk.:** [Inaudible].
- 5168 **Police Chief Osgood:** So, registration's already going on.

- 5169 Councilperson Lanier: Okay good, good. I, I just, I just.., the only reason I'm
- 5170 concerned, anybody who is in.., on, on this.., who's listening and who's a part of social
- 5171 media, see people still hugged up, and see people still taking selfies and people still, you
- know, having these events and I'm just really, really worried about Riviera Beach in terms
- of, you know, social distancing and given the fact that our numbers are astronomical now,
- 5174 that we, we provide as much caution as we can to be able to ensure that we're putting
- our Staff and the people who are providing these bicycles with the less risk as possible.
- 5176 **Chairperson Botel:** Ms. Miller-Anderson.
- 5177 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** When the Public Safety Committee met on last
- 5178 week, we did have a discussion regarding that. That was a concern in terms of, you
- know, a large amount of people coming. And so, Chief Osgood and Chief Curd..,. and
- 5180 Chief Curd, I, I believe was going to kind of weigh in a little more on that because that
- was a concern of ours. We want to.., they wanna do it and we want them to do it but we
- don't want to be a part of the problem, you know, in terms of having a large gathering.
- And so, we talked about ha'.., and that's where the block came up. So, we're definitely
- 184 taking that in consideration regarding COVID because we don't want to, you know, say
- one thing and do another. So that certainly is something the Public Safety Committee
- 5186 discussed.
- 5187 Thank you.
- 5188 Councilperson Lanier: And, and, and Madam Chair, I just wanted to say too, I
- just wanted to add, and, and make this too, as Mr. Lawson's event, make this too an
- opportunity to educate and promote safe distancing and masks and make this the
- 5191 opportunity to do that as well.
- 5192 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Yeah.
- 5193 **Councilperson Lanier:** Thank you.
- 5194 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Dur'... [Stammer] Chief Curd said that he was
- going to be giving out kits and maybe he can just mention about what's in the kits, the,
- the COVID kits, will be a part of it as well?
- 5197 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you.
- 5198 **City Manager Evans:** Yes, Madam Chair. If, if Chief Curd can provide some insight
- with regards to Public Safety from a health perspective because he has been tracking it,
- the, the virus, since the inception of our activation. So he's gonna provide you a little bit
- of insight of what we're gonna do to ensure that this is safe.
- 5202 [Pause]
- 5203 **Interim Fire Chief Curd**: Can anyone hear me?
- 5204 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes. Now we can.

5205 Interim Fire Chief Curd: First and foremost, I, I want to express my concerns and, and Ms. Lanier, Ms. Miller-Anderson, you understand where my passions are about what's 5206 going on and [audio skipped] education and safety. This event has actually more... two 5207 5208 or three different times since its inception. Two to three weeks ago when we began to have these conversations, Abe says we're on the decline, it was a different world three 5209 5210 weeks ago than what it is today. So, we, we looked at the plan, and I'm very confident in 5211 Ms. Frank's and Chief Osgood's plan. We've actually built upon that plan, where we've 5212 actually been able to, excuse me, obtain Stop the Spread Kits that we're gonna be passing out. Those kits contain mask, that are adjustable in size [inaudible] and adults. 5213 5214 They also contain hand sanitizer, and they also have literature that kind of gives a little bit of educational material behind COVID and, and stopping the spread. 5215

Yes, Mr. Lawson, were you going to say something?

- 5217 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** No, no. I was just asking, Madam Chair, just to speak after you're done, Chief Curd. Go ahead.
- 5219 Interim Fire Chief Curd: Okay. So, we, we are definitely taking every action possible. I, I am, you know, wanting to make sure we stay on top of this. The last thing we wanna 5220 do is create a super spreader event. We gotta make sure that we have the personnel. 5221 Chief Osgood, I think, has a great team in place, where they're gonna be having those 5222 distribution times spread out over the day. Unfortunately, it'll be a little more labor 5223 intensive for his people to be out there all day, but I think it's the safe thing to do, and I 5224 think we can do this and do this safely. And we're, we're gonna continue to monitor this, 5225 right up to the day of the event to make sure that it goes off without a hitch for our, our 5226 children and our community. 5227
- 5228 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Mr. Lawson.
- 5229 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Madam...
- 5230 Chairperson Botel: Yes.

5216

5231 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Thank you, Madam Chair. And, colleagues, I just wanted to let you know that I've, I've been in communication with Ms. Franks and Chief Osgood in 5232 reference to the Grad Bash.., the Grad Night event that we're planning on hosting and the 5233 5234 only hesitation that we had for our seniors was that it wasn't able to be able to control 5235 where the seniors are going if we hosted it at the Rapids, social distancing and literally it 5236 would be a free for all. The, the idea that they put in place for this bike ride is completely... 5237 is, is scheduled, it's gonna be social distancing. It's a great program and plan. I think it's necessary because these kids are drastically and desperately being affected, so we have 5238 5239 to kind of give them something. So, allowing for Staff to kinda give them the, the.., give 5240 out the bikes with the organization of the Elam Foundation and Jacoby Brissett, I think is 5241 gonna be amazing for these kids. To see these athletes that have made it from this community, to see some of the City leaders out there giving out the bikes, and still kind 5242 5243 of getting an opportunity to get out of the house and ride a bike, I think it's gonna be a

- 5244 great program that I want us to support. So, I'll definitely be out there with my bike and
- 5245 helmet. No barb from Councilman McCoy.
- 5246 **Chairperson Botel:** That, that, that was...
- 5247 **Councilperson Lanier:** Madam Chair? [Chuckle].
- 5248 Chairperson Botel: I had one quick question. Chief, will..., I, I had a nice
- 5249 conversation with Mr. Elam and Mr. Brissett yesterday or today and I, I love this idea. I
- 5250 have a couple of questions. Will they be giving them helmets?
- 5251 **Interim Fire Chief Curd:** Yes, ma'am.
- 5252 **City Manager Evans:** Yes.
- 5253 **Interim Fire Chief Curd:** We, we have helmets to give out to all the riders.
- 5254 **Chairperson Botel:** Great. Okay. And, how.., where is the route going to be? Is
- 5255 it appropriate for seventy-two year old bike riders or is it gonna be like fifty-five miles, or
- is it gonna be around some corner? I mean, [stammer]...
- Interim Fire Chief Curd: We're gonna keep it in the community. We're gonna start off
- at Dan Calloway Park, we're gonna ride up 13th Street, I believe up Avenue R. We're
- 5259 gonna go across from 13th Street and we got.., we're working on rerouting our, our map
- so I don't wanna give a, a complete route but we're gonna cross Blue Heron and then
- ride through a couple [inaudible] over there, then back around to take it back over down
- 5262 Avenue.., up Avenue S and perhaps.., I think down Blue and up Avenue O, back down to
- 5263 13th..., correction, 13th maybe, and then back to Dan Calloway...
- 5264 **Chairperson Botel:** It's not terribly long.
- 5265 **City Manager Evans:** [Chuckle].,
- 5266 **Interim Fire Chief Curd:** It's not a long ride at all.
- 5267 **Chairperson Botel:** I think Mr. McCoy wants to ride an adult tricycle, will that be
- 5268 allowed?
- 5269 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** That sounds long to me.,
- 5270 [Chuckles]
- Interim Fire Chief Curd: And, and it's not a long ride. And what we're doing,, it's gonna
- be more of a slow ride and we're gonna space it out in heats.
- 5273 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Good. They're not all bunched together.
- 5274 **Interim Fire Chief Curd:** That's correct.

- 5275 Councilperson Lanier: Right.
- 5276 **Chairperson Botel:** I, I only want to say one...
- 5277 **Councilperson Lanier:** I just wanted to ask...
- 5278 **Chairperson Botel:** Go ahead, Ms. Lanier.
- 5279 **Councilperson Lanier:** I just wanted to ask... I just wanted to ask right quick, I wanted
- 5280 to.., 'cause, of course, my concern is the.., and Chief Curd knows, the idea of it being a
- super spreader type of event that we just cannot have, I just wanted to know how can we
- 5282 have people, you know, to commit to soc'.., social distancing.., social distancing.
- committing to wearing a mask? You know, some type of, I don't know how we can do it
- because now registration's already happened but maybe, you know, like, maybe our next
- event. During registration, that people, you know, check a box and say I commit to social
- 5286 distancing, I commit to wearing a mask, I commit to... And then now you can get a bag
- because you committed to all these beautiful things. Something like that.
- 5288 Interim Fire Chief Curd: And, and Councilwoman, you're a hundred percent right and
- 5289 we're, we're really stressing the importance of the CDC guidelines and the safety of
- 5290 COVID-19, and we will, we will be shouting, basically, put your mask on, wear your mask,
- stay your social distancing. And on our whole homage form that all the kids and the
- 5292 parents and guardians sign it, it talks about COVID-19, wear your mask, social distancing.
- 5293 And on our flyers, on the registration, it talks about all the, the, the things we need to know
- as far as staying safe with your.., keep your distance, wear your mask.
- 5295 **Councilperson Lanier:** [Stammer]... Yes.
- 5296 **Interim Fire Chief Curd:** Yeah. That's about [inaudible] the next days throughout this.
- 5297 **Councilperson Lanier:** I totally agree and I think that, from this point moving forward,
- with a bike run, with a, with a Bag that Mr.., [stammer], Councilman Lawson is doing, that
- 5299 it has a COVID-19 theme. You know, the bike run for COVID-19, the Grad Bag for
- 5300 COVID-19, so that we can promote public health in these spaces because people are
- 5301 going to come out. People are tired of being at home. They're gonna come out
- regardless, so this could be an opportunity for not only for us to connect with the
- 3302 regardless, so this could be an opportunity for not only for as to connect with the
- 5303 community but also for us to promote safe distancing, and, and wearing masks and
- 5304 COVID-19 procedures and, and, and policies to the CDC. Just wanted to say that
- 5305 part.
- 5306 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you.
- 5307 **Interim Fire Chief Curd:** Thank you.
- 5308 **Chairperson Botel:** I just want.., I just wanna say that Jacoby is a Singer Island
- 5309 resident. Just saying.
- 5310 [Chuckles]

- 5311 Chairperson Botel: There was one other really serious thing I wanted... Oh, I
- know. I think it's important because people are accusing some of us of, you know, how
- wrong I was to take a knee, how wrong... How could I? And I think it's really important
- that people understand that there's no.., that's there's.., there's no.., it's not a black and
- 5315 white issue. [Stammer] didn't meant to say it that way but , but you can si'.., you can..,
- well, on the one hand take a knee and still be in support of our police officers. They're
- 5317 not mutually exclusively. It's, it's okay to say that I support the Black Lives Matter
- 5318 movement, at the same time, I support our police. That's.., and I think this is an
- opportunity to say that.
- That's all I wanna say about that.
- 5321 **Councilperson Lanier:** And also, please, just add.., just quickly, just add for those
- people who are coming to both of these events, where they can get tested.
- 5323 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes. Okay. Anything else, Mr. Evans? Thank you again,
- 5324 Mr.., Chief Osgood. Thank you again, Chief Curd.
- 5325 **City Manager Evans:** I, I think the Mayor had.., the Mayor had something to say.
- 5326 **Chairperson Botel:** Oh, I'm sorry. Mayor.., Mayor Felder.
- 5327 Councilperson McCoy: Let me...
- 5328 **Chairperson Botel:** And then Mr. McCoy.
- 5329 **Mayor Felder:** I think Mr. McCoy was first.., Councilman McCoy.
- 5330 Chairperson Botel: Oh. Mr. McCoy.
- 5331 **Councilperson McCoy:** You know what? Mayor, you, like...
- 5332 **Chairperson Botel:** He's gonna yield. I know it, it's coming. He's gonna yield to
- 5333 you. Go ahead, Mr. Mayor.
- 5334 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Inaudible] for the rest of the night, if you tell me so.
- 5335 **Chairperson Botel:** [Chuckle]. Mayor Felder.
- 5336 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Inaudible] got to say, you go ahead first, Mayor.
- 5337 **Mayor Felder:** No., I just wanna give a shout out to Abe and Jacoby. And, one of
- 5338 the things I think we should, we should look at, you know, these guys have come through
- this community and made it, and just to support them.., so, I'm just thankful for all you
- 5340 guys, standing behind them and supporting their efforts. Because one thing I know,
- [inaudible] these guys when I first got elected is, they want to give back to our community.
- They don't wanna get too political, and I think as much as we can get behind them in their
- efforts, what they're doing and kinda highlight them in what they're doing for our

- community, whether it's our kids, our seniors, whatever. You know, [inaudible] really be
- partners. And one of their things was to really come out and invite the Council and the
- Board to show unity to our, to our kids. I think that's very important, that we, we, you
- know, we keep the highlight on them because it is their event and I think they invited us
- 5348 in, to be a part, to show with everything that's going on in this world, that we are a City
- that's unified. So, you know, shout out to you guys for, for supporting them but Abe and
- Jacoby, keep doing what you're doing, man. You are a highlight in our City.
- 5351 Thank you.
- 5352 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you, Mayor.
- 5353 **Police Chief Osgood:** Yeah. I wanna, I wanna echo that. And, Jacoby and Abe
- 5354 didn't even want their name on any of the advertising or flyers. They, they just..., they just
- said they wanna give.., buy some bicycles and give them out. And that's all they asked
- for. And they said we don't have to say it came from us. I..., and so, they were humble
- about it. They just wanna do this for the kids and youth and help bridge the, the
- community and the police together. And I appreciate it so much.
- 5359 **Chairperson Botel:** Mr. McCoy and then Ms. Miller-Anderson.
- 5360 **Councilperson McCoy:** Chief, if I'm not mistaken, I thought they were the ones that
- actually created the publication and the flyers, so their name is, in fact, on it.
- 5362 **Police Chief Osgood:** No, no.,
- 5363 Chairperson Botel: It is.
- 5364 **Police Chief Osgood:** I asked them, I asked them to put their names on it. I said,
- 5365 'Hey listen, you want the community to know this is coming from you.' So, it was a
- 5366 [inaudible] encouraging from my..., from, from me. Yeah. Their name is on the publication
- and flyers but it was me requesting that you put it on there. I said, 'This is your event.
- You should go ahead and advertise your, your name, put your...' And, and they very
- 5369 humble said, 'We don't need that. I'm not doing this for that. I just wanna do this for the
- 5370 kids.'
- 5371 Councilperson McCoy: Well, thank you, Chief. I'm a professional skeptic and I'm a
- father of a five year old. In fact, Members, he turned six on Friday and I can't even believe
- 5373 it. But I'm not so optimistic.
- 5374 **Councilperson Lanier:** Well, happy birthday.
- 5375 **Chairperson Botel:** Happy birthday to him.
- 5376 Councilperson McCoy: It's amazing...
- 5377 **Chairperson Botel:** My favorite McCoy.

5378 Councilperson McCoy: I'll tell him. But Chief, here's the.., a couple things that I didn't know 'cause I, I, I.., Members, I met with.., I kinda didn't meet, I, I really raided a meeting 5379 that I wasn't invited to on Monday, but it appeared that there have been some 5380 5381 developments since Monday. My first question is, I need more than you telling me that the ride is developing and there are some hazards that I've shared with the Mayor that I 5382 need to know exactly, before I can sit here in a, in a meeting and say that it's okay. 5383 5384 Because if I don't agree, I'm gonna tell you right now on the record, because I don't wanna 5385 be the person to say that I told you so. But in fact, in my heart, that's what truly I mean but I'm not gonna tell you. But I really need to know better than that, because I have 5386 some concerns. Because, first of all, if we're giving out bikes, right, I would much rather 5387 us give out bikes and be done with it. But the bike ride part of it, creates a whole other 5388 5389 issue, Chief. And, I, I know we got.., Chief Curd on that has committed a unit to this but 5390 I don't feel comfortable with giving people, or kids a bicycle for the first time and asking them to take a five mile bike ride. That's not gonna work. So, if we're gonna give them 5391 the bike, we should just give it to them. This shouldn't be connected with a bike ride. 5392 5393 That's the first thing.

The route is the second thing. So I would love to see [inaudible] comments first, and then I'll continue.

- 5396 **Police Chief Osgood:** I'm sorry. You said you wanna hear a comment first?
- 5397 **Councilperson McCoy:** I want you to respond to the, the route and...
- 5398 **Police Chief Osgood:** Okay.
- **Councilperson McCoy:** ...the provisions of the route, as to how long we're gonna put a kid on a bike to tell them to go for a ride.
- Police Chief Osgood: Alright. So, let's talk about the route that you said. The route
- was initially laid out and once you point out some concerns about the route being on, I
- think, 25th Street.., 25th Street. And, we sent Sergeant Fashaw back to the drawing board
- to come up with a new route, as far as once we come over to north of Blue Heron. So, to
- have a.., to give you a definitive route right now, I do not have it. As far as giving out the
- bicycles, again, this is Abe Elam and Jacoby Brissett, these are their bicycles, they bought
- them and they just say they wanna give out the bicycles. And, and they wanna ride along.
- And one of the questions came up, we didn't stop protestors from walking in any direction they wanted to, how can we stop bicyclists? That's what they ask. The protestors were
- being, some of them were not so friendly, not necessarily violent but not friendly. This is
- a friendly, peaceful ride. And so, they're saying, so what's the difference between a bike
- ride and walking?
- 5413 **Councilperson McCoy:** I'll tell you. We didn't, as a City, coordinator or co-sponsor a
- 5414 protest. We just respected individual's.., individual rights or collective rights to freedom
- of speech and assembly. But, you're not gonna put the City of Riviera Beach's name on
- any flyer, while I'm the Member of the Board, unless I feel comfortable that everybody on
- 5417 the body, and Administration, has approved. I'm, I'm looking to see, Ms. Wynn? She

went to the restroom but we'll wait 'til she gets back, but clearly, Members, and Chief, I'm the one they love to hate and welcome to City of Riviera Beach but, Chief, you're not gonna tell me you're gonna send kids on a bike that never rode before and send them from the north end, or from... Hold on, hold on, Mr. Evans. From, like, you gotta give me something better than that.

Additionally, I'm looking for the, the.., Ms. Wynn to give me something about mismanagement and making sure that we are covered from the standpoint of liability. So, Chief...

- Police Chief Osgood: I can talk a little bit about the liability. The liability is paid for by Abe Elam and Jacoby Brissett. They took out insurance for the bike ride and the giveaway of the bicycles. And again, this is their event. We're not doing anything as far as sponsoring it, we're not running it. We're helping facilitate it, we're gonna be out there for safety and for the fun of it. And I even asked how many police officers are willing to do this off duty. Every hand went up, including mine. I'll do it off duty if I have to.
- 5432 **Councilperson McCoy:** As will I, Chief. There is...
- 5433 Chairperson Botel: Well, Mr...
- 5434 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...[inaudible] that we have to address. So, I wanna allow Mr.
- 5435 Evans to answer and I got a few more questions.
- 5436 City Manager Evans: There, there's nothing that.., there's nothing that requires the 5437 kids to ride in the ride. If the... if the kid comes, the child comes and wants to collect the bike and go home, they are permitted to do that. If they want to participate in the ride, 5438 they are more than welcome to do that as well. But there's no caveat that says in order 5439 to get the bike, you have to participate in the ride. As it stands right now, we're planning 5440 5441 for five hundred but anyone that's had any conversation with Mr. Elam or Mr. Brissett will know that they're having a challenge in getting the additional bikes. So, it may have... 5442 you may see a situation where there's, you know, two hundred or two hundred and fifty, 5443 where I would say probably a small fraction of that group is going to end up riding 5444 because, invariably, there may be parents that say, you know, 'I prefer for them to ride at 5445 home, or, 'I don't want them to be riding their bicycles.' So, we are acutely aware of that. 5446 We are obviously having waivers executed to indemnify the City, as well as making sure 5447 that, you know, the event organizers have their own insurance. And I don't think there's 5448 5449 anything that precludes them from pulling up into a park and unloading those bikes without 5450 us being involved either.
- Councilperson McCoy: Oh really? Well, I've had.., the City of Riviera Beach literally tell people that they couldn't accumulate or assemble without a special event permit. Mr. Evans, I'm not even gonna ask you to answer that because, clearly, that would be contradictory to things that I know I've personally seen. But, I wanna talk to Ms. Wynn about indemnification. Ms. Wynn, have you reviewed any certificates of insurance relative to this? Because, on Monday, I did have a conversation with Mr. Evans that you would
- be the person [inaudible]. So, can you talk to us about indemnification?

- 5458 City Attorney Wynn: I have not reviewed any certificate of.., certificates of
- insurance as of yet.
- 5460 **Councilperson McCoy:** It must be...
- 5461 City Attorney Wynn: I...
- 5462 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...[inaudible].
- 5463 **City Attorney Wynn:** No. I understood that there was an issue and that they were
- making corrections, so I'm waiting on that. Currently, I do have the Release and Waiver
- of Liability, that I received today, that I'm reviewing. I'm about halfway through that.
- **Councilperson McCoy:** Okay. I wanna get, before this happens, an absolute budget 5466 5467 of staffing. Because what we gotta remember, Members, I actually [inaudible] a word that I didn't really know about until I looked it up and I, I kinda feel a little ashamed to just 5468 figuring this out, but one of the Managers noticed this..., came in the month of April, that 5469 5470 talked about the upcoming budget and I wanna see if we can have exactly what the budgeted staffing time, cost in terms of dollars. Because, Members, you better remember 5471 that we did not plan for COVID-19. We did not plan for the pandemic pay, or the pandemic 5472 5473 pay policy. And we are way above. So, we are literally about to next month, undertake a conversation called the budget process for the new fiscal year. And the Manger, if you 5474 5475 haven't noticed, I already indicated that this is gonna be a tight budget. And the definition 5476 that the Manager gave pretty much described that there's gonna be an increase in taxes, and a decrease in [stammer], in expenses, meaning wages and salaries. So, if you don't 5477 think it's serious, I want you guys to be the ones that tell people we can have fun now but 5478 5479 there's gonna be a handful of you that's not gonna have a job come October 1st. And I'm 5480 not comfortable having a, a organization where we can make these kinds of decisions in a vacuum without having legislative approval of time and time again where we're adding 5481 things to the budget, that didn't exist before and didn't have any kind of fiscal oversight. 5482 5483 But this kinda issues, is what drives this organization to being [inaudible], like, at least one in particular in South Florida that I've seen where it was actually moved into 5484 receivership by the Office of the Executive Governor. So, we can have fun now but it's... 5485 5486 there are consequences. And, and I literally gotta tell you, that this is something that we didn't plan for and we were specifically asked that we cancel our personal events and City 5487 5488 co-sponsored events. So, Mr. Osgood, did state that this was a Abe Elam and Jacoby 5489 Brissett event but if we're putting our people on the ground, it comes with a cost. And let's not find out after it's done. I think this is something that we need, as a Memb'.., as 5490 5491 Members because our only duty, if I can remind you, under the Constitution, is to pass a 5492 balanced budget. I know, you know, I, I don't get a lotta likes for this, and that's alright. But, generally, I would much rather have this tough conversation before than to see 5493 somebody filing for unemployment later. 5494
- 5495 **City Manager Evans:** Madam...
- 5496 Councilperson McCoy: So, I want...
- 5497 **City Manager Evans:** ... Chair, if I may?

- 5498 **Chairperson Botel:** Mr. Evans.
- 5499 **City Manager Evans:** I never put out an.., any correspondence that contemplated
- 5500 increase in taxes because...
- 5501 Councilperson McCoy: [Inaudible].
- 5502 **City Manager Evans:** ...that's not some'... That, that's not something that was a,
- 5503 a.., I said.., if I said anything, it was talking about what options would have to be available
- but not that it would be something that the Fiscal Year 2021 budget is predicated on
- because, personally, we are going through right now, budget exercises, that are looking
- to right size our spending to bring a budget that actually is lower than the previous budget
- 5507 that was adopted.
- But, nonetheless, the, the event and the activity, there is no additional cost associated with it because the monies for staffing have already been appropriated in the
- 5510 Fiscal Year 2020 budget. How the Chief is gonna accommodate it. is he's going to shift
- shifts around to be able to have the staffing complement to support the event. The
- 5512 protests that occurred in our community cost us \$33,000 every event. And we were able
- to do that with monies that are already budgeted in our Fiscal Year 202 budget.
- So, obviously, to do something that can conceivably bridge the gap and having...
- there's nothing that stops them, if they were to go to any particular parking lot and open
- 5516 up a U-Haul and give those bikes away. There's nothing. We're trying to assist in
- facilitating that and trying to accommodate doing it safely and responsibly, and doing it
- for a lot less than the situations when we had the protests in our community. So, we want it to be on the front end with the coordination efforts versus something that somebody
- goes and does an event and we find out after the fact.
- 5521 Councilperson McCoy: Okay.
- 5522 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Evans. So, do you need us, Mr.
- 5523 Evans, to make a motion to...
- 5524 Councilperson McCoy: [Inaudible].
- 5525 **Chairperson Botel:** ...is there... is there something...
- 5526 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Inaudible].
- 5527 **Chairperson Botel:** ...that we need to do...
- 5528 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...[inaudible] ignore me. That's fine.
- 5529 **Chairperson Botel:** I'm sorry, I'm sorry,
- 5530 Councilperson McCoy: [Inaudible].

5531	Chairperson Botel:	Mr. McCoy.
------	--------------------	------------

- 5532 **Councilperson McCoy:** That exactly what was [inaudible]...
- 5533 **Chairperson Botel:** I'm sorry, Mr. McCoy. I thought you had said everything you
- 5534 wanted to say.
- 5535 **Councilperson McCoy:** I'm not done.
- 5536 **Chairperson Botel:** Please go ahead. Go right ahead.
- 5537 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Inaudible] exactly what was sent in the April 27th memo. You
- 5538 don't like me, do you?
- 5539 Chairperson Botel: Who? Me?
- 5540 Councilperson McCoy: Yeah.
- 5541 **Chairperson Botel:** Or him?
- 5542 **Councilperson McCoy:** I wanna, I wanna, I wanna make...
- 5543 **Chairperson Botel:** [Chuckle]. Go right ahead. No. Mr. McCoy, you know that's
- 5544 not true.
- 5545 Councilperson McCoy: Because I, I tell you, all I can do is the right thing and if we
- don't even agree, I feel comfortable knowing that I shared it with you. Because I don't
- wanna be the one that has to tell those people... Hold on. I'm gonna get it right here. I
- got it up. Give me one second. And perhaps you guys can..., we, we may have to Google
- 5549 this.
- 5550 **Councilperson Lanier:** Let, let me ask this. We can do.., we can have a permit for an
- event in a park, right? [Pause]. I mean, I'm just asking...
- 5552 City Manager Evans: We...
- 5553 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...a question. We, we can, we can get a permit for a
- park..., for an event at a park. I mean...
- 5555 **Police Chief Osgood:** That's correct.
- 5556 **City Manager Evans:** And we allowed for the local businesses to expand their
- seating out into City rights-of-way that conceivably can have a larger crowd than the
- 5558 numbers that we're talking here. So, we...
- 5559 **Councilperson Lanier:** Right. So...
- 5560 **City Manager Evans:** ...did provide for that.

- 5561 **Councilperson Lanier:** Yeah. So, Mr. Elam can just get a permit for a park and pass
- them out. If he wanted to.
- 5563 **Chairperson Botel:** I, I still have a question for Mr. Evans. Mr. Evans, did you
- want us to make a... do we have to act as a body to approve this event or is this event
- gonna happen and, and we're supporting it individually? How, how would you..., how do
- we need to proceed from your point of view?
- 5567 **City Manager Evans:** [Stammer] for, for us, personally, that their.., it is their event.
- We're bringing the event to the, to the attention of the Board, and to see if there's any
- [stammer] heartburn or concerns related to the event, to get any direction or any insight
- as to how you would like for us to work with the event organizers to facilitate the event.
- 5571 But there is no legislative action that is required from the Board to move forward.
- 5572 Chairperson Botel: Okay. So...
- 5573 **Councilperson Lanier:** And, and, Madam Chair? The only thing...
- 5574 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 5575 Councilperson Lanier: ...that I suggested and wanted, is to make sure there's a
- 5576 Public Health component to it, to be able to educate people about COVID-19 and make
- that the theme for them providing bikes and for the bike ride.
- 5578 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 5579 **Councilperson McCoy:** So, are we making a City co-sponsored event because that
- seems a little different because the Chief said that it's not ours.
- 5581 **Chairperson Botel:** [Stammer] who's gonna answer that? Mr. Evans or Chief
- 5582 Osgood? Is it a...
- 5583 **Police Chief Osgood:** I, I can answer it.
- 5584 **Chairperson Botel:**co-s'... are we co-s'... Go ahead.
- 5585 **Police Chief Osgood:** No. I'm sorry. So, this is not a co-sponsored event. We're
- helping them out. If you wanna, I guess, [inaudible] with some magic words, yes, we are
- helping them out from a police standpoint. I'm honored to be able to say, 'I'm helping out
- kids. I'm helping out men who wants to help out kids. And, if they wanna put my name
- on that to say the police is helping out the kids,' by all means, do it. I.., they're bridging
- 5590 a, a a, a huge gap. Instead of protesting and causing a problem, they're bringing kids
- together to say, 'Hey, Mr. Police Officer,...' Again, the biggest role model in these men'
- lives, as coming up, were police officers. And they named names, starting with Dan
- 5593 Calloway, which is why they want to start at that park. But if we're telling them that they
- cannot start at that park, we're gonna say kids and Mr. Abe Elam and Jacoby, again, take
- a parking lot somewhere and give out your bicycles. I've been given different...

- 5596 Councilperson Lanier: [Stammer].
- 5597 Police Chief Osgood: But it's not...
- 5598 **Councilperson Lanier:** You know what?
- 5599 Police Chief Osgood: ...gonna stop... It's not gonna stop me or some of the officers
- 5600 on our time off to go out and ride along with them.
- 5601 **Councilperson Lanier:** Well...
- 5602 City Manager Evans: [Stammer]...
- ...the thing about is that... Let me ask you this. Let me say 5603 Councilperson Lanier:
- 5604 this right quick, Mr. Evans. The thing about it is that I don't think that protesting is a
- problem. That's the first thing. Second of all, if we're setting up tents and having City 5605
- 5606 Staff involved, it is a City sponsored event, which I have no problem with. I mean, call it
- 5607 what it is, and it's a, it is a City sponsored event. A co-sponsored event. Okay?
- 5608 City Manager Evans: Well, we.., we've actually.., and originally when it was
- 5609 discussed, there was the opportunity for tents and, and people congregating and we have
- stripped those elements out of it to ensure that it is the bike distribution and it is a bike 5610
- 5611 ride.
- 5612 The, the other side of it is that this event has an opportunity..., the, the Colts are
- actually sending their film production team and there is local press that is following this. 5613
- 5614 So this gives an opportunity for us to create a different narrative in our community. And
- so, you can't put a value on having the conversation that needs to occur between law 5615
- 5616 enforcement in the community and effectively bridging that gap. Nobody's requiring Little
- Johnnie to have to ride the bike. If he wants to go home with mom, he goes home with 5617
- mom and dad, and that's it. If the kids wants to participate with a bike, you know, and, 5618
- and ride... This is also Chief Osgood, and he didn't say this, this is his introduction to 5619
- 5620 community policing and showing the community the new bikes that they have acquired
- 5621 that will be in the community. So, this is something that is a showcase of law enforcement
- out and about in the community. So, it's a lot more to it than just a bike ride, it's a 5622
- 5623 symbolism of, 'Hey, we're going to have these kids in the middle while they're surrounded
- by influential male figures and female figures around them to say this community will 5624
- 5625 always protect you and look out for you. And establish that relationship. And so, I think
- 5626 it is a good thing for us to move forward.
- 5627 Mr. Mayor.
- 5628 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you, Mr. Evans. Mr. Mayor.
- 5629 With all due respect, man, I mean... Let's not make it where these Mayor Felder:
- guys don't wanna do anything in their community. 5630
- 5631 **Chairperson Botel:** I know.

5632 Mayor Felder: You know, I, I think, I think to spend that much time on some, on 5633 some guys that can go anywhere and spend their money, wanna come back home, spend their money at home and we have to go through this. You know, it makes me not.., it 5634 5635 would make me not even wanna do nothing in my City. And this is what these guys have been facing for years. Yeah, we're, we're.., man, we're grownups, man. It don't take us 5636 this long to know what's going on. And to put them in the mix like this because they 5637 5638 wanna come home and do something for our kids, everything that we're going through, I 5639 think is ridiculous. I think this whole thing's ridiculous right now 'cause these guys are, are... they're watching us, they're products of this community that wants to come back 5640 5641 and give back, when they can go anywhere else and people will, will receive them. Why are we doing this? Why are we making them go through this process because they wanna 5642 5643 come do something with our kids, which they gonna have volunteers out there watching 5644 these kids, and we're making a big issue. This is why people are upset with us, ya'll. This is why our constituents are upset with us. It doesn't matter, you know, if we wanna get 5645 on here act like we got everything right and we... Man, [stammer].., peo'.., people.., most 5646 5647 of the people right now are going to bed because I'm getting..., I'm gonna get emails and texts all day tomorrow about, you know, how wrong we went. And, and we think we're 5648 5649 doing something. People are being upset with us right now. If we can't make a simple 5650 decision about a group of men that wanna come, buy our kids some bicycles and ride off.

- I know, Tradrick, I'm with you, man, in the budget and what we have to do.
- 5652 **Councilperson McCoy:** It's Councilman McCoy. I never refer to you...
- 5653 **Mayor Felder:** [Inaudible].
- 5654 **Councilperson McCoy:** I, I would expect you to extend the courtesy.
- 5655 **Mayor Felder:** [Stammer], wait. Let me talk, man, 'cause I, I'm the least that talk on
- 5656 this Board. So respect that, man.
- 5657 Councilperson McCoy: [Inaudible].
- 5658 **Mayor Felder:** Hey, I'm the least talker on this... I never talk when you're talking,
- man. I never [inaudible] respect when you talk.
- 5660 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Inaudible] absolute disrespectful.
- 5661 **Mayor Felder:** You call my name, you call my name, I don't say nothing.
- 5662 **Councilperson McCoy:** I always referred to you as Mayor.
- 5663 Chairperson Botel: Councilman...
- 5664 **Mayor Felder:** Call my name, I don't say nothing. I'm not, I'm not going to go
- through that 'cause that's not even me. I'm here to say, let's move forward, man. Do
- what you all are gonna do so we can go... Let these men go to bed that's here watching

- to see what kinda moves you're gonna make. Let's move forward, man. Enough of this
- 5668 childish stuff.
- 5669 **Chairperson Botel:** Mr. Evans.
- 5670 Councilperson McCoy: Childish.
- 5671 **Mayor Felder:** And then let's go. [Inaudible].
- 5672 Councilperson McCoy: I'm not...
- 5673 **Mayor Felder:** [Inaudible] time for him to be on his computer trying to find something
- out. We're here discussing are we gonna let them do the bicycle ride [inaudible]. Why
- are we being held hostage?
- 5676 **Chairperson Botel:** Mr. Evans...
- 5677 **Mayor Felder:** Make a decision, man, and let's go.
- 5678 **Councilperson Lanier:** Madam Chair, can I say one thing, please?
- 5679 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 5680 **Councilperson Lanier:** Let me say one, one thing to Mr., Mr., Councilman McCoy's
- defense. All he's asking, I think, correct me if I'm wrong, Mr... Councilman McCoy, all
- he's asking is to say, listen, if it's a City sponsored event, then say so. I mean, if the City's
- gonna sponsor..., co-sponsor this event, then fine.
- 5684 **Mayor Felder:** [Inaudible]. He was in a meeting with these guys Monday. These
- guys have been reaching out.., these guys have been calling individuals. Man, if you had
- those questions, get with them, man. I mean, these guys have been reaching out to each
- and every one of you guys. He announced he went to the meeting Monday, so why we
- he have to spend thirty more minutes or forty minutes on this?
- 5689 Councilperson McCoy: Okay.
- 5690 **Mayor Felder:** Come on, man.
- 5691 **Councilperson McCoy:** Well here's why, Here's why, Mayor. And, and let me
- do this 'cause I wanna make sure I respond to you and I need to lock in this camera good.
- 5693 **Mayor Felder:** Man, you don't gotta lock in the camera. I'm not even going there
- with you, man.
- 5695 [Chuckles]
- 5696 [Inaudible exchange]

- 5697 **Mayor Felder:** [Stammer].., we ain't gotta lock in the cameras, let's sit down and
- 5698 talk, man.
- 5699 **Councilperson McCoy:** Okay. Well, you can call me Tradrick in person but extend me
- 5700 the courtesy 'cause I've always referred to you as Mayor.
- 5701 **Mayor Felder:** Councilman McCoy, forgive me for that. But, you can sit down. You
- don't have to lock in the camera, man. We're not even going there.
- 5703 **Councilperson McCoy:** You got some water. You need water.
- 5704 [Inaudible exchange]
- 5705 **Councilperson McCoy:** Let me tell you something. My absolute job... And you don't
- 5706 vote. Let's say that. You don't vote.
- 5707 **Mayor Felder:** It ain't about [sic] vote right now, man. It's about doing what's right,
- 5708 man.
- 5709 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Inaudible] the budget.
- 5710 **Chairperson Botel:** I would like.., given the hour, Mr. McCoy, I would like to...
- 5711 **Councilperson McCoy:** Dr. Botel, you can sign off. But I've just been personally
- insulted and I would love the opportunity to respond. So, do me a favor and you've
- already passed the gavel, I wouldn't mind if you do it again tonight, but I'm gonna respond
- 5714 to the Mayor. And he can log off too if he don't like it. But, let me tell you something,
- don't ever question the reasons and why I do what I do. I have a professional background.
- I went to school and I got an education, just as much as you would like to think that these
- 5717 people are triculated and they accomplished whatever, allow me to have my piece. Right?
- If you don't agree, say that, but don't sit here and try to personally suggest that I'm doing
- 5719 something wrong. I have a constituency that I represent. My personal beliefs, I kinda
- lend to the more of a conservative side of spending government dollars. And especially
- in height of COVID.
- Sir, did you not get the notice that Mayor.., I mean, that the Manager extended to
- us to cancel all City sponsored and co-sponsored events? And I'm being denitiated.., I'm being brought with something that's initiating the City back into a co-sponsored event.
- 5725 And even Councilwoman Lanier would agree. Like, can we clarify? If they actually..,
- 5726 clearly... Mayor, you cannot rent a City facility right now. Right? So, do you expect me
- 5727 not to ask these questions? Do you expect me not to make sure that our Risk
- 5728 Management, and that we take care of what we need to? Because, let me tell you
- 5729 something, sir, there are...
- 5730 [Inaudible comment]
- 5731 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...lawsuits that come through the door every single day of the
- 5732 City of Riviera Beach. And when people are gone, you know who the main person is

- 5733 gonna be? The City of Riviera Beach. So, even if you don't agree, extend me the
- opportunity... Yeah, I met on Monday but it was only after they said I already got three 5734
- quarters of the way planned, and I inserted what I told them. And if the Chief and Mr. 5735
- 5736 Evans and.., both Chiefs can tell you, I said I'm not convinced. I would love to tell you..,
- I would love to hear something that's gonna convince me. 5737
- 5738 And now, when I get back to a meeting for the first time, now we had a deviation
- 5739 in plans, we had a deviation in operations, we've now reduced the number of vendors
- that we have. Mayor Felder, you don't vote. So please tell me, do you expect for us... 5740
- 5741 Mayor Felder: I don't care if I don't vote, I got a voice. What you mean?
- 5742 Councilperson McCoy: My [inaudible].
- 5743 Mayor Felder: [Inaudible] vote, I got a voice. What are you talking about, man.
- 5744 **Councilperson McCoy:** Perhaps you don't have rationalize...
- Mayor Felder: I know I don't vote. [Inaudible] I don't have a voice and I can't voice 5745
- 5746 my concern.
- 5747 **Councilperson McCoy:** Sound like you're upset. But you expect...
- 5748 Mayor Felder: Don't worry about..., don't worry about if I'm upset or not, man.
- 5749 **Councilperson McCoy:** You want some water?
- **Chairperson Botel:** 5750 I am going to intercede, Mr. McCoy.
- 5751 Councilperson McCoy: No, you're not. I still got the floor and I'm not gonna let you
- 5752 even allow this guy to cut me off. Mayor, you don't vote. So what I'm saving to you is
- simply this, it's our absolute obligation as Members of this Board to pass a budget. The 5753
- 5754 risk and who decides on what lawsuits are settled rests with the Mem'... rests with the
- Members. And, and I'm glad that you're in this conversation because I think all of 5755
- my Members, on this body, that'll share with me, know, it's never personal. We debate 5756
- 5757 the issues and we move on.
- But, sir, you seem to be bothered by this, I apologize. I sincerely apologize but it's 5758
- 5759 not personal. I believe I'm doing what I'm, I'm actually elected to do and I've only spent
- nine years in government. So if you really believe that, then state your position but don't 5760
- make it personal about me. And that's exactly what you did, sir. 5761
- 5762 Mayor Felder: I'm never personal.
- 5763 **Councilperson McCoy:** You started off....
- 5764 [Inaudible exchange]
- 5765 **Chairperson Botel:** We are, we are not having a productive... I understand.

- 5766 **Councilperson Lanier:** Madam, Madam Chair? I'd like to offer a motion, please.
- 5767 **Chairperson Botel:** Yes. Go ahead. I'd appreciate it.
- 5768 **Councilperson Lanier:** I'd like to make a motion that this is a.., that this.., that the
- 5769 Council agrees that this is a City sponsored, co-sponsored event, and that all the things
- 5770 that go with that, are explained to the Council.., given to the Council so that we understand
- what's on the table here and that we can move forward with this event, where we have
- 5772 people from the outside and people who are from this City, are able to provide bicycles
- and provide an activity that Chief Curd and the Chief of Police have assured us that will
- 5774 consider a Public Health [stammer] component, and that it will be something that the City
- 5775 can sponsor knowing that these things are in place. Because, if we did go out and just
- said give them a permit to do a park, all of this stuff would not be in place.
- 5777 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you, Ms. Lanier.
- 5778 **Councilperson Lanier:** So, that's, that's my motion.
- 5779 **Chairperson Botel:** Does anyone care to second? Would anyone care to second?
- 5780 [Pause]. I will pass... Would anyone care to second? [Pause]. I'll pass the gavel. And
- again this evening. Three times and you're out.
- 5782 **Councilperson McCoy:** [Inaudible] City sponsored event.
- 5783 **Councilperson Lanier:** Is it a City co-sponsored event. That's what I asked.
- 5784 **Chairperson Botel:** Co-sponsored event.
- 5785 **Councilperson McCoy:** But, but Members, hold, hold on one second. Chair and
- 5786 Councilwoman Lanier, all I wanted to simply do was acknowledge. If you go to the
- 5787 website rivierabeach.org/covid19, it clearly says all City sponsored and co-sponsored
- events are hereby cancelled. And that was done by Executive Order of the City Manager.
- All I'm simply saying is, we need to figure out what we are doing, where the liability exists,
- 5790 right now at this point.
- I was looking at the [stammer].., the April 27th meeting where the Manger
- referenced austerity. And I would invite any of you Members to look up, and google what
- that word means. We reduce our expenses and we increase our taxes.
- 5794 [Background TV]
- 5795 **Councilperson Lanier:** So, so, Mr. McCoy tell me this...
- 5796 [Inaudible exchange]
- 5797 **Councilperson Lanier:** Tell me this, let me ask a question. So, Mr. McCoy, what do
- you, I mean, give me your position. I do, I hear it.

- 5799 Councilperson McCoy: All I'm saying is I don't agree with where we are, that we haven't gotten much as.., as far as what the route looks like, we haven't gotten as much 5800 as what the City's input looks like. Literally, I did hear on Monday that we had twenty 5801 5802 officers but clearly, there has been a number of material changes since I left that meeting on Monday, but perhaps the Mayor don't think that we should be brought to the table on 5803 this. And I'm saying, 'Come on, dude. I got a five year old. I wouldn't.., well, he'll be six, 5804 5805 when this goes around, 'that I didn't even want.., wouldn't wanna bring him there.' So, at the very least... And let me tell you something, Members, let me stop here. Chief, give 5806 me one second. Members, if you think I'm kidding, when I went to that meeting on 5807 5808 Monday, you know that it was stated, we're gonna make an announcement, you know what I told them? You need to make a presentation because we owe the people in our 5809 community that much. So if you don't think it's something that I need to say something 5810 5811 about, Mayor, I'm sorry. I'm only being Tradrick McCoy in, in the way you refer to me and 5812 that's all I know. And I defer back and I yield. In fact...
- Councilperson Lanier: And Madam, Madam Chair, I did make a motion. And Mr. McCoy, I, I hear exactly what you're saying but it's the same type of event that Mr. McCoy is sponsoring in July. So, but I do, but I do hear you though. I hear you saying that if the
- is sponsoring in July. So, but I do, but I do hear you though. I hear you saying that if the City is saying that they're not gonna co-sponsor or sponsor any events, then what are we
- doing? I hear this., I really do. But that's the purpose of us having a vote for it.
- 5818 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you.
- 5819 Councilperson Lanier: But I do...
- 5820 **Chairperson Botel:** [Inaudible]...
- 5821 Councilperson Lanier: ...understand and I'm gonna amend my motion that we do
- move forward with this but for them to bring back to us before this event, all this stuff that
- involves the City's involvement with it. I, I am going to say that. 'Cause I do...
- 5824 **Chairperson Botel:** Well, I'm not... Okay. I'm not sure that if the event is on July
- 5825 10th, we have another opportunity to have them come back to us. Do we, Mr. Evans?
- 5826 **City Manager Evans:** We, we have a long meeting on July 8th but we can
- 5827 put something in writing and get it to the Board.
- 5828 **Chairperson Botel:** And if no one else.., if no one else is interested in seconding
- 5829 Councilwoman Lanier's motion, I will pass the gavel and proudly second it myself. Chair
- 5830 Pro Tem Lawson, you take the gavel, please.
- 5831 Councilperson McCoy: Well, I, I wanna ask...
- 5832 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you.
- 5833 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...[inaudible].
- 5834 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** I'm sorry, what was... What was the motion? [Inaudible].

- 5835 **Chairperson Botel:** The motion is to... The motion...
- 5836 **Councilperson Lanier:** The motion was that we were going to move forward with this
- event as the City co-sponsoring the event, 'cause that's basically what it is. And, that the
- 5838 City.., City Administration, the Chief, Police and Fire, bring back to us on the 8th meeting,
- 5839 the logistics of this.
- 5840 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you.
- 5841 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: But, do we... And I guess my question before... I'm sorry,
- Madam Chair, but my question before I second that is, do we know if the Elam Foundation
- or if Jacoby Brissett was this as a co-sponsored event? Because, I know initially, their,
- their mission was just to give out bikes. They, they wanted to just kind of...
- 5845 **Chairperson Botel:** Right.
- 5846 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** ... support the community and to give bikes out. I know they
- didn't want the, the, the fanfare behind it and I know we, as a Council, we have a fiduciary
- responsibility to our taxpayers and we wanna make sure that we protect the community,
- but, I mean, I, I think as a few of us have stated, I, I just wanna be cautious with how we
- 5850 move forward because I don't know if they even have an interest of making it a co-
- sponsored event or if they just wanna give the bikes out. Because...
- 5852 **Councilperson Lanier:** [Stammer]...
- 5853 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** essentially, they could just...
- 5854 Councilperson Lanier: I, I think, Mr. Lawson, I didn't mean to cut you off, sir, but I
- think Councilman Lawson, we can find that out from them if that's..., if that's the case. We
- just..., we just really wanna do, is we want to move forward with this group because they
- are coming to our City, they are trying to bring something here. We wanna be clear about
- what it is that our role is with this. And I don't have a problem with the City co-sponsoring
- this, given..., [stammer], given the fact that there is something on the books that says that
- the City is not going to do this kind of stuff. But I think that this is a special exception.
- 5861 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Okay.
- 5862 **Councilperson Lanier:** And that because they're...
- 5863 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: And I...
- 5864 Councilperson Lanier: Yes.
- 5865 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** I can understand.., I can understand the motion coming to the
- table because there was a memo saying that we weren't gonna be supporting any events.
- So, that's why I can understand that we could proceed with voting on this event. So I will
- 5868 second that, Councilwoman Lanier.

- 5869 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Madam Clerk.
- 5870 Councilperson McCov: Okay. I don't... we're not calling the vote because I literally
- asked you a question. And it seems like... 5871
- 5872 **Chairperson Botel:** I'm sorry. I didn't hear it.
- 5873 **Councilperson McCoy:** So, so what does this establish? Literally, because here's
- 5874 why, Members, the Manager has the sole authority with exercising executive orders, so
- 5875 does he have the authority with approving special events. But, I'm, I'm simply asking a
- question. I'm ask'.., I'm not asking you to circumvent me questioning because the 5876
- 5877 Manager has that authority anyways. Just extend me the opportunity to just ask those questions, what are we doing? Because, quite honestly, we don't need a vote to do this. 5878
- Again, it's the Manager's authority. But I just wanted you to begin to think that this is 5879
- 5880 something that literally we found out after the wheels were already rolling. And I had a
- number of concerns, and clearly, if we don't insert it, at what point would we have that. 5881
- 5882 as a governing body..., governing, meaning, this is our role, have had that guestion or had
- 5883 those answers. So, I mean, we don't even need to vote 'cause I'm not gonna debate you
- 5884 on this issue.
- 5885 I think it's a good idea but I was really merely asking for two reasons, safety and
- the budget standpoint. 5886
- 5887 Then, why did we vote of Doug's event then if that's the case? Councilperson Lanier:
- I, I just wanna be sure... 5888
- 5889 Councilperson McCoy: [Stammer]...
- 5890 Councilperson Lanier: ...I just wanna be clear, Mr., Mr. Councilman McCoy.
- 5891 Councilperson McCoy: We voted...
- 5892 Councilperson Lanier: I just wanna be clear that the City is...
- 5893 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: We...
- 5894 ...that we.., if, if the City is co-sponsoring this, Councilman Councilperson Lanier:
- McCoy, then that means that they have, they have the.., they have to come back and tell 5895
- 5896 us the logistics.
- 5897 Councilperson McCoy: No.
- 5898 If that per', if that, if that group is doing this on their own, they Councilperson Lanier:
- 5899 just go and get a regular permit from the City and go into a park and do it, they can do
- 5900 that. But I would want to have oversight. The City's oversight, with this event, just in
- 5901 case.

- 5902 **Councilperson McCoy:** The Chief was very clear that this was Abe Elam's and Jacoby
- 5903 Brissett's event. Did I not hear...
- [Inaudible comment]
- 5905 **Councilperson McCoy:** In fact, I actually typed this on my computer.
- 5906 Chairperson Botel: Mr. McCoy...
- 5907 **Police Chief Osgood:** Yes...
- 5908 **Chairperson Botel:** ...the Chief is looking to respond. Chief Osgood.
- Police Chief Osgood: Yes. Councilman McCoy, I, I see your passion and I understand what you.., where you're going with this and I completely understand. In a conversation I've had with Abe and Jacoby over the past few days, and we talk quite often through text message or a phone conversation, this is Abe Elam's and Jacoby's event. This is not the City of Riviera Beach or the Police Department co-sponsored event with
- 5914 them.

5916

5917

5918

5919

5920

5921

59225923

5924

5925

5926

5927 5928

5929

5930 5931

5932

5933

5934

5935

5936

5937

5938

5939

5940

5941

Now, it'd be wrong for us, just like with the protestors, if you notify us that you're doing something, we don't get involved. So, we have to get involved and, and come in and assist in every way we could, legally. So, so we, when we.., when I got involved with, with Abe and Elam.., Abe and Jacoby, again, I'm looking at, first and foremost, the whole idea was just, I wanna do something for the youth. And, I heard, I heard your remark earlier, Councilman McCoy, where you said you don't believe that, as far as they didn't want our.., their names on this, they didn't. Abe Elam and Jacoby said we're not trynna push this out as a fanfare. Jacoby even took it a step further and says, 'Listen, man. Just give them the bikes and I'll just go back home. And I'll go back and, and start camp.' And that's it. He said I just wanna help the kids out, do something for the kids. He said, I just thought it was a good idea, because my biggest role model, when I was a youth, was police officers, right here in Riviera Beach. And, he was just honored to meet me and I was honored to meet him, Abe and Jacoby, and to do something with the kids. I'm gonna be clear, this is Jacoby's and Abe's event. This is not my event and [stammer] we're just helping them facilitate it. And they reached out.., the reason why they reached out, they reached out to every Council Member. I said, 'Hey, make sure you call them, reach out to every Council Member.' They told me they communicated with everybody, [inaudible] tried to.

And one other thing, Mr. McCoy, Council Member, the, the other thing with the... I'm not sure what, what has really come forward that says we talked on Monday because we had to scratch that bike route because you said you didn't like the route going through one of the streets. Sir, that was your doing. So, I went back to the Sergeant and said, 'Find a new route.' I said, 'Council Member McCoy makes a valid point, they are doing construction in that area.' He said, 'Yes.' So as far as not having a plan, he blew it up. Now, I don't know if he has a new plan for me. I haven't met with that Sergeant. Now, we also put together.., we said, let's put everything out together. We've est'.., we've guessitmated or estimated how many patrons should.., might be coming [inaudible]. We

5942 even went.., even went above what already registered. We even took in account that we might have some walkups and people may say, 'Hey, what's going on over there? Let's 5943 go over.' So, we took that into account. And so immediately, I went to my Staff and said, 5944 5945 hey, I need, just like we do our parades for graduation and stuff, we move cars around, we move units around. I need to have Staff to come in and work this event where we're 5946 5947 not paying overtime. I need to move people around. If we pay for anything, we might be 5948 paying for the tent, which I also volunteered to pay for. Because I said, 'I don't wanna put 5949 any money out of my budget.' So, what we're doing here, I can't see how we can call this a co-sponsored event without asking the original sponsors. They don't want us involved 5950 other than the fact that they, they just wanna say, 'Hey, we're giving out bicycles to kids.' 5951

And, as simple as it sounds, we almost made it sound not too simple.

5953 **Chairperson Botel:** Well, we.., what you're.., what I'm hearing is that you believe 5954 the original sponsors of this event, Abe and Jacoby, would prefer that we not have it be 5955 a co-sponsored event. Unfortunately, it seems to me that, given the objections of 5956 Councilman McCoy, we might want to show our support by voting to support it. Perhaps, 5957 we can have Ms. Lanier modify the, the motion to say that we, we want to stay our support 5958 for it. I, I don't know. I mean, I'm gonna...

Councilperson Lanier: Well, I mean... Let, let me say this. I don't.., Let me, let me say this first of all. I, I don't think that Councilman McCoy is objecting to this. I really don't. I think that what he's saying is that we are putting in a lotta of work into this, tents, police work, we're trying to, you know, provide information about COVID-19. We really wanna make it a special event. We're just saying that, if the City is going to co-sponsor it, then we need to know the exact logistics of what's gonna happen with it because we are co-sponsors. Now, if they don't want us to co-sponsor it, then that may be so., But I don't think they're gonna have, have a problem with that. I mean, we got police officers. I mean, like Mr. McCoy said, we got twenty police officers who may be on deck to be able to do this, they said they would do it.., they would do it regardless if they were on, on shift or not.

So, it is a part of the City. Why not? I mean, they, they're from the City so why not it be a part of the City? We have no problem with that. I think his only issue was the fact that they're trying to look and see.., he, he's trying to trying to make sure that we have all the logistics in terms of how this is going to play out.

5974 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay.

5959

5960

5961 5962

5963

5964 5965

5966

5967 5968

5969

5975 **Councilperson Lanier:** That's it.

5976 **Chairperson Botel:** Mayor Felder.

5977 **Mayor Felder:** They, they don't, they don't want to be a part.., as far as a partnership

5978 with the City. Alright? That's the bottom line.

5979 **Councilperson Lanier:** Then, then, then, Mayor...

5980 **Mayor Felder:** [Inaudible]...

- **Councilperson Lanier:** ...then that will mean...
- **Mayor Felder:** [Inaudible]...
- **Councilperson Lanier:** ...that the.., then that will mean that the co-sponsor would
- 5984 have to get a permit and just put it out by themselves.
- **Mayor Felder:** You know what? The bottom line, they can go at the Imperial Plaza
- 5986 on Blue Heron...
- 5987 Councilperson Lanier: Yeah.
- **Mayor Felder:** ...[inaudible] because this is what they didn't want, right? Where it
- 5989 became political or it became a fight, where they just want to give out some bicycles. I
- 5990 understand that.
- **Councilperson Lanier:** No. I don't think so, Mayor. I, I don't think it was political. I
- think that the questions were asked...
- **Mayor Felder:** [Inaudible].
- **Councilperson Lanier:** ...was this, if the police and the City and the Fire is going to
- be involved, then okay, the people who.., because if it wasn't, if it wasn't...
- **Mayor Felder:** But that was a City...
- **Councilperson Lanier:** ...that, if, if it was...
- **Mayor Felder:** [Inaudible].
- **Councilperson Lanier:** ...just... If it was just the Foundations said, were gonna give
- this out, guess what, they should've never came to us then.
- **Mayor Felder:** They, they...
- **Councilperson Lanier:** If it was just about them.
- **Mayor Felder:** ...really [inaudible].
- **Councilperson Lanier:** They should've never came to us.
- **Mayor Felder:** Okay. You're right. And maybe next time they won't.
- **Councilperson Lanier:** Yeah. I mean, you know. [Stammer], I'm not saying that to
- 6007 say... all I'm saying is that I don't think that this organization, or these brothers would have
- a problem with the City co-sponsoring this event, to be able to make it go off without a
- 6009 hitch. I just don't think so...
- **Mayor Felder:** Have you talked with them?

- 6011 Councilperson Lanier: I'm just asking.
- 6012 **Mayor Felder:** Because they, they clearly told me they don't want it. Have you
- talked..., have you talked with them?
- 6014 **Councilperson Lanier:** No, I have not talked with them.
- 6015 **Mayor Felder:** [Inaudible] they was clear on it.
- 6016 Chairperson Botel: I, I...
- 6017 **Councilperson Lanier:** No. Because we're gonna have the Police Department there,
- the Fire Department there, they're gonna have.., the City's gonna put up tents. How is it
- that we're not involved?
- 6020 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Council'...
- 6021 **Chairperson Botel:** Ms. Miller-Anderson.
- 6022 Chair Pro Tem Lawson: If I could respond...
- 6023 **Chairperson Botel:** Ms. Miller-Anderson hasn't said a word in about half an hour...
- 6024 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I haven't...
- 6025 **Chairperson Botel:** ...an hour.
- 6026 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Yeah.
- 6027 **Chairperson Botel:** I think it's about time....
- 6028 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** But, let me say... No. I think that Mr. Lawson
- 6029 did have his hand up some time ago. Did you still...
- 6030 Chairperson Botel: I'm sorry.
- 6031 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: 'Cause if that's the case, I'll, I'll let him go first
- and then I'll just...
- 6033 Chairperson Botel: I'm sorry. Sometimes...
- 6034 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...[inaudible].
- 6035 **Chairperson Botel:** it's hard to... Go ahead, Mr. Lawson.
- 6036 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Thank you, Madam Chair. I, I believe these gentlemen
- wanted to do something for our community and we were just trying to change the narrative
- of what our City has been in the years. Councilman McCoy just addressed policy
- 6039 concerns, legislation concerns. He is a elected official that's responsible and has a

fiduciary responsibility. So, I.., even with all the, the disagreements that we've had on this Council, I've never disagreed with what the intent of what Councilman McCoy has come before the Board. Right now, these gentlemen just wanna give out some bikes. I think we need to simplify it, and we're making it a little bit more difficult. Because, I've seen Parks & Rec, and I've seen our police force do certain events without coming before the Board. So, I'm not sure why this has even come before the Board and I just kinda wanna understand. I'm assuming they just want the blessing, or it's because of the memo that went out that we weren't gonna be doing public events but essentially, I wanna just see this event go off without a hitch because these are gentlemen that grew up in this community, that came from this community that wanna give back, the same way that we're all giving back,. The same way that Councilman McCoy grew up in this community, he's serving his community. He's serving the way that he knows how to, so I'm not gonna discredit anything that Councilman McCoy has said and, and, and Mayor Felder has said because they are passionate about the City in, in in the way that they are.

What I wanna do, is I wanna see this event get done. I wanna partner with these gentlemen that want to actually provide a service to the young kids in this community who have been devastated by COVID, and we have to figure a way to get it down. It's really as simple as just finding the best way to let our Staff get this completed with them that's not going to have any detrimental effects. I see that they've already got the waivers completed, I see that they've put a route in place and they took the consideration from Councilman McCoy to change the route that they had concerns with. I see that we're getting it worked..., worked out. I think that we should move as a Board to just do everything in our power to get this event accomplished, to change the narrative of the City and to request that we have people come back to the City to do as much as possible here in the City.

So, because Councilman McCoy's asking these questions or Mayor Felder, we may disagree with it, it's the simple fact that's our job. So I'm not gonna, I'm not gonna shortchange anybody's opinion tonight, what I'm gonna say is let's just get it done. So, whatever, Chief Osgood, Chief Curd, Mr. Evans, to get this event done, let's get it done. I wanna get these bikes into these kids hands, I wanna get this ride done safely and I wanna protect our community, and represent the City. If we can have the media here to showcase Riviera Beach, that's what's we need to do, to showcase our beautiful City. So, whatever it takes to get it done, let's just do it.

6073 Councilperson Lanier: And I...

Chairperson Botel: Thank you.

Councilperson Lanier: ...at that point, I'm going to rein'..., restate my motion.

Chairperson Botel: Wait. Ms. Miller-Anderson is asking to speak.

6077 Councilperson Lanier: Okay.

Chairperson Botel: Thank you. Ms. Miller-Anderson.

Councilperson Miller-Anderson: I, I, you know, I, I totally agree with Mr. Lawson and I just wanted to point out that, you know, what Chief Osgood mentioned about the protest, you know, we did have to provide the police, as well as the partnership with the other police and obviously, that wasn't something that we planned for. But, it wasn't necessarily a co-sponsored event, it was just a matter of just trying to protect the, the safety of our individuals and, you know, the community as a whole. And, the point that Mr. Lawson made about there were other events that may have taken place, not now but some time ago, where it didn't come to us but I would typically see the City's logo on there and, and see Parks & Rec and all this different stuff and my question would be, well, I never knew anything about it, you know, are we providing this partnership? And so, what I was always understanding was it came through that department. And so, I, I see this as being almost the same thing as the funding that would be utilized for the Police, the Fire, the Safety, would be coming from the Police Department's budget per se. And so, just like when someone would have, like, a, a, a week basketball camp and Parks & Rec partnered with that organization to do that, they would basically provide the space, or a tent or whatever it was. But that didn't necessarily.., it didn't always come to us. So I, I see this as almost the same thing and I, I definitely don't want to discourage the individuals from..., they always come back and do something here in the City and I just wanted to put on record, Dr. Botel, Jacoby lives east of Broadway. Not across the bridge, so let's correct that on the record.

6099 Chairperson Botel: [Inaudible].

6079

6080 6081

6082

6083

6084 6085

6086

6087 6088

6089 6090

6091

6092

6093

6094

6095

6096

6097

- 6100 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** It's not Singer Island.
- 6101 **Chairperson Botel:** [Inaudible] tell me he lives at Singer Island.
- 6102 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** No, ma'am. No, ma'am.
- 6103 Chairperson Botel: [Inaudible].
- 6104 No, ma'am. So, you know, I, I definitely **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** understand, you know, we wanna support but if it's a matter of, you know... I, I, I definitely 6105 brought it up last week when we had our Public Safety meeting, about the safety and the 6106 COVID and not having the impression out there that, you know, we're gonna turn around 6107 and do something that we totally are telling people not to do. So, I know they went back. 6108 kinda went to the drawing board in terms of how they're gonna distribute the bikes. So, I 6109 know they've been working on different plans. Our next meeting's not 'til the 8th so I can't 6110 foresee us waiting 'til the 8th to get information on it and then make a decision two days 6111 before it occurs. So, I'm thinking that's something that can be worked out now, and then 6112 the information can just be provided to us. 6113
- And, you know, I, I support it. But like I said, I don't wanna see large gatherings.
 I do wanna make sure that that's somewhat under control in terms of, you know, making
 sure people have their masks and we said that we have the liability waiver and all those
 things in place, they're providing insurance. So, for me, it sounds like they, they have
 looked at the suggestions that were provided and I support.., and, Mr. McCoy, I, you know

- 6119 what? I, I, I love when you bring up those comments and, and you really are just you, basically making people be held accountable. And so, I'm certainly not mad at that at all., 6120 But, I just.., I think we need.., when we look at it and we're looking at the situation, we, 6121 6122 the most recent thing to think about and compare it to, would be the protest because we had a lot of officers. I mean, probably way more officers than we would have for any 6123 event, probably that we've had in a very long time. And so that, you know, if we were 6124 6125 gonna look at some monies that were spent, I would like to see what that cost in terms of 6126 doing that. And that was not something we planned for. And I think if Abe and Jacoby are willing to, to, to front the money for whatever it is that's needed, then, you know, it's 6127 6128 not like they're coming to us and asking us to pay for everything. So, I appreciate that and I can certainly support it, but I definitely understand the concerns. 6129
- 6130 Councilperson Lanier: Madam Chair? I, I'd...
- 6131 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 6132 **Councilperson Lanier:** ...like to.., I mean, because it, it seems as if ya'll want this to be a, a, a private.., a private event, that the people go and they give the bicycles out of
- themselves, privately, if that's the case, than that's fine. I'm gonna withdraw my motion.
- I wanted to co-sponsor this event with these, these gentlemen. I wanted to be able to
- bring this forward that.., to have the Police Department and the Fire Department involved.
- That doesn't seem to be the consensus here. I withdraw my motion and ya'll can, you
- know, make a motion and do what ya'll think you all need to do.
- Chairperson Botel: [Stammer]. I'm not sure that I had the same sense about that people didn't feel that the Police and Fire should be involved. On the contrary, I think that we do feel that we wanna have the City involved. And, and if Chief and the Mayor are saying that Abe and Jacoby don't want us to co-sponsor, that's fine but I think that we ought to at least express our thanks, our gratitude and our support for the event, even though we're not co-sponsoring.
- 6145 Mr. Lawson.

- Chair Pro Tem Lawson: Madam Chair, I believe this was just a discussion Item. The 6146 reason we, we voted on my Item was because there was a, a financial impact that Mr. 6147 Evans brought before the table. Chief Osgood and Mr. Evans just brought this event 6148 before us just to kinda enlighten us of what was occurring in the City being that this is a 6149 major event for our City and, Councilwoman Miller-Anderson has stated in the past that 6150 6151 she didn't know about certain events until, you know, she saw it on'... online or, or saw it when it was happening. So, I think they were just bringing it before the City 'cause they're 6152 not asking for any impact to the budget. They've already addressed those concerns. The 6153 impact to the budget for my event that we did vote on, was because it's gonna have an 6154 6155 impact that, that we wanted to get a vote from the Council to support.
 - So today was just a discussion Item to let us know and to make sure that the Council is gonna be there and be in support of the event for next week. And Councilman

- 6158 McCoy addressed some of his concerns, we discussed it and I believe we move forward.
- 6159 I'm not sure if we have to take any action right now.
- 6160 **Councilperson Lanier:** My motion is [stammer] is withdrawn. And every Tuesday and
- Friday, we co-sponsor giving away food, every..., twice a week. So, I don't see a problem
- with us co-sponsoring this but, as I said initially, I'm going to withdraw my motion and
- 6163 however ya'll want to proceed, that's how ya'll proceed.
- 6164 **Chairperson Botel:** Mr. Evans, do you need anything from us?
- 6165 **City Manager Evans:** No, Madam Chair. This was for informational purposes only,
- and to bring you up to speed as to what was occurring.
- 6167 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Thank you. And, Chief Osgood and Chief Curd, please
- extend our extreme gratitude to Abe and Jacoby. And thank you, and to your officers for
- volunteering their time and, also to Chief Curd, for your folks for volunteering their time. I
- 6170 think the general consensus, on the part of this Council, including Mr. McCoy, is that we
- appreciate the offer and, and are very, very grateful and want to see this be a very
- successful event. And, I expect to see Mr. McCoy on a bicycle on the ride. [Inaudible].
- 6173 **Councilperson McCoy:** Thank you.
- 6174 **Chairperson Botel:** And so, do we have any other discussion by the City
- 6175 Manager?
- 6176 **City Manager Evans:** No, Madam Chair. I think I've said enough.
- 6177 [Chuckle]
- 6178 **Chairperson Botel:** Do you believe that?
- 6179 CITY COUNCIL COMMITTEE REPORTS
- 6180 **Chairperson Botel:** Do we have City Council committee reports?
- 6181 Councilperson Lanier: No.
- 6182 **Chairperson Botel:** I'm not gonna... Anybody? No. Statements by the Mayor...
- 6183 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I, I did have one but...
- 6184 Chairperson Botel: Oh.
- 6185 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** ...given the hour, I can certainly wait.
- 6186 Chairperson Botel: Okay.
- 6187 Councilperson Lanier: 12:10...

- 6188 Chairperson Botel: [Inaudible].
- 6189 **Councilperson Lanier:** ... Councilwoman Anderson, it's 12:10.
- 6190 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Did you not hear what I said? Did you not hear
- 6191 what I said?
- 6192 Councilperson Lanier: [Inaudible].
- 6193 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I said, given the hour, I can wait.
- 6194 STATEMENTS BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL
- 6195 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Statements by the Mayor and City Council.
- 6196 Starting with the Mayor.
- 6197 **Mayor Felder:** Yeah. I won't.., I won't be long. Councilman McCoy, forgive me for
- calling you Tradrick McCoy. Secondly, get, get a bicycle. Me and you gonna ride together
- 6199 so we can talk. Alright?
- 6200 [Chuckles]
- 6201 **Mayor Felder:** I am a ser'.., I am a serious dude but get a bicycle so me and you
- 6202 can ride them together and we can have a conversation. And, tell me what your
- 6203 [inaudible] for his birthday.
- Good night, ya'll.
- 6205 **Chairperson Botel:** [Chuckle]. Councilman McCoy. You have to respond to that.
- 6206 Councilperson McCoy: I'll go ahead and respond and I'll go ahead and lay my
- 6207 comments out. If you know Mason, he only wants, that's all he wants.
- 6208 **Chairperson Botel:** I'm having a hard time hearing you.
- 6209 **Councilperson McCoy:** Yeah. If you know Mason, all he wants is a hug. So...
- 6210 Chairperson Botel: Aww.
- 6211 **Councilperson McCoy:** ...if you give that to him, that'll make my day. And I gotta stop
- him from hugging the [inaudible] and that's concerning to me, so I, I...
- 6213 **Chairperson Botel:** [Chuckle]. I told you he's my favorite McCoy. You know that.
- 6214 Councilperson McCoy: [Inaudible]. Yeah, you look like you can pass the test. You
- look like you're good. You.., you don't look like you have COVID. So, thank you, Mayor.
- 6216 And there's no offense. [Audio skipped out]. I apologize. Can you hear me okay?

- 6217 **Chairperson Botel:** I can now. I think it was a little static [inaudible]. Ms. Wynn is
- shuffling papers over there.
- 6219 Councilperson McCoy: Yeah.
- 6220 **Chairperson Botel:** Mute your mic.
- 6221 Councilperson McCoy: [Inaudible] and I apologize if there seems to be of some offense but, you know, things change from day to day. Members, thank you for a very 6222 6223 long meeting and I'm just gonna leave.., really leave on this. Thank you, Members, for supporting the Small and Medium Business, excuse me, Committee related to our 6224 6225 forgivable loan program which rolled out on the 26th. Which was last Friday. And, I know we have been accepting applications. And I wanna make the announcement that 6226 6227 [inaudible] on the website, rivierabeach.org/covid19/loan. And, the applications are there. 6228 It is limited, first come, first eligible basis. And I wanna take the opportunity to thank the members of the committee, Ms. Pemsel and thank you to, also Ms. Jacobs, who spent a 6229 lot of time working with me at the Fri'... on Friday evening, making sure that we can tighten 6230 6231 up everything and get everything out. So, thank you so much, Ms. Jacobs and members of the committee. And also, Mr. Evans, for making the ability to locate those dollars. And 6232 again, Members, please share it but please.., I, I, I've asked the Manager to reach out to 6233 6234 you regarding some protocol but I, I don't want us to be involved in the process, if at all, because we have limited funds and inevitably, when we receive calls about this, 6235 somebody's gonna be denied and I would much rather that we don't get involved at all 6236 because, you know, we have to be above [inaudible]. And then not only that, without 6237 conflict. So, thank you, Members. And that's all I have. 6238
- And Mayor, I've been riding, so I look forward to the challenge.
- 6240 **Chairperson Botel:** [Chuckle]. Councilwoman Miller-Anderson.
- 6241 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** So, I'm really confused when we have the heading that says City Council committee reports and then we turn around, right in our statements and talk about committees. So, that's why I said I would hold off. I mean, that's why it says committee reports, so we can talk about those types of things.
- So, I just want to say, I don't know if Chief Curd is still on here but the COVID Education Compliance Team, which is very similar to what Palm Beach County has rolled out, where they've been going around to the businesses and just encouraging them to.., well, not encouraging them, letting them know what the requirement is. And, I don't' know, Chief Curd, I know you all just started today, so can you take that from me really quick to just explain that part?
- Interim Fire Chief Curd: Yes, ma'am. Absolutely. So, today we were able to get our
- 6252 COVID Education Response Team out into the community to inform some of our local
- businesses of what they can do to better comply with some of the requirements from the
- Department of Business and Professional Regulations to provide a safe environment for
- their patrons. We are gonna be putting together a program...

Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Mhmm.

Interim Fire Chief Curd: ...[inaudible] guidance of Mr. Evans, Ms. Jacobs and Ms. Huff that actually [inaudible] onsite [inaudible] and actually looking to provide a COVID compliant certification to businesses, and look to promote those businesses to the community, letting them know that these are businesses that have been inspected [audio skipped] the proper control measures in place to ensure their [audio skipped] they do visit their venue. And we will continue to update the progress as we move forward with that project.

Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Thank you. And then also, and I mentioned this earlier 'cause I wasn't sure if we would have an opportunity to do any statements, 'cause I kinda felt like we were gonna be here all night. So, I threw it out a little earlier about the coming with some information regarding the Civilian Oversight for the, for the Police and so that will be something... I have several Ordinances and sample Resolutions from Miami and Broward area as to how they're developing theirs and there's an individual that we're able.., we will be able to work with them in order to, you know, provide us with information on how we could move forward with that. But I, I certainly want to make sure that we're able to identify funds, and I think we've kinda found all kinds of money tonight and last meeting. So, we should... if we're... if that's something that we want to do, we will want to make sure that we earmark that, especially when we start talking with our budget. I know I kinda threw out at the meeting about [inaudible] funds, we also talked about possibly, you know, obviously, when COVID was a little better that we could possibly have, like, a [inaudible] and, and establishing different activities where, you know, we don't have it necessarily in our Parks & Rec, and really putting more activities in our community policing. So, those are some of the things that we talked about and that should be coming fairly soon.

And just on a shorter note, I know on last week, or last meeting, whenever that was, we talked a little bit about Juneteenth and we having possibly a celebration. I'm sure when we have our discussions during budget, we'll be looking at, you know, if that's even feasible of if 4th of July, any of that is feasible at any point, but there is an organization that would like to do something and [stammer] I don't know if that's something that, you know, we're willing to see if they're able to work that out and they can provide the activities and fronting most of the, the financial side of it. Maybe that's something we might [inaudible], there may be some agency [inaudible] would like to do that. And so, I'll bring more information regarding that as we start talking about it.

But I just wanna wish everyone..., actually, I just want everybody to kinda stay home, not get in big groups for the 4th of July weekend. I am glad that we were able to close..., the beaches will be closed. That was a concern for me. As you know, it was a concern for me some time ago but I got over that. But, I'm glad we were able to do that for this particular holiday because, as you know, everybody..., and I see those counties above us, to the north of us, decided to do the same thing because they didn't want the overflow to come to them as well. But everyone just continue to, you know, social distance and, and have good hygiene and wear your mask and stay safe.

- And also, Mr. Evans, or Chief Osgood, we're having a lot of fireworks, like, 4th of July's been going on for about three or four weeks now, so at some point after the 4th, will we try to reinforce.., or, enforce the stopping of these fireworks that's continuing to go on?
- 6301 **Police Chief Osgood:** Well, I'm sure Chief Curd is gonna concur with me but
- 6302 ninety-nine percent of the fireworks you see out there right now are, all illegal. And, and
- 6303 we were just talking about that yesterday, I think it was yesterday, that the.., when we
- 6304 come across the illegal fireworks, should we confiscate them or let them have their fun?
- But we know how many people get injured with fireworks every year, so we're gonna have
- to probably start confiscating them, taking them and storing them, turn them over to the
- 6307 Fire Department for them to destroy them.
- 6308 Chairperson Botel: Chief Curd.
- 6309 **Interim Fire Chief Curd:** One, one of the things we do have to consider is Governor
- 6310 DeSantis [audio went out]...
- 6311 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I can't hear him.
- 6312 Chairperson Botel: I can't.., I lost you, Chief.
- 6313 **Interim Fire Chief Curd:** Can you hear me now?
- 6314 Chairperson Botel: Yes.
- 6315 Interim Fire Chief Curd: Okay. Governor DeSantis did sign an Order that does allow
- for fireworks to be shot off with no restrictions, three days out of the year, 4th of July, New
- Year's Day and New Year's Eve. So, we gotta be mindful on now what's considered
- 6318 illegal because while at one time fireworks were only allowed to scare off birds that were
- a nuisance, they now are allowed, any and every type of firework for [audio skipped] and
- can be shot off [audio skipped].
- 6321 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Well, I was speaking more of July..., starting July
- 6322 5th.
- 6323 Interim Fire Chief Curd: Right.
- 6324 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** I mean, we've been dealing with it for the past
- three weeks already now. I'm not worried about that, I'm not worried up until the 4th but
- for July 5th, do we continue to let them go for another two or three weeks?
- 6327 **Police Chief Osgood:** Councilwoman Miller-Anderson, I'm gonna agree with you.
- 6328 Come July 5th, [audio skipped] we cannot let them continue to go. We're gonna have to
- put a stop to it. We can ask them politely to not fire them off and then we can into the
- 6330 confiscation aspect and start citing them.

- **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Okay. 'Cause I know a lot of people.., those are
- some real powerful fireworks that are out there. Some people really, like, literally think
- those are shootings that are happening every ten, fifteen minutes. And so...
- **Police Chief Osgood:** [Inaudible] shot fired off every night.
- 6335 Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Yeah. And it's going 'til, you know, one, 2:00
- o'clock in the morning for some of them. So. Alright. That's it for me.
- Thank you. I really enjoyed my seven hours.., six hours I was with you all tonight.
- 6338 Thank you.

- **Chairperson Botel:** Yeah. Councilwoman Lanier.
- **Councilperson Lanier:** [Audio skipped] to say that I too have enjoyed these six, seven
- hours here. But I also wanted to say that I, I was in agreement with both Mr. Felder and
- 6342 [stammer] Mayor Felder and Mr..., Councilman McCoy in terms of handing out these
- 6343 bikes. I was really looking forward to this happening and the City being a co-sponsor with
- this. Mayor Felder said they didn't want a co-sponsor but I thought that because we were
- having police presence, we were having other issues that were going on in terms of
- setting up tents, that we would be able to do something like a co-sponsor but apparently
- that's not gonna happen, but I was all for this event happening.

Second of all, since August of 2019, I've been working with a consultant to provide a Juneteenth celebration for the City. I have met with Mr. [stammer], Mr. Evans since August of 2019, and the only reason the celebration was postponed was because of the COVID-19 and Mr.., Councilman Lawson had the presence of mind to bring forward, to make a holiday out of it, which is very good but we have a consultant that we have been working with since August of 2019 to be able to have a celebration that is on par with our Christmas celebration, and with our 4th of July celebration. So, that has been in the works for a long time.

And thirdly, I just want to say that the committee for..., the Audit Committee worked very hard to be able to bring you guys recommendations for, for choosing an auditor. The Co'..., the Council chose to go a different route, which is fine, which they have every right to do but I just wanted to make sure that the Committee [stammer], if they look at this tape later or early, or whatever the case may be, that the Committee did a excellent job in doing what they were asked to do. According to Florida statute, they did an excellent job in terms of ranking and in terms of making sure that the Council received a rec'..., a set of recommendations that were based on expertise and based on the law. So, however the Com'..., however this panned out, I just want to say thanks to the Audit Committee because even Mr. Evans brought this up, we did..., we..., I was just thinking about the one and a half months that we met for doing the actual evaluation, but we met a month prior to that, just even to do the... We, we, we the ones who came up with the RFP itself. So, yeah, we..., it's been two and a half months since we worked on this project, and I just wanted to thank the Audit Committee for all their hard work, for all the nights and evenings that they sacrificed to come and to do this work for the City and any other committee that

- 6371 is out there to know that it is only recommendations and the Council does have the final say.
- That is my comments.

6381

6382

6383

6384

6385

6386 6387

6388

6389

6390

6391 6392

6393 6394

6395 6396

6397 6398

6399 6400

6401

6402

6403

6404 6405

6406

6407

6408

6409

6410

- 6374 **Chairperson Botel:** Thank you. Mr. Lawson.
- 6375 **Chair Pro Tem Lawson:** Thank you, Madam Chair. I just wanted to give Mr. Evans.., if you could put on the Agenda for the 15th meeting, the Arts in Public Places presentation, if it's gonna be ready. If not, then just shoot me an email, let me know when we can get it on the Agenda. I know we spoke about that briefly so I just wanted to see if we can have that on the next Agenda Item.

And, the program, colleagues, I just wanted to thank you guys for supporting the Grab Bag event. And allowing for us to just give some type of incentive to these kids because, as you know that they're being effected tremendously, especially the graduating seniors. They lost a prom, they lost a grad night, they lost a graduation and the ability to walk on stage. So, anything that we can do, I think it's gonna be impactful for them and this will be something that they're gonna look back on ten, twenty, thirty years down the line and say that, you know, the City did care about us enough to do something. So, thank you.

And, I just wanted to announce, we're doing a mental health virtual workshop for young men and women tomorrow. We're partnering with YEP and we're partnering with Mind Over You. It's gonna be a free workshop for kids, just to kinda express what's on their mind, what's going on, their thoughts and their feelings. We're gonna have two therapists on the line. I'll be on the line as well, just to kinda connect with the children. 'Cause a lot of these kids are just stuck in the house, and it's unfortunate that we can't really get to them. So, we're trying every best way possible to connect with the kids. If any parents, please register your kids. We're focused on ages between.., the group of eleven through eighteen. Please call 561-840-0135, and it's gonna be a Zoom call. We have about ten kids registered. We have space for up to.., as many as possible. I think we can go up to a hundred kids but we wanna keep it around fifty 'cause we have enough therapists to cover at least fifty kids. So if we can get fifty kids registered, that'd be great. And we're gonna be talking about mental health but we're making it cool for them. We're gonna just let them chit chat about what's going on, their feelings, expressing what's going on in a fun, safe environment for them to release. So, parents, if you have any kids, please get them registered for this program. It's tomorrow at 2:00 p.m., and we're doing it every Thursday. It's free mental health, free service for the kids. And it's not gonna be called mental health, it's just called confidential talk with the kids. So, we want you guys to just get your kids registered.

Colleagues, thank you for amazing meeting tonight. So, have a wonderful night.

Chairperson Botel: Thank you, Mr. Lawson. I'm going to put off my report about the Marine Industry Committee and the Hospitality Committee until the next time because I have two items that I wanna just discuss quickly. I want to congratulate the first cohort

- of graduates of the Palm Beach State College course that we offered right here in Riviera
 Beach, that they graduated on August.., in August and bravo to all the men. They will be
 walking away with a college credit certificate, a twelve credit program in business
 specialist. And if anybody is interested in the next cohort, in joining the next cohort, my
 legislative aide, Sam T.C. Brown, can give you information. His email address is
 stbrown@rivierabeach.org. That's S-T.., S for Samuel.., Sammie Thomas,
 stbrown@rivierabeach.org.
- 6418 And the other thing I wanna say is there's a lot of talk online, especially on Nextdoor, about the derelict boats and the live-aboards in the lagoon. And, I wanna make 6419 it very clear, we are going to do something about this. We will no longer allow people to 6420 dump their boats in our waters, dump their toilets in our waters, sink their boats and 6421 whatever. It's gonna stop, and we're having a meeting where we've invited Palm Beach 6422 Shores, the Town of Palm Beach, the Town of Lake Park, Commissioner Dan Valeche... 6423 Dan, Hal Valeche will be there, someone from the Legal Department in the county, our 6424 Legal Department, our Marine unit will be there, the Sheriff's Marine Unit, the Marine Unit 6425 from Palm Beach... Town of Palm Beach will be there and we are going to come up with 6426 6427 a solution for this problem because it has plagued us for too long. So, I'm absolutely determined to get to the bottom of this problem and find a solution. 6428
- I also wanna say Happy 4th of July to everyone. Please, again, as my colleagues have said, stay safe, stay at home if you can, wear your masks if you can, and social distance if you're out in public.

<u>AJDOURNMENT</u>

- 6433 **Chairperson Botel:** If there is nothing else, I'll ask for a motion to [stammer]... I'm
- sorry. Mayor Felder, I am so sorry. I did not mean to diss.., I did not mean to diss you.
- Do you have a comment? Oh, did I already do that? It's getting.., I'm getting crazy. I
- 6436 didn't... Go ahead. Mayor Felder.
- 6437 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Didn't he go? When he asked Mr. McCoy to go
- 6438 take.., riding a bicycle?
- 6439 **Chairperson Botel:** Oh yea, right. Right.
- 6440 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** [Inaudible] comment.
- 6441 **Chairperson Botel:** Okay. Mr. Evans, see? In my sc'.., in my...
- 6442 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** Mr. Evans is asleep too over there. [Chuckle].
- 6443 **Chairperson Botel:** No. Mr. Evans [inaudible] went like this and I thought he was
- reminding me that I missed Mr. Felder.
- 6445 **City Manager Evans:** No. I.., I forgot and then I came...
- 6446 **Councilperson Miller-Anderson:** He dozed off.

6447 6448	Chairperson Botel: I need a motion to adjourn, or are we all just in agreement that at 12:30 on a Thursday morning				
6449	Councilperson Miller-Anderson: So moved.				
6450	Chairperson Botel:	we should	go to bed?	Thank you. If there's no objection	
6451	City Manager Evans:	Good morning.			
6452	Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Good, good morning.				
6453	Chairperson Botel: Good morning. Good night.				
6454	Councilperson Miller-Anderson: Good night.				
6455	[End Of video]				
6456	City Employees, Public Comment Speakers and Others				
6457	Chief of Police Nathan Osgood			Police Chief Osgood	
6458	Procurement Director Althea Pemsel			Procurement Director Pemsel	
6459	Finance and Administrative Services Director Randy Sherman				
6460	Finance and Administrative Services Director Sherman				
6461	HCT Roderick Harvey Mr. R. Har			Mr. R. Harvey	
6462	Grau & Associates Raquel McIntosh Ms. R. McIn			Ms. R. McIntosh	
6463	S. Davis & Associates Tanya Davis			Ms. T. Davis	
6464	John Clayton				
6465	Interim Fire Chief John Curd			Interim Fire Chief Curd	

APPROVED:			
RONNIE L. FELDER MAYOR	JULIA A. BOTEL, Ed.D CHAIRPERSON		
ATTEST:			
CLAUDENE L. ANTHONY CERTIFIED MUNICIPAL CLERK CITY CLERK	DOUGLAS A. LAWSON CHAIR PRO TEM		
	TRADRICK MCCOY COUNCILPERSON		
	KASHAMBA MILLER-ANDERSON COUNCILPERSON		
	SHIRLEY D. LANIER COUNCILPERSON		
MOTIONED BY:			
SECONDED BY:			
T. MCCOY			
K. MILLER-ANDERSON			
S. LANIER			
J. BOTEL			
D. LAWSON			
DATE APPROVED:AUGUST 5, 2020_			